

Orijinal araştırma (Original article)

Natural cellular immunity in field-collected insects from Hatay province by assessing nodulation¹

Hatay yöresinden toplanan böceklerde oluşan hücrel bağışıklığın nodülasyon testi ile tespiti

Hasan TUNAZ^{2*}

Ali A. IŞIKBER²

M. Kubiay ER²

Summary

Natural microbial infections to insects collected from agrarian fields surrounding Hatay Province, Turkey were determined by assessing nodulation which is one of insect cellular immunity. After identifying insect specimens, the insects were dissected to assess numbers of nodules. Nodulation is one of the predominant cellular immune reactions to microbial infections and the nodules are permanently attached to internal surfaces of the insects. We collected about 660 insect specimens for nodulation and found nodules in 99 % out of all the examined specimens. Appearance of examined insects was healthy. Number of nodules in each insect ranged from 1 to 118. Our results indicated that insects are regularly challenged by microbial infections in nature and insect immune systems can limit the host range and effectiveness of microbial agents deployed in biological control programs. Therefore, understanding insect immune systems is important for the efficacy and use of microbial pesticides in biological control of insects.

Keywords: Insect immunology, naturally occurring infections, nodulation

Özet

Bu çalışma Hatay yöresinden toplanan böceklerde doğada mikrobiyal hastalıklara karşı oluşan hücrel bağışıklığı ortaya koymak için yürütülmüştür. Böcek türleri teşhis edildikten sonra doğal mikrobiyal enfeksiyonlara karşı oluşan hücrel bağışıklıklardan nodülasyon testi için böcekler buz üzerinde bayıltılarak mikroskop altında vücutları kesilerek (dissect) açılmıştır. Böceklerde nodülasyon mikrobiyal enfeksiyonlara karşı oluşturulan hücrel bağışıklardan birisi olup böceğin iç organlarında görülmektedir. Çalışmada yaklaşık 660 böcek bireyi nodülasyon reaksiyonu için test edilmiş ve test edilen böceklerde % 99 oranında nodüle rastlanmıştır. Böcek bireylerinde nodül sayısı 1 ile 118 arasında değişmiştir. Bu sonuçlar doğada böceklerin mikrobiyal enfeksiyonlarla karşı karşıya olduğunu, böceklerin bu enfeksiyonların üstesinden gelebildiğini ve doğada böcek bağışıklığını anlamının zararlı böceklerle mücadelede kullanılacak mikrobiyal pestisitlerin etkinliğinin ve kullanımının artırılması bakımından önemli olduğunu ortaya çıkarmıştır.

Anahtar sözcükler: Böcek bağışıklığı, doğal oluşan enfeksiyon, nodülasyon

¹ This study was supported by The Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TUBİTAK Project no: 110O159)

² KSU, Faculty of Agriculture, Plant Protection Department, Kahramanmaraş, Turkey

* Corresponding author (Sorumlu yazar) email: htunaz@ksu.edu.tr

Alınış (Received): 26.01.2016 Kabul edilmiş (Accepted): 12.02.2016 Çevrimiçi Yayın Tarihi (Published Online): 26.02.2016

Introduction

Insects are regularly infected by entomopathogens including viruses, fungi and bacteria in nature. These entomopathogenic microbes can regulate insect populations in nature (Lacey et al., 2001). Insect diseases and the possibilities of using insect disease agents in biological control of insects have been known since 1957 (Steinhaus, 1957; Tanada, 1959). Currently entomopathogens including viruses, fungi, bacteria, protozoans, parasitoids, nematodes and predators are commercially used in biocontrol of insect pests, weeds and plant diseases.

Lomer (1999) mentioned that the success and failure of biocontrol of insects mostly depend on various factors, including costs, the context of comprehensive IPM programs, education of users, government activities, as well as political and environmental concerns. However, biological issues are important for successful biocontrol of insects. These biological issues include the ecological level of microbe-host population dynamics and the molecular and cell biology of host defense mechanisms, such as, insect immunity, one of crucial barriers to the success of insect biocontrol programs.

Insect immunity is comprised of a number of systems. These are physical barriers, cellular and humoral immunity. Integument and alimentary canal of insects protect them from microbial invasions as physical barriers. Once microbes pass the physical barriers surrounding insect bodies, insect cellular immunity, including phagocytosis and nodule formation, takes action against microbial invaders (Lavine & Strand, 2002; Stanley & Miller, 2006). Finally, the humoral immune system of insect, which involves anti-microbial peptides, takes some hours to activate (Lemaitre & Hoffmann, 2007). Insects also express behavioral fevers following infection. The combined arsenal of immune effector mechanisms allows insects to either stifle infections at their onset or to overcome invasions and infections.

We cannot figure out which insect immunity functions protect insects from microbial infections in nature and we do not know how insect immunity can influence biocontrol programs. However, Ouedraogo et al. (2004) mentioned that insect febrile reactions alone may affect the effectiveness of fungal biocontrol agents in laboratory and field experiments. Therefore, insect defense mechanisms can limit the effectiveness of microbes deployed for biocontrol of insect. Now, we have also known that most insects in agrarian habitats of Kahramanmaraş and Adana/Turkey experience naturally occurring infections and the insects recover from invading microbes with fast-acting cellular defense actions, including nodule formation (Tunaz & Stanley, 2009; Tunaz et al., 2015). Their work also showed that insect cellular defense mechanism, nodulation, was affected by various factors including location, season, altitude, taxonomic position, and biological stage of insects collected. Hence, to broaden this area, in this paper we investigated natural microbial infections to field-collected insects from Hatay Province, another different geographic area, by assessing nodulation, which is one of the insect cellular immune reactions. For this purpose, we hypothesized that most insects in nature experienced microbial infections and they overcome the infections. If the hypothesis is true, insect immunity can limit the effectiveness of microbial-based biocontrol programs. Here is the report of our research results to test the hypothesis.

Materials and Methods

Organisms

Insects were collected from Hatay Province of Turkey from April, 2011 until September, 2013. Insects were collected either by hand or a net. We identified and recorded the collected insect species and their biological stages, the collection sites and site altitudes. We transferred the insects to the laboratory (20 ± 1 °C, 60 ± 5 % RH) at Kahramanmaraş Sütçü İmam University. The insects were further identified to mostly species level. Voucher insect specimens were kept in the Entomology Collection, Kahramanmaraş Sütçü İmam University.

