



Properties of Double Fuzzy b -Open Sets

J. Princivishvamar¹, N. Rajesh^{2*} and B. Brundha³

^{1,2}Department of Mathematics, Rajah Serfoji Government College (affiliated to Bharathidasan University), Thanjavur-613005, Tamilnadu, India.

³Department of Mathematics, Government Arts College for Women, Orathanadu-614625, Tamilnadu, India.

*Corresponding author

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce and study the concept of (r, s) -fuzzy b -border, (r, s) -fuzzy b -exterior and (r, s) -fuzzy b -frontier. Some of its interesting properties and characterizations are examined.

Keywords: Double fuzzy topology, (r, s) -fuzzy b -open set, (r, s) -fuzzy b -closed set.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 54A40, 45D05, 03E72.

1. Introduction

The concept of fuzzy sets was introduced by Zadeh [10]. Later on, Chang [2] introduced the concept of fuzzy topology, then the generalizations of the concept of fuzzy topology have been done by many authors. In [1], Atanassov introduced the idea of intuitionistic fuzzy sets, then Coker [3, 4], introduced the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces. On the other hand, as a generalization of fuzzy topological spaces Samanta and Mondal [9], introduced the concept of intuitionistic gradation of openness. In 2005, the term intuitionistic is ended by Garcia and Rodabaugh [7]. They proved that the term intuitionistic is unsuitable in mathematics and applications and they replaced it by double. In this paper, we introduce and study the concept of (r, s) -fuzzy b -border, (r, s) -fuzzy b -exterior and (r, s) -fuzzy b -frontier. Some of its interesting properties and characterizations are examined.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, Let X be a non-empty set, I the unit interval $[0, 1]$, $I_0 = (0, 1]$ and $I_1 = [0, 1)$. The family of all fuzzy sets on X is denoted by I^X . By $\bar{0}$ and $\bar{1}$, we denote the smallest and the greatest fuzzy sets on X . For a fuzzy set $\lambda \in I^X$, $\bar{1} - \lambda$ denotes its complement. Given a function $f : I^X \rightarrow I^Y$ and its inverse $f^{-1} : I^Y \rightarrow I^X$ are defined by $f(\lambda)(y) = \bigvee_{f(x)=y} \lambda(x)$ and $f^{-1}(\mu)(x) = \mu(f(x))$, for each $\lambda \in I^X$, $\mu \in I^Y$ and $x \in X$, respectively. All other notations are standard notations of fuzzy set theory.

Definition 2.1. [4, 9] A double fuzzy topology on X is a pair of maps $\tau, \tau^* : I^X \rightarrow I$, which satisfies the following properties:

1. $\tau(\lambda) \leq \bar{1} - \tau^*(\lambda)$ for each $\lambda \in I^X$.
2. $\tau(\lambda_1 \wedge \lambda_2) \geq \tau(\lambda_1) \wedge \tau(\lambda_2)$ and $\tau^*(\lambda_1 \wedge \lambda_2) \leq \tau^*(\lambda_1) \vee \tau^*(\lambda_2)$ for each $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in I^X$.
3. $\tau(\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} \lambda_i) \geq \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} \tau(\lambda_i)$ and $\tau^*(\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} \lambda_i) \leq \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} \tau^*(\lambda_i)$ for each $\lambda_i \in I^X, i \in \Gamma$.

The triplet (X, τ, τ^*) is called a double fuzzy topological space.

Definition 2.2. [4, 9] A fuzzy set λ is called an (r, s) -fuzzy open if $\tau(\lambda) \geq r$ and $\tau^*(\lambda) \leq s$, λ is called an (r, s) -fuzzy closed if, and only if $\bar{1} - \lambda$ is an (r, s) -fuzzy open set.

Definition 2.3. [4, 9] A function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_1^*) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2, \tau_2^*)$ is said to be a double fuzzy continuous if, and only if $\tau_1(f^{-1}(v)) \geq \tau_2(v)$ and $\tau_1^*(f^{-1}(v)) \leq \tau_2^*(v)$ for each $v \in I^Y$.

Theorem 2.4. [8, 5] Let (X, τ, τ^*) be a double fuzzy topological space. Then double fuzzy closure operator and double fuzzy interior operator of $\lambda \in I^X$ are defined by $C_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) = \bigwedge \{ \mu \in I^X \mid \lambda \leq \mu, \tau(\bar{1} - \mu) \geq r, \tau^*(\bar{1} - \mu) \leq s \}$, $I_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) = \bigvee \{ \mu \in I^X \mid \mu \leq \lambda, \tau(\mu) \geq r, \tau^*(\mu) \leq s \}$, where $r \in I_0$ and $s \in I_1$ such that $r + s \leq 1$.

Definition 2.5. [6] Let (X, τ, τ^*) be a double fuzzy topological space. For each $\lambda, \mu \in I^X, r \in I_0$ and $s \in I_1$,

1. λ is called an (r, s) -fuzzy b -open set if $\lambda \leq I_{\tau, \tau^*}(C_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s), r, s) \vee C_{\tau, \tau^*}(I_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s), r, s)$.
2. λ is called an (r, s) -fuzzy b -closed set if $1 - \lambda$ is an (r, s) -fuzzy b -open set.
3. An (r, s) -fuzzy b -closure of λ is defined by $BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) = \bigwedge \{ \mu \in I^X \mid \lambda \leq \mu \text{ and } \mu \text{ is } (r, s)\text{-fuzzy } b\text{-closed} \}$.
4. An (r, s) -fuzzy b -interior of λ is defined by $BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) = \bigvee \{ \mu \in I^X \mid \lambda \leq \mu \text{ and } \mu \text{ is } (r, s)\text{-fuzzy } b\text{-open} \}$.

