International Electronic Journal of Algebra Volume 12 (2012) 37-52

ON GENERALIZED CODERIVATIONS

Atsushi Nakajima

Received: 9 October 2011; Revised: 19 February 2012 Communicated by Pınar Aydoğdu

ABSTRACT. Let C (resp. A) be a coalgebra (resp. algebra) over a commutative ring R and M (resp. N) a C -bicomodule (resp. an A -bimodule). We define a dual notion of a generalized derivation from A to N in the sense of the paper On categorical properties of generalized derivations, Sci. Math., 2(3) (1999), 345-352, by A. Nakajima, which we call a generalized coderivation from M to C. We give some elementary properties of generalized coderivations and discuss the relations of the set of generalized coderivations $g\text{Coder}(M, C)$ between the set of generalized derivations $qDer(C^*, M^*)$ for their dual algebra C^* and module M^* . Using these coderivations, we define a notion of a weakly coseparable coalgebra which is a dual notion of a weakly separable algebra defined in the paper of N. Hamaguchi and A. Nakajima, Weakly separable polynomials (in preparation), and give related examples of coseparable coalgebras.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 16T15

Key words: derivation, generalized derivation, coderivation, generalized coderivation, weakly coseparable coalgebra

1. Introduction

Let R be a commutative ring with identity, A an R -algebra and N an R -module. An A-bimodule N means that N is a left and a right A-module such that $a(nb)$ = $(an)b, r(an) = a(rn), (nb)r = (nr)b \text{ and } rn = nr \text{ for any } a, b \in A, n \in N, \text{ and }$ $r \in R$. An R-linear map $d: A \to N$ is called a *generalized derivation* if there exists an element $n \in N$ (which depends on d) such that $d(ab) = d(a)b + ad(b) + amb$. We denote it by $(d ; n)$. For any elements $m, n \in N$, an R-linear map $d_{m,n}: A \to N$ defined by $d_{m,n}(a) = ma + an$ is called a *generalized inner derivation* by m, n. It is easy to see that a generalized inner derivation $d_{m,n}$ is a generalized derivation $(d_{m,n}$; $-m-n$, $(d, 0)$ is a derivation and $d_{m,-m}$ is an inner derivation. A lot of properties of derivations and generalized derivations were obtained till now.

For an R-coalgebra C, we define a dual notion of a generalized derivation in the category of C-bicomodules. Let $\Delta: C \to C \otimes C$ and $\varepsilon: C \to R$ be the coalgebra structure maps of C and M an R-module. A C-bicomodule M means that M is a right and a left C-comodule with comodule structure maps $\rho^+ : M \to M \otimes C$ and $\rho^- : M \to C \otimes M$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\nM & \xrightarrow{\rho^+} & M \otimes C \\
\downarrow^{\rho^-} & & \downarrow^{\rho^- \otimes 1} \\
C \otimes M & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \rho^+} & C \otimes M \otimes C,\n\end{array}
$$

where 1 is the identity map. Then the relations of ρ^+ , ρ^- and Δ are as follows:

$$
(\rho^- \otimes 1)\rho^+ = (1 \otimes \rho^+) \rho^-, \ (1 \otimes \Delta)\rho^+ = (\rho^+ \otimes 1)\rho^+, \ (\Delta \otimes 1)\rho^- = (1 \otimes \rho^-)\rho^-. \ (1.1)
$$

An R-linear map $d : M \to C$ is called a *coderivation* if $\Delta d = (d \otimes 1)\rho^+ + (1 \otimes d)\rho^$ and d is called an *inner coderivation* if there exists $\alpha \in M^* = \text{Hom}_R(M, R)$ such that $d = (\alpha \otimes 1)\rho^+ - (1 \otimes \alpha)\rho^-$. As similar as the case of a commutative ring, if C is cocommutative and the C-bicomodule structure satisfies the relation $t\rho^+$ = $\rho^- : M \to C \otimes M$, where $t : M \otimes C \to C \otimes M$ is the twisted map, then an inner coderivation is zero. Because, by $(\Delta \otimes 1)t\rho^+ = (1 \otimes t)(t \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \Delta)\rho^+$, we see

$$
\Delta d = \Delta \{ (\alpha \otimes 1)\rho^+ - (1 \otimes \alpha)\rho^- \}
$$

