ISKANDAR IBN AḤMAD'S EPISTLE IN REFUTATION OF CHRISTIANS^{*}

Muhammet Tarakçı *Uludağ University, Bursa-Turkey*

Abstract

This paper introduces the short epistle written by Iskandar ibn Ahmad as an anti-Christian polemic. Iskandar identifies himself as "a philosopher from Trabzon," a city in the north-east of modern Turkey. No information about him is available other than this detail. The author of the polemic attempts to confute the basic Christian idea that Jesus Christ is God using biblical verses. As he refers to biblical verses accurately and in Greek (transliterated into the Arabic alphabet), one can be sure that he is very familiar with the New Testament. In addition to the biblical verses, he also uses logical arguments and Qur'anic verses to show that Jesus Christ is only a human being. This paper starts with a brief history of Muslim anti-Christian apologetics and polemics in the Ottoman Empire and succinct information about Iskandar ibn Aḥmad's epistle. Then, the paper provides the English translation and Arabic text of the epistle. Because the epistle is a unique copy, it is not possible for us to illustrate the differences among copies of the text. However, the footnotes provide biblical and Qur'anic references, transliteration of the Greek biblical verses, and the author's mistakes in the usage of Arabic languages.

Copyright © Bursa İlahiyat Foundation p-ISSN: 1309-1786 e-ISSN: 1309-1719

^{*} This article was prepared within the framework of the project "Tanzimat Sonrasi Osmanlı Devleti'nde Hıristiyanlara Karşı Yazılan Reddiyeler ve Tartışma Konuları [Muslim Polemics against Christianity Written in the Ottoman Empire during the Post-Tanzīmat Period and the Controversial Issues]," D(U)-2009/46, under the support of Uludağ University.

Key Words: Anti-Christian polemics, Muslim apology, Iskandar ibn Aḥmad, divinity of Jesus, humanity of Jesus

Introduction

For centuries, Jews and Christians lived in peace as nationalities (*millet* in Ottoman Turkish/*milla* in Arabic) under the rule of the Ottoman Empire. Moreover, apart from several polemical tracts (*raddiyya*), neither Muslims nor Jews or Christians felt it necessary to write religious polemics and defenses to show the superiority of their own religions until the later periods of the Ottoman Empire. However, this peaceful environment was damaged during the period of the Ottoman Empire's decline with the introduction of missionary activities within the Empire. As missionaries who came to Ottoman lands to spread Christianity began to write and distribute to the Muslim people texts opposing Islam, Muslim writers felt inclined to write replies to these texts. That polemics and defenses of the Ottoman Empire were written in opposition to Christians during the final periods of the Empire strengthens this belief.

Many polemics and defenses that oppose Christianity were written as a reaction to the missionary activities. Here, we will only make

_

Sabine Schmidtke and Camilla Adang, "Aḥmad b. Muṣṭafā Ṭāshkubrīzāde's (d. 968/1561) Polemical Tract against Judaism," al-Qantara XXIX/1 (2008), 79-113; Schmidtke, "Epistle forcing the Jews [to admit their error] with regard to what they contend about the Torah, by dialectical reasoning (Risālat ilzām al-yahūd fīmā za'amū fī l-tawrāt min qibal 'ilm al-kalām) by al-Salām 'Abd al-'Allām: A critical edition," in Camilla Adang and Sabine Schmidtke (eds.), Contacts and Controversies between Muslims, Jews and Christians in the Ottoman Empire and Pre-Modern Iran (Würzburg: Ergon Verlag in Kommission, 2010), 73-82; Adang, "Guided to Islam by the Torah: The Risāla al-hādiya by 'Abd al-Salām al-Muḥtadī al-Muḥammadī," in Contacts and Controversies, 57-72.

See Maḥmūd As'ad Saydishahrī, "Allah'ın Kelamı ve Allah'ın Kelimesi İkileminde Hz. İsa [Jesus in the Dilemma of Kalām Allāh versus Kalimat Allāh] (=Mudāfa'a: Kalimat Allāh Ta'ālā'ya Dā'ir Khuṭba: I [Apology: Sermon on the Kalimat Allāh]" (translated from Old Turkish into modern Turkish by Muhammet Tarakçı), Uludağ Üniversitesi İlahiyat Fakültesi Dergisi [The Review of the Faculty of Theology, Uludağ University] VII/7 (1998), 740-741.

note of several.3

In his text entitled *Risāla-i Islāmiyya*, Ibrāhīm Mutafarriqa (d. 1160/1747), a former Christian priest who converted to Islam, notes the reasons for becoming a Muslim along with the prophecies of Muḥammad in the Bible.⁴

Ḥājī 'Abd Allāh al-Patrījī (d. 1303/1886) wrote his book, *Īḍāḥ al-marām fī kashf al-zalām*, to warn Muslims against Christian propaganda. He makes note of the differences between the Gospels and the Qur³ān regarding the cross, and claims that the Gospels are corrupted. Al-Patrījī also handles subjects such as the Trinity and the prophecies of Muḥammad in the Gospels.⁵

Khoja Isḥāq of Kharbūṭ (d. 1310/1892) also wrote a book entitled *Shams al-ḥaqīqa* as a response to the missionaries. In this book, he discusses the corruption of the Torah and the Gospels, the cross, the godhood of Jesus, and the prophecies of Muḥammad. Seventy-two difficult questions for Christians were included at the end of the book. Khoja Isḥāq attempted to respond to Christian missionaries in another work entitled *Diyā¹ al-qulūb*. After making note of the conflicts in the Gospels starting with the narratives regarding the genealogy of Jesus Christ, Khoja Isḥāq comes to the conclusion that the Gospels are corrupted. He also attempts to prove the falsity of the Christian belief of the Trinity through the use of quotations from the Gospels.

In his work entitled, *Nūr al-hudā li-man istahdā*, Sirrī Pasha (d. 1313/1895) defended the idea that Muslims should learn about Chris-

-

For more information on Muslim apologetics and polemics against Christianity in the late Ottoman period, see Mehmet Aydın, *Müslümanların Hıristiyanlığa Karşı Yazdığı Reddiyeler ve Tartışma Konuları [Muslim Polemics against Christianity and the Controversial Issues*] (Konya: Selçuk Üniversitesi Yayınları, 1989), 99-110.

See Mahmud Esad Coşan, Risâle-i İslâmiyye: Matbaacı İbrahim-i Müteferrika ve Risâle-i İslâmiyye Adlı Eserinin Tenkitli Metni [Risāla-i Islāmiyya: Ibrāhīm Mutafarriqa, the Printer, and the Critical Edition of His Risāla-i Islāmiyya] (Istanbul: Server İletişim, 2010).

For more information about Hājī 'Abd Allāh al-Patrījī and his apology against Christianity, see İsmail Taşpınar, Hacı Abdullah Petrici'nin Hıristiyanlık Eleştirisi [Hājī 'Abd Allāh al-Patrījī's Polemic against Christianity] (Istanbul: İnsan Yayınları, 2008).

tianity as a defense against Christian missionaries, and especially, attempted to confute the Christian idea that Jesus is God.

Perhaps the most important name in tradition of the Muslim polemics against Christianity during the Ottoman period is Ahmad Midhat Efendī (d. 1329/1911) due to the in-depth works that he wrote after forty years of labor. Four of his works on this topic are quite important: Mudāfa'a (Apology), Mudāfa'aya Muqābala Muqābalaya Mudāfa'a (The Reply to the Apology and The Apology to the Reply), Mudāfa'a 3 (Apology, vol. 3) and lastly Bashā'ir-i Sidq-i Nubuwwat-i Muhammadiyya (Prophecies that show the accuracy of the prophethood of Muhammad). Ahmad Midhat Efendi states that he wrote these works as a response to the missionaries who wrote to attack Islam.⁷ Thus, his works should be regarded not as attacks, but rather, as defenses. In fact, the subtitle of his first work, entitled Mudāfa'a clarifies his aim: it is "written in response to those who invite Muslims to Christianity." Aḥmad Midḥat Efendī handles widely different subjects, such as the emergence of Christianity, Paul, the spread of Christianity by sword after Constantine, the negative effects of Christian clergymen on Christianity, the Trinity, original sin, and Christian morals.

Apart from these works, it is known that authors such as Aḥmad Kamāl, 'Abd al-Aḥad Dāvūd, and Ḥasan Ṣabrī also wrote works to defend Islam and warn Muslims against the claims and activities of Christian missionaries. In addition, many articles were published about or against Christianity in journals of the era, such as *Sabīl al*-

Aḥmad Midḥat Efendī, *Tārīkh-i Adyān* (Istanbul: Ḥurriyyet Maṭbaʿasi, 1329 H [1911]), I, 11.

-

Aḥmad Midḥat Efendī, Mudāfaʿa (Istanbul: Tarjumān-i Ḥaqīqat Maṭbaʿasi, 1300 H [1883]), 8-9.

