



An Upper Estimate of Complex q -Balázs-Szabados-Kantorovich Operators on Compact Disks

Esma YILDIZ ÖZKAN^{1, *}

¹Gazi University, Faculty of Science, Department of Mathematics, 06500, Ankara, Turkey

Received: 22/02/2016 Accepted: 17/04/2016

ABSTRACT

In this paper, the complex q -Balázs-Szabados-Kantorovich operators are defined, and a convergence result and an upper quantitative estimate of these operators are given.

Key Words: convergence, order of convergence, q -Balázs-Szabados operators

1. INTRODUCTION

The applications of q -calculus in the approximation theory have become one of the main area of research. Firstly, we recall some basic definitions used in q -calculus. Details can be found in [1, 10, 2]. For any non-negative integer r , the q -integer of the number r is defined by

$$[r]_q = \begin{cases} \frac{1-q^r}{1-q} & \text{if } q \neq 1 \\ r & \text{if } q = 1, \end{cases}$$

where q is a fixed positive real number. The q -factorial is defined by

$$[r]_q! = \begin{cases} [1]_q [2]_q \dots [r]_q & \text{if } r = 1, 2, \dots \\ 1 & \text{if } r = 0, \end{cases}$$

For integers n, r with $0 \leq r \leq n$, the q -binomial coefficients are defined by

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ r \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{[n]_q!}{[r]_q! [n-r]_q!}.$$

*Corresponding author, e-mail: esmayildiz@gazi.edu.tr

The q -derivative operator is defined by

$$D_q[f(z)] = \begin{cases} \frac{f(z) - f(qz)}{(1-q)z} & \text{if } z \neq 0 \\ f'(0) & \text{if } z = 0. \end{cases}$$

It is not very difficult to see that $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} D_q[f(z)] = f'(z)$ if the function f is differentiable at z . Suppose that $0 < a < b$. Further we have

$$D_q[f(z)g(z)] = f(qz)D_q[g(z)] + g(z)D_q[f(z)],$$

$$D_q[f(z)g(z)] = f(z)D_q[g(z)] + g(qz)D_q[f(z)],$$

which is often referred to as the q -product rule. The definite q -integral is defined by

$$\int_0^b f(t) d_q t = (1-q)b \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} f(q^j b) q^j$$

and

$$\int_a^b f(t) d_q t = \int_0^b f(t) d_q t - \int_0^a f(t) d_q t,$$

for $0 < q < 1$.

Bernstein type rational functions are defined by K. Balázs [3]. K. Balázs and J. Szabados modified and studied the approximation properties of these operators [4]. The q -analogue of Balázs -Szabados operators is defined by O. Dogru [6].

The rational complex Balázs-Szabados operators were defined by Gal in [8]. He studied the approximation properties of these operators on compact disks. In [9], the complex q - Balázs -Szabados operators were defined as follows

$$R_n(f; q, z) = \frac{1}{\prod_{s=0}^{n-1} (1+q^s a_n z)} \sum_{j=0}^n q^{j(j-1)/2} f\left(\frac{[j]_q}{b_n}\right) \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q (a_n z)^j \quad (1.1)$$

and the approximation properties of these operators were studied on compact disks. In [13] and in [14], complex bivariate Balázs-Szabados operators and q - Balázs-Szabados operators of tensor product kind were studied on compact polydisks, respectively.

2. CONSTRUCT OF THE OPERATORS AND AUXILIARY RESULTS

In this part, we define the reel and complex q - Balázs-Szabados-Kantorovich operators, and we give some results for these operators.

Definition 1. We define the reel q - Balázs-Szabados-Kantorovich operators as follows

$$\tilde{R}_n(f; q, x) = \frac{b_n}{\prod_{s=0}^{n-1} (1+q^s a_n x)} \sum_{j=0}^n q^{-j} q^{\frac{j(j-1)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q (a_n x)^j \int_{\frac{[j]_q}{b_n}}^{\frac{[j+1]_q}{b_n}} f(t) d_q t,$$

where $f: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function, $x \in [0, \infty)$, $a_n = [n]_q^{\beta-1}$, $b_n = [n]_q^\beta$ for $q \in (0, 1]$, $0 < \beta \leq \frac{2}{3}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

The operators \tilde{R}_n are linear and positive.