Assessing nodulation

For nodulation assay, identified live insects were anesthetized by chilling on ice and then their hemocoels were exposed. We counted nodules under a stereo microscope at 45x. The determination of nodules and level of cellular immune response are based on Miller & Stanley (1998), who identified nodules as distinct, melanized, brownish-black color nodules and the number of nodules reflected the extent of cellular immune response to infections. The internal tissues including gonads, fat bodies and others were carefully probed for previously unseen nodules.

Statistical analysis

Data on nodulation were analyzed using the General Linear Models procedure, and mean comparisons were made using Duncan test (SAS Institute Inc., 1989).

Results

A total of 66 different insect species collected during winter, spring, summer and fall of 2011, 2012 and 2013 were checked for nodulation (Tables 1-3). Thirteen different insect species belonging to Lepidoptera, Hemiptera, Coleoptera, Orthoptera and Phasmida in 2011, fourthy-four different insect species belonging to Lepidoptera, Hemiptera, Coleoptera, Orthoptera, Diptera, Hymenoptera, Odonata and Phasmida in 2012, fifty-six different insect species belonging to Lepidoptera, Hemiptera, Coleoptera, Orthoptera, Diptera, Hymenoptera, Odonata, Dermaptera and Phasmida in 2013 were collected from various plants and soil and were checked for nodulation (Tables 1-3). We saw nodules in 99 % of the 660 specimens examined, although the range of nodules/specimen (from 1 nodule/insect to >118 nodules/insect) was quite wide.

Generally, more nodules were seen in the insects associated with soil than in those collected from plants (Table 4). Specifically, we observed higher number of nodules in orthopteran specimens and sunn pest adults. There were more nodules in orthopteran specimens and sunn pest adults associated with soil (Tables 1- 3). It was also noted that the overwintered generations of *Ostrinia nubilalis*, *Sesamia nonagrioides* and *Eurygaster integriceps* had much more nodules compared to new generation larvae and adults (Table 1- 3). Examining insect orders for nodulation assay, significantly more nodules were recorded from orthopteran species than lepidopteran, hemipteran and coleopteran species (Table 6), which is logical because orthopteran species were mostly collected from soil. There were no nodulation differences in number among biological stages of insects. It was recorded statistically similar numbers of nodules in larvae, nymphs and adults of insect species (Table 5). While the insects collected at lower altitudes had more nodules than the insects collected at higher altitudes in 2011, there were no nodulation number differences in collecting altitudes of insects in 2012 and 2013 (Table 7). Putting together, insect orders in contact with soil are probably the main associations with higher number of nodules. However, the actual occurrence of natural infection may be a random event with no predominant patterns. On the other hand, the data indicate virtually all insects had experienced infection(s) in nature.

Table 1. Average numbers of nodules in insects collected from various sources in Hatay Province in 2011. Values indicate numbers of discrete nodules \pm SEM. Collection dates are dd/m/yr

	Average number of nodules	Sources	Developmental stages	Collection dates, altitudes
Lepidoptera				
<i>Pieris brassicae</i>	59.4 \pm 18.6	Weeds	Larvae	28/04/11, 350m
<i>Pieris brassicae</i>	12.3 \pm 3.6	Cabbage	Larvae	21/10/11, 420m
<i>Pieris rapae</i>	2.7 \pm 0.92	Cabbage	Larvae	21/10/11, 420m
<i>Ostrinia nubilalis</i>	3.6 \pm 0.94	Corn stalk	Larvae	21/10/11, 420m
<i>Sesamia nonagrioides</i>	6.1 \pm 1.84	Corn stalk	Larvae	21/10/11, 420m
<i>Heliothis armigera</i>	12.2 \pm 5.22	Cabbage	Larvae	21/10/11, 420m
Hemiptera				
<i>Eurygaster integriceps</i>	11.8 \pm 10.4	Wheat	New generation adults	01/06/11, 150m
<i>Eurygaster integriceps</i>	117.5 \pm 38.2	Wheat	Wintered adults	28/04/11, 150m
<i>Nezara viridula</i>	5.7 \pm 1.19	Beans	Adults	21/10/11, 420m
<i>Eurydema ornatum</i>	2.7 \pm 0.49	Radish	Nymphs	21/10/11, 420m
<i>Eurydema ornatum</i>	3.4 \pm 0.78	Radish	Adults	21/10/11, 420m
Coleoptera				
<i>Capnodis</i> spp.	11.1 \pm 3.4	Apricot	Adults	23/04/11, 500m
<i>Coccinella septempunctata</i>	2.5 \pm 0.88	Weeds	Adults	21/10/11, 420m
Orthoptera				
Acrididae	6 \pm 2.57	Weeds	Adults	21/10/11 420 m
<i>Gryllus assimilis</i>	20.8 \pm 5.14	Soil	Adults	21/10/11, 420m
Phasmida				
<i>Gratidia</i> sp.	28.5 \pm 7.90	Soil	Adults	21/10/11 420 m

Table 2. Average numbers of nodules in insects collected from various sources in Hatay Province in 2012. Values indicate numbers of discrete nodules \pm SEM. Collection dates are dd/m/yr