3. (r, s) -fuzzy b -open sets

In this section, we study (r, s) -fuzzy b -border, (r, s) -fuzzy b -exterior and (r, s) -fuzzy b -frontier. Some of its interesting properties and characterizations are examined.

Proposition 3.1. For any double fuzzy topological space $(X, \tau, \tau^*), \lambda, B \in I^X, r \in I_0$ and $s \in I_1$, we have

1. $BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)$ is the largest (r, s) -fuzzy b -open set with $BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) \leq \lambda$,
2. $\lambda = BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)$ if λ is an (r, s) -fuzzy b -open set,
3. $BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s), r, s) = BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)$, if λ is an (r, s) -fuzzy b -open set,
4. $1 - BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) = BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(1 - \lambda, r, s)$,
5. $1 - BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) = BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(1 - \lambda, r, s)$,
6. If $\lambda \leq \mu$, then $BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) \leq BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\mu, r, s)$,
7. If $\lambda \leq \mu$, then $BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) \leq BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\mu, r, s)$,
8. $BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) \wedge BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\mu, r, s) = BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda \wedge \mu, r, s)$,
9. $BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) \vee BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\mu, r, s) = BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda \vee \mu, r, s)$.

Proof. (1) and (2) follow from the definitions and (3) follows from (2).

(4). $BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(1 - \lambda, r, s) = \bigwedge \{ \mu : \mu \text{ is } (r, s)\text{-fuzzy } b\text{-closed set, } \mu \geq 1 - \lambda \} = 1 - \bigvee \{ 1 - \mu : 1 - \mu \text{ is } (r, s)\text{-fuzzy } b\text{-open set, } 1 - \mu \leq \lambda \} = 1 - BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)$.

(5). It is similar to (4).

(6). It is clear that $BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) = \{ \mu : \mu \text{ is } (r, s)\text{-fuzzy } b\text{-open and, } \mu \leq \lambda \} = \bigvee \{ \mu : \mu \leq \lambda \text{ and } \mu \text{ is an } (r, s)\text{-fuzzy } b\text{-open} \} = BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\mu, r, s)$.

(7). If $\lambda \leq \mu$, it is similar to (6).

(8). Follows from (6).

(9). It is similar to (8). □

Definition 3.2. For any double fuzzy topological space $(X, \tau, \tau^*), \lambda \in I^X, r \in I_0$ and $s \in I_1$, we have the (r, s) -fuzzy b -border of λ , denoted by $BF_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)$, defined as $BB_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) = \lambda - BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)$.

Proposition 3.3. For any double fuzzy topological space $(X, \tau, \tau^*), \lambda \in I^X, r \in I_0$ and $s \in I_1$, we have

1. $BB_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) \leq BB_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)$,
2. If λ is an (r, s) -fuzzy b -open, then $BB_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) = \bar{0}$,
3. $BB_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) \leq BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(1 - \lambda, r, s)$,
4. $BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(BB_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s), r, s) \leq \lambda$,
5. $BB_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda \vee \mu, r, s) \leq BB_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) \vee BB_{\tau, \tau^*}(\mu, r, s)$,
6. $BB_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda \wedge \mu, r, s) \geq BB_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) \wedge BB_{\tau, \tau^*}(\mu, r, s)$.

Proof. (1). For any $\lambda \in I^X$, since $BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) \leq BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)$, then $\lambda - BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) \leq \lambda - BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)$. Therefore $BB_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) \leq BB_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)$.

(2). For any an (r, s) -fuzzy b -open set $\lambda \in I^X$, we have $\lambda = BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)$. Thus $BB_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) = \bar{0}$.

(3). $BB_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) = \lambda - BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) = \lambda - (1 - BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(1 - \lambda, r, s)) \leq 1 - 1 + BB_{\tau, \tau^*}(1 - \lambda, r, s) = BB_{\tau, \tau^*}(1 - \lambda, r, s)$.

(4). $BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(BB_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s), r, s) = BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda - BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s), r, s) \leq \lambda - BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) \leq \lambda$ by (1) of Proposition 2.2.

Then $BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(BB_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s), r, s) \leq \lambda$.

(5). $BB_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda \vee \mu, r, s) = (\lambda \vee \mu) - BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda \vee \mu, r, s) = (\lambda \vee \mu) - (BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) \vee BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\mu, r, s)) \leq (\lambda - BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)) \vee (\mu - BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\mu, r, s)) = BB_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) \vee BB_{\tau, \tau^*}(\mu, r, s)$. Therefore, $BB_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda \vee \mu, r, s) \leq BB_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) \vee BB_{\tau, \tau^*}(\mu, r, s)$.

(6) It is similar to (5). □

Definition 3.4. For any double fuzzy topological space $(X, \tau, \tau^*), \mu \in I^X, r \in I_0$ and $s \in I_1$, we have the (r, s) -fuzzy b -frontier of λ , denoted by $BF_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)$ is defined as $BF_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) = BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) - BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)$.

Proposition 3.5. For any double fuzzy topological space $(X, \tau, \tau^*), \mu \in I^X, r \in I_0$ and $s \in I_1$, we have

1. $BF_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) \leq F_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)$,
2. $BB_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) \leq BF_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)$,
3. $BF_{\tau, \tau^*}(1 - \lambda, r, s) = BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)$,
4. $BF_{\tau, \tau^*}(BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s), r, s) \leq BF_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)$,
5. $BF_{\tau, \tau^*}(BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s), r, s) \leq BF_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)$,
6. $\lambda - BF_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) \leq BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)$,
7. $BF_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda \vee \mu, r, s) \leq (BF_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) \vee BF_{\tau, \tau^*}(\mu, r, s))$,
8. $BF_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda \wedge \mu, r, s) \geq (BF_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) \wedge BF_{\tau, \tau^*}(\mu, r, s))$.

