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#### Abstract

Given a finite group $G$, denote by $\mathrm{D}(G)$ the degree pattern of $G$ and by $\operatorname{OC}(G)$ the set of all order components of $G$. Denote by $h_{\mathrm{OD}}(G)$ (resp. $\left.h_{\mathrm{OC}}(G)\right)$ the number of isomorphism classes of finite groups $H$ satisfying conditions $|H|=|G|$ and $\mathrm{D}(H)=\mathrm{D}(G)$ (resp. $\mathrm{OC}(H)=\mathrm{OC}(G)$ ). A finite group $G$ is called OD-characterizable (resp. OC-characterizable) if $h_{\mathrm{OD}}(G)=1$ (resp. $\left.h_{\mathrm{OC}}(G)=1\right)$. Let $C=C_{p}(2)$ be a symplectic group over the binary field, for which $2^{p}-1>7$ is a Mersenne prime. The aim of this article is to prove that $h_{\mathrm{OD}}(C)=1=h_{\mathrm{OC}}(C)$.
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## 1. Introduction

Only finite groups will be considered. Let $G$ be a group, $\pi(G)$ the set of all prime divisors of its order and $\omega(G)$ be the spectrum of $G$, that is the set of its element orders. The prime graph $\mathrm{GK}(G)$ (or Gruenberg-Kegel graph) of $G$ is a simple graph whose vertex set is $\pi(G)$ and two distinct vertices $p$ and $q$ are joined by an edge if and only if $p q \in \omega(G)$. Let $t(G)$ be the number of connected components of $\operatorname{GK}(G)$. The vertex set of the $i$ th connected component of $\operatorname{GK}(G)$ is denoted by $\pi_{i}(G)$ for each $i=1,2, \ldots, t(G)$. In the case when $2 \in \pi(G)$, we assume that $2 \in \pi_{1}(G)$. The classification of finite simple groups with disconnected prime graph was obtained by Williams [13] and Kondratév [4]. Recall that a clique in a graph is a set of pairwise adjacent vertices. Note that for all non-abelian simple groups $S$ with disconnected prime graph, all connected components $\pi_{i}(S)$ for $2 \leqslant i \leqslant t(S)$ are cliques, for instance, see [13]. The degree $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(p)$ of a vertex $p \in \pi(G)$ in $\operatorname{GK}(G)$ is the number of edges incident on $p$. If $\pi(G)=\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{h}\right\}$ with $p_{1}<p_{2}<\cdots<p_{h}$, then we define

$$
\mathrm{D}(G)=\left(\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(p_{1}\right), \operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(p_{2}\right), \ldots, \operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(p_{h}\right)\right)
$$

which is called the degree pattern of $G$. Given a group $G$, denote by $h_{\mathrm{OD}}(G)$ the number of isomorphism classes of groups with the same order and degree pattern as $G$. All finite groups, in terms of the function $h_{\mathrm{OD}}(\cdot)$, are classified as follows:

Definition 1.1. A group $G$ is called $k$-fold OD-characterizable if $h_{\mathrm{OD}}(G)=k$. Usually, a 1-fold OD-characterizable group is simply called OD-characterizable.

There are scattered results in the literature showing that certain simple groups are $k$-fold OD-characterizable for $k \in\{1,2\}$. The most recent version of the list of such simple groups is presented in [8, Tables 2 and 3]. Until now, no examples of simple groups $S$ with $h_{\mathrm{OD}}(S) \geqslant 3$ were known. Therefore, we posed the following question:

Problem 1.2. Is there a non-abelian simple group $S$ with $h_{\mathrm{OD}}(S) \geqslant 3$ ?
In this article, we focus our attention on the symplectic groups $C_{p}(2) \cong S_{2 p}(2)$, where $p$ is an odd prime. Recall that $C_{2}(2)$ is not a simple group, in fact, the derived subgroup $C_{2}(2)^{\prime}$ is a simple group which is isomorphic with $A_{6} \cong L_{2}(9)$. In addition, we recall that $B_{2}(3) \cong{ }^{2} A_{4}\left(2^{2}\right), B_{n}\left(2^{m}\right) \cong C_{n}\left(2^{m}\right)$ and $B_{2}(q) \cong C_{2}(q)$ (see [2]). Previously, it was determined the values of $h_{\mathrm{OD}}(\cdot)$ for some symplectic and orthogonal groups (see $[1,6,9]$ ). In the table below, $\pi(n)$ is the set of all prime divisors of $n$, where $n$ is a natural number.

| $G$ | Restrictions on $G$ | $h_{\mathrm{OD}}(G)$ | Refs. |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $B_{3}(4) \cong C_{3}(4)$ |  | 1 | $[6]$ |
| $B_{2}(q) \cong C_{2}(q)$ | $\left\|\pi\left(\frac{q^{2}+1}{(2, q-1)}\right)\right\|=1$ | 1 | $[1]$ |
| $B_{2^{m}}(q) \cong C_{2^{m}}(q)$ | $\left\|\pi\left(\frac{q^{2}+1}{(2, q-1)}\right)\right\|=1, \quad q$ is even | 1 | $[1]$ |
| $B_{3}(5), C_{3}(5)$, |  | 2 | $[1]$ |
| $B_{n}(q), C_{n}(q)$, | $n=2^{m}>2, \quad\left\|\pi\left(\frac{q^{n}+1}{2}\right)\right\|=1$, | 2 | $[1]$ |
|  | $q$ is an odd prime power |  |  |
| $B_{p}(3), C_{p}(3)$, | $\left\|\pi\left(\frac{3^{p}-1}{2}\right)\right\|=1, \quad p$ is an odd prime, | 2 | $[1,9]$ |

Given a group $G$, the order of $G$ can be expressed as a product of some coprime natural numbers $m_{i}(G), i=1,2, \ldots, t(G)$, with $\pi\left(m_{i}(G)\right)=\pi_{i}(G)$. The numbers $m_{1}(G), m_{2}(G), \ldots, m_{t(G)}(G)$ are called the order components of $G$. We set

$$
\mathrm{OC}(G)=\left\{m_{1}(G), m_{2}(G), \ldots, m_{t(G)}(G)\right\}
$$

In a similar manner, we define $h_{\mathrm{OC}}(G)$ as the number of isomorphism classes of finite groups with the same set $\mathrm{OC}(G)$ of order components. Again, in terms of function $h_{\mathrm{OC}}(\cdot)$, the groups $G$ are classified as follows:

Definition 1.3. A finite group $G$ is called $k$-fold OC-characterizable if $h_{\mathrm{OC}}(G)=k$. In the case when $k=1$ the group $G$ is simply called OC-characterizable.

A Mersenne prime is a prime that can be written as $2^{p}-1$ for some prime $p$. The purpose of this article is to prove the following theorem.

Main Theorem. Let $C=C_{p}(2)$ be the symplectic group over the binary field, for which $2^{p}-1>7$ is a Mersenne prime. Then $h_{\mathrm{OD}}(C)=1=h_{\mathrm{OC}}(C)$.

It is worth noting that the values of functions $h_{\mathrm{OD}}(\cdot)$ and $h_{\mathrm{OC}}(\cdot)$ may be different. For instance, suppose $M \in\left\{B_{3}(5), C_{3}(5)\right\}$. By [13], the prime graph associated with $M$ is connected and so $\mathrm{OC}(M)=\{|M|\}=\left\{2^{9} \cdot 3^{4} \cdot 5^{9} \cdot 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 31\right\}$. On the other hand, it is easy to see that the prime graph associated with a nilpotent group is always a clique, hence, we have

$$
h_{\mathrm{OC}}(M)>\nu_{\mathrm{nil}}(|M|) \geqslant \nu_{\mathrm{a}}(|M|)=\operatorname{Par}(9)^{2} \cdot \operatorname{Par}(4)=30^{2} \times 5=4500
$$

where $\nu_{\text {nil }}(n)$ (resp. $\nu_{\mathrm{a}}(n)$ ) signifies the number of non-isomorphic nilpotent (resp. abelian) groups of order $n$ and $\operatorname{Par}(n)$ denotes the number of partitions of $n$. However, by Theorem 1.3 in [1], we know that $h_{\mathrm{OD}}(M)=2$.