In his MA thesis, Yaşa Yumak attempted to determine the place and importance of Aḥmad Midḥat Efendī in terms of anti-Christian polemics in the Islamic tradition, see Yaşa Yumak, İslâm-Hıristiyan Polemiği Açısından Ahmed Midhat Efendī [Aḥmad Midḥat Efendī in the tradition of anti-Christian Polemics] (MA thesis; Istanbul: Marmara University, 2001). See also Elif Karayel, Dinler Taribi Açısından Ahmed Mithat Efendī [Aḥmad Midḥat Efendī in the Science of History of Religions] (MA thesis; Istanbul: Marmara University, 2002), 11-19, 61-81.

rashād.9

About the Epistle

This epistle is a unique copy of a treatise on the refutation of Christian dogma about the divinity of Christ that is written by an otherwise unknown author named Iskandar ibn Aḥmad. The author introduces himself as a philosopher from Trabzon. The treatise was recorded as number 261 at the collection of Lala İsmail at the Süleymaniye Library (Istanbul, Turkey). The treatise is written in Arabic and consists of 27 small sized leaves. There is neither any other copy of this treatise nor any other book by the same author in any of the libraries in Turkey. Additionally, no information exists regarding the background of the writer or the date of the treatise. As stated above, as Muslim apologies such as these appeared during the decline of the Ottoman Empire as a reaction to Christian missionary activities, one can assume that this work was written during the nineteenth century.

The author of the treatise first states that Christians undoubtedly believe in the validity of their own religion, which, in fact, is false in all aspects, both intellectually and in terms of texts. Christians do not heed the intellectually correct arguments and the textual miraculous proofs of Muslims. Hence, the author's reason for writing this treatise is to rebut Christian beliefs through the use of the Bible. In other words, the method employed by Iskandar ibn Aḥmad throughout the treatise is as follows: narration of the Bible story in Greek using the Ottoman alphabet; translation of the story; explanation of the story in a manner that maintains that Jesus Christ is not God but a human being; and lastly, confirmation through verses from the Qur³ān.

What is striking in the anti-Christian polemical text *al-Radd 'alā l-Naṣārā*, from the Ottoman period, is that it provides biblical sentenc-

For more information on the articles about or against Christianity in the journal Sabīl al-rashād, see Aslı Kahraman, 1912-1925 Yılları Arasında Sebilürreşad Dergisi'nde Yayınlanan Hıristiyanlıkla İlgili Makaleler ve Tablilleri [The Papers concerning Christianity Published in Sabīl al-rashād between 1912-1925 and Their Analysis] (MA thesis; Adana: Çukurova University, 2009); Hilal Esen, Sebîlürreşad'da Öteki Dinlerle İlgili Yazıların Değerlendirilmesi [A Study on the Papers concerning Other Religions in Sabīl al-rashād] (MA thesis; Sakarya: Sakarya University, 2008).

es in Greek (using the Ottoman alphabet). It can be concluded that the author is familiar with Greek and the New Testament. However, because some Persian translation appears underneath the words of the citations in Greek from the Bible, we are led to doubt this conclusion. Did the author know Greek and add these Persian translations for the reader? Or did he receive help from someone who knew Greek? As a third possibility, could a scribe have added these translations to the text? These questions are left unanswered because we have no information about the author's life and no other copy of the epistle.

Another striking feature of Iskandar ibn Aḥmad's polemical text is that citations from the Bible are used to rebut Christian beliefs. According to Iskandar, sections of the Bible that Christians believe prove the godhood of Jesus are far from accomplishing this proof. Indeed many sentences in the Bible depict Jesus not as a god but as a human being and these sections are in accordance with the teachings of the Qur'ān. Conversely, our author approaches the Bible story about the raising of Jesus from the dead with some suspicion. Hence, it can be assumed that Iskandar ibn Aḥmad believes that falsification exists in *some* parts of the Bible. Christians have also interpreted some sections of the Bible inaccurately, which has thus led to further falsifications.

Iskandar ibn Ahmad is not the only polemicist author who used sentences from the Bible to rebut predominant Christian doctrines. Centuries ago, al-Ghazālī used the same method in his book, al-Radd al-jamīl li-ilāhiiyyat 'Īsā bi-ṣarīḥ al-Injīl. Other similarities exist between the texts of Iskandar ibn Ahmad and al-Ghazālī. Both texts accepted or assumed the validity of the biblical text and claimed that Christians interpreted it inaccurately. Each of the two polemical texts viewed the refutation of the godhood of Jesus as the central problem. Neither text mentioned predominant anti-Christian Muslim polemic topics, such as the cross, the death and resurrection of Jesus Christ, original sin, and redemption. Al-Ghazālī took into account the miracles of Jesus Christ; however, he concluded that these miracles are not sufficient to prove the godhood of Jesus. Conversely, Iskandar ibn Ahmad approached the portions of the Bible that describe the miracles of Jesus Christ with suspicion. In opposition to al-Ghazālī, Iskandar ibn Ahmad supported citations from the Bible with verses from the Qur'an.

Citations from the Bible by Muslims often contain mistakes and omissions, especially in earlier polemical texts. That the citations from the Bible in Iskandar ibn Aḥmad's treatise that are first provided in Ottoman alphabet in Greek and then in translation are exact quotations is an important feature of the treatise.

An Epistle in Refutation of Christians¹

by Iskandar ibn Aḥmad, the Philosopher of Trabzon

Praise be to Allah. There is nothing whatever like unto Him. He is the One Who heareth and seeth (all things). He is the judge. No associate has He. He hath power over all things. He is the one, has taken neither a wife nor a son. Allah is He on whom all depend. He is neither Father nor Son. There is none like unto Him. He is the creator who created the heavens and the earth. Then, he began the creation of man from clay and made his progeny from a quintessence of despised fluid. So, blessed be to Allah, the best to create! He is the wise, who breathed into him of His spirit and gives life to him, then causes him to die, then brings him to life with a new creation. He is full of honor, who said "throw into Hell every contumacious Rejecter (of God)!" Peace be upon Muḥammad of Quraysh, of Mecca, the most honored one, the master of the prophets and the messengers, the illiterate, the prophet of the cherisher of the worlds. Peace be upon all of his family, companions, and successors.

Then, because Christian infidels believed in the authenticity of their religion, which is false in all aspects, both rationally and in terms of texts, alleging that it is true according to their false claim and do not heed our intellectually correct arguments and textual miraculous proofs, this poor slave (of Allah), Iskandar ibn Aḥmad, the philosopher of Trabzon, wanted, with Allah's Help, to make them abide by the Bible.

It is said in the first chapter in the beginning of the Gospel that "en archē ēn o logos kai o logos ēn pros ton theon kai theos ēn o logos," that is, "in the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the Word was God." Considering that the Gospel said that the Word is God, the infidels are using this verse as evidence and saying that now that Jesus is the word of God, he is God either because he is word, for he descended in it and heralded it, or because he is God. However, this conception is not so because the word "kai" in the

¹ رسالة في رد الملة النصرانية بالإنجيل من قبل علم الكلام (An Epistle in the refutation of the Christian religion through the Gospel and the science of kalām)

² Literally, "He neither begets nor is born." (Q 112:3).

³ John 1:1.

sentence "kai theos ēn o logos" is a conjunction (al-wāw al-'ātifa/the conjunction "wa"), and if it is read as "theos," it means "God" in their language. Conversely if it is read as "thios," it means "magnificent," "grand." "glorious," and "artful." This second sense is appropriate here, not the first. It reads that the word is magnificent, grand, glorious, and artful. The infidels are making a mistake and saying that "كثوس اين او لوغوس" (the word is God). This statement is not true because there would have to be many gods if the word were a god. Therefore, the antecedent (lāzim) is null, and the consequent (malzūm) is also null. The antecedent is null because if it were true, everything to which the word of God is suitable to apply would have to be a god. Then, Yaḥyā (bpuh) (John the Baptist) would be a god, for the Almighty Allah said, "O Zakariyyā! We give thee good news of a son: His name shall be Yaḥyā."4 Also, the snake of Moses would have to be a god, for Allah says, "(Allah) said, throw it, O Moses! So he cast it down, and lo! It was a serpent, gliding." He also says, "(And remember) when the angels said: O Mary! Lo! Allah giveth thee glad tidings of a word from Him, whose name is the Messiah, Jesus, son of Mary."6 In addition, that everything for which the word of God is suitable to apply must be a god is obviously void. As to the consequent, when something is placed and appears that it is void, this consequent is also void. Therefore, Jesus is not said to be a god, considering that he is the word of God. It is the necessary consequence ($matl\bar{u}b$). This idea is compatible with a Qur'anic verse: "O People of the Book! Commit no excesses in your religion: Nor say of Allah aught but the truth. Christ Jesus the son of Mary was (no more than) a Messenger of Allah, and His Word, which He bestowed on Mary and a Spirit from Him."7

It is written in the second chapter of the Gospel of Matthew that "otan de elthē o uios tou anthrōpou en tē doxē autou kai pantes oi angeloi met autou." This [quote] means "when the Son of man shall come in his glory and all the holy angels with him." Jesus (pbuh) declared explicitly that he is a son of man, not a son of God, and he is

⁴ Q 19:7.

⁵ Q 20:19-20.

⁶ Q 3:45.

⁷ Q 4:171.