- Proof.* (1). We have $I_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) \leq BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)$. It follows that $C_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) - I_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) \leq BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) - BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)$. Hence $BF_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) \leq F_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)$.
- (2). $BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) = \lambda - BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) \leq BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) - BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)$; since $\lambda \leq BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) = BF_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)$. Therefore, $BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) \leq BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)$.
- (3). $BF_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) = BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) - BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) = BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) - (1 - BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(1 - \lambda, r, s)) = BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) - 1 + BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(1 - \lambda, r, s) = BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(1 - \lambda, r, s) + BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(1 - \lambda, r, s) = BF_{\tau, \tau^*}(1 - \lambda, r, s)$.
- (4). $BF_{\tau, \tau^*}(BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s), r, s) = BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s), r, s) - BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s), r, s) \leq BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) - BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) = BF_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)$.
- (5). $BF_{\tau, \tau^*}(BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s), r, s) = BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s), r, s) - BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s), r, s) = BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) - BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s), r, s) \geq BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) - BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) = BF_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)$.
- (6). Now $\lambda - BF_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) = \lambda - (BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) - BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)) \leq BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) - BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) + BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) = BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)$.
- (7). $BF_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda \vee \mu, r, s) = BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(A \vee \mu, r, s) - BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda \vee \mu, r, s) = BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda \vee \mu, r, s) - (BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) \vee BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\mu, r, s)) = (BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) \vee BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\mu, r, s)) - (BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) \vee BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\mu, r, s)) \leq (BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) - BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)) \vee (BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\mu, r, s) - BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\mu, r, s)) = BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) \vee BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\mu, r, s)$.
- (8). It is similar to (7). □

Definition 3.6. For any double fuzzy topological space (X, τ, τ^*) , $\lambda \in I^X$, $r \in I_0$ and $s \in I_1$, the (r, s) -fuzzy b -exterior of λ , denoted by $BE_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)$ is defined as $BE_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) = BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(1 - \lambda, r, s)$.

Proposition 3.7. For any double fuzzy topological space (X, τ, τ^*) , $\lambda \in I^X$, $r \in I_0$ and $s \in I_1$, we have

1. $E_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) \leq BE_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)$,
2. $BE_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) = 1 - BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)$,
3. $BE_{\tau, \tau^*}(BE_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s), r, s) = BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s), r, s)$,
4. If $\lambda \leq \mu$, then $BE_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) \geq BE_{\tau, \tau^*}(\mu, r, s)$,
5. $BE_{\tau, \tau^*}(1, r, s) = 0$,
6. $BE_{\tau, \tau^*}(0, r, s) = 1$,
7. $BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) \leq BE_{\tau, \tau^*}(BE_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s), r, s)$,
8. $BE_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda \vee \mu, r, s) \leq BE_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) \wedge BE_{\tau, \tau^*}(\mu, r, s)$,
9. $BE_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda \wedge \mu, r, s) \geq BE_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) \vee BE_{\tau, \tau^*}(\mu, r, s)$.

Proof. (1). Since $BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) \leq BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)$, $1 - BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) \geq 1 - BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)$. Then $BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(1 - \lambda, r, s) \geq BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(1 - \lambda, r, s)$. Therefore, by definition, $BE_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) \geq BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)$.

(2). It follows from the definitions.

(3). $BE_{\tau, \tau^*}(BE_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s), r, s) = BE_{\tau, \tau^*}(BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(1 - \lambda, r, s), r, s) = BE_{\tau, \tau^*}(1 - BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s), r, s) = BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(1 - (1 - BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s), r, s)) = BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(1 - 1 + BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s), r, s) = BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s), r, s)$.

(4). Let $\lambda \leq \mu$. By using Proposition 2.2(1), $BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) \leq BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\mu, r, s)$. Therefore $1 - BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) \geq 1 - BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\mu, r, s)$. But $BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(1 - \lambda, r, s) \geq BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(1 - \mu, r, s)$. Hence, $BE_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) \geq BE_{\tau, \tau^*}(\mu, r, s)$.

(5). By (2) $BE_{\tau, \tau^*}(1, r, s) = \bar{1} - BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\bar{1}, r, s) = \bar{1} - \bar{1} = \bar{0}$.

(6). It is similar to (5).

(7). $BE_{\tau, \tau^*}(BE_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s), r, s) = BE_{\tau, \tau^*}(BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(1 - \lambda, r, s), r, s) = BE_{\tau, \tau^*}(1 - BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s), r, s) = BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s), r, s) \geq BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)$. Hence $BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) \leq BE_{\tau, \tau^*}(BE_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s), r, s)$.

(8). $BE_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda \vee \mu, r, s) = BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(1 - (\lambda \vee \mu), r, s) = BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(1 - \lambda) \wedge (1 - \mu), r, s) \leq BI_{\tau, \tau^*}((1 - \lambda), r, s) \wedge BI_{\tau, \tau^*}((1 - \mu), r, s) = BE_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) \wedge BE_{\tau, \tau^*}(\mu, r, s)$.

(9). $BE_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda \wedge \mu, r, s) = BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\bar{1} - (\lambda \wedge \mu), r, s) = BI_{\tau, \tau^*}((\bar{1} - \lambda) \vee (\bar{1} - \mu), r, s) \geq BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\bar{1} - \lambda, r, s) \vee BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\bar{1} - \mu, r, s) = BE_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) \vee BE_{\tau, \tau^*}(\mu, r, s)$. □

4. Some functions via (r, s) -fuzzy b -open sets

In this section, some characterizations of double fuzzy b -continuous, double fuzzy b -open, double fuzzy b -closed and double fuzzy b -irresolute functions are studied.