## 2. Preliminaries

If $a$ is a natural number, $r$ is an odd prime and $(r, a)=1$, then by $e(r, a)$ we denote the multiplicative order of $a$ modulo $r$, that is the minimal natural number $n$ with $a^{n} \equiv 1(\bmod r)$. If $a$ is odd, we put $e(2, a)=1$ if $a \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, and $e(2, a)=2$ if $a \equiv-1(\bmod 4)$. The following lemma is a consequence of Zsigmondy's Theorem (see [14]).

Lemma 2.1. Let $a>1$ be an integer. Then for every natural number $n$ there exists a prime $r$ with $e(r, a)=n$ except for the cases $(n, a) \in\{(1,2),(1,3),(6,2)\}$.

A prime $r$ with $e(r, a)=n$ is called a primitive prime divisor of $a^{n}-1$. By Lemma 2.1, such a prime exists except for the cases mentioned in the lemma. We denote by $\operatorname{ppd}\left(a^{n}-1\right)$ the set of all primitive prime divisors of $a^{n}-1$. By our definition, we have $\pi(a-1)=\operatorname{ppd}(a-1)$ but for the following sole exception, namely, $2 \notin \operatorname{ppd}(a-1)$ if $e(2, a)=2$. In this case, we assume that $2 \in \operatorname{ppd}\left(a^{2}-1\right)$.

From the definition it is easy to conclude that: Let $p>2$ be an integer. Then $\pi\left(a^{p}-1\right)=\operatorname{ppd}\left(a^{p}-1\right)$ if and only if $p$ is a prime.

In the following results, we will consider the function $\eta: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, which is defined as follows

$$
\eta(m)=\left\{\begin{array}{llll}
m & \text { if } & m \equiv 1 & (\bmod 2) \\
m / 2 & \text { if } & m \equiv 0 & (\bmod 2)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Lemma 2.2. ([11]) Let $M$ be one of the simple groups of Lie type $B_{n}(q)$ or $C_{n}(q)$ over a field of characteristic $p$, and let $r \in \pi(M) \backslash\{p\}$ and $r \in \operatorname{ppd}\left(q^{k}-1\right)$. Then $r$ and $p$ are non-adjacent if and only if $\eta(k)>n-1$.

Lemma 2.3. ([12]) Let $M$ be one of the simple groups of Lie type $B_{n}(q)$ or $C_{n}(q)$ over a field of characteristic $p$. Let $r$, s be odd primes with $r, s \in \pi(M) \backslash\{p\}$. Suppose that $r \in \operatorname{ppd}\left(q^{k}-1\right), s \in \operatorname{ppd}\left(q^{l}-1\right)$ and $1 \leqslant \eta(k) \leqslant \eta(l)$. Then $r$ and $s$ are non-adjacent if and only if $\eta(k)+\eta(l)>n$ and $l / k$ is not an odd natural number.

Using Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, we conclude that the prime graphs $\operatorname{GK}\left(B_{n}(q)\right)$ and $\mathrm{GK}\left(C_{n}(q)\right)$ coincide (see also [11, Proposition 7.5]), and hence

$$
\mathrm{D}\left(B_{n}(q)\right)=\mathrm{D}\left(C_{n}(q)\right) .
$$

Corollary 2.4. Let $p>3$ be a prime and $C=C_{p}(2)$. Then $\operatorname{deg}_{C}(3)=\left|\pi_{1}(C)\right|-1$.
Proof. Recall that, by [4], we have

$$
\pi_{1}(C)=\pi\left(2\left(2^{p}+1\right) \prod_{i=1}^{p-1}\left(2^{2 i}-1\right)\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \pi_{2}(C)=\pi\left(2^{p}-1\right)
$$

Now, it follows from Lemma 2.3 that all primitive prime divisors of $2^{p}-1$ (and so all primes in $\pi\left(2^{p}-1\right)$ ) are non-adjacent to 3 . On the other hand, by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, we deduce that $\operatorname{deg}_{C}(3)=\left|\pi_{1}(C)\right|-1$, as desired.

The following lemma is crucial to the study of characterizability of symplectic groups $C_{p}(2)$ by order components.

Lemma 2.5. ([3]) Let $G$ be a group whose prime graph has more than one component. If $H$ is a normal $\pi_{k}(G)$-subgroup of $G$, then $|H|-1$ is divisible by $m_{i}(G)$, for all $i \neq k$.

A group $G$ is called 2-Frobenius if there exists a normal series $1 \unlhd H \unlhd K \unlhd G$ of $G$ such that $H$ is the Frobenius kernel of $K$ and $K / H$ is the Frobenius kernel of $G / H$.

Lemma 2.6. ([7]) Let $S$ be a simple group with disconnected prime graph $\operatorname{GK}(S)$, except $U_{4}(2)$ and $U_{5}(2)$. If $G$ is a finite group with $\mathrm{OC}(G)=\mathrm{OC}(S)$, then $G$ is neither a Frobenius group nor a 2-Frobenius group.

Lemma 2.7. ([13]) Let $G$ be a group with $t(G) \geqslant 2$. Then one of the following hold:
(1) $G$ is a Frobenius group;
(2) $G$ is a 2-Frobenius group; or
(3) $G$ has a normal series $1 \unlhd H \triangleleft K \unlhd G$ such that $H$ is a nilpotent $\pi_{1}$-group, $K / H$ is a non-abelian simple group, $G / K$ is a $\pi_{1}$-group, $|G / K|$ divides $|\operatorname{Out}(K / H)|$ and any odd order component of $G$ is equal to one of the odd order components of $K / H$.

Lemma 2.8. ([5]) The only solution of the equation $p^{m}-q^{n}=1$, where $p, q$ are primes and $m, n>1$ are integers, is $(p, q, m, n)=(3,2,2,3)$.

Given a natural number $B$ and a prime number $t$, we denote by $B_{t}$ the $t$-part of $B$, that is the largest power of $t$ dividing $B$.

Lemma 2.9. ([10]) Let $B=\left(2^{2}-1\right)\left(2^{4}-1\right) \cdots\left(2^{2 n}-1\right)$. If $t$ is a prime divisor of $B$, then $B_{t}<2^{3 n}$. Furthermore, if $t \geqslant 5$ then $B_{t}<2^{2 n}$.

## 3. Proof of the main theorem

Throughout this section, we will assume that $2^{p}-1>7$ is a Mersenne prime and $C=C_{p}(2)$. Suppose that $G$ is a group with the same order and degree pattern as $C$, that is

$$
|G|=|C|=2^{p^{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{p}\left(2^{2 i}-1\right) \text { and } \mathrm{D}(G)=\mathrm{D}(C)
$$

Note that, according to the results summarized in [4], we have $t(C)=2$, and

$$
\pi_{1}(C)=\pi\left(2\left(2^{p}+1\right) \prod_{i=1}^{p-1}\left(2^{2 i}-1\right)\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \pi_{2}(C)=\left\{2^{p}-1\right\}
$$

By our hypothesis, it is easy to see that

$$
\pi_{2}(G)=\pi_{2}(C)=\left\{2^{p}-1\right\} \text { and } \pi(G)=\pi(C)=\pi_{1}(C) \cup\left\{2^{p}-1\right\}
$$