Lemma 1. The following equalities hold for the operators \tilde{R}_n

$$\tilde{R}_n(1; q, x) = 1, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\tilde{R}_n(t; q, x) = \frac{x}{1+a_n x} + \frac{1}{[2]_q b_n}, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\tilde{R}_n(t^2; q, x) = \frac{\left(1 - \frac{a_n}{b_n}\right) q x^2}{(1+a_n x)(1+a_n q x)} + \frac{(q+[2]_q+[3]_q)x}{[3]_q b_n (1+a_n x)}. \quad (2.3)$$

Proof. Using the results of following integrals

$$\int_{\frac{[j]_q}{b_n}}^{\frac{[j+1]_q}{b_n}} d_q t = \frac{q^j}{b_n},$$

$$\int_{\frac{[j]_q}{b_n}}^{\frac{[j+1]_q}{b_n}} t d_q t = \frac{q^j}{[2]_q b_n^2} (1 + [2]_q [j]_q),$$

$$\int_{\frac{[j]_q}{b_n}}^{\frac{[j+1]_q}{b_n}} t^2 d_q t = \frac{q^j}{[3]_q b_n^3} \{1 + (q + [2]_q) [j]_q + [3]_q [j]_q^2\},$$

after simple calculation, the desired equalities obtained.

Let $q = (q_n)$ be a sequence satisfying the following conditions:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n = 1 \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n^n = c \text{ for } 0 \leq c < 1. \tag{2.4}$$

Lemma 2. Let q_n be a sequence satisfying the conditions 2.4 with $q_n \in (0, 1]$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $f: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function, then the sequence of the operators $(\tilde{R}_n(f; q_n, x))_{n \geq n_0}$ converges f on $[0, r]$ uniformly for $n_0 \geq 2$ and $\frac{1}{2} < r < \frac{[n_0]^{1-\beta}}{2}$.

Proof. Using 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3, the lemma can be simply proved from Korovkin theorem (see [12]).

Definition 2. We define the complex q -Balázs-Szabados-Kantorovich operators as follows

$$\tilde{R}_n(f; q, z) = \frac{b_n}{\prod_{s=0}^{n-1} (1 + q^s a_n z)} \sum_{j=0}^n q^{-j} q^{j(j-1)/2} [n]_q (a_n z)^j \int_{\frac{[j]_q}{b_n}}^{\frac{[j+1]_q}{b_n}} f(t) d_q t,$$

where $f: D_R \cup [R, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is uniformly continuous and bounded on $[0, \infty)$, $D_R = \{z \in \mathbb{C}: |z| < R\}$ with $R > 0$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $z \neq -\frac{1}{q^s a_n}$ for $s = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$, $a_n = [n]_q^{\beta-1}$, $b_n = [n]_q^\beta$ for $q \in (0, 1]$, $0 < \beta \leq \frac{2}{3}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

The complex q -Balázs-Szabados-Kantorovich operators $\tilde{R}_n(f; q, z)$ are well defined, linear, and these operators are analytic for all $n \geq n_0$ and $|z| \leq r < [n_0]_q^{1-\beta}$.

Let us denote with $\|f\|_r = \max\{|f(z)| \in \mathbb{R}: z \in \bar{D}_r\}$ the norm of f in the space of continuous functions on \bar{D}_r and with $\|f\|_{B[0, \infty)} = \sup\{|f(x)| \in \mathbb{R}: x \in [0, \infty)\}$ the norm of f in the space of bounded functions on $[0, \infty)$.

Also, the many results in this study are obtained under the condition that $f: D_R \cup [R, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is analytic in D_R for $r < R$, which assures the representation $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty c_k z^k$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

Lemma 3. Let be $n_0 \geq 2$, $0 < \beta \leq \frac{2}{3}$. If f is uniformly continuous on $D_R \cup [R, \infty)$, bounded on $[0, \infty)$ and analytic in D_R , then $\tilde{R}_n(f; q, z) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty c_k \tilde{R}_n(e_k; q, z)$ for all $z \in \bar{D}_r$, where $e_k(z) = z^k$.