	Average number of nodules	Sources	Developmental stages	Collection dates, altitudes
Lepidoptera				
<i>Arctia</i> sp.	13.9 \pm 1.9	Weeds	Larvae	26/03/12, 420m
<i>Pieris brassicae</i>	48.6 \pm 17.3	Weeds	Larvae	23/04/12, 300m
<i>Pieris brassicae</i>	9.7 \pm 1.64	Cabbage	Larvae	19/11/12, 411m
<i>Pieris brassicae</i>	20.5 \pm 2.4	Cabbage	Larvae	24/12/12, 410m
<i>Papilio machaon</i>	20.7 \pm 2.6	Weeds	Larvae	20/06/12,,270m
<i>Thaumetopoea pityocampa</i>	14.2 \pm 4.3	Pine	Larvae	20/6/12,700m
<i>Colias croceus</i>	1.44 \pm 0.41	Weeds	Adults	12/07/12,,310m
<i>Ostrinia nubilalis</i>	5.61.6	Corn stalk	Larvae	19/11/12, 334m
<i>Sesamia nonagrioides</i>	8.6 \pm 3.52	Corn stalk	Larvae	19/11/12, 334m
<i>Pieris rapae</i>	1.2 \pm 0.37	Cabbage	Adults	22/10/12, 380m
<i>Pieris rapae</i>	11.7 \pm 2.1	Cabbage	Larvae	19/11/12, 411m
<i>Pieris rapae</i>	22.5 \pm 4.5	Cabbage	Larvae	24/12/12, 410m
<i>Pieris rapae</i>	5.5 \pm 1.5	Cabbage	Larvae	21/01/13, 410m
<i>Pieris rapae</i>	6.7 \pm 0.73	Cabbage	Adults	18/02/13, 387m
<i>Helicoverpa armigera</i>	5.42 \pm 1.13	Cabbage	Larvae	22/10/12, 350m
<i>Helicoverpa armigera</i>	36.33 \pm 5.6	Alfalfa	Larvae	19/11/12,164m
<i>Helicoverpa armigera</i>	25 \pm 1.7	Alfalfa	Larvae	24/12/12, 164m
<i>Acronicta</i> spp.	17 \pm 1.15	Alfalfa	Larvae	19/11/12, 164m
Coleoptera				
<i>Cantharis</i> sp.	5 \pm 1.14	Wheat	Adults	26/3/12, 100m
<i>Cantharis</i> sp.	3.4 \pm 0.75	Wheat	Adults	23/04/12,100m
<i>Cantharis</i> sp.	3.1 \pm 0.72	Wheat	Adults	18/02/13, 360m
Carabidae	7.5 \pm 1.25	Weeds	Adults	28/05/12,420m
Carabidae	17.25 \pm 2.91	Weeds	Adults	05/06/12,320m
<i>Coccinella septempunctata</i>	3.2 \pm 0.6	Weeds	Adults	15/05/12, 420m
<i>Coccinella septempunctata</i>	4.66 \pm 1.62	Weeds	Adults	13/06/12, 400m
<i>Coccinella septempunctata</i>	2.7 \pm 0.78	Weeds	Adults	22/10/12, 389m
<i>Coccinella septempunctata</i>	0.66 \pm 0.33	Weeds,	Larvae	19/11/12, 164m
<i>Coccinella septempunctata</i>	2.6 \pm 0.68	Weeds	Adults	19/11/12, 164m
<i>Coccinella septempunctata</i>	2.5 \pm 1.7	Weeds	Adults	24/12/12, 180m
<i>Coccinella septempunctata</i>	0.9 \pm 0.31	Wheat	Adults	18/02/13, 361m
<i>Larinus latus</i>	9.7 \pm 1.37	Weeds	Adults	20/06/12, 400m
<i>Oxythyrea cinctella</i>	11.5 \pm 0.5	Weeds	Adults	13/06/12, 400m
<i>Hippodemia variegata</i>	0.428 \pm 0.2	Weeds	Adults	29/06/12, 270m
<i>Coccinella bipunctata</i>	0.57 \pm 0.29	Weeds	Adults	12/07/12, 310m
<i>Hypera variabilis</i>	0.5 \pm 0.5	Alfalfa	Adults	24/12/12, 164m
Staphylinidae	17.13 \pm 6.24	Soil	Adults	21/01/12, 138m
Hemiptera				
<i>Dolycoris baccarum</i>	5.87 \pm 0.87	Weeds	Adults	08/06/12,400m
<i>Dolycoris baccarum</i>	1.6 \pm 0.4	Weeds	Nymphs	28/05/12, 420m
<i>Dolycoris baccarum</i>	22.4 \pm 6.24	Weeds	Adults	15/05/12,420m
<i>Carpocoris mediterraneus</i>	18 \pm 5.56	Weeds	Adults	15/05/12, 420m
<i>Carpocoris mediterraneus</i>	30 \pm 6	Weeds	Adults	08/06/12, 40 m
<i>Eurydema ornatum</i>	4.7 \pm 1.35	Corn	Adults	26/03/12, 420m
<i>Eurydema ornatum</i>	4.37 \pm 0.82	Weeds	Nymphs	8/06/12, 400m
<i>Eurydema ornatum</i>	3.5 \pm 0.80	Weeds	Nymphs	12/07/12, 400 m
<i>Eurydema ornatum</i>	3.5 \pm 0.5	Alfalfa	Adults	19/11/12, 164m
Cercopidae	0.7 \pm 0.26	Weeds	Adults	26/03/12, 100 m
<i>Eurygaster integriceps</i>	105.1 \pm 14.078	Soil	Wintered adults	23/04/12, 90m
<i>Eurygaster integriceps</i>	12 \pm 1.53	Wheat	New generation adults	20/06/12, 390m
Miridae	5.1 \pm 1.7	Weeds	Adults	15/05/12, 320m
Miridae	6.6 \pm 4.17	Weeds	Adults	13/06/12, 390m
<i>Aelia rostrata</i>	7.5 \pm 2.5	Wheat	New generation adults	20/06/12,390m
<i>Ancyrosoma leucogrammes</i>	10.66 \pm 3.71	Weeds	Adults	08/06/12, 381m
<i>Ancyrosoma leucogrammes</i>	2 \pm 0.57	Weeds	Adults	12/07/12, 400m
Cicadidae	6.6 \pm 1.77	Weeds	Adults	13/06/12, 400 m
<i>Nezara viridula</i>	5.5 \pm 0.94	Weeds	Adults	20/6/12, 230m
<i>Rhynocoris</i> sp	10 \pm 1.73	Weeds	Adults	13/06/12, 39 m

Table 2. (Continued)

	Average number of nodules	Sources	Developmental stages	Collection dates, altitudes
Orthoptera				
Acridae	18 ± 3.57	Weeds	Adults	28/05/12 420m
Acridae	37 ± 1.6	Weeds	Adults	13/06/12 400m
Acridae	30.5 ± 5.139	Weeds	Adults	12/07/12 428m
Acridae	23.87 ± 2.71	Weeds	Adults	22/10/12, 389m
Acridae	52.3 ± 3.6	Soil	Adults	19/11/12, 175m
Acridae	54 ± 9.6	Soil	Adults	24/12/12, 385m
Acridae	39 ± 6.8	Weeds	Adults	21/01/12, 138m
<i>Poecilimon</i> spp.(Tettigoniidae)	38 ± 11.48	Weeds	Nymphs	13/06/12, 400m
<i>Poecilimon</i> spp.(Tettigoniidae)	29.75 ± 6.58	Weeds	Nymphs	29/6/12, 428m
<i>Gryllus bimaculatus</i>	15.2 ± 11	Weeds	Adults	21/01/12, 140m
<i>Gryllus bimaculatus</i>	28.32 ± 3.8	Soil	Adults	18/02/12, 351m
Diptera				
<i>Eristalis tenax</i>	12.5 ± 2.65	Weeds	Adults	04/04/12, 420m
Hymenoptera				
<i>Cephus pygmaeus</i>	4.3 ± 0.66	Weeds	Larvae	28/5/12 420m
Apidae	20.7 ± 2.6	Weeds	Adults	13/06/12,270m
<i>Apis mellifera</i>	5.1 ± 0.79	Weeds	Adults	29/06/12 383m
<i>Bombus</i> sp.	3 ± 0.36	Weeds	Adults	12/7/12 420m
Xylocopidae	13.3 ± 4.5	Weeds	Adults	18/02/13, 380m
Odonata				
Libellulidae	28.5 ± 1.5	Weeds	Adults	29/06/12 400m
<i>Libellula depressa</i>	27.5 ± 3.5	Weeds	Adults	22/10/12, 389m
<i>Libellula depressa</i>	67.26 ± 13.14	Weeds	Adults	19/11/12, 164m
<i>Anax imperator</i>	55.12 ± 16.14	Weeds	Adults	19/11/12, 164m
Phasmida				
<i>Gratidia</i> sp.	9.7 ± 2.78	Weeds	Adults	12/7/12 270m

