was intubated and cardiopulmonary resuscitation had been started. The relatives of patient stated that the patient had complaints such as rash throughout her body and shortness of breath after she ate walnut and rosehip. 112 team stated that also that the patient there were diffuse rash and shortness of breath in the physical examination of the patient after their arrival, and she developed severe anaphylaxis symptoms and cardiopulmonary arrest within seconds. We determined diffuse periorbital,

A 77-year-old female patient admitted to our

emergency department by 112 team. The patient

mortality despite all interventions and efforts.

92

Türk Fen ve Sağlık Dergisi Turkish Journal of Science and Health

https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/pub/tfsd

https://doi.org/10.51972/tfsd.1038771

Volume

Number

Year

Pages

Case Report

3

2

2022

92-94

Received: 20.12.2021

Accepted: 04.04.2022

Fatal Anaphylactic Shock Developed With Walnut And Rosehip**

Şimşek Çelik 1*10, Pelin Çelik 200, Behnan Gülünay 300

¹Department of Emergency Faculty of Medicine, Sivas Cumhuriyet University, Sivas, Türkiye ²Department of Health Programs, Vocational School of Health Services, Sivas Cumhuriyet University, Sivas, Türkiye ³Emergency, Sivas State Hospital, Sivas, Türkiye

ABSTRACT:

Anaphylaxis term which has been previously defined as severe life threatening systemic reactions that are revealed with the mediators released by mast cells and basophils, is now defined as a serious systemic hypersensitivity reaction with sudden onset which may lead to death. Anaphylaxis is an allergic reaction which requires rapid diagnosis and treatment, progresses rapidly and threatens the life. The most common triggers of anaphylaxis are food allergens, insect stings and drugs. It is one of the vital emergencies which can be resolved with correct diagnosis and differential diagnosis carried out by physicians and a rapid and effective treatment. Herein, we aimed to underline that physicians should be aware about the mortality of anaphylaxis cases that are commonly encountered in emergency departments

Keywords: Food Allergy, Anaphylaxis, Emergency Department

*Corresponding author: Şimşek Çelik, email: <u>simsekcelik@cumhuriyet.edu.tr</u>

**This study was published as a summary in the proceedings book and presented in the congress as an oral presentation at the 13th National Emergency Medicine Congress, 4th Intercontinental Emergency Medicine Congress, 4th International Critical Care and Emergency Medicine Congress

CASE

INTRODUCTION

Anaphylaxis is an allergic reaction which progresses rapidly and threatens the life requires rapid diagnosis and treatment. Life long prevalence of anaphylaxis related to all triggering agents is estimated as 0.05%-2% in general population (Lieberman et al., 2006). The most common triggers of anaphylaxis are food allergens, insect stings and drugs (Cmorej et al., 2017). Foods are the most common causes leading to anaphylaxis, accounting for 33.2%-56% of all anaphylaxis cases (Ben-Shoshan et al., 2011). In this study, we present a case that we thought to be developed due to food allergy in a patient who developed anaphylactic shock soon afterwards eating walnut and rosehip and resulted in

Çelik et al. / TFSD, 2022, 3(2), 92-94

mouth and neck edema with central cyanosis and subcutaneous crepitation. She had external nose and ears bleeding. Cardiopulmonary resuscitation CPR continued for 15 minutes by the 112 team. Cardiopulmonary resuscitation was continued for further 40 minutes when the patient arrived to the emergency department. However, vital functions of the patient who did not reponse to the resuscitation and the it has been terminated after 40 minutes.

DISCUSSION

Anaphylaxis is defined as a diffuse or systemic hypersensitivity reaction which is developed rapidly and/or characterized by circulatory problems and is a life-threatening condition. Mostmans et al. (2016) found that anaphylaxis cases accounts for 0.04% of all emergency presentations and allergic diseases are more common among women and severity of anaphylaxis increases with age. Yang et al. (2008) found anaphylaxis in 0.014% of the patients included in their study. Although the incidence of anaphylaxis is low in the studies, it can show a severe progression and even may be fatal as in our patient. As the study by Mostmans et al. (2016) our patient also was female and elderly, supporting the literature.

Mostmans et al. (2016) found that 51.7% of anaphylaxis cases were related to food. Ghazali et al. (2017) found that foods are among the most common causes of allergy by 24%. Cianferoni and Muraro (2012) emphasized that food-induced anaphylaxis is one of the most common causes of anaphylaxis. They found that the most commonly encountered foods are peanut, pistachio, milk, egg, sesame, fish and shellfish. Wang et al. (2007) found that the incidence of food-induced anaphylaxis is increasing (Wang and Sampson, 2007). Brown et al. (2001) concluded that the most common causes of anaphylaxis are associated with drugs, toxaphene and food. Sarinho and Lins (2017) found that milk, egg, peanut, hazelnut, walnut, wheat, sesame seeds, shrimps, fish and fruits will accelerate the allergic emergency. As seen in the literature studies, foodinduced anaphylaxis is frequently seen, and as in the study by Sarinho et al. our patient also developed anaphylactic shock soon afterwards she ate walnut. Thong et al. (2005) reported that the most common symptoms in anaphylaxis cases are dyspnea (59.7%),

