

Comparison of the intensity of peripheral inflammation between major depressive disorder and bipolar depression by means of neutrophil-lymphocyte and platelet-lymphocyte ratios: The possible role of clinical severity and psychotic features

Necati Serkut BULUT¹ , Nese YORGUNER² 

¹ Department of Psychiatry, Marmara University Pendik Training and Research Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey.

² Department of Psychiatry, School of Medicine, Marmara University, İstanbul, Turkey.

Corresponding Author: Necati Serkut BULUT

E-mail: serkutbulut@gmail.com

Submitted: 06.07.2021

Accepted: 23.11.2021

ABSTRACT

Objective: The present study aimed to compare the intensity of inflammation between major depressive disorder (MDD) and bipolar disorder-depressive episode (BD-D) by using neutrophil to lymphocyte (NLR) and platelet to lymphocyte ratios (PLR) as non-specific markers for peripheral immune response, and to investigate whether and how these parameters correlate with the clinical characteristics of the depressive episodes within and between the diagnoses.

Patients and Methods: The medical records of 209 psychiatric inpatients (126 diagnosed with MDD, 83 with BD-D) and 150 healthy controls (HC) were retrospectively screened to obtain NLR and PLR values.

Results: Both MDD and BD-D presented with significantly elevated NLR and PLR compared to HC, with the increase being associated with the severity of depression but not with the presence of psychotic features. The severity of inflammation was found to be of a comparable magnitude between the two conditions, or at least indistinguishable by means of the NLR and PLR.

Conclusion: Our results suggest that both MDD and BD-D involve a presumably complex inflammatory process resulting in an observable, albeit nonspecific alteration in the distribution of peripheral blood cells. Moreover, the magnitude of the observed immune response appears to relate to the severity of the depressive episode for both conditions.

Keywords: Major depressive disorder, Bipolar disorder, Inflammation, Immune dysregulation, Neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio, Platelet-lymphocyte ratio

1. INTRODUCTION

Depression is extremely common in both community and treatment settings. Although depressive symptoms may occur in a variety of psychiatric and somatic conditions, depressive episodes are most specific for major depressive disorder (MDD) and bipolar disorder (BD), the two most prevalent mood disorders that affect up to one-fifth of people all around the world [1-3]. Several biological theories on the pathophysiology of depression have been proposed over the past decades (e.g., models focusing on neurotransmitters, neuroplasticity, genetics, circadian rhythm etc.). However, no single mechanism that is relevant to all types of depression has yet been identified, which underpins the complex and multi-dimensional etiology of the phenomenon. As with other complementary models, growing evidence also points out that immune dysregulation may be a crucial factor contributing to the pathophysiology of depression

in both MDD and BD [4, 5]. Within this “immune-inflammatory” framework, the emphasis of the previous research has largely been put on the complex interplay between the neuroendocrine and immune systems [6]. Accordingly, it has been suggested that, during depression, the activation of the inflammatory response system together with the immune-regulatory pathways results in an increase in the levels of acute phase proteins such as haptoglobin [7], transforming growth factor (TGF)- β 1, IL-10, as well as the activated M1 macrophage, T helper and T regulatory cells [8-10]. Many other pro-inflammatory cytokines have also been shown to be elevated in depressed patients compared to healthy controls (HC), including C-reactive protein (CRP), soluble interleukin-2 and interleukin-6 receptors, soluble tumor necrosis factor receptor, soluble p-selectin receptor, monocyte chemotactic protein-1, etc. [6, 11, 12].

How to cite this article: Bulut NS, Yorguner N. Comparison of the intensity of peripheral inflammation between major depressive disorder and bipolar depression by means of neutrophil-lymphocyte and platelet-lymphocyte ratios: The possible role of clinical severity and psychotic features. *Marmara Med J* 2022; 35(1):100-106. doi: 10.5472/marumj.1065834

Among this plethora of available inflammatory markers, recently described neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio (NLR) and platelet to lymphocyte ratio (PLR) stand out as relatively simple and inexpensive indices of the systemic inflammatory response. NLR has been repetitively tested as a candidate parameter for systemic inflammation in neuropsychiatric disorders such as Alzheimer's disease [13] and schizophrenia [14, 15]. A few studies also attempted to examine peripheral inflammatory response in mood disorders by means of NLR and/or PLR, with their findings collectively suggesting that MDD [16], as well as different mood episodes of BD [17] are associated with increased NLR and/or PLR compared to HC. On the other hand, some other studies addressing the relationship between clinical severity of MDD and NLR-PLR provided rather inconsistent findings [18, 19].