Table 3. Average numbers of nodules in insects collected from various sources in Hatay Province in 2013. Values indicate numbers of discrete nodules ± SEM. Collection dates are dd/m/yr

	Average number of nodules	Sources	Developmental stages	Collection dates, altitudes
Lepidoptera				
<i>Ostrinia nubilalis</i>	14.8±2.1	Corn stalk	Wintered larvae	21/01/13, 338m
<i>Ostrinia nubilalis</i>	28.6±1.91	Corn stalk	Wintered larvae	18/02/13, 105m
<i>Sesamia nonagrioides</i>	17 ± 3.2	Corn stalk	Wintered larvae	21/01/13, 105m
<i>Sesamia nonagrioides</i>	36 ± 2	Corn stalk	Wintered larvae	18/02/13, 338m
<i>Sesemia nanagrioides</i>	23.2±2.33	Corn	Adults	24/05/13, 90m
<i>Papilio machaon</i>	23±3.1	Weeds	Larvae	21/06/13, 350m
<i>Thaumetopoea pityocampa</i>	41.2±9.3	Pine	Larvae	28/06/13, 600m
<i>Arctia</i> sp.	14.2±2.1	Weeds	Larvae	24/03/13, 420m
<i>Vanessa cardui</i>	7.2±1.3	Weeds	Adults	12/04/13, 95m
<i>Pieris brassicae</i>	26.1 ± 1.9	Cabbage	Larvae	21/01/13, 410m
<i>Pieris brassicae</i>	38.4 ± 12.3	Weeds	Larvae	19/04/13, 400m
<i>Pieris brassicae</i>	40.2 ± 7.3	Weeds	Larvae	21/06/13, 450m
<i>Pieris brassicae</i>	12.3 ± 4.12	Weeds	Adults	11/07/13, 95m
<i>Pieris brassicae</i>	1.75 ± 0.47	Alfalfa	Adults	23/08/13, 65m
<i>Pieris rapae</i>	5.3 ± 1.2	Cabbage	Adults	5/04/13, 90m
<i>Pieris rapae</i>	8.2 ± 2.1	Cabbage	Adults	12/04/13, 85m
<i>Pieris rapae</i>	11.1 ± 2.03	Alfalfa	Adults	19/07/13, 67m
<i>Pieris rapae</i>	11.2 ± 5.2	Cabbage	Larvae	26/07/13, 470m
Geometridae	10.2±2.3	Alfalfa	Larvae	5/07/13, 100m
<i>Colias crocea</i>	2.1±0.41	Weeds	Adults	11/07/13, 300m
<i>Colias crocea</i>	17.3±4.05	Alfalfa	Adults	19/07/13, 70m
<i>Colias crocea</i>	16.3±3.9	Alfalfa	Adults	2/08/13, 370m
<i>Colias crocea</i>	1.8±0.98	Alfalfa	Adults	16/08/13, 60m
<i>Colias crocea</i>	2.6±0.76	Alfalfa	Adults	23/08/13, 65m
<i>Pontia</i> sp.	9.33±2.02	Alfalfa	Adults	19/07/13, 60m
<i>Aspitates</i> sp.	20.5±7.2	Weeds	Adults	17/05/13, 100m
<i>Helicoverpa armigera</i>	38.2 ± 5.4	Alfalfa	Larvae	8/06/13, 100m
<i>Helicoverpa armigera</i>	8.2 ± 1.17	Alfalfa	Adults	16/08/13, 60m
<i>Helicoverpa armigera</i>	20.16 ± 3.88	Alfalfa	Larvae	23/08/13, 65m
<i>Polyommatus</i> sp.	6 ± 2.3	Alfalfa	Adults	19/07/13, 70m

Table 3. (Continued)