⁸ Matthew 25:31.

created and is neither eternal nor self-subsistent (*wājib al-wujūd*), for being self-subsistent by himself means that he is self-existent from all of his sides. This idea means that none of his attributes changes. This idea is compatible with a Qur'ānic verse: "They say: 'Allah hath begotten a son.' Glory be to him. Nay, to Him belongs all that is in the heavens and on earth: everything renders worship to Him."

It is written in the fourth [Gospel] that "ēdē de tēs eortēs mesousēs anebē o iēsous eis to ieron kai edidasken. kai ethaumazon oi ioudaioi legontes pos outos grammata oiden mē memathēkos, apekrithē oun autois o iēsous kai eipen ē emē didachē ouk estin emē alla tou pempsantos me. ean tis thelē to thelēma autou poiein gnōsetai peri tēs didachēs poteron ek tou theou estin ē egō ap emautou lalō. o aph eautou lalon ten doxan ten idian zetei o de zeton ten doxan tou pempsantos auton outos alēthēs estin kai adikia en autō ouk estin." This [segment] means that "Now, about the midst of the feast, Jesus went up into the temple, and taught. And the Jews marveled, saying, How knoweth this man letters, having never learned? Jesus answered them and said, my doctrine is not mine, but his that sent me. If any man will do his will, he shall know of the doctrine, whether it be of God, or whether I speak of myself. He that speaketh of himself seeketh his own glory: but he that seeketh his glory that sent him, the same is true, and no unrighteousness is in him." 10 Jesus (pbuh) declared that he is not God, saying, "my doctrine is not mine, but His that sent me." Then, Jesus is not God. He also said, "Whether it be of God, or whether I speak of myself." In this sentence, Jesus (pbuh) showed the greatness of the Almighty God and his lowliness in regard to the Almighty God. This idea is compatible with a Qur'anic verse: "It is not (possible) that a man, to whom is given the book, and wisdom, and the prophethood, should say to people: be ye my worshippers rather than Allah's."11 This meaning is apparent among the people and in the custom. Whenever people hold a command in high esteem, they say that this command is not from them, but from the administrator. In doing so, they show their lowliness and the greatness of the administrator.

⁹ Q 2:116.

¹⁰ John 7:14-18.

¹¹ Q 3:79.

It is written in the fourth chapter of the Gospel of Matthew that "kardias autōn ina mē pisteusantes sōthōsin. oi de epi tēs petras oi otan akousōsin meta charas dechontai ton logon kai outoi rizan ouk echousin oi pros kairon pisteuousin kai en kairō peirasmou aphistantai. to de eis tas akanthas peson outoi eisin oi akousantes kai upo merimnon kai ploutou kai edonon tou biou poreuomenoi sumpnigontai kai ou telesphorousin, to de en tē kalē gē outoi eisin oitines en kardia kalē kai agathē akousantes ton logon katechousin kai karpophorousin." This [passage] means, "he spake by this parable: A sower went out to sow his seed: and as he sowed, some fell by the way-side; and it was trodden down, and the fowls of the air devoured it. And some fell upon a rock; and as soon as it was sprung up, it withered away because it lacked moisture. And some fell among thorns; and the thorns sprang up with it, and choked it. And other [seeds] fell on good ground, and sprang up, and brought forth fruit a hundredfold. [And when he had said these things, he cried, He that hath ears to hear, let him hear.] And his disciples asked him, saying, what might this parable be? And he said: [Now the parable is this.] The seed is the word of God. Those by the way-side are they that hear; then cometh the devil, and taketh away the word out of their hearts, lest they should believe and be saved. They on the rock are they who, when they hear, receive the word with joy; and these have no root, which, for a while, believe, and in time of temptation, fall away. And that which fell among thorns are they who, when they have heard, go forth, and are choked with cares and riches and pleasures of this life, and bring no fruit to perfection. However, those on the good ground are they who, in an honest and good heart, having heard the word, keep it, and bring forth fruit with patience."13 This [quote] negates the idea that the word of God is God and attests that the word of God is not an attribution that is exclusive to Jesus, but can be applied to many. This idea is compatible with a Qur'anic verse: "By His Command doth He send the spirit (of inspiration) to any of His servants He pleases."14 The word of God and the person of God are not identical, for the word is different from the speaker be-

-

Kata Loukan 8:12-15.

This story appears in the fourth chapter of the Gospel of Mark, not of Matthew. However, the details in the story seem to correspond much more closely to the Gospel of Luke (8:4-15. See also Mark 4:2-20; Matthew 13:3-23).

¹⁴ Q 40:15.

cause he (Jesus) likened the word to the seed and the speaker to the sower. Then, if Jesus (pbuh) were a god simply because he is the word of God, it would be necessary that everything to which the word of god is suitable to apply would also be a god, so there would have to be many gods. Therefore, the antecedent is obviously null, and the consequent is also null. This idea is compatible with a Qur³ānic verse: "If there were, in the heavens and the earth, other gods in addition to Allah, then verily, both (the heavens and the earth) had been disordered." As to the consequent, when something is placed and appears that it is impossible and invalid, this consequent is also void and impossible. It is the necessary consequence.

It is written in the fourteenth chapter of the Gospel of John that "ean agapate me tas entolas tas emas tērēsate. kai egō erōtēsō ton patera kai allon paraklēton dosei umin ina menē meth umon eis ton aiona to pneuma tes aletheias." This [passage] means, "If ye love me, keep my commandments. And I will pray the Father, and he shall give you another paraklaytos that he may abide with you forever; he is the spirit of truth." This passage indicates that the word "father" means "the guide" and "the educator," not "the pater [one who has child or children]," for when it is used absolutely, it is known among all creatures that it means "the guide" and "the educator." If Jesus, one of the created beings, were a god, it would be necessary that every individual is also a god. Therefore, the antecedent is null, and the consequent is also null. This idea is compatible with a Qur'anic verse: "They have taken as lords beside Allah their rabbis and their monks and the Messiah son of Mary, when they were bidden to worship only One God. There is no God but He. Be He glorified from all that they ascribe as partner (unto Him)!"17 This idea also indicates that Jesus (pbuh) is a created being, not eternal, for whoever demands is necessarily a needy, created, and possible (mumkin) being. Whoever is created and possible is not eternal. The Almighty God, conversely, is an eternal and self-subsisting being. Therefore, Jesus (pbuh) is not self-subsisting and not God. It is the necessary consequence. Jesus' statement that "he shall give you another paraklaytos" indicates Ahmad, for he describes him as the spirit of truth, and this is the greatest attribute, namely, Ahmad. This idea is compatible with a

¹⁵ Q 21:22.

¹⁶ John 14:15-16.

¹⁷ Q 9:31.

Qur'anic verse: "[Jesus, son of Mary said:] O Children of Israel! Lo! I am the messenger of Allah unto you, confirming that which was (revealed) before me in the Torah, and bringing good tidings of a messenger who cometh after me, whose name is Aḥmad."

The limitation of "another" in Jesus' statement about "another paraklaytos" dismisses the words of the infidels, who say that "paraklaytos" is Jesus. [This idea is compatible with a Qur'anic verse: "Those who follow the messenger, the unlettered Prophet, whom they find mentioned in the Torah and the Gospel (which are) with them." [19].

It is written in the same chapter that "ei ēgapate me echarēte an oti eipon poreuomai pros ton patera oti o pater mou meizon mou estin." This [sentence] means, "If ye loved me, ye would rejoice because I said, I go unto the Father: for my Father is greater than I."20 It appears that Jesus is lesser and lower than God, and his nobleness is due to his connection with the Almighty God. There is no doubt that the lesser and the lower one cannot be identified with the greatest. If it were so,21 the greatest would number two. If Jesus were a god, there would be two gods. Then, the antecedent is obviously null, so the consequent is also null. This idea compatible with a Qur'anic verse: "Allah has said: take not (for worship) two gods: for he is just one Allah."22 He also declared that he is the servant ('abd) of God. Because Jesus is the lesser and not the Almighty God, he is not but the slave of God, for every created being is the servant of God, and the Almighty God is sovereign, creator, eternal, ruler, mighty, generous. This idea is compatible with a Qur'anic verse: "Christ disdaineth not to serve and worship Allah, nor do the angels who are near to Him."23

It is written in the same chapter that "o de paraklētos to pneuma to agion o pempsei o patēr en tō onomati mou ekeinos umas didaxei panta kai upomnēsei umas panta a eipon umin." This [quote] means, "But the paraklaytos, which is the Holy Ghost, whom the Father will send in my name, he shall teach you all things and bring all things to

¹⁹ Q 7:157.

¹⁸ Q 61:6.

²⁰ John 14:28.

If the lesser and lower one could be identified with the greatest.

²² Q 16:51.