Proof. For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we define

$$f_m(z) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty c_k e_k(z) \text{ if } |z| \leq r \text{ and } f_m(z) = f(z) \text{ if } z \in (r, \infty).$$

From hypothesis on f , it is clear that each f_m is bounded on $[0, \infty)$, that is, there exist $M_{f_m} > 0$ with $|f_m(z)| \leq M_{f_m}$, which implies that

$$|\tilde{R}_n(f_m; q, z)| \leq \frac{b_n}{\prod_{s=0}^{n-1} (1 - q^s a_n |z|)} \sum_{j=0}^n q^{-j} q^{\frac{(j-1)j}{2}} [n]_q (a_n |z|)^j \left| \int_{\frac{[j]_q}{b_n}}^{\frac{[j+1]_q}{b_n}} f_m(t) d_q t \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{b_n}{\prod_{s=0}^{n-1}(1-q^s a_n r)} \sum_{j=0}^n q^{-j} q^{\frac{(j-1)j}{2}} [j]_q (a_n r)^j \\ &\quad \times (1-q) \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^m q^k \left| \frac{[j+1]_q}{b_n} f_m \left(\frac{[j+1]_q}{b_n} \right) - \frac{[j]_q}{b_n} f_m \left(\frac{[j]_q}{b_n} \right) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{M_{f_m}}{\prod_{s=0}^{n-1}(1-q^s a_n r)} \sum_{j=0}^n q^{-j} q^{\frac{(j-1)j}{2}} [j]_q (a_n r)^j ([j]_q + [j+1]_q) \\ &= M_{f_m} \tilde{M}_{r,n,q} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

for all $|z| \leq r$. That is all $\tilde{R}_n(f_m; q, z)$ with $n \geq n_0$, $r < \frac{[n_0]_q^{1-\beta}}{2}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ are well defined for all $z \in \bar{D}_r$. Defining

$$f_{m,k}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k e_k(z) \text{ if } |z| \leq r \text{ and } f_{m,k}(z) = \frac{f(z)}{m+1} \text{ if } z \in (r, \infty),$$

it is clear that each $f_{m,k}$ is bounded on $[0, \infty)$ and that $f_m(z) = \sum_{k=0}^m f_{m,k}(z)$. From the linearity of \tilde{R}_n , we have

$$\tilde{R}_n(f_m; q, z) = \sum_{k=0}^m c_k \tilde{R}_n(e_k; q, z) \text{ for all } |z| \leq r.$$

It suffices to prove that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{R}_n(f_m; q, z) = \tilde{R}_n(f; q, z)$. For any fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq n_0$ and $|z| \leq r$. We have the following inequality for all $|z| \leq r$

$$|\tilde{R}_n(f_m; q, z) - \tilde{R}_n(f; q, z)| \leq \|f_m - f\|_r \tilde{M}_{r,n,q}, \tag{2.5}$$

$$\text{where } \tilde{M}_{r,n,q} = \frac{1}{\prod_{s=0}^{n-1}(1-q^s a_n r)} \sum_{j=0}^n q^{-j} q^{\frac{(j-1)j}{2}} [j]_q (a_n r)^j ([j]_q + [j+1]_q) < \infty.$$

Using 2.5, $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|f_m - f\|_r = 0$ and the $\|f_m - f\|_{B[0,\infty)} \leq \|f_m - f\|_r$, the proof of the lemma is completed.