	Average number of nodules	Sources	Developmental stages	Collection dates, altitudes
Coleoptera				
<i>Oxythyrea cinctella</i>	11.14±1.29	Weeds	Adults	3/05/13, 73m
<i>Oxythyrea cinctella</i>	13.1±4.7	Weeds	Adults	14/06/13, 350m
<i>Coccinella septempunctata</i>	0.7±0.4	Weeds	Adults	24/03/13 100m
<i>Coccinella septempunctata</i>	1.9±1.28	Weeds	Adults	26/04/13, 85m
<i>Coccinella septempunctata</i>	0.6±0.22	Weeds	Adults	3/05/13, 80m
<i>Coccinella septempunctata</i>	0.37±0.18	Weeds	Adults	10/05/13, 68m
<i>Coccinella septempunctata</i>	1±0.5	Weeds	Adults	24/05/13, 90m
<i>Coccinella septempunctata</i>	2.1±0.34	Weeds	Adults	8/06/13, 100m
<i>Coccinella septempunctata</i>	1.2±0.62	Alfalfa	Adults	26/07/13, 470m
<i>Coccinella septempunctata</i>	1.2±0.86	Alfalfa	Adults	16/08/13, 420m
<i>Coccinella septempunctata</i>	1.5±1.5	Alfalfa	Adults	30/08/13, 110m
<i>Larinus latus</i>	9.2±2.8	Weeds	Adults	5/04/13, 100m
<i>Larinus latus</i>	28.66±2.02	Weeds	Adults	10/05/13, 68m
<i>Larinus latus</i>	22.5±2.5	Weeds	Adults	10/05/13, 68m
<i>Larinus latus</i>	10.2±1.9	Weeds	Adults	28/06/13, 410m
<i>Larinus onopordi</i>	31±2.32	Weeds	Adults	3/05/13, 73m
<i>Lixus</i> sp.	17.3±6.4	Weeds	Adults	26/04/13, 85m
<i>Lixus</i> sp.	8.5±5.5	Weeds	Adults	19/07/13, 65m
<i>Cantharis</i> spp.	5.8±2.2	Wheat	Adults	24/03/13 100m
<i>Cantharis</i> spp.	6.4±2.1	Weeds	Adults	12/04/13, 85m
<i>Cantharis</i> spp.	4.8±0.92	Wheat	Adults	19/04/13, 100m
<i>Cantharis</i> spp.	9±1.28	Weeds	Adults	26/04/13, 85 m
<i>Cantharis</i> spp.	3.85±0.76	Weeds	Adults	3/05/13, 73m
<i>Cantharis</i> spp.	22±7	Weeds	Adults	24/05/13, 90m
<i>Phyllopertha horticola</i>	4.2±0.77	Weeds	Adults	26/04/13, 85m
<i>Phyllopertha horticola</i>	20.5±2.06	Weeds	Adults	3/05/13, 73m
<i>Phyllopertha horticola</i>	8.8±0.86	Weeds	Adults	10/05/13, 68m
<i>Gonioctena fornicata</i>	5.3±1.9	Alfalfa	Adults	21/06/13, 450m
<i>Hypera variabilis</i>	3.2±1.2	Alfalfa	Adults	24/05/13, 90m
<i>Hippodemia variegata</i>	12.2±6.7	Weeds	Adults	28/06/13, 600m
<i>Hippodemia variegata</i>	0.62±0.23	Weeds	Adults	5/07/13, 410m
<i>Adalia decempunctata</i>	0.9±0.4	Weeds	Adults	5/07/13, 410m
<i>Coccinella decemlinata</i>	0.28±0.18	Weeds	Adults	24/05/13, 90m
<i>Coccinella decemlinata</i>	0.6±0.4	Alfalfa	Adults	30/08/13, 110m
<i>Adelia bipunctata</i>	0.71±0.28	Weeds	Adults	24/05/13, 90m
<i>Adelia bipunctata</i>	1.62±0.63	Alfalfa	Adults	11/07/13, 95m
<i>Adelia bipunctata</i>	0.76±0.26	Alfalfa	Adults	16/08/13, 420m
<i>Coccinella undecimpunctata</i>	0.4±0.16	Alfalfa	Adults	19/07/13, 67m
<i>Coccinella undecimpunctata</i>	0.6±0.21	Alfalfa	Adults	2/08/13, 370m
Hemiptera				
Membracidae	1.1 ± 0.7	Weeds	Adults	28/06/13, 600m
<i>Ancyrosoma leucogrammes</i>	9.9± 3	Weeds	Adults	14/06/13, 350m
<i>Ancyrosoma leucogrammes</i>	8± 2.3	Weeds	Adults	19/07/13, 65m
<i>Ancyrosoma leucogrammes</i>	9± 3.4	Weeds	Adults	2/08/13, 400m
<i>Eurygaster integriceps</i>	91.7 ± 4.65	Wheat	Wintered adults	24/03/13, 75m
<i>Eurygaster integriceps</i>	84.3 ± 9.4	Wheat	Wintered adults	12/04/13, 90m
<i>Eurygaster integriceps</i>	101.2 ± 12.8	Wheat	Wintered adults	19/04/13, 400m
<i>Eurygaster integriceps</i>	89.2 ± 5.13	Wheat	Wintered adults	26/04/13, 85m
<i>Eurygaster integriceps</i>	95.7 ± 5.08	Wheat	Wintered adults	3/05/13, 73m
<i>Eurygaster integriceps</i>	8.8 ± 7.3	Wheat	New generation adults	31/05/13, 73m
<i>Nezara viridula</i>	9±2	Weeds	Adults	8/06/13, 400m
<i>Nezara viridula</i>	12.1±3.8	Alfalfa	Adults	11/07/13, 95m
<i>Nezara viridula</i>	3.2±1.2	Alfalfa	Nymphs	26/07/13, 480m
<i>Nezara viridula</i>	9.1±2.3	Alfalfa	Adults	2/08/13, 60m
<i>Nezara viridula</i>	0.4±0.24	Alfalfa	Nymphs	23/08/13, 65m
<i>Nezara viridula</i>	1.25±0.94	Alfalfa	Nymphs	30/08/13, 110m
Cercopidae	1.2±0.92	Weeds	Adults	24/03/13 90m
<i>Eurydema ornatum</i>	3.1 ± 0.9	Weeds	Adults	24/03/13 100m
<i>Eurydema ornatum</i>	8.1 ± 1.9	Weeds	Adults	12/04/13, 90m
<i>Eurydema ornatum</i>	4.3 ± 0.9	Weeds,	Nymphs	17/05/13, 100m
<i>Eurydema ornatum</i>	5.1 ± 1.2	Weeds	Nymphs	8/06/13, 410m
<i>Eurydema ornatum</i>	4.1 ± 0.9	Weeds	Nymphs	11/07/13,350m
<i>Eurydema ornatum</i>	6.2 ± 1.9	Alfalfa	Nymphs	26/07/13, 480m

Table 3. (Continued)