First of all, we notice that $2^{p}-1$ is the largest prime in $\pi(G)=\pi(C)$. Moreover, it follows from Corollary 2.4 that

$$
\operatorname{deg}_{G}(3)=\operatorname{deg}_{C}(3)=\left|\pi_{1}(C)\right|-1
$$

and this forces $\pi_{1}(G)=\pi_{1}(C)$, and so $t(G)=2$. Hence, we have

$$
\mathrm{OC}(G)=\mathrm{OC}(C)=\left\{2^{p^{2}}\left(2^{p}+1\right) \prod_{i=1}^{p-1}\left(2^{2 i}-1\right), \quad 2^{p}-1\right\}
$$

and from Lemma 2.6, the group $G$ is neither a Frobenius group nor a 2-Frobenius group. Finally, Lemma 2.7, reduces the problem to the study of the simple groups. Indeed, by Lemma 2.7, there is a normal series $1 \unlhd H \triangleleft K \unlhd G$ of $G$ such that:
(1) $H$ is a nilpotent $\pi_{1}(G)$-group, $K / H$ is a non-abelian simple group and $G / K$ is a $\pi_{1}(G)$-group. Moreover, we have $K / H \leqslant G / H \leqslant \operatorname{Aut}(K / H)$, and $t(K / H) \geqslant t(G) \geqslant 2$,
(2) $2^{p}-1$ is the only odd order component of $G$ which is equal to one of those of the quotient $K / H$,
(3) $|G / K|$ divides $|\operatorname{Out}(K / H)|$.

For odd order components of $K / H$ see [4,13]. Now, we will continue the proof step by step.

Step 3.1. $K / H \not{ }^{2} A_{3}(2),{ }^{2} F_{4}(2)^{\prime},{ }^{2} A_{5}(2), E_{7}(2), E_{7}(3), A_{2}(4),{ }^{2} E_{6}(2)$ nor one of the sporadic simple groups.

Note that either the odd order components of above groups are not equal to a Mersenne prime $2^{p}-1>7$ or their orders do not divide the order of $G$.

In the following, $\mathbb{A}_{n}$ denotes the alternating group on $n$ letters.
Step 3.2. $K / H \nsubseteq \mathbb{A}_{n}$, where $n$ and $n-2$ are both prime numbers.
In this case, it follows that $n=2^{p}-1$. Now, simple computations show that

$$
\left|\mathbb{A}_{n}\right|_{2}=\left(\frac{n!}{2}\right)_{2}=2^{\left(\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]+\left[\frac{n}{2^{2}}\right]+\cdots\right)-1}=2^{2^{p}-p-2}
$$

If $p>5$, then $2^{p}-p-2>p^{2}$ and hence the 2-part of $\left|\mathbb{A}_{n}\right|$ does not divide the 2-part of $|G|$, i.e. $2^{p^{2}}$, which is a contradiction. In the case when $p=5$, then $n=31$ and $|K / H|=(31!) / 2$, which does not divide $|G|=\left|C_{5}(2)\right|=2^{25} \cdot 3^{6} \cdot 5^{2} \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 17 \cdot 31$, which is again a contradiction.

Step 3.3. $K / H \nsubseteq \mathbb{A}_{n}$, where $n=q$, $q+1$, or $q+2$ ( $q$ is a prime), and one of $n$, $n-2$ is not prime.

Here, $q$ is the only odd order component of $K / H$, and so $q=2^{p}-1$. We now consider the alternating group $\mathbb{A}_{q}$ which is a subgroup of $K / H \cong \mathbb{A}_{n}$. Similar arguments as those in the previous step, on the subgroup $\mathbb{A}_{q}$ instead of $\mathbb{A}_{n}$, lead us a contradiction.

Step 3.4. $K / H$ is isomorphic to neither ${ }^{2} E_{6}(q), q>2$, nor $E_{6}(q)$.
We deal with ${ }^{2} E_{6}(q), q>2$, the proof for $E_{6}(q)$ being quite similar. Suppose that $K / H \cong{ }^{2} E_{6}(q)$. First of all, we recall that

$$
\left.\right|^{2} E_{6}(q) \left\lvert\,=\frac{1}{(3, q+1)} q^{36}\left(q^{12}-1\right)\left(q^{9}+1\right)\left(q^{8}-1\right)\left(q^{6}-1\right)\left(q^{5}+1\right)\left(q^{2}-1\right)\right.
$$

Considering the only odd order component of ${ }^{2} E_{6}(q)$, that is $\left(q^{6}-q^{3}+1\right) /(3, q+1)$, we must have $\left(q^{6}-q^{3}+1\right) /(3, q+1)=2^{p}-1$, which implies that $q^{9}>2^{p}$, or equivalently $q^{36}>2^{4 p}$. Let $q=r^{f}$. If $r$ is an odd prime, then from Lemma 2.9, we get

$$
q^{36}=r^{36 f}=|K / H|_{r} \leqslant|G|_{r}<2^{3 p}
$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore we may assume that $r=2$. In this case, we have

$$
\left(2^{6 f}-2^{3 f}+1\right) /\left(3,2^{f}+1\right)=2^{p}-1
$$

Now, if $\left(3,2^{f}+1\right)=1$, then we obtain $2^{3 f}\left(2^{3 f}-1\right)=2\left(2^{p-1}-1\right)$, from which we deduce that $3 f=1$, a contradiction. In the case where $\left(3,2^{f}+1\right)=3$, an easy calculation shows that

$$
2^{3 f}\left(2^{3 f}-1\right)=2^{2}\left(3 \cdot 2^{p-2}-1\right)
$$

and so $3 f=2$, which is again a contradiction.
Step 3.5. $K / H \not \not F_{4}(q)$, where $q$ is an odd prime power.
We remark that $q^{4}-q^{2}+1$ is the only odd order component of $F_{4}(q)$, and clearly this forces $q^{4}-q^{2}+1=2^{p}-1$. Then $q^{2}\left(q^{2}-1\right)=2\left(2^{p-1}-1\right)$, which shows that $2\left(2^{p-1}-1\right)$ is divisible by 4 , a contradiction.

Step 3.6. $K / H \not ¥^{2} B_{2}(q)$, where $q=2^{2 m+1}>2$.
Recall that $\left.\right|^{2} B_{2}(q) \mid=q^{2}\left(q^{2}+1\right)(q-1)$ and the odd order components of ${ }^{2} B_{2}(q)$ are:

$$
q-1, \quad q-\sqrt{2 q}+1, \quad q+\sqrt{2 q}+1
$$

If $q-1=2^{p}-1$, then $q=2^{p}$. Now, we consider the primitive prime divisor $r \in \operatorname{ppd}\left(2^{4 p}-1\right)$. Clearly $r \in \pi\left(2^{2 p}+1\right)$, and so $r \in \pi\left({ }^{2} B_{2}(q)\right) \subseteq \pi(G)$. This is a contradiction.

In the case when

$$
q-\sqrt{2 q}+1=2^{p}-1 \quad\left(\text { resp. } q+\sqrt{2 q}+1=2^{p}-1\right)
$$

by simple computations we obtain

$$
2^{m+1}\left(2^{m}-1\right)=2\left(2^{p-1}-1\right) \quad\left(\text { resp. } 2^{m+1}\left(2^{m}+1\right)=2\left(2^{p-1}-1\right)\right)
$$

a contradiction.

Step 3.7. $K / H \not \neq E_{8}(q)$, where $q \equiv 2,3(\bmod 5)$.
The odd order components of $E_{8}(q)$ in this case are

$$
q^{8}-q^{4}+1, \quad \frac{q^{10}+q^{5}+1}{q^{2}+q+1}, \quad \frac{q^{10}-q^{5}+1}{q^{2}-q+1} .
$$

If $q^{8}-q^{4}+1=2^{p}-1$, then we obtain $q^{4}(q-1)(q+1)\left(q^{2}+1\right)=2\left(2^{p-1}-1\right)$. However, the left hand side is divisible by 16, while the right hand side is not divisible by 4 , which is impossible.