Taken together, a substantial body of evidence indicates that the elevated inflammatory signaling is a potential mechanism that is involved in the pathophysiology of depression. However, it remains largely unclear whether MDD and bipolar disorder-depressive episode (BD-D), two distinct clinical conditions with highly overlapping symptomatology, present with differential patterns of inflammatory responses. Only a handful of studies to date have attempted to investigate the potentially distinctive features of the inflammatory profiles between the two disorders, by directly comparing depressed patients diagnosed with MDD or BD-D [6, 20, 21]. Moreover, most of the available research on the relationship between inflammatory processes and mood disorders suffer from substantial limitations such as the lack of control groups, unreliability of the diagnoses (which are mostly based on the use of questionnaires), not taking into consideration the clinical features of the depressive episodes, or relying upon cross-sectional assessments based on relatively narrow DSM criteria without further corroboration.

With these methodological shortcomings taken into account, our first objective in the present study was to assess and compare the intensity of peripheral inflammation between MDD and BD-D by using NLR and PLR as non-specific markers for the systemic immune response. Our second objective was to investigate whether and how the observed values for these markers were related to the main clinical features of the depressive episodes (depression severity, presence of psychotic features) both in MDD and BD-D. To the best of our knowledge, no prior studies have directly compared the inflammatory profiles between MDD and BD-D patients by means of NLR-PLR, or by taking into consideration the main clinical characteristics of the depressive episodes.

2. PATIENTS and METHODS

Participants

In this cross-sectional study, the database of our psychiatry inpatient clinic has been retrospectively screened for adult patients (>18 years old) who had been admitted to the hospital between 2015-2020, with a main diagnosis of MDD or BD-D. To reduce diagnostic heterogeneity, patients with psychiatric

comorbidities or differential diagnoses (anxiety disorders, somatoform disorders, alcohol and substance use disorders, dissociative disorders, schizoaffective disorder, borderline personality disorder, etc.) have been excluded from the study sample. Additional exclusion criteria were the medical comorbidities that might affect inflammatory parameters (autoimmune or inflammatory diseases etc.), hepatic or renal failure, blood dyscrasia, ongoing infection, obesity (BMI >30 kg/m²), and treatment with anti-inflammatory medications by the time of the admittance. Accordingly, the final sample consisted of a total of 209 eligible subjects, with 126 being diagnosed with MDD, and 83 with BD-D.

Aside from these two major diagnostic groups, participants have further been classified in relation to the clinical severity of their depression (moderate, severe) as well as the presence of psychotic features (additional specifier for severe depression). Additionally, a control group (n=150) of non-psychiatric outpatients, with no past history of psychiatric diagnosis or treatment, and similar demographic characteristics have been recruited retrospectively following the above mentioned criteria. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Marmara University, School of Medicine (no: 09. 2019.1089).

Blood Tests

Data from the laboratory tests were obtained by retrospective screening of the medical records of the patients. As a routine, blood samples were drawn from the patients within 24 hours of their first admission to the clinic, generally around 8–10 a.m. following an overnight fasting period for at least 8 hours. Only the complete blood count (CBC) results at the time of the first admittance were taken into consideration. Accordingly, neutrophil/lymphocyte and platelet/lymphocyte ratios were calculated for each patient, using absolute counts.

Statistical Analysis

Data analysis in the present work was performed using SPSS (version 24.0). Means (M), standard deviation (SD) and percentages (%) were used to illustrate the demographic and other selected characteristics of the participants. Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Shapiro-Wilk were used to determine normal distribution. The chi-square test was used for categorical variables. Student's t-test or the Mann-Whitney U test were used to compare ordinal variables between two independent groups, Kruskal-Wallis or the one-way ANOVA were used to compare three or more groups. Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was used to control for covariates of interest when looking at group differences. A two-tailed $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