²³ Q 4:172.

your remembrance, whatsoever I have said unto you."²⁴ This [quote] indicates the blessed coming of Muḥammad (pbuh), for the word "paraklaytos" means "the discoverer of the hidden things." In addition, Jesus described him as the Holy Ghost. It is the greatest attribute, the meaning of which is Aḥmad. Moreover, Jesus said, "in my name," namely, as a prophet. He is no one but Muḥammad (pbuh). This idea is compatible with a Qur'ānic verse: "It is He who has sent His messenger with guidance and the religion of truth, to proclaim it over all religion: and enough is Allah for a Witness. Muḥammad is the messenger of Allah."²⁵

It is written in the Gospel that "egō eimi ē ampelos ē alēthinē kai o patēr mou o geōrgos estin. pan klēma en emoi mē pheron karpon airei auto kai pan to karpon pheron kathairei auto ina pleiona karpon pherē." This [quote] means, "I am the true vine, and my Father is the husbandman. Every branch in me that beareth not fruit he taketh away: and every branch that beareth fruit, he purgeth it, that it may bring forth more fruit." This [idea] signifies that Jesus' aim is to show who his maker, creator, and educator is, and to show that he, Jesus, is a being who was created. Every creature is produced (þādith) and needy. Whatever is needy and produced is neither eternal nor self-subsisting. Then, Jesus is not a God because the Almighty God is eternal and self-subsisting. It is the necessary consequence.

It is written in the Gospel that "patera mou kai patera umōn kai theon mou kai theon umōn." This [quote] means, "my Father and your Father, and my God, and your God." This [quote] indicates that when Jesus said, "my father and your father, my God and your God," his intention for the word "father" was "the guide" and "the instructor." If his intention for the word "father" were "the pater [one who has child or children]," he would not say "your father." This idea is compatible with a Qur²ānic verse: "They surely disbelieve who say: Lo! Allah is the Christ, son of Mary. The Christ (himself) said: O Children of Israel, worship Allah, my Lord and your Lord. Lo! Whoever ascribeth partners unto Allah, for him Allah hath forbidden Paradise.

²⁴ John 14:26.

²⁵ Q 48:28-29.

²⁶ John 15:1-2.

²⁷ John 20:17.

His abode is the Fire. For evil-doers there will be no helpers."²⁸ If Jesus were the son of God simply because he said "my father," the apostles would be the sons of God and gods because Jesus also said "your father." Therefore, the antecedent is obviously null, so the consequent is also null. This idea is compatible with a Qur'ānic verse: "It is not befitting to (the majesty of) Allah that He should beget a son. Glory be to him! When He decreeth a thing, He saith unto it only: Be! And it is."²⁹ This [quote] also indicates Jesus' being servant, for everyone who adopts a god must be His servant. It is the necessary consequence. This idea is compatible with a Qur'ānic verse: "It is Allah who is my Lord and your Lord; then, worship Him. That is a straight path."³⁰

It is written in the Gospel that "sumpherei umin ina egō apelthō ean gar egō mē apelthō o paraklētos ouk eleusetai pros umas... otan de elthē ekeinos to pneuma tēs alētheias odēgēsei umas eis pasan tēn alētheian ou gar lalēsei aph eautou all osa an akousē lalēsei kai ta erchomena anangelei umin. ekeinos eme doxasei." This [quote] means, "It is expedient for you that I go away: for if I go not away, the paraklaytos will not come unto you... When he, the Spirit of truth, is come, he will guide you into all truth: for he shall not speak of himself; but whatsoever he shall hear, that shall he speak: and he will show you things to come. He shall glorify me."31 This [quote] indicates that Jesus told his apostles the good news of the blessed coming of Muḥammad, the prophet of God. Jesus declared the superiority of Muhammad (pbuh) over himself, saying, "It is expedient for you that I go away: for if I go not away, the paraklaytos will not come unto you... When he, the Spirit of truth, is come, he will guide you into all truth." Jesus appeared to have said, "Because you will not get every profit from me, it would be better that I should go away from you, and he, the Spirit of truth, should come. He has superiority and profits more than me in order that you may benefit from him more than me," while saying that "he will guide you into all truth." This idea is compatible with a Qur'anic verse: "O Prophet! Truly We have sent thee as a witness and a bringer of good tidings and a warner. And as a summoner unto Allah by His permission, and as a lamp that giveth

_

²⁸ Q 5:72.

²⁹ Q 19:35.

³⁰ Q 3:51.

³¹ John 16:7, 13-14.

light. And give the believers the good news that they shall have a great grace from Allah."32 Jesus encouraged people to believe in him, accept him, and believe in the Holy Qur'an, saying, "He is the Spirit of truth. He shall not speak of himself; but whatsoever he shall hear, that shall he speak," namely, [he shall speak] from the Almighty God, and it is the Qur'an. This idea is compatible with a Qur'anic verse: "Nor doth he speak of (his own) desire. It is naught but revelation sent down to him."33 Jesus also encouraged people to accept him and believe in what he said because of the truth that he spoke: "He shall glorify me." This idea is compatible with a Qur'anic verse: "O ye who believe! Believe in Allah and His Messenger and the scripture which He hath sent to His messenger."34

It is written in the Gospel that "ēlei ēlei lema sabachthanei tout estin thee mou thee mou inati me enkatelipes." It means "Eli, Eli, lama sabachthani? That is to say, My God, my God, why hast thou forsaken me?"35 This [quote] indicates that Jesus (pbuh) declared explicitly and clearly his enslavement, weakness, and desire for mercy, aid, and recourse from the Almighty Allah, for " الى الى الى is an Arabic expression, and it means "My God, my God, why "سحتني hast thou forsaken me?" As there is no letter "h" in the Christian alphabet, it was dropped, and the expression "الى الى" remained [instead of اللهي إلهي]. This rectification is evident in the explanation that Jesus gave shortly afterwards, saying, "tout estin" that is, "thee mou thee mou," which means "My God, my God," as in language of the Christians, "thee mou thee mou" means "My God, my God." The unbelievers do not deny this meaning, for when the Jews wanted to kill Jesus, and when he cried, scared, prayed, and shouted loudly, he said in the Gospel, "My God, my God, why hast thou forsaken me?" This idea is compatible with a Qur'anic verse: "Certainly they disbelieve who say: Surely, Allah is the Christ, son of Mary. Say: Who then can do aught against Allah, if He had willed to destroy the Christ, son of Mary, and his mother and everyone on earth?"36 Therefore, Jesus showed clearly his enslavement and weakness. This idea is compati-

Q 33:45-47.

Q 53:3-4.

Q 4:136.

Matthew 27:46; Mark 15:34.

Q 5:17.

ble with a Qur'anic verse: "He said: I am indeed a servant of Allah: He hath given me the Scripture and hath appointed me a Prophet."³⁷ (Jesus also showed that) he needs, wishes, and expects aid, help, and mercy from God, like other human beings. This idea is compatible with a Qur'anic verse: "O ye men. It is ye who have need of Allah: but Allah is the One free of all wants, worthy of all praise."38 Everyone who is needy is produced (*hādith*), and nobody who is produced is eternal or a god, but created and the servant of the creator. However, the almighty God is eternal and self-existent. Then, Jesus is not a god, but created one like all the other creatures. This idea is compatible with a Our anic verse: "Lo! The likeness of Jesus before Allah is as that of Adam. He created him of dust, and then, He said unto him: Be! And he is."39

Many justifications and evidences like these exist in the Gospel. However, these are enough to nullify the divinity of Jesus, prove that he is a servant of God, prove the blessed coming of Muhammad (pbuh), and prove that he is the messenger and the prophet of God.

The rest of the Gospel contains stories, legends, and miracles, most of which are attributed to Jesus by the tongues of the apostles. These stories are not stories about the mighty God like those of the Holy Qur'an. Whoever knows about the Qur'anic verses, its eloquences and its pureness knows and believes that it is the word of God and prodigious. No one nor all of the individuals, from the human beings to the jinns, can produce the like of the Qur'an, as the mighty God said, "Say: Verily, though mankind and the Jinn should assemble to produce the like of this Our an, they could not produce the like thereof, though they were helpers one of another."40

Among such stories is the following: "archon eis elthon prosekunei autō legōn oti ē thugatēr mou arti eteleutēsen alla elthōn epithes tēn cheira sou ep autēn kai zēsetai. kai egertheis o iēsous ēkolouthēsen autō kai oi mathētai autou. kai idou gunē aimorroousa dōdeka etē proselthousa opisthen ēpsato tou kraspedou tou imatiou autou. elegen gar en eautē ean monon apsōmai tou imatiou autou sōthēsomai. o de iēsous epistrapheis kai idōn autēn eipen tharsei

Q 19:30.

Q 35:15.

Q 3:59.

Q 17:88.

thugater ē pistis sou sesōken se kai esōthē ē gunē apo tēs ōras ekeinēs. kai elthōn o iēsous eis tēn oikian tou archontos kai idōn tous aulētas kai ton ochlon thoruboumenon. legei autois anachōreite ou gar apethanen to korasion alla katheudei kai kategelōn autou. ote de exeblēthē o ochlos eiselthōn ekratēsen tēs cheiros autēs kai ēgerthē to korasion. kai exēlthen ē phēmē autē eis olēn tēn gēn ekeinēn."