Definition 4.1. A function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_1^*) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2, \tau_2^*)$ is called

1. double fuzzy b -open if for every (r, s) -fuzzy b -open set $\lambda \in I^X$, $r \in I_0$ and $s \in I_1$, $f(\lambda)$ is an (r, s) -fuzzy b -open in I^Y .
2. double fuzzy b -closed if for every (r, s) -fuzzy b -closed set $\lambda \in I^X$, $r \in I_0$ and $s \in I_1$, $f(\lambda)$ is an (r, s) -fuzzy b -closed in I^Y .
3. double fuzzy b -continuous if for every $\lambda \in I^Y$ with $\tau_2(\lambda) \geq r$, $\tau_2^*(\lambda) \leq s$, $r \in I_0$ and $s \in I_1$, $f^{-1}(\lambda)$ is an (r, s) -fuzzy b -open in I^X .
4. double fuzzy b -irresolute if $f^{-1}(\lambda)$ is an (r, s) -fuzzy b -closed set for every (r, s) -fuzzy b -closed set $\lambda \in I^Y$, $r \in I_0$, $s \in I_1$.

Theorem 4.2. For a bijective function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_1^*) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2, \tau_2^*)$, the following are equivalent:

1. f is double fuzzy b -irresolute function.
2. For every fuzzy set $\lambda \in I^X$, $f(BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)) \leq BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(f(\lambda), r, s)$,
3. For every fuzzy set $\mu \in I^Y$, $BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(f^{-1}(\mu), r, s) \leq f^{-1}(BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\mu, r, s))$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Suppose $\lambda \in I^X$ and $BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(f(\lambda), r, s) \in I^Y$ is an (r, s) -fuzzy b -closed, then by (1), $f^{-1}(BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(f(\lambda), r, s)) \in I^X$ is an (r, s) -fuzzy b -closed set, $r \in I_0$ and $s \in I_1$. Therefore, $BC_{\tau_1, \tau_1^*}(f^{-1}(BC_{\tau_2, \tau_2^*}(f(\lambda), r, s)), r, s) = f^{-1}(BC_{\tau_2, \tau_2^*}(f(\lambda), r, s))$. Since $\lambda \leq f^{-1}(f(\lambda))$ and $BC_{\tau_1, \tau_1^*}(\lambda, r, s) \leq BC_{\tau_1, \tau_1^*}(f^{-1}(f(\lambda), r, s))$. Also, $f(\lambda) \leq BC_{\tau_2, \tau_2^*}(f(\lambda), r, s)$. Then $BC_{\tau_1, \tau_1^*}(\lambda, r, s) \leq BC_{\tau_1, \tau_1^*}(f^{-1}(BC_{\tau_2, \tau_2^*}(f(\lambda), r, s)), r, s) = f^{-1}(BC_{\tau_2, \tau_2^*}(f(\lambda), r, s))$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3): Suppose $\mu \in I^Y$, by (2) $f(BC_{\tau_1, \tau_1^*}(f^{-1}(\mu), r, s)) \leq BC_{\tau_2, \tau_2^*}(f(f^{-1}(\mu)), r, s) \leq BC_{\tau_2, \tau_2^*}(\mu, r, s)$. That is, $f(BC_{\tau_1, \tau_1^*}(f^{-1}(\mu), r, s)) \leq$

$BC_{\tau_2, \tau_2^*}(\mu, r, s)$. Therefore, $f^{-1}(f(BC_{\tau_1, \tau_1^*}(f^{-1}(\mu), r, s))) \leq f^{-1}(BC_{\tau_2, \tau_2^*}(\mu, r, s))$. Hence, $BC_{\tau_1, \tau_1^*}(f^{-1}(\mu), r, s) \leq f^{-1}(BC_{\tau_2, \tau_2^*}(\mu, r, s))$.
 (3) \Rightarrow (1): Suppose $\mu \in I^Y$ is an (r, s) -fuzzy b -closed set. Then $BC_{\tau_2, \tau_2^*}(\mu, r, s) = \mu$. By (3) $BC_{\tau_1, \tau_1^*}(f^{-1}(\mu), r, s) \leq f^{-1}(BC_{\tau_2, \tau_2^*}(\mu, r, s)) = f^{-1}(\mu)$. But $f^{-1}(\mu) \leq BC_{\tau_1, \tau_1^*}(f^{-1}(\mu), r, s)$. Therefore, $f^{-1}(\mu) = BC_{\tau_1, \tau_1^*}(f^{-1}(\mu), r, s)$. That is, $f^{-1}(\mu) \in I^X$ is (r, s) -fuzzy b -closed. Thus, f is a double fuzzy b -irresolute function. \square

Proposition 4.3. A function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_1^*) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2, \tau_2^*)$ is a double fuzzy b -closed if, and only if for each $\lambda \in I^X$, $BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(f(\lambda), r, s) \leq f(BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s))$.