3. RESULTS

Sample Characteristics

The sample consisted of 359 participants in total (Table I). 65.1% (n=82) of the MDD, 72.3% (n=60) of the BD-D, and 58% (n=87) of the HC were females, the gender distribution

between groups was not significantly different ($p=0.088$). The mean age was 46.06 (SD=17.39) for MDD, 40.59 (SD=13.76) for BD-D, and 44.18 (SD=14.83) for HC. The age difference between groups was not statistically significant ($p>0.05$). The depression severity was moderate in 48.4% ($n=61$) of the MDD and 50.6% ($n=42$) of the BD-D patients. Among those with severe depression ($n=106$), 40% of the MDD ($n=26$) and 24.3% ($n=10$) of the BD-D additionally exhibited psychotic features during the episode. The distribution of the patients by symptom severity ($\chi^2=0.096$, $p=0.757$), and presence of psychotic features

($\chi^2=2.73$, $p=0.098$) were similar in MDD and BD-D groups, i.e. without statistically significant difference. Regardless of the main diagnosis, 54.9% ($n=78$) of the female and 41.7% ($n=28$) of the male patients had severe depression, among which 33.3% ($n=26$) and 35.7% ($n=10$) presented with additional psychotic features respectively. The mean duration of hospital stay was comparable (i.e., without statistically significant difference) between MDD and BD-D, with 5.45 (SD=2.97) and 5.67 (SD=2.87) weeks respectively ($p>0.05$).

Table I. Sample characteristics

Groups	Symptom Severity	Clinical Features	Gender (n, %)			Age (Mean ± SD)		Duration of Hospitalization (Mean ± SD)	
			Female	Male	Total	Subgroups	Groups	Subgroups	Groups
Healthy Controls			87 (58%)	63 (42%)	150	44.18±14.83			
Major Depressive Disorder	Moderate		35 (57.4%)	26 (42.6%)	61	41.62±15.86	4.79±2.74		
	Severe	non-psychotic	29 (74.4%)	10 (25.6%)	39	48.23±19.45	46.06±17.39	5.98±2.67	5.45±2.97
		psychotic	18(69.2%)	8 (30.8%)	26	53.19±14.98	6.19±3.62		
Bipolar Depression	Moderate		29 (69%)	13 (31%)	42	37.90±12.99	5.62±2.79		
	Severe	non-psychotic	23 (74.2%)	8 (25.8%)	31	43.39±13.38	40.59±13.76	5.59±3.26	5.67±2.87
		psychotic	8 (80%)	2 (20%)	10	43.20±17.04	6.16±2.03		
TOTAL			229	130	359				

Comparison between the diagnostic groups

Mean NLR values were 1.59 (SD=0.74) for HC, 2.30 (SD=1.51) for MDD, and 2.32 (SD=0.97) for BD-D group. Mean PLR values were 110.88 (SD=37.71) for HC, 135.29 (SD=62.92) for MDD, and 122.33 (SD=51.93) for BD-D group. Raw values were log-transformed using natural logarithm to obtain normal distribution (Table II). Log-transformed values are denoted with the prefix Ln. Both LnNLR and LnPLR values exhibited a significant difference between groups even after adjusting for age and sex with

ANCOVA ($F=24.69$, $p<0.001$; and $F=6.02$, $p=0.003$ respectively) (Table III). Post-hoc analyses revealed that, both MDD and BD-D presented with significantly higher LnNLR values, compared to controls ($p<0.001$ for both), while no significant difference was observed between MDD and BD-D ($p=0.554$). Regarding LnPLR values, MDD was no different from BD-D ($p=0.084$) but significantly higher than HC ($p=0.001$), whereas the difference between BD-D and HC was not significant ($p=0.331$).

Table II. Mean LnNLR and LnPLR in relation to the clinical characteristics among diagnostic groups and healthy controls

	Healthy Controls	Major Depressive Disorder				Bipolar Depression					
		Moderate	Severe			Overall	Moderate	Severe			Overall
			Non-psychotic	Psychotic	Overall			Non-psychotic	Psychotic	Overall	
LnNLR	0.37±0.42	0.63±0.40	0.80±0.54	0.70±0.55	0.76±0.54	0.70±0.48	0.65±0.38	0.89±0.44	0.74±0.44	0.85±0.43	0.75±0.42
LnPLR	4.65±0.31	4.73±0.39	4.89±0.37	4.90±0.45	4.90±0.40	4.82±0.40	4.68±0.39	4.80±0.40	4.75±0.29	4.79±0.37	4.74±0.39

