



Approximation of Fourier Series of a Function of Class $W(L^p, \xi(t))$ by Product Means

M. Misra¹, B. Majhi², B. Padhy³, P. Samanta⁴ and U. K. Misra⁵

¹Department of Mathematics, Binayak Acharya College, Berhampur-760006, Odisha, India, E-mail: mahendramisra2007@gmail.com

²Department of Mathematics, GIET, Gunupur, Rayagada, Odisha, India, E-mail: bhairabamajhi@gmail.com

³Department of Mathematics, Roland Institute of Technology Golanthara-761008, Odisha, India, E-mail: iraady@gmail.com

⁴Department of Mathematics, Berhampur University, Berhampur-760007, Odisha, India, E-mail: dr.pns.math@gmail.com

⁵Department of Mathematics, National Institute of Science and Technology, Pallur Hills, Golanthara-761008, Odisha, India
 E-mail: umakanta_misra@yahoo.com

Abstract: Lipchitz class of function had been introduced by McFadden[7]. Recently dealing with degree of approximation of Fourier series of a function of Lipchitz class Nigam[4] and Misra et al [2],[3] have established certain theorems. Extending their results in this paper, a theorem on degree of approximation of a function $f \in W(L^p, \xi(t))$ by product summability $(E, q)(\overline{N}, p_n)$ of Fourier series associated with f , has been established.

Keywords: Degree of Approximation, $W(L^p, \xi(t))$ class of function, $(E, q)(\overline{N}, p_n)$ product mean, Fourier series.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let $\sum a_n$ be a given infinite series with the sequence of partial sums $\{s_n\}$. Let $\{p_n\}$ be a sequence of positive real numbers such that

$$P_n = \sum_{\nu=0}^n p_\nu \rightarrow \infty, \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (P_{-i} = p_{-i} = 0, \quad i \geq 0). \quad (1)$$

The sequence –to–sequence transformation

$$t_n = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{\nu=0}^n p_\nu s_\nu, \quad (2)$$

defines the sequence $\{t_n\}$ of the (\overline{N}, p_n) -mean of the sequence $\{s_n\}$ generated by the sequence of coefficient $\{p_n\}$. If

$$t_n \rightarrow s, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (3)$$

then the series $\sum a_n$ is said to be (\overline{N}, p_n) summable to s .

The conditions for regularity of (\overline{N}, p_n) -summability are easily seen to be

$$\begin{cases} (i) P_n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \\ (ii) \sum_{i=0}^n p_i \leq C|P_n|, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

The sequence –to–sequence transformation [1]

$$T_n = \frac{1}{(1+q)^n} \sum_{\nu=0}^n \binom{n}{\nu} q^{n-\nu} s_\nu, \quad (5)$$

defines the sequence $\{T_n\}$ of the (E, q) mean of the sequence $\{s_n\}$.

If

$$T_n \rightarrow s, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (6)$$

then the series $\sum a_n$ is said to be (E, q) summable to s .

Clearly (E, q) method is regular. Further, the (E, q) transform of the (\overline{N}, p_n) transform of $\{s_n\}$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_n &= \frac{1}{(1+q)^n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} q^{n-k} t_k \\ &= \frac{1}{(1+q)^n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} q^{n-k} \left\{ \frac{1}{P_k} \sum_{\nu=0}^k p_\nu s_\nu \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

If

$$\tau_n \rightarrow s, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (8)$$

then $\sum a_n$ is said to be $(E, q)(\overline{N}, p_n)$ -summable to s .

Let $f(t)$ be a periodic function with period 2π and integrable in the sense of Lebesgue over $(-\pi, \pi)$. Then the Fourier series associated with f at any point x is defined by

$$f(x) \sim \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n(x). \quad (9)$$

Let $s_n(f; x)$ be the n -th partial sum of (1.9). The L_∞ -norm of a function $f : R \rightarrow R$ is defined by

$$\|f\|_\infty = \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in R\} \quad (10)$$

and the L_ν -norm is defined by

$$\|f\|_\nu = \left(\int_0^{2\pi} |f(x)|^\nu \right)^{\frac{1}{\nu}}, \quad \nu \geq 1. \quad (11)$$

The degree of approximation of a function $f : R \rightarrow R$ by a trigonometric polynomial $P_n(x)$ of degree n under norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is defined by [5]

$$\|P_n - f\|_\infty = \sup\{|p_n(x) - f(x)| : x \in R\} \quad (12)$$

and the degree of approximation $E_n(f)$ of a function $f \in L_\nu$ is given by

$$E_n(f) = \min_{P_n} \|P_n - f\|_\nu. \quad (13)$$

This method of approximation is called Trigonometric Fourier approximation[6].