This [section] means, "While he spake these things unto them, behold, there came a certain ruler, and he worshipped him, saying, 'My daughter is even now dead: but come and lay thy hand upon her, and she shall live.' And Jesus arose and followed him, and so did his disciples. And behold, a woman, who was diseased with an issue of blood twelve years, came behind him, and touched the hem of his garment: For she said within herself, 'If I may but touch his garment, I shall be whole.' However, Jesus turned him about, and when he saw her, he said, 'Daughter, [be of good comfort,] thy faith hath made thee whole.' And the woman was made whole from that hour. And when Jesus came into the ruler's house, and saw the minstrels and the people making a noise, he said unto them, 'Give place: for the maid is not dead, but sleepeth.' And they laughed him to scorn. However, when the people were put forth, he went in and took her by the hand, and the maid arose. And the fame hereof went abroad into all that land "41

Allah knows best.

_

⁴¹ Matthew 9:18-26; Mark 5:22-43; Luke 8:41-56.

رسالة في الرد على النصاري

2 لإسكندر ابن أحمد فيلسوف الدرابزوني

الحمد لله الذي ليس كمثله شيء و هو السميع البصير، الحاكم الذي لا شريك له و هو على كل شيء قدير، الواحد الذي لم يتخذ صاحبة و لا ولدا، الصمد الذي لم يلد و لم يولد و لم يكن له كفوا أحد، الخالق الذي خلق السموات و الأرض ثم بدأ خلق الإنسان من طين ثم جعل نسله من سلالة من ماء مهين فتبارك الله أحسن الخالقين، الحكيم الذي نفخ فيه من روحه فيحييه ثم يميته ثم بخلق جديد يحييه، الكريم الذي قال "و أزلفت الجنة للمتقين غير بعيد"، القهار الذي قال "ألقيا في جهنم كل كفار عنيد". و الصلوة على أشرف الخلق سيد الأنبياء و المرسلين محمد القريشي المكي الأمي رسول رب العالمين و على آله و أصحابه و خلفائه أجمعين.

أما بعد، لما كانت الكفرة النصرانية معتقدين على حقية دينهم الباطلة 6 من كل الوجوه عقلا و نقلا بأنها حق على زعم فاسدهم و لا ينظرون حججنا العقلية الصحيحة و لا البراهين النقلية المعجزة، أراد هذا العبد الفقير إسكندر ابن أحمد فيلسوف الدرابزوني و بعون الله تبارك و تعالى أن ألزمها و بالإنجيل.

و قال إن في الصحاح 7 الأول في ابتداء الإنجيل قوله: "انارشي اين او لوغوس كئو لوغوس اين بزستون ثؤن كثؤس إين أو لوغوس." 8 يعني "الكلام في الأزل و الكلام عند الله و الكلام إله." 9 يأخذ الكفرة هذا القول و

الإصحاح 7

رسالة في رد الملة النصرانية بالإنجيل من قبل علم الكلام أ

الفيلسوف الطرابزوني or فيلسوف الطرابزون

الباطل ³

الفيلسوف الطرابزوني Or فيلسوف الطرابزون 5

ألزمهم ⁶

en archē ēn o logos kai o logos ēn pros ton theon kai theos ēn o logos (Kata Iannen 1:1).

⁹ John 1:1.

يجعلون 10 حجة و يقولون لما كان عيسى كلام الله كان إلها. أما كونه كلاما فلأنه كان واقعا فيه و مبشرا به. و أما كونه إلها فلما قال في الإنجيل و الكلام إله. و ليس كذلك لأن لفظ الكاف "ثؤس" في "كثؤس اين أو لوغوس" في موضع الواو العاطفة و "نُؤس" بفتح الثاء بمعنى لفظة الله على لسانهم و "ثُؤُس" بكسر الثاء في لغتهم العظيم و الجليل و المجيد و البديع فههنا هو المعنى الثاني لا الأول أي "كَثِؤس أين او لوغوس" بالكسر بمعنى "و الكلام عظيم أو مجيد أو جليل أو بديع". و الكفرة يغلطون و يقولون "كثَّؤس اين اوْ لوغوس" بالفتح بمعنى "و الكلام إله". و ليس كذلك لأنه لو كان الكلام إلها يلزم أن يكون آلهة كثيرة. فاللازم باطل و الملزوم مثله. أما بطلان اللازم فلأنه حينئذ يلزم أن يكون كل ما صدق عليه أنه كلام الله أن يكون إلها فيكون على هذا التقدير يحيى عليه السلام إلها لأنه قال الله تبارك و تعالى آية: "يا زكريا إنا نبشرك بغلام اسمه يحيى."11 و أيضا أن يكون ثعبان موسى إلها. لأنه قال الله تبارك و تعالى آية: "قال ألقها يا موسى فألقاها فإذا هي حية تسعى." 12 كما قال: "يا مريم إن الله يبشرك بكلمة منه اسمه المسيح عيسى ابن مريم."قدا و غير ذلك مما يصدق عليه أنه كلام الله أن يكون إلها و هو باطل بالبداهة. و أما الملزوم فلأنه إذا وضع الشيء و لزم منه باطل يكون ذلك الموضوع أيضا باطلا. فإذًا لا يكون عيسى إلها على تقدير كونه كلام الله و هو المطلوب. و هذا موافق بآية كريمة: "يا أهل الكتاب لا تغلوا في دينكم و لا تقولوا على الله إلا الحق إنما المسيح عيسى ابن مريم رسول الله و كلمته ألقيها 14 إلى مريم و روح منه."¹⁵

و أما في الصحاح 16 الثاني قتا ماتثؤن في قوله: "ابن أو كريوس أوتان الثي أوا اى سوس أو أوس تو آنثروبو انت ذوكس أفتو كبا نتس آيئ آنكلي

يجعلونه ¹⁰

¹¹ Q 19:7.

¹² Q 20:19-20.

¹³ Q 3:45.

ألقاها ¹⁴

¹⁵ Q 4:171.

الإصحاح 16

متافتو. 17 يعنى "إذا جاء عيسى ابن البشر على شهرته و معه كل الملائكة المقدسة. 18 أظهر عيسى عليه السلام صريحا بأنه ابن البشر و ليس بابن الله و كونه حادثا و ليس بقديم و واجب الوجود لأن واجب الوجود لذاته واجب الوجود من جميع جهاته بمعنى لا يتغير 21 صفة من صفاته. و هو موافق بآية كريمة: "و قالوا اتخذ الله ولدا سبحانه بل له ما في السموات و الأرض كل له قانتون. 20

و أما في الرابع في قوله: "تيس ا اورتيس مسوسس آنوي اواي اي سوس استواى ارون كاذي ذاسك كاثاو ماذون الى او ذئ لغون تس بوس اوتوس غراما تا اذمي مماثقوس آبقرث اون آفتيس اواى اى سوس كا بن اامي ذذاشي اوكستي ايمي آلاتو بمبسانتوسمه اان تس ثل تو ثليما آفتو باين ينوسته برتس ذذاخيش بو ترون اكتو ثؤاست اى اغو آبمافتو لا لواو آفافتولا لون تن ذوقسان تن اى ذيبا زتى اوذ زيتون تن ذوقسان تو بمسانتوس آفتون اوتوس آلش است كآذي كيا انافتو اوكست." يعنى "في وسط الصوم اطلع اوتوس آلشر است كآذى كيا انافتو اوكست." يعنى "في وسط الصوم اطلع عيسى على المنبر و وعظ و عجبوا اليهود حيث قالوا إنه ما قرأ حرفا من أحد. و أجابهم عيسى و قال لهم: موعظتى ليست منى بل ممن أرسلني. و من يطلب إرادته يفهم من موعظة هل هي من عند الله أو أنا أتكلم من تلقاء نفسي. من يكلم ثي بل ممن تلقاء نفسه يطلب اختياره الخاص. و من يطلب مراد

otan de elthē o uios tou anthrōpou en tē doxē autou kai pantes oi angeloi met autou (Kata Matthaion 25:31).

¹⁸ Matthew 25:31.

لا تتغير ¹⁹

²⁰ Q 2:116.

ēdē de tēs eortēs mesousēs anebē o iēsous eis to ieron kai edidasken. kai ethaumazon oi ioudaioi legontes põs outos grammata oiden mē memathēkōs. apekrithē oun autois o iēsous kai eipen ē emē didachē ouk estin emē alla tou pempsantos me. ean tis thelē to thelēma autou poiein gnōsetai peri tēs didachēs poteron ek tou theou estin ē egō ap emautou lalō. o aph eautou lalōn tēn doxan tēn idian zētei o de zētōn tēn doxan tou pempsantos auton outos alēthēs estin kai adikia en autō ouk estin (Kata Jannen 7:14-18).

عجب ²²

يتكلم ²³

من أرسله هو صادق و ليس عنده ظلم." أظهر عيسى عليه السلام أنه غير الله حيث قال "موعظتى ليست منى بل ممن أرسلنى"، فإذًا يكون عيسى غير الله. و قال أيضا "هل هي من عند الله أو أنا أتكلم من تلقاء نفسى" ففيه عيسى عليه السلام أظهر عظمة الله تبارك و تعالى و دناءة ذاته بالنسبة إلى الله تبارك و تعالى. و هو موافق بآية كريمة: "ما كان لبشر أن يؤتيه الله الكتاب و الحكم و النبوة ثم يقول للناس كونوا عبادا لى من دون الله." و هذا المعنى ظاهر بين الناس و في العرف إذا أرادوا تعظيم أمر قالوا إن هذا الأمر ليس منى بل أمر الأمير و يظهرون دناءتهم و عظمة الأمير.