Ln: natural logarithm, NLR: neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio, PLR: platelet to lymphocyte ratio

Comparison between the depressive episodes in relation to clinical characteristics

Regardless of the diagnostic groups (all patients with depression), mean LnNLR values were 0.64 (SD=0.39) for moderate and 0.80 (SD=0.50) for severe depression with the difference being statistically significant ($t=-2.48$, $p=0.014$). Similarly, LnPLR

showed significant difference between groups with the mean values being 4.71 (SD=0.39) for moderate, and 4.85 (SD=0.39) for severe depression ($t=-2.65$, $p=0.009$). However, among patients with severe depression, both NLR and PLR presented with comparable values (i.e., not statistically significant) between those with and without psychotic symptoms ($t=1.21$, $p=0.226$, and $t=-0.130$, $p=0.897$ respectively).

Table III. Comparison of LnNLR and LnPLR in relation to clinical characteristics between the diagnostic groups

Comparison	LnNLR		LnPLR	
	Test statistic	p value	Test statistic	p value
Difference between HC, MDD, BD-D*	F=24.69	<0.001	F=6.02	0.003
BD-D vs HC		<0.001		0.331
MDD vs HC		<0.001		0.001
MDD vs BD-D		0.554		0.084
Moderate vs severe depression (overall)**	t=-2.48	0.014	t=-2.65	0.009
Non-psychotic vs psychotic severe depression (overall)**	t=1.21	0.226	t=-0.130	0.897
MDD, moderate vs severe**	t=-1.52	0.129	t=-2.34	0.020
BD-D, moderate vs severe**	t=-2.19	0.031	t=-1.26	0.211

Ln: natural logarithm, NLR: neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio, PLR: platelet to lymphocyte ratio HC: healthy controls, MDD: major depressive disorder, BD-D: bipolar disorder-depressive episode

*Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA), **Student's t test

Comparison between the diagnostic groups in relation to clinical characteristics

LnNLR and LnPLR values in relation to the depression severity and the presence of psychotic symptoms for both the MDD and BD-D groups are shown in Figure 1 and Figure 2 respectively.




Figure 1. Mean LnNLR values in relation to the clinical characteristics for healthy controls, patients with major depressive disorder, and bipolar depression. Bars indicate standard errors. Ln: natural logarithm, NLR: neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio, MDD: major depressive disorder, BD-D: bipolar disorder-depressive episode

LnNLR in moderate, severe (non-psychotic) and severe psychotic depression was 0.63 (SD=0.40), 0.80 (SD=0.54) and 0.70 (SD=0.55) in the MDD; and 0.65 (SD=0.38), 0.89 (SD=0.44) and 0.74 (SD=0.44) in the BD-D group respectively. That is, severe depression without psychotic features were associated with the highest, and moderate depression with the lowest LnNLR values in both groups. Although the difference between moderate and non-psychotic severe depression was found to be significant in the BD-D (p=0.026), it did not reach statistical significance in the MDD group (p=0.87). With the psychotic features not

taken into account, severe depression was again associated with significantly higher LnNLR than moderate depression for BD-D (p=0.031), but not for MDD (p=0.129).




Figure 2. Mean LnPLR values in relation to the clinical characteristics for healthy controls, patients with major depressive disorder, and bipolar depression. Bars indicate standard errors. Ln: natural logarithm, PLR: platelet to lymphocyte ratio, MDD: major depressive disorder, BD-D: bipolar disorder-depressive episode

LnPLR in moderate, severe (non-psychotic) and severe psychotic depression was 4.73 (SD=0.39), 4.89 (SD=0.37) and 4.91 (SD=0.45) in the MDD; and 4.68 (SD=0.39), 4.80 (SD=0.40) and 4.75 (SD=0.29) in the BD-D group respectively. In contrast with LnNLR, LnPLR significantly distinguished between moderate and severe depression in the MDD (p=0.020), but not in the BD-D (p=0.21).

4. DISCUSSION

In the present study, it was aimed to compare between the intensity of the accompanying inflammation in MDD and BD-D by using NLR and PLR as non-specific indices of the peripheral immune response; and to investigate whether and how these parameters correlate with the clinical features of depression

within and between diagnoses. Our findings showed that the two disorders presented with largely similar profiles in terms of NLR and PLR, with strikingly close mean values between the diagnoses. On the other hand, both indices presented with significantly increased values in depressed patients compared to HC, supporting the ever-growing evidence on the involvement of the inflammatory mechanisms in the pathophysiology of depression.