= $(\alpha \otimes 1 \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \Delta)\rho^+ - (1 \otimes 1 \otimes \alpha)(\Delta \otimes 1)t\rho^+ = 0.$

We generalize these notions of coderivations as follows. $d : M \to C$ is called a *generalized coderivation* if there exists an R-linear map $\xi : M \to R$ such that

$$
\Delta d = (d \otimes 1)\rho^+ + (1 \otimes d)\rho^- + (1 \otimes \xi \otimes 1)(\rho^- \otimes 1)\rho^+, \tag{1.2}
$$

and d is called a *generalized inner coderivation* if there exist $\alpha, \beta \in M^*$ such that $d = (\alpha \otimes 1)\rho^+ + (1 \otimes \beta)\rho^-$. We denote the above these coderivations by $(d \, ; \, \xi)$ and $(d; \alpha, \beta)$, respectively. Then $(d; 0)$ is a coderivation and $(d; \alpha, -\alpha)$ is an inner coderivation. The notions of a coderivation and an inner coderivation were defined in [3] and [8]. Some homological properties of coderivations of coalgebras were given in $[3]$, $[4]$ and $[8]$.

In this paper, we give some elementary properties of generalized coderivations and discuss relations to the set of generalized coderivations (resp. coderivations) $g\text{Coder}(M, C)$ (resp. Coder (M, C)) from M to C and the set of their duals $g\mathrm{Der}(C^*, M^*)$ and $\mathrm{Der}(C^*, M^*)$.

Throughout the following, R is a commutative ring with identity and we consider all things in the category of R -modules. A is an R -algebra with the multiplication map $\mu : A \otimes A \to A$. C is an R-coalgebra with structure maps $\Delta : C \to C \otimes C$ and

 $\varepsilon: C \to R$, M is a C-bicomodule and $M^* = \text{Hom}_R(M, R)$. $C^* = \text{Hom}_R(C, R)$ is an R -algebra with the convolution product \circ :

$$
(f \circ g) = (f \otimes g)\Delta \in C^* \quad (f, g \in C^*), \tag{1.3}
$$

and M^* is a C^* -bimodule via

$$
(m^* \leftarrow f) = \sum (m^* \otimes f)\rho^+ \quad \text{and} \quad (g \rightarrow m^*) = \sum (g \otimes m^*)\rho^- \tag{1.4}
$$

 $(m^* \in M^*)$. ⊗ = ⊗_R and 1 means the identity map unless otherwise stated.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we give some elementary relations of generalized coderivations and generalized derivations. First, we have the following

Lemma 2.1. (1) If $(d ; \xi) : M \to C$ is a generalized coderivation, then $(d^* ; \xi)$: $C^* \to M^*$ is a generalized derivation.

(2) If $(d \, ; \, \alpha, \beta) : M \to C$ is a generalized inner coderivation, then $(d \, ; \, \alpha, \beta) =$ $(d \,; -\alpha - \beta)$ and $(d^* \,; \, \alpha, \beta) : C^* \to M^*$ is a generalized inner derivation.

Proof. Let $f, g \in C^*$ and $m \in M$.

(1) Since C^* -bimodule structure of M^* is given by (1.4), there holds

$$
d^*(f \circ g) = (f \otimes g)\Delta d
$$

= $(fd \otimes g)\rho^+ + (f \otimes gd)\rho^- + (f \otimes \xi \otimes g)(\rho^- \otimes 1)\rho^+$
= $(d^*(f) \leftarrow g) + (f \rightarrow d^*(g)) + (f \rightarrow \xi \leftarrow g).$

Thus $(d^*; \xi)$ is a generalized derivation.

(2) If $(d; \alpha, \beta)$ is a generalized inner coderivation, then we have

$$
(d \otimes 1)\rho^+ + (1 \otimes d)\rho^- = ((\alpha \otimes 1)\rho^+ \otimes 1)\rho^+ + ((1 \otimes \beta)\rho^- \otimes 1)\rho^+ + (1 \otimes (\alpha \otimes 1)\rho^+)\rho^- + (1 \otimes (1 \otimes \beta)\rho^-)\rho^-
$$

and

$$
\Delta d = (\alpha \otimes 1 \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \Delta)\rho^+ + (1 \otimes 1 \otimes \beta)(\Delta \otimes 1)\rho^-.
$$