و أما في الصحاح⁶² الرابع قتا ماتثؤن قوله: "ابن اوكر قرديباس آفتون انامي بستوسانتس سوثوسن اذاستن بتراس ااوتان آقوسوسن متاس خاراس ذخونته تونلوغون كاوت ريزان اوكخوسن اسبروشكرون بستو وسن كانكر وبر ان مو آفستانته توذاس تاس آقانش بسون اوت اسن اى آقوسانتس كا بومرمنون كبلوتو كا ذون توويؤ بوروومني سن بنغوند كآوته الفثروس توذاستن اغائن ين اوت ائسن آيتنس انقرذ آقالن كآغاثن اقوسانتس تونلوغون قته خوسن كقربوفوروس."⁷² يعني "قال المولى تمثيل هذا خرج الحراث أن⁸² يزرع البذر و في حين زراعته منه ما سقط على الطريق وفنا⁹² تحت الأقدام و أكله الطيور السماوية. و منه ما سقط على الحجر فيبس من حيث لا يوجد نشو و نما.³⁰ و منه ما سقط على الشوك لتماثل طبايعها.³¹ و

²⁴ John 7:14-18.

²⁵ Q 3:79.

الإصحاح ²⁶

kardias autōn ina mē pisteusantes sōthōsin. oi de epi tēs petras oi otan akousōsin meta charas dechontai ton logon kai outoi rizan ouk echousin oi pros kairon pisteuousin kai en kairō peirasmou aphistantai. to de eis tas akanthas peson outoi eisin oi akousantes kai upo merimnōn kai ploutou kai ēdonōn tou biou poreuomenoi sumpnigontai kai ou telesphorousin. to de en tē kalē gē outoi eisin oitines en kardia kalē kai agathē akousantes ton logon katechousin kai karpophorousin (Kata Loukan 8:12-15)

فني 28

لأن 29

³⁰ It is an Persian expression, the Arabic equivalent of which is النمو.

طبائعها ³¹

الآخر سقط على الأرض الخالص و حفظته و جعلت أكله 32 مائة أمثال. و سأله الحواريون قالوا له "ما هذا التمثيل؟" و أجابهم و قال البذر كلام الله. و ما هو على الطريق كان 33 السامعون حتى يجيء الشيطان و يخرج ذلك الكلام عن قلوبهم لأن لا يعتقدون و يفلحون. 34 و ما على الحجر هو الذي 35 يستمعون ذلك الكلام و يأخذون بالسرور و لما لم تكن لهم عروق يعتقدونه 36 فى قليل الوقت و إذا أصابهم زحمة يتركونه. و ما هو بين الشوك هو السامعون الذين بالأشغال و الغناء و غرور المال يمشون و يغرقون و لا يفلحون. و ما هو على الأرض الخالص السامعون بالقلب الصافى الخالص ذلك الكلام و يحفظونه و يجعلون³⁷ أكلا بالصبر."⁸⁸ هذا سلب لكون كلام الله إلها و إثبات بأن كلام الله لا ينحصر صدقه على عيسى عليه السلام فقط، بل يصدق على كثير. و هو موافق بآية كريمة: "يلقى الروح من أمره على من يشاء من عباده. "39 و ليس كلام الله عين ذات الله إذ الكلام غير المتكلم لأنه شبه الكلام بالبذر و المتكلم بالحراث. فإذا لو كان عيسى عليه السلام إلها على تقدير كونه كلام الله، لزم أن يكون كل ما صدق عليه أنه كلام الله أيضا إلها، فحينئذ لزم آلهة متعددة. فاللازم باطل بالبداهة فالملزوم مثله. و هو موافق بآية كريمة: "لو كان فيهما آلهة إلا الله لفسدتا. "40 و أما الملزوم لأنه إذا وضع الشيء و لزم منه محال أو باطل كان ذلك الملزوم أيضا باطلاً و محالاً و هو المطلوب.

و أما في الصحاح 4 الرابع عشر قتا اؤ آنن قوله: "اآن آغا باتمه تاس انتولاس تاس اماس ترساته كاغواروتسوتون باتراكآلون باراقليتون ذوسي

أكلته

لئلا يعتقدوا ويفلحوا

هم الذين

Luke 8:4-15; Mark 4: 2-20; Matthew 13:3-23.

Q 40:15.

Q 21:22.

الإصحاح

ايمن انامني مثمون استون ااونا توبتومايتس الثساس."42 يعنى "إن تحبوني، احفظوا وصاياي و أنا أطلب من الأب فيعطيكم باراقليتون آخر حتى يبقى لكم إلى الأبد و هو روح الحق. «⁴³ دال على أن لفظ الأب بمعنى المهدى و المربى و ليس بمعنى الوالد لأنه قال من الأب مطلقا و هو مشترك بين جميع الخلق بمعنى المهدى و المربى. و لو كان عيسى و هو فرد من أفراد الخلق إلها لزم أن يكون جميع الأفراد أيضا إلها. فاللازم بالباطل 44 و الملزوم مثله. و هو موافق بآية كريمة: "اتخذوا أحبارهم و رهبانهم أربابا من دون الله و المسيح ابن مريم و ما أمروا إلا ليعبدوا إلها واحدا لا إله إلا هو سبحانه عما يشركون. "45 و أيضا دال على أن عيسى عليه السلام حادث و ليس بقديم لأن كل طالب مفتقر بالضرورة و حادث و ممكن، و كل واحد منهما ليس بقديم. و لكن الله تبارك و تعالى قديم و واجب الوجود. فإذا عيسى عليه السلام ليس بواجب الوجود و ليس بإله و هو المطلوب. و أما قوله "فيعطيكم باراقليتون آخُر" دال على أحمد لأنه وصفه بروح الحق و هو أزيد الأوصاف و هو أحمد. و هذا القول موافق بآية كريمة: "يا بني إسرائل إني رسول الله إليكم مصدقا لما بين يدى من التوراة و مبشرا برسول يأتي من بعدى اسمه أحمد. "46 و أما قيد "الآخر" في قوله باراقليتون آخر، دفع قول الكفرة التي 47 يقولون إن باراقليتوس هو عيسي. [و هو موافق بآية كريمة: "الذين يتبعون الرسول النبي الأمي الذي يجدونه مكتوبا عندهم في التوراة و الإنجيل." [48]

و أما في الصحاح 49 المذكور قوله: "اى آغاباتمه اخارته آن اوت ايبون بوروومه بورستون باترا اوت اوباترمو ميزون است. 50 يعنى "إن تحبوني

ean agapate me tas entolas tas emas tērēsate. kai egō erōtēsō ton patera kai allon paraklēton dōsei umin ina menē meth umōn eis ton aiōna to pneuma tēs alētheias (Kata Iannen 14:15-17).

⁴³ John 14:15-17.

باطل بالبداهة or باطل بالبداهة

⁴⁵ Q 9:31.

⁴⁶ Q 61:6.

الذين ⁴⁷

⁴⁸ Q 7:157.

الإصحاح ⁴⁹

تفرحوا لأجل الذى قلت، أذهب إلى الأب لأن الأب أكبر منى." أظهر أن عيسى عليه السلام أصغر و أدنى من الله تعالى و أن رفعته بوصوله إلى الله تبارك تعالى. و لا شك أن الأدنى و الأصغر غير الأكبر، فإذا يكون اثنين. فلو كان عيسى إلها لزم أن يكون إلهين اثنين. و اللازم باطل بالضرورة و الملزوم مثله. و هو موافق بآية كريمة: "و قال الله لا تتخذوا إلهين اثنين إنما هو إله واحد." و أظهر أيضا كونه عبد الله لأنه لما كان عيسى أصغر و غيرا من الله تبارك و تعالى و حينئذ ما يكون عيسى إلا عبد الله لأن كل مخلوقات 53 عبد الله و الله تعالى سلطان خالق قديم و ملك قدير كريم. و هو موافق بآية كريمة: "لن يستنكف المسيح أن يكون عبدا لله و لا الملائكة المقربون." 54

و أما في تلك الصحاح 55 قوله: "اوذ باراقليتوس توبنوما تآييئون او بمسى او باتر ان تو اونوماتموا اكنوس ذذاكسى بانتا آابون ايمن." 56 يعنى أما باراقليتوس هو روح القدس الذي يرسله الأب على اسمى هو يعلمكم كل ما قلت لكم. 57 دال على القدوم المبارك لمحمد صلوات الله عليه لأن معنى باراقليتوس كاشف الخفيات ثم وصفه بروح القدس و هو أزيد الأوصاف بمعنى أحمد. ثم قال "باسمى" يعنى بمعنى النبوة. و هذا ليس إلا محمد رسول الله صلوات الله عليه. و هو موافق بآية كريمة: "هو الذي أرسل رسوله بالهدى و دين الحق ليظهره على الدين كله و كفى بالله شهيدا محمد رسول

ei ēgapate me echarēte an oti eipon poreuomai pros ton patera oti o patēr mou meizōn mou estin (Kata Iannen 14:28).