Although, previous research indicates that both disorders are associated with altered NLR and/or PLR [16], findings regarding the potential differences between the inflammatory profiles of MDD and BD-D are rather inconsistent. Hung et al., compared the levels of CRP, TNF-alpha, and IL-6 in a sample of patients with reactive depression, MDD, BD-D and healthy controls. Their findings revealed no statistically significant difference among the groups [22]. Similarly, Su et al., reported no significant difference in CRP, soluble TNF-R1, or IL-6 levels, among patients with reactive depression, MDD, bipolar depression, and healthy controls [23]. In their retrospective cross-sectional study, Wysokinski et al., compared CRP levels between inpatients diagnosed with schizophrenia, bipolar mania, BD-D and MD-D. Again, the authors failed to find any difference in CRP levels between the disorders [24].

On the other hand, Bai et al., compared inflammatory profiles between outpatients diagnosed with BD, MD-D and HC by means of a series of pro-inflammatory cytokines, and found that inflammatory dysregulation was more intense in BD [6]. Mao et al., attempted to explore the difference in pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory cytokines between MDD and BD-D by conducting a longitudinal study on a sample of outpatients with MDD (n=64), BD-D (n=61) and healthy controls (n=62). Their findings indicated that MDD and BD-D patients present with dissimilar inflammatory profiles, in terms of TNF- α and IL-13 levels in particular [25]. Finally, Brunoni et al., examined differences in immune profiles among MDD (n=245) and BD-D (n=59) patients who had depressive episode of moderate severity. The authors concluded that differences in immune profiles between BD-D and MDD patients do exist, with increased IL-10 being the primary immune-regulatory mechanism for MDD, and increased sTNFR2 and KLOTHO being the primary regulatory mechanisms for BD-D [9].

In partial contrast with these latter reports, our results pointed out that whatever the underlying immune mechanisms, the intensity of the resulting peripheral inflammation was not different between the two disorders, or at least undistinguishable by means of the NLR or PLR. On the other hand, the severity of the depression appeared to be associated with the magnitude of the increase in NLR and/or PLR in both disorders. That is, higher values were recorded for severe depression compared to moderate in both MDD and BD-D. Of note, this pattern was inconsistently reflected by the two parameters between the disorders, so that the difference was significant for NLR but not for PLR in BD-D group, whereas the opposite was true for MDD. However, the methodological framework of our study does not allow us to determine whether or not this observed relation was due to a true difference concerning diagnostic specificity

between the two markers. Another striking finding of our study was that the presence of psychotic features did not seem to have any additional impact on the increase in NLR or PLR among severely depressed patients, implying that the intensity of peripheral inflammation is more closely related to depression severity than accompanying psychotic symptomatology.

Limited literature provides contradicting evidence concerning the relationship between clinical features of the depression and NLR-PLR indices. In their study conducted on MDD patients, Kayhan et al., reported higher levels of PLR in participants who had severe depression with psychotic features, compared to those with other types of depression, although this difference was not reflected in NLR [18]. In contrast, Sunbul et al., found that higher HAM-D scores in MDD patients was associated with larger increases in NLR [19]. Similarly, CRP levels and NLR were reported to be significantly higher in MDD patients with a recent history of suicide attempt compared to those without [26].

Limitations and Strengths

The present findings should be interpreted cautiously, within the methodological limitations of our study. The retrospective screening precluded us from considering several confounding factors that might have played a role in the mechanism of interaction between depression and inflammatory processes in our sample. These missing data include the exact BMI values for each patient, smoking status, age of onset of the mood disorder, number of episodes, and family history. The potential effect of the ongoing drug treatments was also discarded due to the methodological complexity related to polypharmacy; besides, only a minority of patients were drug-free at the time of admittance.