If $\left(q^{10}+q^{5}+1\right) /\left(q^{2}+q+1\right)=2^{p}-1$, then after subtracting 1 from both sides of this equation and some simple computations, we obtain

$$
q(q-1)(q+1)\left(q^{2}+1\right)\left(q^{3}-q^{2}+1\right)=2\left(2^{p-1}-1\right)
$$

Now, if $q$ is odd, then the left hand side is divisible by 16 , a contradiction. Moreover, if $q$ is even, then it follows that $q=2$, and if this is substituted in above equation we get $76=2^{p-1}$, a contradiction.

The case $\left(q^{10}-q^{5}+1\right) /\left(q^{2}-q+1\right)=2^{p}-1$ is quite similar to the previous case and it is omitted.

Step 3.8. $K / H \nsupseteq E_{8}(q)$, where $q \equiv 0,1,4(\bmod 5)$.
The odd order components of $E_{8}(q)$ in this case are

$$
\frac{q^{10}+1}{q^{2}+1}, \quad q^{8}-q^{4}+1, \quad \frac{q^{10}+q^{5}+1}{q^{2}+q+1}, \quad \frac{q^{10}-q^{5}+1}{q^{2}-q+1}
$$

Consider the first case. Let $\left(q^{10}+1\right) /\left(q^{2}+1\right)=2^{p}-1$. Subtracting 1 from both sides of this equality, we get

$$
q^{2}\left(q^{2}-1\right)\left(q^{4}+1\right)=2\left(2^{p-1}-1\right)
$$

which implies $2\left(2^{p-1}-1\right)$ is divisible by 4 , a contradiction.
Similarly, if $q^{8}-q^{4}+1=2^{p}-1$, we obtain $q^{4}(q-1)(q+1)\left(q^{2}+1\right)=2\left(2^{p-1}-1\right)$, which shows that $2\left(2^{p-1}-1\right)$ is divisible by 16 , a contradiction. Similar arguments work if $\left(q^{10}+q^{5}+1\right) /\left(q^{2}+q+1\right)=2^{p}-1$ or $\left(q^{10}-q^{5}+1\right) /\left(q^{2}-q+1\right)=2^{p}-1$, and we omit the details.

Step 3.9. $K / H \not{ }^{2} F_{4}(q)$, where $q=2^{2 m+1}>2$.

The odd order components of ${ }^{2} F_{4}(q)$ are:

$$
q^{2}+\sqrt{2 q^{3}}+q+\sqrt{2 q}+1 \text { and } q^{2}-\sqrt{2 q^{3}}+q-\sqrt{2 q}+1
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
q^{2}+\sqrt{2 q^{3}}+q+\sqrt{2 q}+1=2^{p}-1 \text { or } q^{2}-\sqrt{2 q^{3}}+q-\sqrt{2 q}+1=2^{p}-1
$$

However, if $2^{2 m+1}$ is substituted in these equations we obtain

$$
2^{m+1}\left(2^{3 m+1} \pm 2^{2 m+1}+2^{m} \pm 1\right)=2\left(2^{p-1}-1\right)
$$

which is a contradiction.
Step 3.10. $K / H \nsupseteq F_{4}(q)$, where $q=2^{m}$.
The odd order components of $F_{4}(q)$ are $q^{4}+1$ and $q^{4}-q^{2}+1$, hence $q^{4}+1=2^{p}-1$ or $q^{4}-q^{2}+1=2^{p}-1$. Now, it is easy to see that in both cases, $2^{2 m}$ divides $2\left(2^{p-1}-1\right)$, a contradiction.

Step 3.11. $K / H \not{ }^{2} G_{2}(q)$, where $q=3^{2 m+1}>3$.
The odd order components of ${ }^{2} G_{2}(q)$ are $q+\sqrt{3 q}+1$ and $q-\sqrt{3 q}+1$. If $q-\sqrt{3 q}+1=2^{p}-1$, then $q^{3}>2^{3 p}$, while Lemma 2.9 shows that $q^{3}<2^{3 p}$, which is a contradiction. If $q+\sqrt{3 q}+1=2^{p}-1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{p}-2=2\left(2^{(p-1) / 2}-1\right)\left(2^{(p-1) / 2}+1\right)=3^{m+1}\left(3^{m}+1\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

First of all, we recall that $\left(2^{(p-1) / 2}-1,2^{(p-1) / 2}+1\right)=1$. Now we consider two cases separately:
(i) If $3^{m+1}$ divides $2^{(p-1) / 2}-1$, then

$$
3^{m}+1<3^{m+1} \leqslant 2^{(p-1) / 2}-1<2^{(p-1) / 2}+1
$$

Hence, we obtain

$$
3^{m+1}\left(3^{m}+1\right)<2\left(2^{(p-1) / 2}-1\right)\left(2^{(p-1) / 2}+1\right)
$$

a contradiction.
(ii) If $3^{m+1}$ divides $2^{(p-1) / 2}+1$, then $2^{(p-1) / 2}+1=k \cdot 3^{m+1}$ where $k$ is a natural number. Now, from Eq.( 1), it follows that

$$
2 k\left(2^{(p-1) / 2}-1\right)=3^{m}+1
$$

and consequently $3^{m} \geqslant 2^{(p+1) / 2}-1$. Therefore we have

$$
2^{(p+1) / 2}-1 \leqslant 3^{m}<3^{m+1} \leqslant 2^{(p-1) / 2}+1,
$$

a contradiction.

Step 3.12. $K / H \not \equiv G_{2}(q)$, where $q=3^{m}$.
Recall that the odd order components of $G_{2}(q)$ are $q^{2}-q+1$ and $q^{2}+q+1$. If $q^{2}-q+1=2^{p}-1$ then $q^{6}>2^{3 p}$, while one can follow from Lemma 2.9 that $q^{6}<2^{3 p}$, which is a contradiction. If $q^{2}+q+1=2^{p}-1$, then $q(q+1) \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$, which forces $m$ is even. But then, it is obvious that $2^{p}-2=q(q+1) \equiv 2(\bmod 8)$, a contradiction.

Step 3.13. $K / H \not ¥^{2} D_{r}(3)$, where $r=2^{m}+1$ is a prime number and $m \geqslant 1$.
Recall that

$$
\left.\right|^{2} D_{r}(3) \left\lvert\,=\frac{1}{\left(4,3^{r}+1\right)} 3^{r(r-1)}\left(3^{r}+1\right) \prod_{i=1}^{r-1}\left(3^{2 i}-1\right)\right.
$$

and the odd order components of ${ }^{2} D_{r}(3)$ are

$$
\left(3^{r-1}+1\right) / 2 \quad \text { and } \quad\left(3^{r}+1\right) / 4
$$

In the case when $\left(3^{r-1}+1\right) / 2=2^{p}-1$, adding 1 to both sides of this equality, we obtain

$$
3\left(3^{r-2}+1\right)=2^{p+1}
$$

which is a contradiction. If $\left(3^{r}+1\right) / 4=2^{p}-1$, then $r \geqslant 5$ because $p \geqslant 5$. Moreover, on the one hand, from last equation we obtain $3^{r}=2^{p+2}-5>2^{p+1}$, which implies that

$$
3^{r(r-1)}>2^{(p+1)(r-1)}>2^{4(p+1)}
$$

On the other hand, it follows from Lemma 2.9 that

$$
3^{r(r-1)}=|K / H|_{3} \leqslant|G|_{3}<2^{3 p}
$$

which is a contradiction.
Step 3.14. $K / H \nexists B_{n}(q)$, where $n=2^{m} \geqslant 4$ and $q=r^{f}$ is an odd prime power.
Note that

$$
\left|B_{n}(q)\right|=\frac{1}{(2, q-1)} q^{n^{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(q^{2 i}-1\right)
$$

and the only odd order component of $B_{n}(q)$ is $\left(q^{n}+1\right) / 2$. If $\left(q^{n}+1\right) / 2=2^{p}-1$, then $q^{n}=2^{p+1}-3>2^{p}$ and clearly $q$ is not divisible by 2 and 3 . Since $p \geqslant 5$ and $n \geqslant 4$, it is easy to see that

$$
q^{n^{2}}>q^{3 n}>2^{3 p}>2^{2 p}
$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.9, we obtain

$$
q^{n^{2}}=|K / H|_{r} \leqslant|G|_{r}<2^{2 p}
$$

which is a contradiction.
Step 3.15. $K / H \nsupseteq B_{r}(3)$.
The only odd order component of $B_{r}(3)$ is $\left(3^{r}-1\right) / 2$. If $\left(3^{r}-1\right) / 2=2^{p}-1$, then $2^{p+1}-3^{r}=1$. However, this equation has no solution by Lemma 2.8, which is impossible.