⁵¹ John 14:28.

⁵² Q 16:51.

المخلوقات ⁵³

⁵⁴ Q 4:172.

الإصحاح 55

o de paraklētos to pneuma to agion o pempsei o patēr en tō onomati mou ekeinos umas didaxei panta kai upomnēsei umas panta a eipon umin (Kata Iannen 14:26).

⁵⁷ John 14:26.

⁵⁸ Q 48:28-29.

و أما في الإنجيل قوله: "اغو امه اآنبلوس كاوباتر مواوبئورغوس است بان قلما انمى مى فرين قربون ارى آفتون كبان تون قربون فرون قرى آفتوانا بلئون قربون فرى." في النا الكرم و الأب الغراس كل زبير منى لا يأتى ثمرة يقطعه و كل ما يأتى ثمرة يربيه لأن يجعل ثمره زيادة. " فا دال على أن غرض عيسى عليه السلام إظهار صانعه و خالقه و مربيه و كون عيسى مصنوعا و مخلوقا. و لكن كل المخلوق و المصنوع حادث و مفتقر و كل مفتقر و حادث ليس بقديم و لا واجب الوجود بذاته. فإذا عيسى عليه السلام لا يكون إلها لأن الله تبارك و تعالى قديم و واجب الوجود بذاته و هو المطلوب.

و أما في الإنجيل قوله: "كباتر مو كباتر ايموني كثئوسمو كثئوس امون." أيي و أبيكم و إلهي و إلهكم." أك دال على ان مراد عيسى عليه السلام من الأب المهدى و المربى، حيث قال "أبي و أبيكم و إلهي و إلهكم". فلو كان مراده من الأب الوالد، ما يقول "أبيكم". و هو موافق بآية كريمة: "لقد كفر الذين قالوا إن الله هو المسيح ابن مريم و قال المسيح يا بني إسرائيل اعبدوا الله ربي و ربكم إنه من يشرك بالله فقد حرم الله عليه الجنة و مأويه النار و ما للظالمين من أنصار." فإذا لو كان عيسى ابن الله و إلها على تقدير قوله "أبي"، لزم أن يكون الحواريون أيضا ابناء الله و آلهة لأنه قال "و أبيكم". فاللازم باطل بالبداهة و الملزوم مثله. و هو موافق بآية كريمة: "ما كان الله أن يتخذ من ولد سبحانه إذا قضى أمرا فإنما يقول له كن فيكون. " وأ و أيضا دال على عبودية عيسى لأن كل من كان له إله، لا بد و أن يكون عبد الله و هو عبو دية عيسى لأن كل من كان له إله، لا بد و أن يكون عبد الله و هو عبو عبودية عيسى لأن كل من كان له إله، لا بد و أن يكون عبد الله و هو

_

egō eimi ē ampelos ē alēthinē kai o patēr mou o geōrgos estin. pan klēma en emoi mē pheron karpon airei auto kai pan to karpon pheron kathairei auto ina pleiona karpon pherē (Kata Iannen 15:1-2).

⁶⁰ John 15:1-2.

patera mou kai patera umōn kai theon mou kai theon umōn (Kata Iannen 20:17).

⁶² John 20:17.

⁶³ Q 5:72.

⁶⁴ Q 19:35.

المطلوب. و هو موافق بآية كريمة: "إن الله ربى و ربكم فاعبدوه هذا صراط مستقيم." 65

و أما في الإنجيل قوله: "سنفرايمن انا اغو آبلثوا آن غار اغو مي آبلثو او باراقلیتوس اوکلفسته بورست اماس اوتان دالث اکنوس تو بنوما تس آلثیاس اوذييس اماس اس باسان تن آلثيان اوغار لالس آفافتو آلوسان آقوسي لالس كتا ارخومنا آناكلي امن اكنوس امن ذوق ساس."66 يعني "نافع لكم أن أنطلق أنا عنكم لأنه إن لم أنطلق أنا عنكم لم يأت باراقليتوس لكم و أما إذا جاء من هو روح الصدق يرشدكم إلى كل الصداقة إذ لا يتكلم عن تلقاء نفسه بل كما سمعه يتكلم و ما سيجئ ينبهكم و هو يشهرني." ⁶⁷ دال على أن عيسي عليه السلام بشّر الحواريين و النصاري بالقدوم المبارك لمحمد رسول الله و أظهر رجحان محمد عليه السلام على نفسه، حيث قال عيسى عليه السلام "نافع لكم أن أنطلق أنا عنكم لأنه إن لم أنطلق أنا عنكم لا يأت باراقليتوس لكم و أما إذا جاء من هو روح الصدق يرشدكم إلى كل الصداقة" كأنه قال لمّا لم تنتفعوا منى كل نفع، ينبغي أن أذهب أنا عنكم و أن يأتي من هو روح الصدق الذي له رجحان و نفع أكثر مني حتى تنتفعوا عنه 68 أكثر نفع، حيث قال "يرشدكم إلى كل الصداقة". و هو موافق بآية كريمة: "يا أيها النبي إنا أرسلناك شاهدا و مبشرا و نذيرا و داعيا إلى الله بإذنه و سراجا منيرا و بشر المؤمنين بأن لهم من الله فضلا كبيرا. "69 و أوصاهم بأن يؤمنوا به و يصدقوه و يعتقدوا القرآن المجيد، حيث قال "هو روح الصدق و لا يتكلم عن تلقاء نفسه بل يتكلم كما سمعه"، أي من حضرة الحق تبارك و تعالى و هو القرآن. و هو موافق بآية كريمة: "و ما ينطق عن الهوى إن هو إلا وحي يوحي."⁷⁰ و

⁶⁵ Q 3:51.

sumpherei umin ina egō apelthō ean gar egō mē apelthō o paraklētos ouk eleusetai pros umas... otan de elthē ekeinos to pneuma tēs alētheias odēgēsei umas eis pasan tēn alētheian ou gar lalēsei aph eautou all osa an akousē lalēsei kai ta erchomena anangelei umin. ekeinos eme doxasei (Kata Iannen 16:7, 13-14).

⁶⁷ John 16:7, 13-14.

⁶⁸

⁶⁹ Q 33:45-47.

⁷⁰ Q 53:3-4.

أيضا أن يصدقوه و يعتقدونه 71 بما يقول لأجل حقيقة عيسى عليه السلام قال "و هو يشهرنى". و هو موافق بآية كريمة: "يا أيها الذين آمنوا آمنوا بالله و رسوله و الكتاب الذي نزل على رسوله."

و أما في الإنجيل قوله: "الى الى لم سبحتني تو تست ثامو ثامو انات م ان قتلبس."73 يعنى "إلهي إلهي لم سبحتني."74 دال على أن عيسى عليه السلام صريحا و واضحا أظهر عبوديته و عجزه، و كونه طالبا من الله تبارك و تعالى رحمة و مددا و استعانة لأن "الى الى لم سبحتنى" كلام عربي، يعنى "إلهي إلهى لم سبحتني" بمعنى "لم فرغت عنى." و لما لم يكن في حروف النصارى هاء أسقطوا الهاء و بقي "الى الى". و هذا التصحيح ظاهر بتفسيره الذي فسره عيسي عليه السلام على عقبه فقال "توتست"، بمعنى يعني و "ثامو ثامو"، بمعنى "إلهي إلهي" فإن لفظ "ثامو ثامو" في لغة النصاري بمعنى "إلهي إلهي". و هذا المعنى لا ينكره الكفرة حيث قال في الإنجيل إذا أراد اليهود أن يقتلوا عيسى بكى و فزع و تضرع و نادى بالصوت الشديد "الى الى لم سبحتني". و هو موافق بآية كريمة: "لقد كفر الذين قالوا إن الله هو المسيح ابن مريم قل فمن يملك من الله شيئا إن أراد أن يهلك المسيح ابن مريم و أمه و من في الأرض جميعا."⁷⁵ فإذا فيه عيسى عليه السلام أظهر صريحا عبوديته و عجزه. و هو موافق بآية كريمة: "قال إني عبد الله آتاني الكتاب و جعلني نبياً."⁷⁶ و كونه مفتقراً و طالباً و راجياً من الله تبارك و تعالى استعانة و مدداً و رحمة كسائر الناس. و هو موافق بآية كريمة: يا أيها الناس أنتم الفقراء إلى الله و الله هو الغنى الحميد. 77 و كل مفتقر حادث و كل حادث ليس بقديم و إله، بل مخلوق و عبد الخالق. و لكن الله تبارك و تعالى قديم و واجب الوجود.

يعتقدوا ⁷¹

⁷² Q 4:136.

ēlei ēlei lema sabachthanei tout estin thee mou thee mou inati me enkatelipes (Kata Matthaion 27:46; Kata Markon 15:34).

⁷⁴ Matthew 27:46; Mark 15:34.

⁷⁵ Q 5:17.

⁷⁶ Q 19:30.

⁷⁷ Q 35:15.