Therefore by (1.1), we see

$$
\Delta d = (d \otimes 1)\rho^+ + (1 \otimes d)\rho^- + \{1 \otimes (-\alpha - \beta) \otimes 1\}(\rho^- \otimes 1)\rho^+,
$$

and so $(d; \alpha, \beta) = (d; -\alpha - \beta)$. Moreover, we also have $d^*(f) = f((\alpha \otimes 1)\rho^+ + (1 \otimes \beta)\rho^-) = (\alpha \otimes f)\rho^+ + (f \otimes \beta)\rho^- = (\alpha \leftarrow f) + (f \rightarrow \beta),$ and thus $(d^*; \alpha, \beta)$ is a generalized inner derivation. **Lemma 2.2.** (1) If $(d \, ; \, \xi) : M \to C$ is a generalized coderivation, then $\varepsilon d + \xi = 0$. If we set $d_1 = d + (\xi \otimes 1)\rho^+$ (resp. $d_2 = d + (1 \otimes \xi)\rho^-$), then d_1 (resp. d_2) is a coderivation from M to C and d is a right d_1 -coderivation and a left d_2 -coderivation, that is,

$$
\Delta d = (d \otimes 1)\rho^+ + (1 \otimes d_1)\rho^- = (d_2 \otimes 1)\rho^+ + (1 \otimes d)\rho^-.
$$

(2) For any R-linear map $\xi : M \to R$, $((\xi \otimes 1)\rho^+$; - ξ) is a generalized coderivation.

Proof. (1) If $(d \, ; \, \xi)$ is a generalized coderivation, then by

$$
d = (1 \otimes \varepsilon)\Delta d = (d \otimes \varepsilon)\rho^+ + (1 \otimes \varepsilon d)\rho^- + (1 \otimes \xi \otimes \varepsilon)(\rho^- \otimes 1)\rho^+
$$

=
$$
d + (1 \otimes \varepsilon d)\rho^- + (1 \otimes \xi)\rho^-,
$$

we have $(1 \otimes \varepsilon d)\rho^- + (1 \otimes \xi)\rho^- = 0$. Therefore $(\varepsilon \otimes 1)((1 \otimes \varepsilon d)\rho^- + (1 \otimes \xi)\rho^-)$ $=(1 \otimes \varepsilon d) + (1 \otimes \xi) = 0$, which means $\varepsilon d + \xi = 0$.

Next, we show that $d_1 = d + (\xi \otimes 1)\rho^+$ is a coderivation. Since M is a Cbicomodule, then by (1.1) and (1.2) , we have

$$
\Delta d_1 - ((d_1 \otimes 1)\rho^+ + (1 \otimes d_1)\rho^-)
$$

= $\Delta d + \Delta(\xi \otimes 1)\rho^+ - (d \otimes 1)\rho^+ - (1 \otimes d)\rho^-$
 $- (\xi \otimes 1 \otimes 1)(\rho^+ \otimes 1)\rho^+ - (1 \otimes \xi \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \rho^+)\rho^-$
= $\Delta(\xi \otimes 1)\rho^+ - (\xi \otimes 1 \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \Delta)\rho^+ = 0.$

Thus d_1 is a coderivation. Moreover, substituting d_1 in the relation $\Delta d_1 = (d_1 \otimes$ $1)\rho^+ + (1 \otimes d_1)\rho^-,$ we have

$$
\Delta d_1 = \Delta(d + (\xi \otimes 1)\rho^+) = \Delta d + \Delta(\xi \otimes 1)\rho^+
$$

=
$$
\{(d + (\xi \otimes 1)\rho^+) \otimes 1\}\rho^+ + (1 \otimes d_1)\rho^-
$$

=
$$
(d \otimes 1)\rho^+ + ((\xi \otimes 1)\rho^+ \otimes 1)\rho^+ + (1 \otimes d_1)\rho^-.
$$

Thus $\Delta d = (d \otimes 1)\rho^+ + (1 + \otimes d_1)\rho^-$, which shows that d is a right d_1 -coderivation. Similarly, d_2 is a coderivation and d is a left d_2 -coderivation.