Step 3.16. $K / H \not ¥^{3} D_{4}(q)$.
We recall that $q^{4}-q^{2}+1$ is the only odd order component of ${ }^{3} D_{4}(q)$, and so $q^{4}-q^{2}+1=2^{p}-1$. But then, $q^{2}\left(q^{2}-1\right)=2\left(2^{p-1}-1\right)$, which shows that $2\left(2^{p-1}-1\right)$ is divisible by 4 , a contradiction.

Step 3.17. $K / H \nsubseteq G_{2}(q)$, where $2<q \equiv \pm 1(\bmod 3)$.
In this case, the odd order components of $G_{2}(q)$ are $q^{2}+q+1$ and $q^{2}-q+1$. Let $q=r^{f}$. If $q^{2}+q+1=2^{p}-1$, then $q(q+1)=2\left(2^{p-1}-1\right)$, which shows that $q>2$ is not a power of 2 . Moreover, since $q-1 \geqslant 2$, we obtain

$$
q^{3}-1=(q-1)\left(q^{2}+q+1\right) \geqslant 2\left(2^{p}-1\right)
$$

and so $q^{3} \geqslant 2^{p+1}-1>2^{p}$, which yields that $q^{6}>2^{2 p}$. However, since

$$
\left|G_{2}(q)\right|=q^{6}\left(q^{2}-1\right)\left(q^{6}-1\right)
$$

from Lemma 2.9, we conclude that

$$
q^{6}=|K / H|_{r} \leqslant|G|_{r}<2^{2 p}
$$

which is a contradiction.
The case when $q^{2}-q+1=2^{p}-1$ is similar and left to the reader.
Step 3.18. $K / H \not ¥^{2} D_{n}(3)$, where $n=2^{m}+1$ which is not a prime and $m \geqslant 2$.
The odd order component of ${ }^{2} D_{n}(3)$ is $\left(3^{n-1}+1\right) / 2$. If $\left(3^{n-1}+1\right) / 2=2^{p}-1$, then $2^{p+1}=3\left(3^{n-2}+1\right)$, a contradiction.

Step 3.19. $K / H \not{ }^{2} D_{r}(3)$, where $r \geqslant 5$ is a prime and $r \neq 2^{m}+1$.
Here, we have

$$
\left.\right|^{2} D_{r}(3) \left\lvert\,=\frac{1}{\left(4,3^{r}+1\right)} 3^{r(r-1)}\left(3^{r}+1\right) \prod_{i=1}^{r-1}\left(3^{2 i}-1\right)\right.
$$

The only odd order component of ${ }^{2} D_{r}(3)$ is $\left(3^{r}+1\right) / 4$, and so $\left(3^{r}+1\right) / 4=2^{p}-1$. An easy computation shows that $3^{r}=2^{p+2}-5>2^{p+1}$. Moreover, we note that $r-1 \geqslant 4$, and so

$$
3^{r(r-1)} \geqslant 3^{4 r}>2^{4(p+1)}
$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.9, we obtain

$$
3^{r(r-1)}=|K / H|_{3} \leqslant|G|_{3}<2^{3 p}
$$

which is a contradiction.

Step 3.20. $K / H \not{ }^{2} D_{n}(2)$, where $n=2^{m}+1, m \geqslant 2$.
The only odd order component of ${ }^{2} D_{n}(2)$ is $2^{n-1}+1$. Therefore, we obtain $2^{n-1}+1=2^{p}-1$, which is impossible.

Step 3.21. $K / H \nVdash^{2} D_{n}(q)$, where $n=2^{m} \geqslant 4$ and $q=r^{f}$.
Recall that

$$
\left.\right|^{2} D_{n}(q) \left\lvert\,=\frac{1}{\left(4, q^{n}+1\right)} q^{n(n-1)}\left(q^{n}+1\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1}\left(q^{2 i}-1\right)\right.
$$

and the only odd order component of ${ }^{2} D_{n}(q)$ is $\left(q^{n}+1\right) /(2, q+1)$. Therefore, $\left(q^{n}+1\right) /(2, q+1)=2^{p}-1$. Assume first that $(2, q+1)=1$. In this case, we obtain $q^{n}=2\left(2^{p-1}-1\right)$, a contradiction. Assume next that $(2, q+1)=2$. Again, using simple calculations we obtain $q^{n}=2^{p+1}-3>2^{p}$ and so $q$ cannot be a power of 2 . Moreover, since $n-1 \geqslant 3, q^{n(n-1)} \geqslant q^{3 n}>2^{3 p}$. Now, Lemma 2.9 shows that

$$
q^{n(n-1)}=|K / H|_{r} \leqslant|G|_{r}<2^{3 p}
$$

which is a contradiction.
Step 3.22. $K / H \nRightarrow D_{r+1}(q)$, where $q=2,3$.
Since, the only odd order component of $D_{r+1}(q)$ is $\left(q^{r}-1\right) /(2, q-1)$, we have $\left(q^{r}-1\right) /(2, q-1)=2^{p}-1$. If $(2, q-1)=1$, then $r=p$ and $q=2$, and we have

$$
|K / H|=\left|D_{p+1}(2)\right|=\frac{1}{\left(4,2^{p+1}-1\right)} 2^{p(p+1)}\left(2^{p+1}-1\right) \prod_{i=1}^{p}\left(2^{2 i}-1\right)
$$

this shows that $|K / H|_{2}=2^{p(p+1)} /\left(4,2^{p+1}-1\right)$ does not divide $|G|_{2}=2^{p^{2}}$, which is a contradiction. In the case when $(2, q-1)=2$, we have the equation $2^{p+1}-3^{r}=1$, which has no solution for $p \geqslant 5$, by Lemma 2.8. This is again a contradiction.

Step 3.23. $K / H \not \approx D_{r}(q)$, where $q=2,3,5$ and $r \geqslant 5$.

We recall that the only odd order component of $D_{r}(q)$ is $\left(q^{r}-1\right) /(q-1)$. We distinguish three cases separately.
(i) $q=2$. In this case, we have $2^{r}-1=2^{p}-1$, and so $r=p$ and

$$
|K / H|=\left|D_{p}(2)\right|=2^{p(p-1)}\left(2^{p}-1\right) \prod_{i=1}^{p-1}\left(2^{2 i}-1\right)
$$