فإذا عيسى ليس بإله بل مخلوق كسائر المخلوقات. و هو موافق بآية كريمة: "ان مثل عيسى عند الله كمثل آدم خلقه من تراب ثم قال له كن فيكون."⁷⁸

و مثل هذا⁷⁹ الحجج و البراهين كثير في الإنجيل لكن في سلب ألوهية عيسى و إثبات كونه عبد الله و إثبات القدوم المبارك لحضرة محمد رسول الله عليه السلام و كونه نبيا و رسول الله يكفى هذا المقدار. و أما سائر الإنجيل أكثره حكايات و مناقب و معجزات عيسى عليه السلام عن أفواه الحواريين و ليست حكايات عن حضرة الله تبارك و تعالى كالقرآن المجيد الذي كل من يقرأ و يطلع على آياته و بلاغاته و فصاحاته يعلم و يعتقد بأنه كلام الله و معجز و ليس لأحد و لا لكل الأفراد من الإنس و الجن قدرة أن يجعلوا مثله كما قال الله تبارك و تعالى: "قل لإن اجتمعت الإنس و الجن على أن يأتوا بمثل هذا القرآن لا يأتون بمثله و لو كان بعضهم لبعض ظهيرا."80

و أما من تلك الحكايات في الإنجيل أحدها هذا الصحاح: السخور اكتو ارخون تس الثون توا اى سوبورس كنى افتولغون اوتي ا ثغاتر موآرت اتلفسن الا الثون ابتس تن شرا سوا ابافتين كزستن كايرثس اوااى سوس اقولوثسن افتوكاى ماثته آفتو كا ذوينى آمورموروؤسا ذوذقا ات برو سلثو سا اوبستن ابساتو تو قرابذو تو اماتئو آفتو الين غار انآفتى اآن مونون آبسومه تو اماتئوا افتو س ثوم اوذ اى اسوس ابس ترافس كا ذو آفتن ابن ثارس ثغاتر اى بستسو سسو كنسه كا سوث اينى ابوتس اوراس اكنس كالثون اوا ايسوس استن اكيا توآرخوندوس كا ذون توس اوليتوس كثون اوخلون ثوربوومنون ليئ آفتيس اناخورته او غار ابثانن توقوراسئون آلاقا ثوذ كقاتيلون آفتو اوتذ اكسولت او اوخلوس اسلثون اكراتسن تس شروس آفتس كا يرث تو قوراسئون كاكسلثن افمى آفتساس اولن تن ين اكنن." 82

⁷⁸ Q 3:59.

^{79 ;.}

⁸⁰ Q 17:88.

الإصحاح 81

archön eis elthön prosekunei autö legön oti ē thugatēr mou arti eteleutēsen alla elthön epithes tēn cheira sou ep autēn kai zēsetai. kai egertheis o iēsous

يعنى فى تلك الزمان جاء حاكم عند عيسى و تضرع و قال له بنتى الآن ماتت و لكن أنت تعال و تضع يدك عليها و يحييها⁸³. و قام عيسى و اتبعه و معه الحواريون. و فى عقبه جاءت امرأة كانت تجرى⁸⁴ دمها اثني عشر سنة و مست طرف ثوبه و قالت فى نفسها إن لمسنى ثوبه يشفينى. و رجع عيسى و نظرها⁸⁵ و قال بنتى اعلم⁸⁶ أن اعتقادك يشفيك. و صحت المرأة فى ذلك الزمان. و لما جاء عيسى فى بيت الحاكم و رأى أهل بيته و الجمعية⁸⁷ كلهم تبكون،⁸⁸ قال لهم اخرجوا إذ ما ماتت الجارية بل جالسة و يسخرونه. و لما خرجوا، دخل عيسى و أخذ بيدها و قامت الجارية. و انتشرت تلك المعجزة فى ذلك الأرض كلها.⁸⁹

و الله أعلم.

ēkolouthēsen autō kai oi mathētai autou. kai idou gunē aimorroousa dōdeka etē proselthousa opisthen ēpsato tou kraspedou tou imatiou autou. elegen gar en eautē ean monon apsōmai tou imatiou autou sōthēsomai. o de iēsous epistrapheis kai idōn autēn eipen tharsei thugater ē pistis sou sesōken se kai esōthē ē gunē apo tēs ōras ekeinēs. kai elthōn o iēsous eis tēn oikian tou archontos kai idōn tous aulētas kai ton ochlon thoruboumenon. legei autois anachōreite ou gar apethanen to korasion alla katheudei kai kategelōn autou. ote de exeblēthē o ochlos eiselthōn ekratēsen tēs cheiros autēs kai ēgerthē to korasion. kai exēlthen ē phēmē autē eis olēn tēn gēn ekeinēn (Kata Matthaion 9:18-26).

```
إن ابنتي الآن ماتت لكن تعال و ضع يدك عليها فتحيا ^{83}
```

يجرى 84

إليها ⁸⁵

اعلمي ⁸⁶

الجماعة ⁸⁷

يبكون ⁸⁸

⁸⁹ Matthew 9:18-26; Mark 5:22-43; Luke 8:41-56.

REFERENCES

- Adang, Camilia, "Guided to Islam by the Torah: The *Risāla al-hādiya* by 'Abd al-Salām al-Muhtadī al-Muḥammadī," in Camilla Adang and Sabine Schmidtke (eds.), *Contacts and Controversies between Muslims, Jews and Christians in the Ottoman Empire and Pre-Modern Iran* (Würzburg: Ergon Verlag in Kommission, 2010), 57-72.
- Aḥmad Midḥat Efendī, *Mudāfaʿa* (Istanbul: Tarjumān-i Ḥaqīqat Maṭbaʿasi, 1300 H [1883]).
- _____ *Tārīkh-i Adyān* (Istanbul: Ḥurriyyet Maṭbaʿasi, 1329 H [1911]).
- Aydın, Mehmet, Müslümanların Hıristiyanlığa Karşı Yazdığı Reddiyeler ve Tartışma Konuları [Muslim Polemics against Christianity and the Controversial Issues] (Konya: Selçuk Üniversitesi Yayınları, 1989).
- Coşan, Mahmud Esad, Risâle-i İslâmiyye: *Matbaacı İbrahim-i Müteferrika ve* Risâle-i İslâmiyye *Adlı Eserinin Tenkitli Metni* [Risāla-i Islāmiyya: *Ibrāhīm Mutafarriqa, the Printer, and the Critical Edition of His* Risāla-i Islāmiyya] (Istanbul: Server İletişim, 2010).
- Esen, Hilal, *Sebîlürreşad'da Öteki Dinlerle İlgili Yazıların Değerlendirilmesi* [A Study on the Papers concerning Other Religions Published in Sabīl al-rashād] (MA thesis; Sakarya: Sakarya University, 2008).
- Kahraman, Aslı, 1912-1925 Yılları Arasında Sebilürreşad Dergisi'nde Yayınlanan Hıristiyanlıkla İlgili Makaleler ve Tahlilleri [The Papers concerning Christianity in Sabīl al-rashād between 1912-1925 and Their Analysis] (MA thesis; Adana: Çukurova University, 2009).
- Karayel, Elif, *Dinler Tarihi Açısından Ahmed Mithat Efendi* [*Aḥmad Midḥat Efendī in the Science of History of Religions*] (MA thesis; Istanbul: Marmara University, 2002).
- Saydishahrī, Maḥmūd Asʿad "Allah'ın Kelamı ve Allah'ın Kelimesi İkileminde Hz. İsa [Jesus in the Dilemma of *Kalām Allāh* versus *Kalimat Allāh*] (=Mudāfaʿa: Kalimat Allāh Taʿālā'ya Dāʾir Khuṭba: I [Apology: Sermon on the Kalimat Allāh]" (translated into modern Turkish by Muhammet Tarakçı), *Uludağ Üniversitesi İlahiyat Fakültesi Dergisi* [*The Review of the Faculty of Theology, Uludağ Üniversity*] VII/7 (1998), 737-752.
- Schmidtke, Sabine, "Epistle forcing the Jews [to admit their error] with regard to what they contend about the Torah, by dialectical reasoning (*Risālat ilzām al-yahūd fīmā zaʿamū fī l-tawrāt min qibal ʿilm al-kalām*) by al-Salām 'Abd al-ʿAllām: A critical edition," in Camilla Adang and Sabine Schmidtke (eds.), *Contacts and Controversies be-*

- tween Muslims, Jews and Christians in the Ottoman Empire and Pre-Modern Iran (Würzburg: Ergon Verlag in Kommission, 2010), 73-82.
- Schmidtke, Sabine and Adang, Camilla, "Aḥmad b. Muṣṭafā Ṭāshkubrīzāde's (d. 968/1561) Polemical Tract against Judaism," *al-Qantara* XXIX/1 (2008), 79-113.
- Taşpınar, İsmail, *Hacı Abdullah Petrici'nin Hıristiyanlık Eleştirisi* [*Ḥājī 'Abd Allāh al-Patrījī's Polemic against Christianity*] (Istanbul: İnsan Yayınları, 2008).
- Yumak, Yaşa, İslâm-Hıristiyan Polemiği Açısından Ahmed Midhat Efendi [Aḥmad Midḥat Efendī in the tradition of Anti-Christian Polemics] (MA thesis; Istanbul: Marmara University, 2001).