Lemma 4. We have the following recurrence formula for the complex q -Balázs-Szabados-Kantorovich operators

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}_n(e_{k+1}; q, z) &= \frac{[k+1]_q (1 + q^n a_n z) qz}{[k+2]_q b_n (1 + a_n z)} D_q [\tilde{R}_n(e_k; q, z)] \\ &\quad + \frac{[k+1]_q}{[k+2]_q} \left\{ \frac{qz}{1+a_n z} + \frac{q}{b_n} \right\} \tilde{R}_n(e_k; q, z) + \frac{1}{[k+2]_q} R_n(e_{k+1}; q, z) \end{aligned}$$

where R_n is q -Balázs-Szabados operators given in 1.1, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Proof. Firstly, we calculate $D_q [\tilde{R}_n(e_k; q, z)]$

$$\begin{aligned} D_q [\tilde{R}_n(e_k; q, z)] &= D_q \left[\frac{1}{\prod_{s=0}^{n-1}(1 + q^s a_n z)} b_n \sum_{j=0}^n q^{-j} q^{j(j-1)/2} [j]_q (a_n z)^j \int_{\frac{[j]_q}{b_n}}^{\frac{[j+1]_q}{b_n}} t^k d_q t \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{b_n}{\prod_{s=0}^{n-1}(1+q^{s+1} a_n z)} \sum_{j=0}^n q^{-j} q^{j(j-1)/2} [j]_q (a_n)^j z^{j-1} [j]_q \int_{\frac{[j]_q}{b_n}}^{\frac{[j+1]_q}{b_n}} t^k d_q t \right] \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

From the fundamental theorem of calculus, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} [j]_q \int_{\frac{[j]_q}{b_n}}^{\frac{[j+1]_q}{b_n}} t^k d_q t &= \frac{[j]_q ([j+1]_q^{k+1} - [j]_q^{k+1})}{b_n^{k+1} [k+1]_q} \\ &= \frac{[j]_q [j+1]_q^{k+1} - [j]_q^{k+2}}{b_n^{k+1} [k+1]_q} \\ &= \frac{\frac{[j+1]_q - 1}{q} [j+1]_q^{k+1} - [j]_q^{k+2}}{b_n^{k+1} [k+1]_q} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{\frac{[j+1]_q^{k+2}}{q} - \frac{[j+1]_q^{k+1}}{q} - [j]_q^{k+2}}{b_n^{k+1}[k+1]_q} \\
 &= \frac{\frac{[j+1]_q^{k+2}}{q} - \frac{[j]_q^{k+2}}{q} - \frac{[j+1]_q^{k+1}}{q} + \frac{[j]_q^{k+1}}{q} + \frac{[j]_q^{k+2}}{q} - [j]_q^{k+2} - \frac{[j]_q^{k+1}}{q}}{b_n^{k+1}[k+1]_q} \\
 &= \frac{[k+2]_q}{[k+1]_q} \frac{b_n}{q} \frac{[j+1]_q^{k+2} - [j]_q^{k+2}}{b_n^{k+2}[k+2]_q} - \frac{1}{q} \frac{[j+1]_q^{k+1} - [j]_q^{k+1}}{b_n^{k+1}[k+1]_q} - \frac{\left(\frac{1}{q}-1\right)[j]_q^{k+2} - \frac{1}{q}[j]_q^{k+1}}{b_n^{k+1}[k+1]_q} \\
 &= \frac{[k+2]_q}{[k+1]_q} \frac{b_n}{q} \frac{[j+1]_q^{k+2} - [j]_q^{k+2}}{b_n^{k+2}[k+2]_q} - \frac{1}{q} \frac{[j+1]_q^{k+1} - [j]_q^{k+1}}{b_n^{k+1}[k+1]_q} - \frac{q^j [j]_q^{k+1}}{q b_n^{k+1}[k+1]_q} \\
 &= \frac{[k+2]_q}{[k+1]_q} \frac{b_n}{q} \int_{\frac{[j]_q}{b_n}}^{\frac{[j+1]_q}{b_n}} t^{k+1} d_q t - \frac{1}{q} \int_{\frac{[j]_q}{b_n}}^{\frac{[j+1]_q}{b_n}} t^k d_q t - \frac{q^j [j]_q^{k+1}}{q b_n^{k+1}[k+1]_q} \tag{2.7}
 \end{aligned}$$