Note that $\left|\operatorname{Out}\left(D_{p}(2)\right)\right|=2$ and $D_{p}(2) \leqslant G / H \leqslant \operatorname{Aut}\left(D_{p}(2)\right)$. Now, considering the order of groups, we get $|H|=2^{\alpha}\left(2^{p}+1\right)$ where $p-1 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant p$. Let $r \in \operatorname{ppd}\left(2^{2 p}-1\right)$ and $Q \in \operatorname{Syl}_{r}(H)$. Clearly $r \in \pi\left(2^{p}+1\right), Q$ is a normal $\pi_{1}(G)$-subgroup of $G$ and $|Q|$ divides $2^{p}+1$. Now, from Lemma 2.5, it follows that $|Q|-1$ is divisible by $m_{2}(G)=2^{p}-1$, and so $|Q|-1 \geqslant 2^{p}-1$ or equivalently $|Q| \geqslant 2^{p}$. This forces $|Q|=2^{p}+1$. But then $m_{2}(G)=2^{p}-1$ does not divide the value $|Q|-1=2^{p}$, which is a contradiction.
(ii) $q=3$. In this case, from the equality $\left(3^{r}-1\right) / 2=2^{p}-1$, we deduce that $2^{p+1}-3^{r}=1$. However, this equation has no solution when $p \geqslant 5$ by Lemma 2.8, a contradiction.
(iii) $q=5$. Here $\left(5^{r}-1\right) / 4=2^{p}-1$, and so $5^{r}=2^{p+2}-3>2^{p+1}$. As before, since $r-1 \geqslant 4$, we obtain $5^{r(r-1)}>5^{4 r}>2^{4(p+1)}$. On the other hand, by Lemma 2.9, we have

$$
5^{r(r-1)}=|K / H|_{5} \leqslant|G|_{5}<2^{2 p}
$$

which is a contradiction.
Step 3.24. $K / H \nsupseteq C_{r}(3)$.
The only odd order component of $C_{r}(3)$ is $\left(3^{r}-1\right) / 2$. Thus, if $\left(3^{r}-1\right) / 2=2^{p}-1$, then $2^{p+1}-3^{r}=1$. However, this equation has no solution by Lemma 2.8, which is impossible.

Step 3.25. $K / H \nRightarrow C_{n}(q)$, where $n=2^{m} \geqslant 2$.
Note that

$$
\left|C_{n}(q)\right|=\frac{1}{(2, q-1)} q^{n^{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(q^{2 i}-1\right)
$$

and the only odd order component of $C_{n}(q)$ is $\left(q^{n}+1\right) /(2, q-1)$. Therefore, $\left(q^{n}+1\right) /(2, q-1)=2^{p}-1$. If $(2, q-1)=1$, then $q^{n}=2\left(2^{p-1}-1\right)$, which yields that $q=p=2$ and $n=1$, a contradiction. If $(2, q-1)=2$, then $q^{n}=2^{p+1}-3>2^{p}$, which implies that $q$ is not a power of 2 and 3 . Let $q=r^{f}$. When $n \geqslant 4$, it is easy to see that

$$
q^{n^{2}}>q^{3 n}>2^{3 p}>2^{2(p+1)}
$$

But, from Lemma 2.9, we obtain

$$
q^{n^{2}}=|K / H|_{r} \leqslant|G|_{r}<2^{2 p}
$$

a contradiction. Assume now that $n=2$. In this case, we have $q^{2}=2^{p+1}-3$, or equivalently

$$
(q-1)(q+1)=2^{2}\left(2^{p-1}-1\right)
$$

However, the left hand side is divisible by 8 , while the right hand side is divisible by 4 , a contradiction.

Step 3.26. $K / H \not \approx A_{1}(q)$, where $q=2^{m}>2$.
The odd order components of $A_{1}(q)$ are $q+1$ and $q-1$. If $q+1=2^{p}-1$, then $q=2\left(2^{p-1}-1\right)$, a contradiction. If $q-1=2^{p}-1$, then $q=2^{p}$. Moreover, since $A_{1}(q) \leqslant G / H \leqslant \operatorname{Aut}\left(A_{1}(q)\right)$, it is easy to see that the order of $H$ is divisible by $\left(2^{2}-1\right)\left(2^{4}-1\right) \cdots\left(2^{2(p-1)}-1\right)$. Let $r \in \operatorname{ppd}\left(2^{2(p-1)}-1\right)$ and $Q \in \operatorname{Syl}_{r}(H)$. Clearly $Q$ is a normal $\pi_{1}(G)$-subgroup of $G$ and $|Q|$ divides $2^{p-1}+1$. On the other hand, from Lemma 2.5, $|Q|-1$ is divisible by $2^{p}-1$ which implies that $|Q| \geqslant 2^{p}$. This is a contradiction.

Step 3.27. $K / H \nsupseteq A_{1}(q)$, where $3 \leqslant q \equiv \pm 1(\bmod 4)$ and $q=r^{f}$.
Assume first that $3 \leqslant q \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$. In this case, the odd order components of $A_{1}(q)$ are $(q+1) / 2$ and $q$. If $(q+1) / 2=2^{p}-1$, then $r^{f}=q=2^{p+1}-3$. First of all, we claim that $f$ is an odd number. Otherwise, we have

$$
\left(r^{f / 2}-1\right)\left(r^{f / 2}+1\right)=2^{2}\left(2^{p-1}-1\right)
$$

But then, the left hand side is divisible by 8 , while the right hand side is divisible by 4 , which is a contradiction. Furthermore, by easy computations we observe that

$$
\left|A_{1}(q)\right|=\frac{1}{2} q\left(q^{2}-1\right)=2^{2}\left(2^{p+1}-3\right)\left(2^{p-1}-1\right)\left(2^{p}-1\right) .
$$

On the other hand, we have $|G / K| \cdot|H|=|G| /\left|A_{1}(q)\right|$, from which we deduce that

$$
|G / K|_{2} \cdot|H|_{2}=\frac{|G|_{2}}{\left|A_{1}(q)\right|_{2}}=2^{p^{2}-2}
$$

But since $|G / K|$ divides $\left|\operatorname{Out}\left(A_{1}(q)\right)\right|=2 f$ and $f$ is odd, $|G / K|_{2}$ is at most 2. Hence, if $S_{2} \in \operatorname{Syl}_{2}(H)$, then $\left|S_{2}\right|=2^{p^{2}-2}$ or $\left|S_{2}\right|=2^{p^{2}-3}$. We notice that $S_{2}$ is a normal subgroup of $G$, because $H$ is nilpotent. Now, it follows from Lemma 2.5 that $2^{p}-1$ divides $2^{p^{2}-2}-1$ or $2^{p^{2}-3}-1$, which is a contradiction. If $q=2^{p}-1$, we get a contradiction by Lemma 2.8.

Assume next that $3 \leqslant q \equiv-1(\bmod 4)$. In this case, the odd order components of $A_{1}(q)$ are $(q-1) / 2$ and $q$. If $(q-1) / 2=2^{p}-1$, then $2^{p+1}-r^{f}=1$. Noting

Lemma 2.8, we deduce that $f=1$, and hence $r=2^{p+1}-1$ is a Mersenne prime, which is a contradiction because $p+1$ is not a prime.

The case when $q=2^{p}-1$ is similar to the previous paragraph.
Step 3.28. $K / H \nsupseteq A_{r}(q)$, where $(q-1) \mid(r+1)$.
Recall that

$$
|K / H|=\left|A_{r}(q)\right|=\frac{1}{(r+1, q-1)} q^{r(r+1) / 2} \prod_{i=2}^{r+1}\left(q^{i}-1\right)
$$

The only odd order component of $A_{r}(q)$ is $\left(q^{r}-1\right) /(q-1)$, and so

$$
\left(q^{r}-1\right) /(q-1)=2^{p}-1 .
$$

As a simple observation we see that $q^{r}-1 \geqslant\left(q^{r}-1\right) /(q-1)=2^{p}-1$ and so $q^{r} \geqslant 2^{p}$. Let $q=t^{f}$, where $t$ is a prime number and $f$ is a natural number.
(i) Suppose first that $r \geqslant 7$. Then $q^{r(r+1) / 2}>q^{3(r+1)} \geqslant 2^{3} q^{3 r} \geqslant 2^{3(p+1)}$. Now, if $t$ is an odd prime, then by Lemma 2.9 we obtain

$$
q^{r(r+1) / 2}=|K / H|_{t} \leqslant|G|_{t}<2^{3 p}
$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, we may assume that $t=2$. In this case, we have

$$
\left(2^{f r}-1\right) /\left(2^{f}-1\right)=2^{p}-1,
$$

from which one can deduce that $f=1$ and $r=p$. Thus

$$
|G / K| \cdot|H|=\frac{2^{p^{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{p}\left(2^{2 i}-1\right)}{2^{\frac{p(p+1)}{2}} \prod_{i=2}^{p+1}\left(2^{i}-1\right)}
$$