A function $f(x) \in Lip \alpha$, if

$$|f(x+t) - f(x)| = O(|t|^\alpha), \quad 0 < \alpha \leq 1, t > 0 \quad (14)$$

and $f(x) \in Lip(\alpha, r)$, for $0 \leq x \leq 2\pi$, if

$$\left(\int_0^{2\pi} |f(x+t) - f(x)|^r dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} = O(|t|^\alpha), \quad 0 < \alpha \leq 1, r \geq 1, t > 0. \quad (15)$$

For a given positive increasing function $\xi(t)$, the function $f(x) \in Lip(\xi(t), r)$, if

$$\left(\int_0^{2\pi} |f(x+t) - f(x)|^r dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} = O(\xi(t)), \quad r \geq 1, t > 0. \quad (16)$$

For a given positive increasing function $\xi(t)$ and an integer $p > 1$ the function $f(x) \in W(L^p, \xi(t))$, if

$$\left(\int_0^{2\pi} |f(x+t) - f(x)|^p (\sin x)^{p\beta} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = O(\xi(t)), \quad \beta \geq 0. \quad (17)$$

We use the following notation throughout this paper:

$$\phi(t) = f(x+t) + f(x-t) - 2f(x), \quad (18)$$

and

$$K_n(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi(1+q)^n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} q^{n-k} \left\{ \frac{1}{P_k} \sum_{\nu=0}^k p_\nu \frac{\sin\left(\nu + \frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} \right\}. \quad (19)$$

Further, the method $(E, q)(\overline{N}, p_n)$ is assumed to be regular throughout the paper.

Dealing with The degree of approximation by the product $(E, q)(C, 1)$ -mean of Fourier series, Nigam et al [4] proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1. If a function f , 2π -periodic, belonging to class $Lip \alpha$, then its degree of approximation by $(E, q)(C, 1)$ summability mean on its Fourier series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n(t)$ is given by

$\|E_n^q C_n^1 - f\|_{\infty} = O\left(\frac{1}{(n+1)^\alpha}\right)$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, where $E_n^q C_n^1$ represents the (E, q) transform of $(C, 1)$ transform of $s_n(f; x)$.

Misra et al [2] proved the following theorem using $(E, q)(\overline{N}, p_n)$ mean of Fourier series.

Theorem 2. If f is a 2π -periodic function of class $Lip \alpha$, then degree of approximation by the product $(E, q)(\overline{N}, p_n)$ summability means of its Fourier series (9) of $f(x)$ is given by

$$\|\tau_n - f\|_\infty = O\left(\frac{1}{(n+1)^\alpha}\right), 0 < \alpha < 1, \text{ where } \tau_n \text{ is as defined in (7).}$$

Recently, Misra et al [3] proved the following theorem using $(E, q)(\overline{N}, p_n)$ mean of the Fourier series using a 2π - periodic function of class $Lip(\xi(t), r)$.

Theorem 3. Let $\xi(t)$ be a positive increasing function. If f is a 2π - Periodic function of the class $Lip(\xi(t), r)$, $r \geq 1, t > 0$, then degree of approximation by the product $(E, q)(\overline{N}, p_n)$ summability means of the Fourier series (9) of $f(x)$ is given by

$$\|\tau_n - f\|_\infty = O\left((n+1)^{\frac{1}{r}} \xi\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right)\right), r \geq 1.,$$

where τ_n is as defined in (7).

2 Main Theorem

In this paper, we have proved a theorem on degree of approximation by the product mean $(E, q)(\overline{N}, p_n)$ of the Fourier series of a function of class $W(L^p, \xi(t))$. We prove.

Theorem 4. Let $\xi(t)$ be a positive increasing function and f a 2π - Periodic function of the class $W(L^p, \xi(t))$, $p > 1, t > 0$. Then degree of approximation by the product $(E, q)(\overline{N}, p_n)$ summability means of the Fourier series (9) of $f(x)$ is given by

$$\|\tau_n - f\|_r = O\left((n+1)^{\beta + \frac{1}{r}} \xi\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right)\right), r \geq 1, \quad (20)$$

provided

$$\left(\int_0^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \left(\frac{t|\phi(t)|\sin^\beta t}{\xi(t)}\right)^r dt\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} = O\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right) \quad (21)$$

and

$$\left(\int_{\frac{1}{n+1}}^\pi \left(\frac{t^{-\delta}|\phi(t)|}{\xi(t)}\right)^r dt\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} = O\left((n+1)^\delta\right) \quad (22)$$

hold uniformly in x with $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$, where δ is an arbitrary number such that $s(1-\delta) - 1 > 0$ and τ_n is as defined in (7).