Proof. Suppose that f is a double fuzzy b -closed function and λ is any fuzzy set in X . Then $f(BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s))$ is an (r, s) -fuzzy b -closed in I^Y . Therefore, $BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(f(BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)), r, s) = f(BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s))$. Since $\lambda \leq BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)$ $f(\lambda) \leq f(BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s))$. Then $BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(f(\lambda), r, s) \leq BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(f(BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)), r, s) = f(BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s))$. Hence for every fuzzy set $\lambda \in I^X$, $BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(f(\lambda), r, s) \leq f(BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s))$. Conversely, suppose that for every fuzzy set $\lambda \in I^X$, $BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(f(\lambda), r, s) \leq f(BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s))$. Since λ is an (r, s) -fuzzy b -closed set, $BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) = \lambda$. Therefore, $f(BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)) = f(\lambda) \leq BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(f(\lambda), r, s)$. Hence, $f(\lambda) = f(BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)) = BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(f(\lambda), r, s)$, which implies that $f(\lambda) \in I^Y$ is an (r, s) -fuzzy b -closed set, that is, f is double fuzzy b -closed function. \square

Proposition 4.4. If $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_1^*) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2, \tau_2^*)$ is a double fuzzy b -irresolute function, then $BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(f^{-1}(\lambda), r, s)$ is zero for every (r, s) -fuzzy b -open set $A \in I^Y$.

Proof. Let λ be an (r, s) -fuzzy b -open set in I^Y . Then $f^{-1}(\lambda) \in I^X$ is (r, s) -fuzzy b -open. Therefore, $BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(f^{-1}(\lambda), r, s) = f^{-1}(\lambda)$. By definition, $BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(f^{-1}(\lambda), r, s) = f^{-1}(\lambda) - BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(f^{-1}(\lambda), r, s)$. Hence, $BE_{\tau, \tau^*}(f^{-1}(\lambda), r, s) = f^{-1}(\lambda) - f^{-1}(\lambda) = \bar{0}$. \square

Definition 4.5. A double fuzzy topological space (X, τ, τ^*) is said to be a double fuzzy b - $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ space if each (r, s) -fuzzy b -closed set is (r, s) -fuzzy closed set in X .

Proposition 4.6. For any double fuzzy topological spaces (X, τ_1, τ_1^*) and (Y, τ_2, τ_2^*) if the map $f : (X, \tau, \tau^*) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2, \tau_2^*)$ is a bijective, the following statements are equivalent:

1. f and f^{-1} are double fuzzy b -irresolute.
2. f is double fuzzy b -continuous and double fuzzy b -open.
3. f is double fuzzy b -continuous and double fuzzy b -closed.
4. $f(BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)) = BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(f(\lambda), r, s)$ for every $\lambda \in I^X$:

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Suppose μ is an (r, s) -fuzzy b -open set in X . Since f^{-1} is double fuzzy b -irresolute, $(f^{-1})^{-1}(\mu) \in I^Y$ is (r, s) -fuzzy b -open set, so f is double fuzzy b -open. Now, let $\gamma \in I^Y$ be an (r, s) -fuzzy b -open set, then it is an (r, s) -fuzzy b -open. But by hypothesis, f^{-1} are double fuzzy b -irresolute, then $f^{-1}(\gamma) \in I^X$ is an (r, s) -fuzzy b -open, that is f is double fuzzy b -continuous.

(2) \Rightarrow (3): Let $\lambda \in I^X$ is an (r, s) -fuzzy b -closed set, then $1 - \lambda \in I^X$ is an (r, s) -fuzzy b -open set. By (2), $1 - f(\lambda) = f(1 - \lambda)$ is an (r, s) -fuzzy b -open set in I^Y , which implies that $f(\lambda)$ is an (r, s) -fuzzy b -closed set. Hence f is a double fuzzy b -closed function.

(3) \Rightarrow (4): Let $\lambda \in I^X$, we have $\lambda \leq f^{-1}(f(\lambda))$ and $f(\lambda) \leq BC_{\tau_2, \tau_2^*}(f(\lambda), r, s)$. Then $\lambda \leq f^{-1}(BC_{\tau_2, \tau_2^*}(f(\lambda), r, s))$. Now, $BC_{\tau_2, \tau_2^*}(f(\lambda), r, s) \in I^Y$ is an (r, s) -fuzzy b -closed set. But (Y, τ_2, τ_2^*) is a double fuzzy b - $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ space, and $BC_{\tau_2, \tau_2^*}(f(\lambda), r, s)$ is an (r, s) -fuzzy closed set, then $BC_{\tau_2, \tau_2^*}(f(\lambda), r, s) \in I^Y$ is an (r, s) -fuzzy b -closed set. Since f is double fuzzy b -continuous, $f^{-1}(BC_{\tau_2, \tau_2^*}(f(\lambda), r, s))$ is an (r, s) -fuzzy b -closed set, which implies $BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(f^{-1}(BC_{\tau_2, \tau_2^*}(f(\lambda), r, s)), r, s) = f^{-1}(BC_{\tau_2, \tau_2^*}(f(\lambda), r, s))$. But $BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(f(\lambda), r, s) \leq BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(f^{-1}(BC_{\tau_2, \tau_2^*}(f(\lambda), r, s)), r, s)$ and $BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(f(\lambda), r, s) \leq f^{-1}(BC_{\tau_2, \tau_2^*}(f(\lambda), r, s))$. Then $f(BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)) \leq BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(f(\lambda), r, s)$. Also, $BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(f(\lambda), r, s) \leq f(BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s))$. Hence $f(BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)) = BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(f(\lambda), r, s)$.

(4) \Rightarrow (1): $\lambda \in I^X$, by hypothesis of (4), $f(BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)) = BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(f(\lambda), r, s)$. Therefore, $f(BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)) \leq BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(f(\lambda), r, s)$. Then, f is a double fuzzy b -irresolute function. Now, suppose $B \in I^Y$ is (r, s) -fuzzy b -closed. Then $BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\mu, r, s) = B$ $f(BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\mu, r, s)) = f(\mu)$. But by (4), $BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(f(\mu), r, s) = f(BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\mu, r, s))$. Therefore, $BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(f(\mu), r, s) = f(\mu)$. Then $f(\mu) \in I^Y$ is an (r, s) -fuzzy b -closed set. Therefore, f^{-1} is double fuzzy b -irresolute. \square

5. Interrelations

In this section, we present the relationship among the concepts introduced in Sections 3 and 4.