Since $|G / K|$ divides $|\operatorname{Out}(K / H)|=\left|\operatorname{Out}\left(A_{p}(2)\right)\right|=2$, we conclude that $|H|$ is divisible by $2^{p}+1$. Let $s \in \operatorname{ppd}\left(2^{2 p}-1\right) \subseteq \pi\left(2^{p}+1\right)$ and $Q \in \operatorname{Syl}_{s}(H)$. Clearly $\mid Q \| 2^{p}+1$. Since $H$ is a normal $\pi_{1}(G)$-subgroup of $G$ which is nilpotent, $Q$ is also a normal $\pi_{1}(G)$-subgroup of $G$. Now, by Lemma 2.5, $m_{2}(G)=2^{p}-1$ divides $|Q|-1$, and so $|Q| \geqslant 2^{p}$. But, this forces $|Q|=2^{p}+1$. However, this contradicts the fact that $m_{2}(G)| | Q \mid-1$.
(ii) Suppose next that $r=5$. If $q$ is even, then from $\left(q^{5}-1\right) /(q-1)=2^{p}-1$, we obtain $q\left(q^{3}+q^{2}+q+1\right)=2\left(2^{p-1}-1\right)$, which implies that $q=2$ and $r=p=5$. Therefore, by easy calculations we see that

$$
|G / K| \cdot|H|=\frac{2^{10} \prod_{i=1}^{5}\left(2^{i}+1\right)}{2^{6}-1}
$$

which is not a natural number, a contradiction. If $q$ is odd, then we get

$$
q(q+1)\left(q^{2}+1\right)=q^{4}+q^{3}+q^{2}+q=2^{p}-2
$$

however $q(q+1)\left(q^{2}+1\right) \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$, while $2^{p}-2 \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$, a contradiction.
(iii) Finally suppose that $r=3$. Then $q(q+1)=2\left(2^{p-1}-1\right)$. First of all, we note that $q$ is not even, otherwise $p=3$, which is impossible. In addition, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(q+1)=2\left(2^{(p-1) / 2}-1\right)\left(2^{(p-1) / 2}+1\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we consider two cases separately:
(a) If $q$ divides $2^{(p-1) / 2}-1$, then

$$
q \leqslant 2^{(p-1) / 2}-1, \quad q+1<2^{(p-1) / 2}+1
$$

Hence, we obtain

$$
q(q+1)<2\left(2^{(p-1) / 2}-1\right)\left(2^{(p-1) / 2}+1\right)
$$

a contradiction.
(b) If $q$ divides $2^{(p-1) / 2}+1$, then $2^{(p-1) / 2}+1=k q$ for some natural number $k$. Now from Eq.( 2), it follows that

$$
2 k\left(2^{(p-1) / 2}-1\right)=q+1
$$

If $k=1$, then $p=q=5$. Hence $13 \in \pi(K / H)=\pi\left(A_{3}(5)\right)$, however $13 \notin \pi(G)=\pi\left(C_{5}(2)\right)$, a contradiction. Thus, $k \geqslant 2$ and we obtain

$$
2\left(2^{(p+1) / 2}-2\right)-1 \leqslant q<q+1 \leqslant k q=2^{(p-1) / 2}+1
$$

which is a contradiction.
Step 3.29. $K / H \nsupseteq A_{r-1}(q)$, where $(r, q) \neq(3,2),(3,4)$.
Again, we recall that

$$
|K / H|=\left|A_{r-1}(q)\right|=\frac{1}{(r, q-1)} q^{r(r-1) / 2} \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(q^{i}-1\right)
$$

and the only odd order component of $A_{r-1}(q)$ is $\left(q^{r}-1\right) /(q-1)(r, q-1)$. Hence, we must have

$$
\left(q^{r}-1\right) /(q-1)(r, q-1)=2^{p}-1
$$

which implies that

$$
q^{r}-1 \geqslant\left(q^{r}-1\right) /(q-1)(r, q-1)=2^{p}-1
$$

or equivalently $q^{r} \geqslant 2^{p}$. Let $q=t^{f}$, where $t$ is a prime and $f$ is a natural number. In what follows, we consider several cases separately.
(i) $r \geqslant 7$. In this case, we obtain

$$
q^{r(r-1) / 2} \geqslant q^{3 r} \geqslant 2^{3 p}
$$

and Lemma 2.9 implies that $t=2$. Now, Lemma 2.1 shows that $q=2$ and $r=p$, and hence we obtain

$$
|G / K| \cdot|H|=\frac{2^{p^{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{p}\left(2^{2 i}-1\right)}{2^{\binom{p}{2}} \prod_{i=2}^{p}\left(2^{i}-1\right)}=2^{\frac{p(p+1)}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{p}\left(2^{i}+1\right) .
$$

On the other hand, $|G / K|$ divides $|\operatorname{Out}(K / H)|=2$. From this we deduce that $|H|$ is divisible by $2^{p}+1$. Let $s \in \operatorname{ppd}\left(2^{2 p}-1\right) \subseteq \pi\left(2^{p}+1\right)$ and $Q \in \operatorname{Syl}_{s}(H)$. Evidently $Q$ is a normal subgroup of $G$ and $|Q|$ divides $2^{p}+1$. Now, it follows from Lemma 2.5 that $m_{2}(G)=2^{p}-1| | Q \mid-1$, which is impossible.
(ii) $r=5$. Assume first that $(5, q-1)=1$. In this case, we have

$$
\frac{q^{5}-1}{q-1}=q^{4}+q^{3}+q^{2}+q+1=2^{p}-1
$$

or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(q+1)\left(q^{2}+1\right)=2\left(2^{p-1}-1\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $q$ is even, then we conclude that $q=2$ and $r=p=5$, and the proof is quite similar as $(i)$. If $q$ is odd, then the left-hand side of Eq.( 3) is congruent to $0(\bmod 4)$, while the right-hand side of Eq.( 3 ) is congruent to $2(\bmod 4)$, a contradiction.

Assume next that $(5, q-1)=5$. In this case, we have

$$
q^{4}+q^{3}+q^{2}+q+1=5\left(2^{p}-1\right)
$$

or equivalently

$$
(q-1)\left(q^{3}+2 q^{2}+3 q+4\right)=10\left(2^{p-1}-1\right)
$$

In the case when $q$ is even, one can easily deduce that $q=2$, and so $13=5\left(2^{p-1}-1\right)$, a contradiction. Moreover, if $q$ is odd, then from the equality $q(q+1)\left(q^{2}+1\right)=5 \cdot 2^{p}-6$ it is easily seen that the left-hand side of this equation is congruent to $0(\bmod 4)$, while the right-hand side is congruent to $2(\bmod 4)$, a contradiction.
(iii) $r=3$. In this case, we have $\left(q^{3}-1\right) /(q-1)(3, q-1)=2^{p}-1$. First of all, if $q$ is even, then we obtain $p=3$, which is not the case. Thus, we can assume that $q$ is odd.