3 Required Lemma

We require the following Lemma for the proof the theorem.

Lemma 1.

$$|K_n(t)| = \begin{cases} O(n) & , 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{n+1} \\ O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) & , \frac{1}{n+1} \leq t \leq \pi \end{cases} .$$

Proof: For $0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{n+1}$, we have $\sin nt \leq n \sin t$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |K_n(t)| &= \frac{1}{2\pi(1+q)^n} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} q^{n-k} \left\{ \frac{1}{P_k} \sum_{\nu=0}^k p_\nu \frac{\sin\left(\nu + \frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} \right\} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi(1+q)^n} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} q^{n-k} \left\{ \frac{1}{P_k} \sum_{\nu=0}^k p_\nu \frac{(2\nu+1)\sin \frac{t}{2}}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} \right\} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi(1+q)^n} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} q^{n-k} (2k+1) \left\{ \frac{1}{P_k} \sum_{\nu=0}^k p_\nu \right\} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{(2n+1)}{2\pi(1+q)^n} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} q^{n-k} \right| \\ &= O(n) \end{aligned}$$

For $\frac{1}{n+1} \leq t \leq \pi$, by Jordan's lemma we have, $\sin\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \geq \frac{t}{\pi}$, $\sin nt \leq 1$.

Then

$$|K_n(t)| = \frac{1}{2\pi(1+q)^n} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} q^{n-k} \left\{ \frac{1}{P_k} \sum_{\nu=0}^k p_\nu \frac{\sin\left(\nu + \frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} \right\} \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{1}{2\pi(1+q)^n} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} q^{n-k} \left\{ \frac{1}{P_k} \sum_{\nu=0}^k \frac{\pi p_\nu}{t} \right\} \right| \\
&= \frac{1}{2(1+q)^n t} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} q^{n-k} \left\{ \frac{1}{P_k} \sum_{\nu=0}^k p_\nu \right\} \right| \\
&= \frac{1}{2(1+q)^n t} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} q^{n-k} \right| \\
&= O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma.

4 Proof of Theorem 4

Using Riemann –Lebesgue theorem, we have for the n -th partial sum $s_n(f; x)$ of the Fourier series (9) of $f(x)$,

$$s_n(f; x) - f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \phi(t) \frac{\sin\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)} dt.$$

Following Titchmarsh [5], the (\overline{N}, p_n) transform of $s_n(f; x)$ is given by

$$t_n - f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi P_n} \int_0^\pi \phi(t) \sum_{k=0}^n p_k \frac{\sin\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)} dt,$$

Writing the $(E, q)(\overline{N}, p_n)$ transform of $s_n(f; x)$ by τ_n , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_n - f &= \frac{1}{2\pi(1+q)^n} \int_0^\pi \phi(t) \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} q^{n-k} \left\{ \frac{1}{P_k} \sum_{\nu=0}^k p_\nu \frac{\sin\left(\nu + \frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} \right\} dt \\
&= \int_0^\pi \phi(t) K_n(t) dt
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \left\{ \int_0^{\frac{1}{n+1}} + \int_{\frac{1}{n+1}}^{\pi} \right\} \phi(t) K_n(t) dt$$

$$= I_1 + I_2, \text{ say} \tag{23}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1| &= \frac{1}{2\pi(1+q)^n} \left| \int_0^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \phi(t) \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} q^{n-k} \left\{ \frac{1}{P_k} \sum_{\nu=0}^k p_\nu \frac{\sin\left(\nu + \frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} \right\} dt \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \phi(t) K_n(t) dt \right| \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \left| \frac{t \phi(t) \sin^\beta t}{\xi(t)} \right|^r dt \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \left(\int_0^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \left| \frac{\xi(t) K_n(t)}{t \sin^\beta t} \right|^s dt \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$, using Hölder's inequality

$$= O(1) \left(\int_0^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \left(\frac{\xi(t)}{t^{1+\beta}} \right)^s dt \right)^{\frac{1}{s}},$$

using lemma-1 and (21),

$$\begin{aligned} &= O\left(\xi\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right)\right) \left(\int_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \frac{dt}{t^{(1+\beta)s}} \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}, \text{ for some } 0 \leq \varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{n+1} \\ &= O\left(\xi\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right)\right) O\left((n+1)^{-\frac{1}{s}+1+\beta}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$= O\left(\xi\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right)(n+1)^{\beta+\frac{1}{r}}\right) \quad (24)$$