We also believe that our study has some substantial strengths to underline. First, unlike most previous studies, a high level of diagnostic accuracy was suggested to be established among the participants. The main reason for this is that, the sample consisting solely of inpatients in our clinic, the clinical diagnoses were based not on cross-sectional assessments but longitudinal and elaborate psychiatric evaluation, supported by standardized questionnaires, medical examination and comprehensive laboratory work-up. Moreover, the final diagnoses were confirmed by a thorough retrospective examination of the patients' medical records. In doing so, the inherent difficulty to cross-sectionally differentiate between unipolar and bipolar depression was suggested to have been largely overcome. Finally, we believe that the exclusion of depressed patients with psychiatric comorbidities/differential diagnoses, as well as the identification of the main clinical features of the depressive episodes have provided the possibility to delineate relatively well distinguished sub-groups with increased homogeneity.

Conclusion

Taken together, our results suggest that the pathophysiology of both BD-D and MDD involves presumably complex inflammatory processes which result in an observable –albeit nonspecific – alteration in the distribution of peripheric blood

cells. The depressive episodes in both disorders present with significantly increased levels of NLR and PLR compared to HC, with greater values being additionally associated with severe depression, but not necessarily with the presence of psychotic features. Finally, the intensity of the accompanying inflammation appears to be comparable between the disorders, or at least, indistinguishable by means of the NLR and PLR. Given the substantial symptomatic overlap and high rate of misdiagnosis between the two disorders, researchers addressing the differential characteristics of inflammation between MDD and BD-D should not content themselves with the use of a wider range of specific bio-markers but also attempt to adopt more elaborate sampling methodologies, e.g. by enhancing diagnostic accuracy among participants through multi-dimensional clinical assessments, as well as addressing the clinical features of the depression with potential relevance (bipolar subtypes, cycling rates, family history, presence of melancholic, atypical or mixed features, etc.).

It is without a doubt that the study of inflammatory mechanisms will continue to enrich our understanding of the pathophysiology of depression. However, ranging from basic to the most sophisticated laboratory tests, biological markers are yet to be adopted as reliable diagnostic tools to differentiate between MDD and BD-D. As in the other fields of psychiatry, clinical expertise and elaborate psychiatric assessment remain the keystone of an accurate diagnosis.

Compliance with Ethical Standards

Ethical Approval: Approval for the study was obtained from the Ethics Committee of Marmara University, School of Medicine with the protocol number 09.2019.1089.

Financial Support: The authors have no relevant financial information to disclose.

Declaration of Competing Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

Author contributions: Both authors were actively involved in data collection, analysis, and the writing of the article.