Proposition 5.1. If A is an (r, s) -fuzzy b -closed set in a double fuzzy topological space (X, τ, τ^*) , then

1. $BE_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) = BE_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)$.
2. $BE_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) = 1 - A$.

Proof. (1). Let $A \in I^X$ be an (r, s) -fuzzy b -closed, we have $BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) = A$. But by definition $BB_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) = A - BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) = BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) - BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) = BF_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)$. Therefore $BB_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) = BF_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)$.

(2). Let A be an (r, s) -fuzzy b -closed set, we get $BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) = A$. Then $BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(1 - \lambda, r, s) = 1 - A$. Therefore by definition, $BE_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) = 1 - A$. \square

Proposition 5.2. For a function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_1^*) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2, \tau_2^*)$, the following hold:

1. If f is any function, then $BE_{\tau, \tau^*}(f^{-1}(\lambda), r, s) \leq BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(1 - f^{-1}(\lambda), r, s)$ for each fuzzy set $A \in I^Y$.
2. If f is a double fuzzy b -continuous function, then for every (r, s) -fuzzy b -closed set $A \in I^Y$, we have $BE_{\tau, \tau^*}(f^{-1}(\lambda), r, s) = BE_{\tau, \tau^*}(f^{-1}(\lambda), r, s)$.

Proof. (1). Let $A \in I^Y$. Then by definition $BE_{\tau_1, \tau_1^*}(f^{-1}(\lambda), r, s) = BI_{\tau_1, \tau_1^*}(1 - f^{-1}(\lambda), r, s) \leq 1 - f^{-1}(\lambda)$. Also, $BE_{\tau_1, \tau_1^*}(f^{-1}(\lambda), r, s) \leq 1 - BI_{\tau_1, \tau_1^*}(f^{-1}(\lambda), r, s) = BC_{\tau_1, \tau_1^*}(1 - f^{-1}(\lambda), r, s)$. Therefore, $BE_{\tau_1, \tau_1^*}(f^{-1}(\lambda), r, s) \leq BC_{\tau_1, \tau_1^*}(1 - f^{-1}(\lambda), r, s)$.
 (2). Let A be an (r, s) -fuzzy b -closed set in Y . Then, $f^{-1}(\lambda)$ is an (r, s) -fuzzy b -closed set in X . Therefore, $BC_{\tau_1, \tau_1^*}(f^{-1}(\lambda), r, s) = f^{-1}(\lambda)$. Hence, $BF_{\tau_1, \tau_1^*}(f^{-1}(\lambda), r, s) = BC_{\tau_1, \tau_1^*}(f^{-1}(\lambda), r, s) - BI_{\tau_1, \tau_1^*}(f^{-1}(\lambda), r, s) = f^{-1}(\lambda) - BI_{\tau_1, \tau_1^*}(f^{-1}(\lambda), r, s) = BE_{\tau_1, \tau_1^*}(f^{-1}(\lambda), r, s)$. Therefore, $BF_{\tau_1, \tau_1^*}(f^{-1}(\lambda), r, s) = BE_{\tau_1, \tau_1^*}(f^{-1}(\lambda), r, s)$. \square

Definition 5.3. A double fuzzy topological space (X, τ, τ^*) is said to be a double fuzzy $b-T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ space if each (r, s) -fuzzy b -closed set is (r, s) -fuzzy closed set in X .

Proposition 5.4. If (X, τ, τ^*) is a double fuzzy $b-T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ space and A is an (r, s) -fuzzy b -closed set in X , then the following statements hold:

1. $B_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) = BF_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)$,
2. $BE_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) = \bar{1} - \lambda$.

Proof. (1). Let $\lambda \in I^X$ be an (r, s) -fuzzy b -closed set. Then λ is an (r, s) -fuzzy closed set in X , which implies $B_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) = \lambda$. But by definition, $B_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) = \lambda - BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) = BC_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) - BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) = BF_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s)$.
 (2). By definition, $BE_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) = BI_{\tau, \tau^*}(\lambda, r, s) = \bar{1} - \lambda$. \square

Proposition 5.5. If (X, τ, τ^*) is a double fuzzy $b-T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ space and $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_1^*) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2, \tau_2^*)$ is a fuzzy b -irresolute function. Then for an (r, s) -fuzzy b -closed set λ in Y , then the following statements hold:

1. $B_{\tau_1, \tau_1^*}(f^{-1}(\lambda), r, s) = BF_{\tau_1, \tau_1^*}(f^{-1}(\lambda), r, s)$,
2. $BE_{\tau_1, \tau_1^*}(f^{-1}(\lambda), r, s) = \bar{1} - f^{-1}(\lambda)$.