Applying 2.7 in 2.6, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_q[\tilde{R}_n(e_k; q, z)] &= -\frac{b_n}{1 + q^n a_n z} \tilde{R}_n(e_k; q, z) + \frac{[k+2]_q}{[k+1]_q} \frac{b_n(1 + a_n z)}{qz(1 + q^n a_n z)} \tilde{R}_n(e_{k+1}; q, z) \\
 &\quad - \frac{1 + a_n z}{qz(1 + q^n a_n z)} \tilde{R}_n(e_k; q, z) - \frac{1}{[k+1]_q} \frac{b_n(1 + a_n z)}{qz(1 + q^n a_n z)} R_n(e_{k+1}; q, z) \tag{2.8}
 \end{aligned}$$

Arranging 2.8, the desired recurrence formula is obtained.

Lemma 5 ([9]). Let $n_0 \geq 2$, $0 < \beta \leq \frac{2}{3}$ and $\frac{1}{2} < r < R \leq [n_0]_q^{1-\beta}$. For all $n \geq n_0$, $|z| \leq r$ and $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, we have

$$|R_n(e_k; q, z)| \leq k! (20r)^k.$$

Considering Corollary 1.10.4 in [5] (or Corollary 1 in [9]) and by the mean value theorem [7] in complex analysis, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 1. Let $f(z) = \frac{p_k(z)}{\prod_{j=1}^k (z-a_j)}$ where $p_k(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq k$, and we suppose that $|a_j| \geq R > 1$ for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$. If $1 \leq r < R$, then for all $|z| \leq r$ we have

$$|D_q[f(z)]| \leq \frac{R+r}{R-r} \frac{k}{r} \|f\|_r.$$

Lemma 6. Let $n_0 \geq 2$, $0 < \beta \leq \frac{2}{3}$ and $\frac{1}{2} < r < R \leq [n_0]_q^{1-\beta}$. For all $n \geq n_0$, $|z| \leq r$ and $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, we have $|\tilde{R}_n(e_k; q, z)| \leq k! (20r)^k$.

Proof. Taking the absolute value of the recurrence formula in Lemma 4 and using the triangle inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\tilde{R}_n(e_{k+1}; q, z)| &\leq \frac{[k+1]_q}{[k+2]_q} \frac{(1 + q^n a_n r) q r}{b_n(1 - a_n r)} |D_q[\tilde{R}_n(e_k; q, z)]| \\
 &\quad + \frac{[k+1]_q}{[k+2]_q} \left(\frac{q r}{1 - a_n r} + \frac{q}{b_n} \right) |\tilde{R}_n(e_k; q, z)| + \frac{1}{[k+2]_q} |R_n(e_{k+1}; q, z)|
 \end{aligned}$$

From the hypothesis of the lemma, we have $1 < 2r, \frac{1}{1 - a_n r} < 2, 1 + q^n a_n r < \frac{3}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{b_n} < 1$, which implies

$$|\tilde{R}_n(e_{k+1}; q, z)| \leq 3r |D_q[\tilde{R}_n(e_k; q, z)]| + 4r |\tilde{R}_n(e_k; q, z)| + |R_n(e_{k+1}; q, z)| \tag{2.9}$$

Considering Corollary 1, under the condition $r < [n_0]_q^{1-\beta}$, it holds $\frac{[n_0]_q^{1-\beta} + r}{[n_0]_q^{1-\beta} - r} < 3$, which implies

$$|D_q[\tilde{R}_n(e_k; q, z)]| \leq \frac{3k}{r} \|\tilde{R}_n(e_k; q, \cdot)\|_r. \tag{2.10}$$

Applying 2.10 and Lemma 5 in 2.9, we get

$$|\tilde{R}_n(e_{k+1}; q, z)| \leq 20r(k+1) \|\tilde{R}_n(e_k; q, \cdot)\|_{r+(k+1)!} (20r)^{k+1}.$$

Taking step by step $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, we obtain

$$|\tilde{R}_n(e_k; q, z)| \leq k! (20r)^k,$$

which complete the proof.