Next,

$$|I_2| \leq \left(\int_{\frac{1}{n+1}}^{\pi} \left(\left| \frac{t^{-\delta} |\phi(t)| \sin^{\beta} t}{\xi(t)} \right| \right)^r dt \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \left(\int_{\frac{1}{n+1}}^{\pi} \left(\left| \frac{\xi(t) |K_n(t)|}{t^{-\delta} \sin^{\beta} t} \right| \right)^s dt \right)^{\frac{1}{s}},$$

where $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$, using Hölder's inequality

$$= O((n+1)^{\delta}) \left(\int_{\frac{1}{n+1}}^{\pi} \left(\frac{\xi(t)}{t^{\beta+1-\delta}} \right)^s dt \right)^{\frac{1}{s}},$$

using Lemma 1 and (22)

$$= O((n+1)^{\delta}) \left(\int_{\frac{1}{\pi}}^{n+1} \left(\frac{\xi\left(\frac{1}{y}\right)}{y^{\delta-\beta-1}} \right)^s \frac{dy}{y^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{s}},$$

since $\xi(t)$ is a positive increasing function, so is $\xi(1/y)/(1/y)$. Using second mean value theorem we get

$$\begin{aligned} &= O((n+1)^{1+\delta} \xi\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right) \left(\int_{\varepsilon}^{n+1} \frac{dy}{y^{s(\delta-\beta-1)+2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}), \text{ for some } \frac{1}{\pi} \leq \varepsilon \leq n+1 \\ &= O\left((n+1)^{1+\delta} \xi\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right)\right) O\left((n+1)^{\beta+1-\delta-\frac{1}{s}}\right) \\ &= O\left((n+1)^{\beta+\frac{1}{r}} \xi\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right)\right) \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Then from (24) and (25), we have

$$|\tau_n - f(x)| = O\left((n+1)^{\beta+\frac{1}{r}} \xi\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right)\right), \text{ for } r \geq 1.$$

$$\|\tau_n - f(x)\|_r = \left(\int_0^{2\pi} O\left((n+1)^{\beta+\frac{1}{r}} \xi\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right)\right)^r dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}, r \geq 1.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= O\left((n+1)^{\beta+\frac{1}{r}} \xi\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right)\right) \left(\int_0^{2\pi} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\
&= O\left((n+1)^{\beta+\frac{1}{r}} \xi\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

5 Corollaries

Following corollaries can be derived from the main theorem.

Corollary 1. The degree of approximation of a function f belonging to the class $Lip(\alpha, r)$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, $r \geq 1$ is given by

$$\|\tau_n - f\|_r = O\left((n+1)^{-\alpha+\frac{1}{r}}\right).$$

Proof: The corollary follows by putting $\beta = 0$ and $\xi(t) = t^\alpha$ in the main theorem.

Corollary 2. The degree of approximation of a function f belonging to the class $Lip(\alpha)$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ is given by

$$\|\tau_n - f\|_\infty = O\left((n+1)^{-\alpha}\right).$$

Proof: The corollary follows by letting $r \rightarrow \infty$ in corollary 6.1.

Acknowledgment

The authors are thankful to the referee for his valuable suggestions for the improvement of the paper.

References

- [1] G.H. Hardy, *Divergent Series (First Edition)*, Oxford University Press, (1970).
- [2] U.K. Misra, M. Misra, B.P. Padhy and S.K. Buxi, On degree of approximation by product mean $(E, q)(\bar{N}, p_n)$ of Fourier series, *International Jour. of Math. Sciences, Technology and Humanities*, 22(2012), 213-220.
- [3] U.K. Misra, M. Misra, B.P. Padhy and D. Bisoyi, On degree of approximation by product mean $(E, q)(\bar{N}, p_n)$ of Fourier series, *International Jour. of Math. Sciences, Technology and Humanities*, 22(2012), 213-220.
- [4] H.K. Nigam and A. Sharma, On degree of approximation by product means, *Ultra Scientist of Physical Sciences*, 22(3) (M) (2010), 889-894.
- [5] E.C. Titchmarsh, *The Theory of Functions*, Oxford University Press, (1939).
- [6] A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric Series (Second Edition) (Vol. I)*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1959).