If $(3, q-1)=1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(q+1)=2\left(2^{(p-1) / 2}-1\right)\left(2^{(p-1) / 2}+1\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $q$ divides $2^{(p-1) / 2}-1$, then

$$
q \leqslant 2^{(p-1) / 2}-1, \quad q+1<2^{(p-1) / 2}+1
$$

Hence, we obtain

$$
q(q+1)<2\left(2^{(p-1) / 2}-1\right)\left(2^{(p-1) / 2}+1\right)
$$

a contradiction. If $q$ divides $2^{(p-1) / 2}+1$, then $2^{(p-1) / 2}+1=k q$. Now, from Eq.( 4), it follows that

$$
2 k\left(2^{(p-1) / 2}-1\right)=q+1
$$

When $k=1$, we conclude that $p=5$ and $q=5$. But then, we have

$$
|K / H|=\left|A_{2}(5)\right|=2^{5} \cdot 3 \cdot 5^{3} \cdot 31
$$

while $|G|=\left|C_{5}(2)\right|=2^{25} \cdot 3^{6} \cdot 5^{2} \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 17 \cdot 31$; this is a contradiction because $|K / H|_{5}>|G|_{5}$. If $k \geqslant 2$, then $q \geqslant 2\left(2^{(p+1) / 2}-2\right)-1$. Therefore, we have

$$
2\left(2^{(p+1) / 2}-2\right)-1 \leqslant q<q+1 \leqslant 2^{(p-1) / 2}+1,
$$

a contradiction.
If $(3, q-1)=3$, then $q(q+1)=2^{2}\left(3 \cdot 2^{p-2}-1\right)$, which implies that $(q+1)_{2}=4$ and so $(q-1)_{2}=2$. Moreover, under these conditions, one can easily deduce that $f$ is odd, otherwise $8 \mid q-1$ where

$$
q-1=t^{f}-1=\left(t^{f / 2}-1\right)\left(t^{f / 2}+1\right)
$$

which is a contradiction. Thus, we have $\left|A_{2}(q)\right|_{2}=2^{4}$, while

$$
|G / K|_{2} \cdot|H|_{2}=\frac{|G|_{2}}{\left|A_{2}(q)\right|_{2}}=2^{p^{2}-4}
$$

Since $|G / K|$ divides $2 f(3, q-1)$ and $f$ is odd, $|G / K|_{2} \leqslant 2$. Therefore a Sylow 2-subgroup of $H$ has order either $2^{p^{2}-4}$ or $2^{p^{2}-5}$. Applying Lemma 2.5 we deduce that $2^{p}-1 \mid 2^{p^{2}-4}-1$ or $2^{p}-1 \mid 2^{p^{2}-5}-1$. Now, one can easily check that the second divisibility is possible only for $p=5$. But then, we get $q(q+1)=2^{2} \cdot 23$, which is a contradiction.

Step 3.30. $K / H \not ¥^{2} A_{r}(q)$, where $(q+1) \mid(r+1)$ and $(r, q) \neq(3,3),(5,2)$.

In this case, we have

$$
|K / H|=\left.\right|^{2} A_{r}(q) \left\lvert\,=\frac{1}{(r+1, q+1)} q^{r(r+1) / 2} \prod_{i=2}^{r+1}\left(q^{i}-(-1)^{i}\right)\right.
$$

and the only odd order component of ${ }^{2} A_{r}(q)$ is $\left(q^{r}+1\right) /(q+1)$. Therefore, we get

$$
\left(q^{r}+1\right) /(q+1)=2^{p}-1
$$

An argument similar to that in the previous cases shows that

$$
q^{r}-1>\left(q^{r}+1\right) /(q+1)=2^{p}-1
$$

and so $q^{r}>2^{p}$. Let $q=t^{f}$, where $t$ is a prime and $f$ is a natural number. We now consider three cases separately.
(i) $r \geqslant 7$. Then $q^{r(r+1) / 2}>q^{3(r+1)} \geqslant 2^{3} q^{3 r}>2^{3(p+1)}$, which forces by Lemma 2.9 that $t=2$. Thus $\left(2^{f r}+1\right) /\left(2^{f}+1\right)=2^{p}-1$, and, consequently, $f=1$, $r=3$ and $p=2$, which is a contradiction.
(ii) If $r=5$, then $\left(q^{5}+1\right) /(q+1)=2^{p}-1$. Arguing as in the case $(i)$, we conclude that $t=2$ and $f=1$, whence $12=2^{p}$, a contradiction.
(iii) If $r=3$, then $\left(q^{3}+1\right) /(q+1)=2^{p}-1$. It follows that $q(q-1)=2\left(2^{p-1}-1\right)$, and so $q=p=2$, which is impossible.

Step 3.31. $K / H \nVdash^{2} A_{r-1}(q)$.
In this case, we have

$$
|K / H|=\left.\right|^{2} A_{r-1}(q) \left\lvert\,=\frac{1}{(r, q+1)} q^{r(r-1) / 2} \prod_{i=2}^{r}\left(q^{i}-(-1)^{i}\right)\right.
$$

and the only odd order component of ${ }^{2} A_{r-1}(q)$ is $\left(q^{r}+1\right) /(q+1)(r, q+1)$. Thus

$$
\frac{q^{r}+1}{(q+1)(r, q+1)}=2^{p}-1
$$

As before, we deduce that $q^{r} \geqslant 2^{p}$. Let $q=t^{f}$, where $t$ is a prime and $f$ is a natural number. We now consider three cases separately.
(i) $r \geqslant 7$. It follows that $q^{r(r-1) / 2} \geqslant q^{3 r}>2^{3 p}$, which implies that $t=2$ by Lemma 2.9. Now, we obtain

$$
\frac{2^{f r}+1}{\left(2^{f}+1\right)\left(r, 2^{f}+1\right)}=2^{p}-1,
$$

which contradicts Lemma 2.1 because $2^{p}-1$ is the largest prime in $\pi(G)$.
(ii) $r=5$. In this case we have $q^{5}+1=(q+1)\left(2^{p}-1\right)(5, q+1)$. Assume first that $q$ is even, that is $q=2^{f}$. If $(5, q+1)=1$, then we obtain $2^{5 f}=2^{f+p}+2^{p}-2^{f}-2$, which is impossible. If $(5, q+1)=5$, then $2^{5 f}=5\left(2^{f+p}+2^{p}-2^{f}\right)-6$, which is again a contradiction. Assume next that $q$ is odd. Noting that $q(q-1)\left(q^{2}+1\right)=\left(2^{p}-1\right)(5, q+1)-1$, it is easily seen that the left hand side is congruent to $0(\bmod 4)$, while the right hand side is congruent to $2(\bmod 4)$, a contradiction.
(iii) $r=3$. In this case, we have $\left(q^{3}+1\right) /(q+1)(3, q+1)=2^{p}-1$. If $(3, q+1)=1$, then we obtain

$$
q(q-1)=2^{p}-2=2\left(2^{(p-1) / 2}-1\right)\left(2^{(p-1) / 2}+1\right)
$$

If $q$ divides 2 , than $p=2$, a contradiction. If $q$ divides $2^{(p-1) / 2}-1$ or $2^{(p-1) / 2}+1$, then

$$
q(q-1)<2^{p}-2=2\left(2^{(p-1) / 2}-1\right)\left(2^{(p-1) / 2}+1\right)
$$

a contradiction. Therefore we may assume that $(3, q+1)=3$. If $q$ is even, then we conclude that $q=4$, which is a contradiction. We now suppose that $q$ is odd. Since $q(q-1)=2^{2}\left(3 \cdot 2^{p-2}-1\right)$, it follows that $(q-1)_{2}=4$, and so $(q+1)_{2}=2$. Moreover, under these hypotheses, one can easily deduce that $f$ is odd, otherwise $8 \mid q-1=t^{f}-1=\left(t^{f / 2}-1\right)\left(t^{f / 2}+1\right)$, which is a contradiction. On the other hand, $|G / K|$ divides $f(3, q+1)$ and since $f$ is odd, $|G / K|_{2}=1$. Therefore a Sylow 2-subgroup of $H$ has order $2^{p^{2}-4}$. Again, using Lemma 2.5, we see that $2^{p}-1 \mid 2^{p^{2}-4}-1$, which implies that $p=2$. This is a contradiction.

Step 3.32. $K / H \nsupseteq C_{r}(2)$.
The only odd order component of $C_{r}(2)$ is $2^{r}-1$. Thus $2^{r}-1=2^{p}-1$. It follows that $r=p, G / K=1$ and $H=1$, which means $G \cong C$. This completes the proof of the theorem.
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