3. CONVERGENCE RESULTS AND UPPER ESTIMATE

Now, we give the following convergence theorem and upper estimate for the complex q -Balázs-Szabados-Kantorovich operators.

Theorem 1. Let (q_n) be a sequence satisfying the conditions 2.4 with $q_n \in (0, 1]$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $n_0 \geq 2$, $0 < \beta \leq \frac{2}{3}$ and $\frac{1}{2} < r < R \leq [n_0]_{q_n}^{1-\beta}$. If $f: D_R \cup [R, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is uniformly continuous, bounded on $[0, \infty)$ and analytic in D_R and there exist $M > 0$, $0 < A < \frac{1}{20r}$ with $|c_k| \leq M \frac{A^k}{k!}$ (which implies $|f(z)| \leq M e^{A|z|}$ for all $z \in D_R$), then the sequence $(\tilde{R}_n(e_k; q_n, \cdot))_{n \geq n_0}$ is uniformly convergent to f in D_R .

Proof. Using Lemma 3 and Lemma 6, we have for all $n \geq n_0$ and $|z| \leq r$

$$|\tilde{R}_n(f; q_n, z)| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |c_k| |\tilde{R}_n(e_k; q_n, z)| \leq M \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k(20rA)^k,$$

where the series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k(20rA)^k$ is convergent for $0 < A < \frac{1}{20r}$. From Lemma 2, since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{R}_n(f; q_n, x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in [0, r]$, by Vitali theorem (see [11], Theorem 3.2.10, p. 112), it follows that $(\tilde{R}_n(f; q_n, \cdot))$ converges uniformly to f in \bar{D}_r for $n \geq n_0$.

Theorem 2. Let (q_n) be a sequence satisfying the conditions 2.4 with $q_n \in (0, 1]$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $n_0 \geq 2$, $0 < \beta \leq \frac{2}{3}$ and $\frac{1}{2} < r < R \leq [n_0]_{q_n}^{1-\beta}$. If $f: D_R \cup [R, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is uniformly continuous, bounded on $[0, \infty)$ and analytic in D_R and there exist $M > 0$, $0 < A < \frac{1}{20r}$ with $|c_k| \leq M \frac{A^k}{k!}$ (which implies $|f(z)| \leq M e^{A|z|}$ for all $z \in D_R$), then we have

$$|\tilde{R}_n(f; q_n, z) - f(z)| \leq C_r(f) \left(a_n + \frac{1}{b_n} \right).$$

where $C_r(f) = C \frac{M}{A} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k-1)k(20rA)^{k+1}$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k-1)k(20rA)^{k+1} < \infty$.

Proof. Using the recurrence formula in Lemma 4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}_n(e_{k+1}; q, z) - z^{k+1} &= \frac{[k+1]_q (1 + q^n a_n z) qz}{[k+2]_q b_n (1 + a_n z)} D_q[\tilde{R}_n(e_k; q, z)] \\ &\quad + \frac{[k+1]_q qz}{[k+2]_q (1 + a_n z)} [\tilde{R}_n(e_k; q, z) - z^k] + \frac{q[k+1]_q}{b_n [k+2]_q} \tilde{R}_n(e_k; q, z) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{[k+2]_q} [R_n(e_{k+1}; q, z) - z^{k+1}] + S_{k,n,q}(z), \end{aligned}$$

where $S_{k,n,q}(z) := \left(\frac{1}{[k+2]_q} - 1 \right) \frac{a_n}{1 + a_n z} z^{k+2}$. Taking absolute value for $|z| \leq r$, we obtain

$$|\tilde{R}_n(e_{k+1}; q, z) - z^{k+1}| \leq \frac{(1 + q^n a_n r)qr}{b_n(1 - a_n r)} |D_q[\tilde{R}_n(e_k; q, z)]| + \frac{qr}{1 - a_n r} |\tilde{R}_n(e_k; q, z) - z^k| + \frac{q}{b_n} |\tilde{R}_n(e_k; q, z)| + |R_n(e_{k+1}; q, z) - z^{k+1}| + \frac{2a_n}{1 - a_n r} r^{k+2}.$$