	Average number of nodules	Sources	Developmental stages	Collection dates, altitudes
<i>Eurydema ornatum</i>	5.9 ± 0.68	Weeds	Adults	16/08/13, 48m
<i>Eurydema ornatum</i>	0.66 ± 0.66	Weeds	Nymphs	30/08/13, 110m
<i>Aelia rostrata</i>	4.7 ± 2.8	Wheat	New generation adults	28/06/13, 600m
Cicadidae	6.2 ± 2.1	Weeds	Adults	5/07/13, 100m
<i>Rhyncoris</i> sp.	11.3 ± 2.1	Weeds	Adults	14/06/13, 350m
<i>Rhyncoris</i> sp.	7.9 ± 1.9	Weeds	Adults	26/07/13, 410m
<i>Dolycoris baccarum</i>	13.2 ± 0.92	Weeds	Adults	5/04/13, 90m
<i>Dolycoris baccarum</i>	2.1 ± 1.1	Weeds	Nymphs	17/05/13, 400m
<i>Dolycoris baccarum</i>	2.1 ± 0.9	Weeds	Nymphs	31/05/13, 410m
<i>Carpocoris mediterraneus</i>	21.2 ± 4.2	Weeds	Adults	17/05/13, 400m
<i>Carpocoris mediterraneus</i>	28.32 ± 11.2	Weeds	Adults	8/06/13, 400m
<i>Carpocoris mediterraneus</i>	6.2 ± 2.3	Weeds	Adults	30/08/13, 110m
<i>Carpocoris</i> sp.	7.24 ± 3.18	Alfalfa	Adults	11/07/13, 95m
<i>Carpocoris</i> sp.	8.46 ± 3.1	Alfalfa	Adults	2/08/13, 65m
Lygaeidae	18.2 ± 3.2	Soil	Adults	5/07/13, 100m
Miridae	0.85 ± 0.34	Weeds	Adults	30/08/13, 110m
Orthoptera				
Acrididae	11.3 ± 3.4	Weeds	Nymphs	19/04/13, 100m
Acrididae	9.42 ± 0.94	Weeds	Nymphs	3/05/13, 73m
Acrididae	20.33 ± 4.2	Weeds	Adults	31/05/13, 410m
Acrididae	22.3 ± 5.2	Weeds	Nymphs	8/06/13, 100m
Acrididae	31.2 ± 9.3	Weeds	Adults	11/07/13, 350m
Acrididae	32.6 ± 6.26	Weeds	Adults	19/07/13, 65m
Acrididae	82.8 ± 11.2	Soil	Adults	2/08/13, 60m
Acrididae	52.8 ± 6.63	Alfalfa	Adults	16/08/13, 60m
Acrididae	57.12 ± 7.02	Alfalfa	Adults	23/08/13, 65m
Acrididae	56 ± 10.39	Soil	Adults	30/08/13, 110m
<i>Poecilimon</i> spp. (Tettigoniidae)	58.32 ± 7.2	Soil	Nymphs	5/04/13, 90m
<i>Poecilimon</i> spp. (Tettigoniidae)	38.2 ± 3.8	Weeds	Nymphs	26/04/13, 85m
<i>Poecilimon</i> spp. (Tettigoniidae)	6.55 ± 0.88	Weeds	Nymphs	3/05/13, 73m
<i>Poecilimon</i> spp. (Tettigoniidae)	30 ± 3	Weeds	Nymphs	10/05/13, 68m
<i>Poecilimon</i> spp. (Tettigoniidae)	32.3 ± 7.4	Weeds	Nymphs	8/06/13, 100m
<i>Poecilimon</i> spp. (Tettigoniidae)	31.2 ± 7.3	Weeds	Nymphs	28/06/13, 410m
<i>Poecilimon</i> spp. (Tettigoniidae)	22.6 ± 3.28	Weeds	Nymphs	30/08/13, 110m
<i>Gryllotalpa gryllotalpa</i>	67.3 ± 10.2	Soil	Adults	24/05/13, 90m
Diptera				
<i>Eristalis arbustorum</i>	19.5 ± 1.5	Weeds	Adults	18/02/13, 360m
<i>Eristalis tenax</i>	16.3 ± 1.7	Weeds	Adults	18/02/13, 361m
<i>Eristalis tenax</i>	11.8 ± 3.1	Weeds	Adults	24/03/13, 420m
<i>Eristalis tenax</i>	8.2 ± 1.3	Weeds	Adults	17/05/13, 400m
<i>Eristalis tenax</i>	7.1 ± 1.06	Weeds	Adults	21/06/13, 450m
Phasmida				
Phasmidae	6 ± 1.23	Weeds	Adults	21/01/13, 138m
<i>Gratidia</i> sp.	8.6 ± 2	Weeds	Nymphs	14/06/13, 350m
<i>Gratidia</i> sp.	13.8 ± 3.26	Weeds	Adults	11/07/13, 350m
Dermaptera				
Dermaptera	26.75 ± 1.49	Soil	Adults	18/02/13, 321m
Hymenoptera				
<i>Cephus pygmaeus</i>	3.9 ± 0.99	Wheat	Larvae	31/05/13, 410m
Apidae	8.1 ± 3.1	Weeds	Adults	21/06/13, 350m
<i>Apis mellifera</i>	4.9 ± 0.59	Weeds	Adults	28/06/13, 410m
Vespidae	4 ± 2	Weeds	Adults	30/08/13, 110m
Odanata				
Libellulidae	30.1 ± 2.8	Near the water	Adults	21/06/13, 350m
Libellulidae	30.5 ± 3.5	Near the water	Adults	19/07/13, 65m
<i>Libellula depressa</i>	8.5 ± 3.5	Near the water	Adults	30/08/13, 110m

Table 4. A single-factor ANOVA across species for collection sources differences

Year	Collection sources	Nodules /insect ^a	Number of individuals
2011	Plant material	18.3±8.5 ^a	140
	Soil	24.65±3.8 ^a	20
2012	Plant material	14.7±2.0 ^b	740
	Soil	37.9±9.0 ^a	40
2013	Plant material	15.5±1.6 ^b	1370
	Soil	58.2±9.1 ^a	50

^aMean number of nodules in a column followed by different letters are significantly different for each year {(F_(1,14) = 0.07, P = 0.7915 for 2011), (F_(1,76) = 6.72, P < 0.05 for 2012) and (F_(1,140) = 23.86, P < 0.0001 for 2013)}

Table 5. A single-factor ANOVA across species for developmental stage differences

Year	Developmental stages	Nodules /insect	Number of individuals
2011	Adults	23.0±12.1 ^a	90
	Larvae	16.05±8.8 ^a	60
	Nymphs	2.70±0.4 ^a	10
2012	Adults	16.0±2.6 ^a	560
	Larvae	15.9±3.0 ^a	170
	Nymphs	15.44±7.6 ^a	50
2013	Adults	16.2±2.1 ^a	1060
	Larvae	24.2±3.1 ^a	150
	Nymphs	14.2±3.4 ^a	210

No significant differences were detected for each year {(F_(2,13) = 0.23, P=0.7957 for 2011), (F_(2,75) = 0.00, P=0.9973 for 2012) and (F_(2,139) = 1.19, P=0.3084 for 2013)}