urticaria (58.2%), angioedema (44.8%), syncope (43.3%) and hypotension (28.4%). Brown et al. found skin characteristics in 94%. (2001) syncope/dizziness in 35%, laryngeal edema in 25%, and systolic hypotension in 21.7% of the patients with anaphylaxis. Ghazali et al. (2017) clinically found cardiovascular findings in 52%, respiratory findings in 53% and anaphylactic shock in 31% of the anaphylaxis cases in the emergency department. Helbing (1994) determined urticaria, laryngeal edema, cutaneous, respiratory such as asthma or gastrointestinal diarrhea clinical signs and symptoms due to food allergy related reactions. Brown et al. (2001) reported 0.7% mortality rate among patients with anaphylaxis. Motosue et al. (2017) evaluated the patients with anaphylaxis admitted to the emergency department and 11.5% were hospitalized, 5.3% needed intensive care unit followup and 1.5% were mechanically ventilated. As seen in the literature, local and systemic serious symptoms may be seen in anaphylaxis. In our patient anaphylactic shock developed due to food allergy led to cardiovascular arrest and resulted in death in a short time. This is also supported by the studies from the literature.

CONCLUSION

Food allergy affects patients life quality due to skin rashes and psychologically. It may cause serious morbidity and very highly costs for medical care. Food allergy may cause a wide range of symptoms. It may be overcome with mild symptoms, but occasionally it may progress rapidly to fatal anaphylactic shock unexpectedly. Anaphylaxis is the most serious clinical situation of allergy, which may lead to mortality due to delayed diagnosis and treatment. It is one of the fatal emergencies which can be resolved with rapid diagnosis and effective treatment. Therefore all physicians should be aware about this clinical diseases It can be life-saving for people with anaphylaxis to be educated about anaphylaxis and to have the epipen injections with them.

Conflict of Interest

There is no conflict of interest among the authors of the article

Ethical Approval

For this study, all processes were explained to the patient's relatives, and verbal and written consents were obtained by making necessary explanations. Confidentiality is adhered to in the article.

REFERENCES

- Ben-Shoshan, M., Clarke, A. E. (2011). Anaphylaxis: past, present and future. Allergy, 66(1), 1-14.
- Brown, A. F., McKinnon, D., Chu, K. (2001). Emergency department anaphylaxis: a review of 142 patients in a single year. Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology, 108(5), 861-866.
- Cianferoni, A., Muraro, A. (2012). Food-induced anaphylaxis. Immunology and Allergy Clinics, 32(1), 165-195.
- Cmorej, P. C., Nesvadba, M., Babela, R., Slowik, O., Didič, R. (2017). Management of acute anaphylaxis in clinical practice in the context of the guidelines. Epidemiologie, mikrobiologie, imunologie: casopis Spolecnosti proepidemiologii a mikrobiologii Ceske lekarske spolecnosti JE Purkyne, 66(1), 30-38.
- Ghazali, H., Gammoudi, M., Yahmadi, A., Chaaebeni, G., Souyah, A., Souissi, S. (2017). Anaphylaxis in an emergency department: Epidemiology, clinical features and management. La Tunisie medicale, 95(1), 45-52.
- Helbling, A. (1994). Food allergy. Ther Umsch, .Jan;51(1):31-7.
- Lieberman, P., Camargo Jr, C. A., Bohlke, K., Jick, H., Miller, R. L., Sheikh, A., Simons, F. E. R. (2006). Epidemiology of anaphylaxis: findings of the American college of allergy, asthma and immunology epidemiology of anaphylaxis working group. Annals of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology, 97(5), 596-602.
- Mostmans, Y., Blykers, M., Mols, P., Gutermuth, J., Grosber, M., Naeije, N. (2016). Anaphylaxis in an urban Belgian emergency department: epidemiology and aetiology. Acta Clinica Belgica, 71(2), 99-106.
- Motosue, M. S., Bellolio, M. F., Van Houten, H. K., Shah, N. D., Campbell, R. L. (2017). Risk factors for severe anaphylaxis in the United States. Annals of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology, 119(4), 356-361.
- Sarinho, E., Lins, M. D. G. M. (2017). Severe forms of food allergy. Journal de pediatria, 93, 53-59.
- Thong, B. Y. H., Cheng, Y. K., Leong, K. P., Tang, C. Y., Chng, H. H. (2005). Anaphylaxis in adults referred to a clinical immunology/allergy centre in Singapore. Singapore medical journal, 46(10), 529.
- Wang, J., Sampson, H. A. (2007). Food anaphylaxis. Clin Exp Allergy, May;37(5):651-60.
- Yang, M. S., Lee, S. H., Kim, T. W., Kwon, J. W., Lee, S. M., Kim, S. H., Chang, Y. S. (2008). Epidemiologic and clinical features of anaphylaxis in Korea. Annals of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology, 100(1), 31-36.