REFERENCES

- [1] Leonpacher A, Liebers D, Pirooznia M, et al. Distinguishing bipolar from unipolar depression: the importance of clinical symptoms and illness features. *Psychol Med* 2015;45:2437-46. doi: 10.1017/S003.329.1715000446.
- [2] Bromet E, Andrade LH, Hwang I, et al. Cross-national epidemiology of DSM-IV major depressive episode. *BMC Med* 2011;9:90. doi: 10.1186/1741-7015-9-90.
- [3] Merikangas KR, Jin R, He J-P, et al. Prevalence and correlates of bipolar spectrum disorder in the world mental health survey initiative. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2011;68:241-51. doi: 10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2011.12.
- [4] Gibney SM, Drexhage HA. Evidence for a dysregulated immune system in the etiology of psychiatric disorders. *J Neuroimmune Pharmacol* 2013;8:900-20. doi: 10.1007/s11481.013.9462-8.
- [5] Vogelzangs N, Duivis HE, Beekman AT, et al. Association of depressive disorders, depression characteristics and antidepressant medication with inflammation. *Transl Psychiatry* 2012;2:e79-e79. doi: 10.1038/tp.2012.8.
- [6] Bai YM, Su TP, Li CT, et al. Comparison of pro-inflammatory cytokines among patients with bipolar disorder and unipolar depression and normal controls. *Bipolar Disorders* 2015;17:269-77.
- [7] Köhler C, Freitas T, Maes Md, et al. Peripheral cytokine and chemokine alterations in depression: a meta-analysis of 82 studies. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 2017;135:373-87. doi: 10.1111/acps.12698.
- [8] Maes M, Carvalho AF. The compensatory immune-regulatory reflex system (CIRS) in depression and bipolar disorder. *Mol Neurobiol* 2018;55:8885-8903 doi: 10.1007/s12035.018.1016-x.
- [9] Brunoni AR, Supasitthumrong T, Teixeira AL, et al. Differences in the immune-inflammatory profiles of unipolar and bipolar depression. *J Affect Disord* 2020;262:8-15. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2019.10.037.
- [10] Wang Q, Yu C, Shi S, et al. An analysis of plasma reveals proteins in the acute phase response pathway to be candidate diagnostic biomarkers for depression. *Psychiatry Res* 2019;272:404-10. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2018.11.069.
- [11] Maes M, Bonifacio KL, Morelli NR, et al. Major differences in neurooxidative and neuronitrosative stress pathways between major depressive disorder and types I and II bipolar disorder. *Mol Neurobiol* 2019;56:141-56. doi: 10.1007/s12035.018.1051-7.
- [12] Al-Hakeim HK, Al-Rammahi DA, Al-Dujaili AH. IL-6, IL-18, sIL-2R, and TNF α proinflammatory markers in depression and schizophrenia patients who are free of overt inflammation. *J Affect Disord* 2015;182:106-14. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2015.04.044.
- [13] Kuyumcu ME, Yesil Y, Oztürk ZA, et al. The evaluation of neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio in Alzheimer's disease. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord* 2012;34:69-74. doi: 10.1159/000341583.
- [14] Semiz M, Yildirim O, Canan F, et al. Elevated neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio in patients with schizophrenia. *Psychiatr Danub* 2014;26:220-5.
- [15] Kulaksizoglu B, Kulaksizoglu S. Relationship between neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio with oxidative stress and psychopathology in patients with schizophrenia. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat* 2016;12:1999. doi: 10.2147/NDT.S110484.
- [16] Demir S, Atli A, Bulut M, et al. Neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio in patients with major depressive disorder undergoing no pharmacological therapy. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat* 2015;11:2253. doi: 10.2147/NDT.S89470.
- [17] Giynas Ayhan M, Cicek IE, Inanli I, et al. Neutrophil/lymphocyte and platelet/lymphocyte ratios in all mood states of bipolar disorder. *Psychiatr Clin Psychopharmacol* 2017;27:278-82. doi: 10.1080/24750.573.2017.1338822.
- [18] Kayhan F, Gündüz Ş, Ersoy SA, et al. Relationships of neutrophil-lymphocyte and platelet-lymphocyte ratios with

- the severity of major depression. *Psychiatry Res* 2017;247:332-5. doi: doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2016.11.016.
- [19] Sunbul EA, Sunbul M, Yanartas O, et al. Increased neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio in patients with depression is correlated with the severity of depression and cardiovascular risk factors. *Psychiatry Investig* 2016;13:121. doi: 10.4306/pi.2016.13.1.121.
- [20] Chang HH, Chen PS. C-reactive protein as a differential biomarker of bipolar versus unipolar depression: Response. *World J Biol Psychiatry* 2017;18:73-4. doi: 10.1080/15622.975.2016.1208845.
- [21] Mota R, Gazal M, Acosta BA, et al. Interleukin-1 β is associated with depressive episode in major depression but not in bipolar disorder. *J Psychiatr Res* 2013;47:2011-4. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2013.08.020.
- [22] Hung YJ, Hsieh CH, Chen YJ, et al. Insulin sensitivity, proinflammatory markers and adiponectin in young males with different subtypes of depressive disorder. *Clin Endocrinol* 2007;67:784-9. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2265.2007.02963.x.
- [23] Su SC, Sun MT, Wen MJ, et al. Brain-derived neurotrophic factor, adiponectin, and proinflammatory markers in various subtypes of depression in young men. *Int J Psychiatry Med* 2011;42:211-26. doi: 10.2190/PM.42.3.a.
- [24] Wysokiński A, Margulska A, Strzelecki D, et al. Levels of C-reactive protein (CRP) in patients with schizophrenia, unipolar depression and bipolar disorder. *Nord J Psychiatry* 2015;69:346-53. doi: 10.3109/08039.488.2014.984755.
- [25] Mao R, Zhang C, Chen J, et al. Different levels of pro-and anti-inflammatory cytokines in patients with unipolar and bipolar depression. *J Affect Disord* 2018;237:65-72. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2018.04.115.
- [26] Ekinci O, Ekinci A. The connections among suicidal behavior, lipid profile and low-grade inflammation in patients with major depressive disorder: a specific relationship with the neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio. *Nord J Psychiatry* 2017;71:574-80. doi: 10.1080/08039.488.2017.1363285.