Proof. (1). Suppose $\lambda \in I^Y$ is an (r, s) -fuzzy b -closed set. Then $f^{-1}(\lambda)$ is an (r, s) -fuzzy b -closed set in X . Since by hypothesis, (X, τ, τ^*) is double fuzzy $b-T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ space, $f^{-1}(\lambda)$ is an (r, s) -fuzzy closed set in X . Then $B_{\tau_1, \tau_1^*}(f^{-1}(\lambda), r, s) = f^{-1}(\lambda)$. Also $B_{\tau_1, \tau_1^*}(f^{-1}(\lambda), r, s) = f^{-1}(\lambda) - B_{\tau_1, \tau_1^*}(f^{-1}(\lambda), r, s) = B_{\tau_1, \tau_1^*}(f^{-1}(\lambda), r, s) - B_{\tau_1, \tau_1^*}(f^{-1}(\lambda), r, s) = B_{\tau_1, \tau_1^*}(f^{-1}(\lambda), r, s)$. Hence $B_{\tau_1, \tau_1^*}(f^{-1}(\lambda), r, s) = B_{\tau_1, \tau_1^*}(f^{-1}(\lambda), r, s)$.
 (2). By definition $B_{\tau_1, \tau_1^*}(f^{-1}(\lambda), r, s) = B_{\tau_1, \tau_1^*}(1 - f^{-1}(\lambda), r, s) = 1 - f^{-1}(\lambda)$. \square

Proposition 5.6. If (X, τ, τ^*) is a double fuzzy $b-T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ space and $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_1^*) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2, \tau_2^*)$ is a fuzzy b -closed function. Then for an (r, s) -fuzzy b -closed set λ in X , then the following statements hold:

1. $B_{\tau_2, \tau_2^*}(f(\lambda), r, s) = BF_{\tau_2, \tau_2^*}(f(\lambda), r, s)$,
2. $BE_{\tau_2, \tau_2^*}(f(\lambda), r, s) = \bar{1} - f(\lambda)$.

Proposition 5.7. If (X, τ_1, τ_1^*) is a double fuzzy $b-T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ space and $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_1^*) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2, \tau_2^*)$ and $g : (Y, \tau_2, \tau_2^*) \rightarrow (Z, \tau_3, \tau_3^*)$ are fuzzy b -irresolute function. Then for an (r, s) -fuzzy b -closed set $\lambda \in I^Z$, then the following statements hold:

1. $B_{\tau_1, \tau_1^*}((g \circ f)^{-1}(\lambda), r, s) = BF_{\tau_1, \tau_1^*}((g \circ f)^{-1}(\lambda), r, s)$,
2. $BE_{\tau_1, \tau_1^*}((g \circ f)^{-1}(\lambda), r, s) = \bar{1} - (g \circ f)^{-1}(\lambda)$.

Proof. (1). Let λ be a (r, s) -fuzzy b -closed set in Z . Then by hypothesis of g is fuzzy b -irresolute, $g^{-1}(\lambda) \in I^Y$ is a (r, s) -fuzzy b -closed set. Also, f is fuzzy b -irresolute, $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(\lambda)) \in I^X$ is an (r, s) -fuzzy b -closed set. Thus $(g \circ f)^{-1}(\lambda) \in I^X$ is a (r, s) -fuzzy b -closed. Since (X, τ_1, τ_1^*) is double fuzzy $b-T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ space, $(g \circ f)^{-1}(\lambda)$ is a (r, s) -fuzzy closed in X . So by definition, we have $B_{\tau_1, \tau_1^*}((g \circ f)^{-1}(\lambda), r, s) = (g \circ f)^{-1}(\lambda) - GI_{\tau_1, \tau_1^*}((g \circ f)^{-1}(\lambda); r, s) = BC_{\tau_1, \tau_1^*}((g \circ f)^{-1}(\lambda), r, s) - BI_{\tau_1, \tau_1^*}((g \circ f)^{-1}(\lambda); r, s) = BF_{\tau_1, \tau_1^*}((g \circ f)^{-1}(\lambda), r, s)$.
 (2). By definition, $GE_{\tau_1, \tau_1^*}((g \circ f)^{-1}(\lambda), r, s) = BI_{\tau_1, \tau_1^*}(\bar{1} - (g \circ f)^{-1}(\lambda), r, s) = \bar{1} - BC_{\tau_1, \tau_1^*}((g \circ f)^{-1}(\lambda), r, s) = \bar{1} - (g \circ f)^{-1}(\lambda)$, since $(g \circ f)^{-1}(\lambda)$ is a (r, s) -fuzzy closed set. Therefore, $B_{\tau_1, \tau_1^*}((g \circ f)^{-1}(\lambda), r, s) = \bar{1} - (g \circ f)^{-1}(\lambda)$. \square

Example 5.8. Let $X = \{a, b\}$ and $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_1^*) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2, \tau_2^*)$ be the identity function. Define the fuzzy subsets $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \mu_1$ and μ_3 as follows:

$$\lambda_1(a) = 0.67, \quad \lambda_1(b) = 0.64; \quad \lambda_2(a) = 0.67, \quad \lambda_2(b) = 0.35; \quad \lambda_3(a) = 0.33, \quad \lambda_3(b) = 0.34; \quad \mu_1(a) = 0.75, \quad \mu_1(b) = 0.67; \\ \mu_2(a) = 0.67, \quad \mu_2(b) = 0.49.$$

Let $\tau_1, \tau_1^* : I^X \rightarrow I$ and $\tau_2, \tau_2^* : I^X \rightarrow I$ defined as follows:

$$\tau_1(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda = \bar{0} \text{ or } \bar{1} \\ \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_1 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_2 \\ \frac{3}{4} & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_3 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \tau_1^*(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \lambda = \bar{0} \text{ or } \bar{1} \\ \frac{3}{4} & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_1 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_2 \\ \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_3 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \tau_2(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda = \bar{0} \text{ or } \bar{1} \\ \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } \lambda = \mu_1 \\ \frac{1}{8} & \text{if } \lambda = \mu_2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \tau_2^*(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \lambda = \bar{0} \text{ or } \bar{1} \\ \frac{1}{8} & \text{if } \lambda = \mu_1 \\ \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } \lambda = \mu_2 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then the identity function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_1^*) \rightarrow (X, \tau_2, \tau_2^*)$ is double fuzzy b -irresolute but (X, τ_1, τ_1^*) is not a double fuzzy $b-T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ space.