From the hypothesis of the theorem, we have $a_n r < \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{1 - a_n r} < 2$ and $1 + q^n a_n r < \frac{3}{2}$, using 2.10, we can write

$$|R_n(e_{k+1}; q, z) - z^{k+1}| \leq \frac{9(k + 1)}{b_n} \|\tilde{R}_n(e_k; q, \cdot)\|_r + 2r |\tilde{R}_n(e_k; q, z) - z^k| + |R_n(e_{k+1}; q, z) - z^{k+1}| + 4a_n r^{k+2}$$

Applying the following inequality given in [9] with (11)

$$|R_n(e_{k+1}; q, z) - z^{k+1}| \leq \frac{9}{b_n} k(k + 1)! (20r)^k + 2a_n r^2 (k + 1)(2r)^k,$$

and Lemma 6 in 3.1, we get

$$|\tilde{R}_n(e_{k+1}; q, z) - z^{k+1}| \leq C \left(a_n + \frac{1}{b_n} \right) k(k + 1)! (20r)^{k+2} + 2r |\tilde{R}_n(e_k; q, z) - z^k|.$$

Taking step by step $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, we arrive

$$|\tilde{R}_n(e_k; q, z) - z^k| \leq C \left(a_n + \frac{1}{b_n} \right) (k - 1)k! (20r)^{k+1}.$$

Choosing $q = (q_n)$ and $C_r(f) = C \frac{M}{A} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k - 1)k(20rA)^{k+1}$, we obtain

$$|\tilde{R}_n(f; q_n, z) - f(z)| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |c_k| |\tilde{R}_n(e_k; q_n, z) - z^k| \leq \left(a_n + \frac{1}{b_n} \right) C \frac{M}{A} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k - 1)k(20rA)^{k+1},$$

which is the desired result.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

No conflict of interest was declared by the authors.

REFERENCES

[1] Andrews, G.E., Askey, R., Roy, R., Special Functions, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1999).
 [2] Aral, A, Gupta, V and Agarwal, R.P., Applications of q Calculus in Operator Theory, Springer, Berlin, (2013).
 [3] Balázs, K., "Approximation by Bernstein type rational function", Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung., 26: 123-134, (1975).
 [4] Balázs, K., Szabados, J., "Approximation by Bernstein type rational function II", Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung., 40(3-4): 331-337, (1982).
 [5] Borwein, P., Erdélyi, T., "Sharp extensions of Bernstein's inequality to rational spaces", Mathematika, 43(2): 412-423, (1996).
 [6] Dogru, O., "On statistical approximation properties of Stancu type bivariate generalization of q-Balázs –Szabados operators", In: Proceedings Int. Conf. on Numerical Analysis and Approximation Theory, Casa Cartii de Stiinta Cluj-Napoca, 179-194, (2006).
 [7] Edward, J.C., Jafari, F., "A complex Rolle's theorem", Am. Math. Mon., 99(9): 858-861, (1992).

- [8] Gal, S.G., Approximation by Complex Bernstein and Convolution Type Operators, World Scientific, Hackensack, (2009).
- [9] Ispir, N., Yildiz Ozkan, E., "Approximation properties of complex q - Balázs-Szabados operators in compact disks", J. Inequal. Appl., 2013(361), (2013).
- [10] Kac, V., Cheung, P., Quantum Calculus, Springer, New York, (2002).
- [11] Kohr, G., Mocanu, P.T., Special Chapters of Complex Analysis, Cluj University Press, Cluj-Napoca, (2005).
- [12] Korovkin, P.P., Linear operators and the theory of approximation, India, Delhi: Hindustan Publishing Corp., (1960).
- [13] Yildiz Ozkan, E., "Approximation properties of bivariate complex q - Balázs-Szabados operators of tensor product kind", J. Inequal. Appl., 2014:20, (2014).
- [14] Yildiz Ozkan, E., "Approximation by complex bivariate Balázs -Szabados operators", Bull. Malays. Math. Soc., 39: 1-16, (2016).