Table 6. A single-factor ANOVA across species for insect order differences

Year	Insect orders	Nodules /insect ^a	Number of individuals
2011	Lepidoptera	16.0±8.8 ^a	60
	Hemiptera	28.2±22.39 ^a	50
	Coleoptera	6.8±4.3 ^a	20
	Orthoptera	13.4±7.4 ^a	20
2012	Lepidoptera	12.2±2.9 ^b	180
	Hemiptera	13.2±5.1 ^b	200
	Coleoptera	5.1±1.2 ^b	180
	Orthoptera	33.2±3.7 ^a	110
	Hymenoptera	9.2±3.3 ^b	50
	Odanata	44.5±9.8 ^a	40
2013	Lepidoptera	17.0±2.2b ^a	300
	Hemiptera	18.2±4.7b ^a	390
	Coleoptera	6.9±1.3b	380
	Orthoptera	36.7±5 ^a	180
	Hymenoptera	5.2±0.9 ^b	40
	Odanata	23±7.2b ^a	30
	Diptera	12.5±2.3 ^b	50

^aMean number of nodules in a column followed by different letters are significantly different for each year {(F_(3,11) = 0.24, P=0.8634 for 2011), (F_(5,70) = 7.93, P<0.0001 for 2012) and (F_(6,131) = 5.33, P<0.0001 for 2013)}

Table 7. A single-factor ANOVA across species for altitude differences

Year	Altitudes	Nodules /insect ^a	Number of individuals
2011	0-150 m	64.6±52.8 ^a	20
	151- 300 m	12.6±4 ^b	140
2012	0-150 m	25.0±10.8 ^a	90
	151- 300 m	21.6±5.3 ^a	170
	301-450m	12.8±1.6 ^a	510
2013	0-150 m	17.6±2.3 ^a	90
	151- 300 m	2.1±0.4 ^a	10
	301-450m	16.1±2.6 ^a	430
	450m- ...	10.1±4.6 ^a	80

^aMean number of nodules in a column followed by different letters are significantly different for each year {(F_(1,14) = 7.62, P<0.05 for 2011), (F_(2,74) = 3.05, P=0.0536 for 2012) and (F_(3,138) = 0.51, P=0.6771 for 2013)}

Discussion

Insects physiologically produce two categories of defense responses to microbial infections, humoral and hemocytic defence reactions (Dunn, 1986; Gupta, 1991). Humoral reactions take several hours for their full expression, and involve induced synthesis of antibacterial proteins, such as cecropins, attacins, dipterocins, and defensins (Dunn, 1986). In the presence of these proteins, bacteria lose their cellular integrity because of the detergent properties of peptides. Insects also synthesize lysozymes, which enzymatically attack bacteria by hydrolyzing their peptidoglycan cell walls (Dunn, 1986; Russell & Dunn, 1996).

Hemocytic reactions involve direct cellular interactions between circulating hemocytes and bacteria. In contrast to humoral defense reactions, hemocytic responses are very quick, typically occur within minutes of an infection cycle. Specific cellular defense mechanisms include phagocytosis, nodulation and encapsulation (Gupta, 1991).

Nodulation reaction is one of insect cellular or hemocytic defense actions. Dunn and Drake (1983) indicated that following an injection of bacterial cells into tobacco hornworm *Manduca sexta*, the insects were capable to clear most bacterial cells from their hemolymph circulation by nodulation in the first 2 h following the artificial infection. Occurrence of nodulation involves more than one steps. First, insect granulocytes attach to infecting microbe cells, second, the granulocytes are degranulated that causes attraction of insect plasmatocytes to the growing nodule, and the spreading of plasmatocytes around the nodule (Dean et al., 2004). Finally, the darkened, melanized nodules attach to an internal organ or body wall, where they remain through the life of the insect.

Nodules are not easily moved away from insect hemocoels when insect has experienced a microbial infection. The presence of nodules in insect hemocoels indicates that the insect was infected with microbes in the past or has a past microbial infection. Many researchers report nodulation reactions in insects following infections of insects with microbes including bacteria, fungal spores and some viruses (Miller et al., 1994; Dean et al., 2002; Lord et al., 2002; Büyükgüzel et al., 2007; Durmuş et al., 2008). Howard et al. (1998) also reported that some bacterial species evoked far more nodules than similar infections with other species.

In this paper, we obtained results which support the hypothesis that insects mostly have experienced microbial infections in nature, they recovered and continued living. The results of all experiments support this hypothesis. Nodules were seen in virtually all examined insect specimens,

although we recorded various numbers of nodules in different insect specimens, which indicate that depending on conditions, insect may have small number of invaders or large number of invaders in nature. Moreover, when we tested major insect orders, including Coleoptera, Lepidoptera, Hemiptera, and Orthoptera for nodulation, the nodules were seen in all tested specimens from which we infer the finding that apply to most insect species. Finally more nodules were seen in the insects collected from soil, a site of significant microbial challenge, than in the insect collected from other sites. These results showed that insects may face significant microbial infections during their lives but survive because their immune systems are capable of overcoming the microbial infections in nature.

As referred above, far more nodules observed in insects associated with soil than in insects collected from plant materials, such as the orthopterans and sunn pest adults. This result is important because previous studies showed that using imidacloprid and entomopathogenic fungi together will lead to increasing mortality of soil pests (Boucias et al., 1996; Quintella & McCoy, 1997). The result also showed that overwintered insect generations, *Ostrinia nubilalis*, *Sesamia nonagrioides* and *Eurygaster integriceps*, had much more nodules as compared to new generation larvae of *O. nubilalis*, *S. nonagrioides* and adults of *E. integriceps*. Similarly, Tunaz & Stanley (2009) and Tunaz et al. (2015) reported that the new generation of sunn pests had very few nodules as compared to older, overwintered adults collected from Kahramanmaraş and Adana provinces of Turkey. We recorded no nodulation number differences at different developmental stages and altitudes of insects collected. However, in 2011, the insects collected at lower altitudes showed more nodules than the insects collected at higher altitudes. Our data also indicated that significantly more nodules were seen in the orthopteran species than in the lepidopteran, hemipteran, and coleopteran species, which is reasonable since orthopteran species were mostly collected from soil, which support the findings of Tunaz & Stanley (2009) and Tunaz et al. (2015). Drawing together, main issues that cause higher numbers of nodules in insects are insect orders and soil contact of insects. From these results, we can speculate that all insects are exposed to possible infection, and the actual occurrence of a natural infection is a random event. Collected specimens in our study were healthy in the field. These observations mean that the insects had been infected by microbes, and by the time of our collections they had either checked the invasion or had recovered from the infections.