Example 5.9. Let $X = \{a, b\}$ and $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_1^*) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2, \tau_2^*)$ be the identity function. Define the fuzzy subsets $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \mu_1$ and μ_3 are as in the example 5.9: Let $\tau_1, \tau_1^* : I^X \rightarrow I$ and $\tau_2, \tau_2^* : I^X \rightarrow I$ defined as follows:

$$\tau_1(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda = \bar{0} \text{ or } \bar{1} \\ \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } \lambda = \mu_1 \\ \frac{1}{8} & \text{if } \lambda = \mu_2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \tau_1^*(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \lambda = \bar{0} \text{ or } \bar{1} \\ \frac{1}{8} & \text{if } \lambda = \mu_1 \\ \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } \lambda = \mu_2 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \tau_2(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda = \bar{0} \text{ or } \bar{1} \\ \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_1 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_2 \\ \frac{3}{4} & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_3 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \tau_2^*(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \lambda = \bar{0} \text{ or } \bar{1} \\ \frac{3}{4} & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_1 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_2 \\ \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_3 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then the identity function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_1^*) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2, \tau_2^*)$ is double fuzzy b -closed but (X, τ_1, τ_1^*) is not a double fuzzy $b-T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ space.

Example 5.10. Let $X = \{a, b\}$ and $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_1^*) \rightarrow (X, \tau_2, \tau_2^*)$ be the identity function. The fuzzy subsets $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \mu_1$ and μ_3 as defined are as in Example 5.9 and γ_1 and γ_2 as follows:

$$\gamma_1(a) = 0.75, \quad \gamma_1(b) = 0.75: \quad \gamma_2(a) = 0.67, \quad \gamma_2(b) = 0.40.$$

Let $\tau_1, \tau_1^* : I^X \rightarrow I, \tau_2, \tau_2^* : I^X \rightarrow I$ and $\tau_3, \tau_3^* : I^X \rightarrow I$ defined as follows:

$$\tau_1(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda = \bar{0} \text{ or } \bar{1} \\ \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_1 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_2 \\ \frac{3}{4} & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_3 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \tau_1^*(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \lambda = \bar{0} \text{ or } \bar{1} \\ \frac{3}{4} & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_1 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_2 \\ \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_3 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \tau_2(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda = \bar{0} \text{ or } \bar{1} \\ \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } \lambda = \mu_1 \\ \frac{1}{8} & \text{if } \lambda = \mu_2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \tau_2^*(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \lambda = \bar{0} \text{ or } \bar{1} \\ \frac{1}{8} & \text{if } \lambda = \mu_1 \\ \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } \lambda = \mu_2 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\tau_3(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda = \bar{0} \text{ or } \bar{1} \\ \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } \lambda = \gamma_1 \\ \frac{1}{8} & \text{if } \lambda = \gamma_2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \tau_3^*(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \lambda = \bar{0} \text{ or } \bar{1} \\ \frac{1}{8} & \text{if } \lambda = \gamma_1 \\ \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } \lambda = \gamma_2 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then the identity functions $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_1^*) \rightarrow (X, \tau_2, \tau_2^*)$ and $g : (X, \tau_2, \tau_2^*) \rightarrow (X, \tau_3, \tau_3^*)$ are double fuzzy b -irresolute but (X, τ_1, τ_1^*) is not a double fuzzy $b-T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ space.

Article Information

Acknowledgements: The authors would like to express their sincere thanks to the editor and the anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments and suggestions.

Author’s contributions: All authors contributed equally to the writing of this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflict of Interest Disclosure: No potential conflict of interest was declared by the author.

Copyright Statement: Authors own the copyright of their work published in the journal and their work is published under the CC BY-NC 4.0 license.

Supporting/Supporting Organizations: No grants were received from any public, private or non-profit organizations for this research.

Ethical Approval and Participant Consent: It is declared that during the preparation process of this study, scientific and ethical principles were followed and all the studies benefited from are stated in the bibliography.

Plagiarism Statement: This article was scanned by the plagiarism program. No plagiarism detected.

Availability of data and materials: Not applicable.

References

- [1] K. Atanassov, New operators defined over the intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy Sets and Sisetms*, 61, No. 2 (1993), 137-142.
- [2] C. L. Chang, Fuzzy topological spaces, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 24, No. 1 (1968), 182-190.
- [3] D. Coker, An introduction to fuzzy subspaces in intuitionistic topological spaces, *J. Fuzzy Math.*, 4 (1996), 749-764.
- [4] D. Coker, An introduction to intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 88, No. 1 (1997), 81-89.
- [5] M. Demirci, D. Coker, An introduction to intuitionistic fuzzytopological spaces in Sostak’s sense, *Busefal* 67 (1996), 67-76.
- [6] Fatimah. M. Mohammed, M. S. M. Noorani and A. Ghareeb, Generalized b -closed sets and b -open sets in double fuzzy topological spaces, *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 1602, (2015), 909-917.
- [7] J. Gutierrez Garcia and S. E. Rodabaugh, Order-theoretic, topological, categorical re-redundancies of interval-valued sets, grey sets, vague sets, interval-valued; intuitionistic sets, intuitionistic fuzzy sets and topologies, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 156, No. 3 (2005),445-484.

- [8] E. P. Lee and Y. B. Im., Mated fuzzy topological spaces, *Journal of fuzzy logic and intelligent systems*, 11 (2001), 161-165.
- [9] S. K. Samanta and T. K. Mondal, On intuitionistic gradation of openness, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 131, No. 3 (2002), 323-336.
- [10] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, *Information and Control*, 8, No. 3 (1965), 338-353.