Pests cause crop losses about 30–40% per year, depending on the particular crop, a large proportion of which is due to insects (Oerke & Dehne, 2004). Therefore understanding of insect immunity and pathogens on insect immunity are very important for controlling pest insects in agriculture, in which microbial insecticides are used. Insects have the ability to recover from infections in nature which is important for biological and agricultural implications. On the other hand, biologically, many microbes have the ability to overcome insect immune systems. Wang & St. Leger (2006) reported that the fungal insect pathogen, *Metarhizium anisopliae* produces a 60.4-kDa gene product, which effectively hides the hyphal bodies from immune surveillance of insects. Similarly, Stanley & Miller (2006) indicated that the bacterium *Xenorhabdus nematophila* secretes factors that inhibit the eicosanoid signaling, which is crucial to launching cellular immune reactions. Therefore, we need to understand evolutionary mechanisms of insect immunity and inhibitory actions of insect pathogens for getting effective result on insect pest management. It is known that insect immunity systems may limit use of biopesticides, which are environmentally friendly insecticide. Hence, in this paper, we tried to understand insect immune reactions in nature in which the insects were collected from Hatay Province of Turkey.

Acknowledgement

We would like to thank The Scientific and Research Council of Turkey (Ankara) for financial support (TUBİTAK Project no: 110O159) and İnanç Şafak DOĞANAY for his assistance with field collection of insects and statistical analyses.

References

- Boucias, D.G., C. Stokes, G. Storey & J.C. Pendland. 1996. The effects of imidacloprid on the termite *Reticulitermes flavipes* and its interaction with the mycopathogen *Beauveria bassiana*. Pflanzenschutz-Nachrichten Bayer. 49: 103–144.
- Büyükgüzel, E., H. Tunaz, D. Stanley & K. Büyükgüzel. 2007. Eicosanoids mediate *Galleria mellonella* cellular immune response to viral infection. Journal of Insect Physiology. 53:99-105.
- Dean, P., J.C. Gadsden, E.H. Richards, J.P. Edwards, A.K. Charnley & S.E. Reynolds. 2002. Modulation by eicosanoid biosynthesis inhibitors of immune responses by the insect *Manduca sexta* to the pathogenic fungus *Metarhizium anisopliae*. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology. 79: 93-101.
- Dean, P., U. Potter, E.H. Richards, J.P. Edwards, A.K. Charnley & S.E. Reynolds. 2004. Hyperphagocytic haemocytes in *Manduca sexta*. Journal of Insect Physiology. 50: 1027-1036.
- Dunn, P.E. & D.R. Drake. 1983. Fate of bacteria injected into naive and immunized larvae of the tobacco hornworm, *Manduca sexta*. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology. 41: 77-85.
- Dunn, P.E. 1986. Biochemical aspects of insect immunology. Annual Review of Entomology. 31: 321-339,
- Durmuş, Y., E. Büyükgüzel, B. Terzi, H. Tunaz, D. Stanley & K. Büyükgüzel. 2008. Eicosanoids mediate melanotic nodulation reactions to viral infections in larvae of the parasitic wasp, *Pimpla turioinellae*. Journal of Insect Physiology. 54: 17-24.
- Gupta, A.P., 1991. Immunology of Insects and Other Arthropods. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.
- Howard, R.W., J.S. Miller & D.W. Stanley, 1998. The influence of bacterial species and intensity of infections on nodule formations in insect. Journal of Insect Physiology. 44: 157-164.
- Lacey, L.A., R. Frutos, H.K. Kaya & P. Vail. 2001. Insect pathogens as biological control agents: Do they have a future? Biological Control. 21:230-248.
- Lemaitre, B. & J. Hoffmann. 2007. The host defense of *Drosophila melanogaster*. Annual Review of Immunology. 25:697-743.
- Lavine, M.D. & M.R. Strand. 2002. Insect hemocytes and their role in immunity. Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology. 32:1295-1309.
- Lomer, C.J. 1999. Factors in the success and failure of microbial agents for control of migratory pests. Integrated Pest Management Reviews. 4:307-312.
- Lord, J.J., S. Anderson & D.W. Stanley, 2002. Eicosanoids mediate *Manduca sexta* cellular response to the fungal pathogen *Beauveria bassiana*: A role for the lipoxygenase pathway. Archives of Insect Biochemistry and Physiology. 51: 46–54.
- Miller, J.S., T. Nguyen & D.W. Stanley-Samuelson, 1994. Eicosanoids mediate insect nodulation responses to bacterial infections. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. USA 91: 12418-12422.
- Miller, J.S. & D.W. Stanley, 1998. The nodule formation reaction to bacterial infection: Assessing the role of eicosanoids pp. 265-270. In: Techniques in insect immunity. (Eds: A.Wiesner et al.). SOS publications, Fair Haven, NJ.
- Oerke, C.E. & H.W. Dehne. 2004. Safeguarding production – losses in major crops and the role of crop protection. Crop Protection. 23, 275–285.
- Ouedraogo, R.M., M.S. Goettel & J. Brodeur. 2004. Behavioral thermoregulation in the migratory locust: a therapy to overcome fungal infection. Oecologia, 138:312-319.
- Quintella, E.D. & C.W. McCoy. 1997. Pathogenicity enhancement of *Metarhizium anisopliae* and *Beauveria bassiana* to first instars of *Diaprepes abbreviatus* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) with sublethal doses of imidacloprid. Environmental Entomology. 26: 1173–1182.
- Russell, V. & P.E. Dunn. 1996. Antibacterial proteins in the midgut of *Manduca sexta* during metamorphosis. Journal of Insect Physiology. 42: 65-71.
- SAS Institute Inc. 1989. SAS/STAT^R User's Guide, Version 6, 4th Ed., vol 2. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC.

- Stanley, D.W. & J.S. Miller. 2006. Eicosanoid actions in insect cellular immune functions. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata*. 119: 1-13.
- Steinhaus, E.A. 1957. Microbial diseases of insects. *Annual Review of Microbiology*. 11:165-182.
- Tanada, Y. 1959. Microbial control of insect pests. *Annual Review of Entomology*. 4:277-302.
- Tunaz, H. & D. Stanley. 2009. An immunological axis of biocontrol:infections in field-trapped insect. *Naturwissenschaften* 96: 1115-1119.
- Tunaz, H., M.K. Er & A.A. Işıkber. 2015. Incidence of microbial infections revealed by assessing nodulation in field-collected insects from Adana Province. *Turkish Journal of Agriculture and Forestry*. 39:753-763.
- Wang, C. & R.J. St. Leger. 2006. A collagenous protective coat enables *Metarhizium anisopliae* to evade insect immune responses. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. USA* 103:6647-6652.