(2) Let $g = (\xi \otimes 1)\rho^+$. Then by (1.1), we have

$$
(g \otimes 1)\rho^+ + (1 \otimes g)\rho^- + (1 \otimes (-\xi) \otimes 1)(\rho^- \otimes 1)\rho^+
$$

= $(\xi \otimes 1 \otimes 1)(\rho^+ \otimes 1)\rho^+ + (1 \otimes \xi \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \rho^+) \rho^- - (1 \otimes \xi \otimes 1)(\rho^- \otimes 1)\rho^+$
= $(\xi \otimes 1 \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \Delta)\rho^+ = \Delta g$.

Thus $(g; -\xi)$ is a generalized coderivation.

In [1], Brešar defined a generalized derivation as follows: an additive map δ : $A \rightarrow A$ is called a *generalized derivation* if there exists a derivation $d : A \rightarrow A$ such that $\delta(ab) = \delta(a)b + ad(b)$ for any $a, b \in A$. We call that δ is a *right d-derivation*. If d is a right d₁-coderivation, then by $\Delta d = (d \otimes 1)\rho^+ + (1 \otimes d_1)\rho^-$, we see

$$
d^*(f \circ g) = (f \otimes g)\Delta d = (f \otimes g)((d \otimes 1)\rho^+ + (1 \otimes d_1)\rho^-)
$$

= $(fd \otimes g)\rho^+ + (f \otimes gd_1)\rho^-$
= $(d^*(f) \leftarrow g) + (f \rightarrow d_1^*(g)),$

and so d^* is a right d_1^* -derivation. Therefore the notion of a right d_1 -coderivation and a left d_2 -coderivatoin in Lemma 2.2(1) correspond to the right d -derivation and the left d-derivation in the sense of [1].

Lemma 2.3. Let M and N be C-bicomodules and $g : M \to N$ a C-bicomodule map. If $(f ; \xi) : N \to C$ is a generalized coderivation, then $(fg ; \xi g) : M \to C$ is a generalized coderivation.

Proof. Let $\rho_X^+ : X \to X \otimes C$ and $\rho_X^- : X \to C \otimes X$ be the C-bicomodule structure maps of X. Since g is a C-bicomodule map, we see $\rho_N^+g = (g \otimes 1)\rho_M^+$ and $\rho_N^-g =$ $(1 \otimes g)\rho_M^-$. If $(f; \xi)$ is a generalized coderivation, then by (1.1) , we have

$$
\begin{aligned} (\Delta f)g &= (f \otimes 1)\rho_N^+ g + (1 \otimes f)\rho_N^- g + (1 \otimes \xi \otimes 1)(\rho_N^- \otimes 1)\rho_N^+ g \\ &= (fg \otimes 1)\rho_M^+ + (1 \otimes fg)\rho_M^- + (1 \otimes \xi g \otimes 1)(\rho_M^- \otimes 1)\rho_M^+, \end{aligned}
$$

and so $(fg; \xi g)$ is a generalized coderivation.

Two generalized coderivations $(f; \xi)$ and $(g; \gamma)$ from M to C are equal if $f = g$ and $\xi = \gamma$. Then it is easy to see that the set of all generalized coderivations $g\text{Coder}(M, C)$ has the following R-module structure:

$$
(f; \xi) + (g; \gamma) = (f + g; \xi + \gamma)
$$
 and $r(f; \xi) = (rf; r\xi), (r \in R).$

Then we have an R-module monomorphism $\psi_M : \mathrm{Coder}(M, C) \ni d \mapsto (d : 0) \in$ $g\text{Coder}(M, C)$, where $\text{Coder}(M, C)$ is the set of all coderivations from M to C. We treat these R-modules in the following sections.

3. Elementary properties of generalized coderivations

In this section, we give some elementary properties of $\mathrm{Coder}(M, C)$ and $q \mathrm{Coder}(M, C)$. First, we have the followings which are easily seen by Lemma 2.2.

Theorem 3.1. Let M be a C-bicomodule. We set

$$
\psi_M : Coder(M, C) \ni d \mapsto (d \ ; \ 0) \in gCoder(M, C),
$$

$$
\psi'_M : gCoder(M, C) \ni (d \ ; \ \xi) \mapsto d + (\xi \otimes 1)\rho^+ \in Coder(M, C),
$$

$$
\varphi_M : gCoder(M, C) \ni (d \ ; \ \xi) \mapsto -\xi \in M^*,
$$

$$
\varphi'_M : M^* \ni \xi \mapsto ((\xi \otimes 1)\rho^+ ; \ -\xi) \in gCoder(M, C).
$$

Then these four maps are well-defined such that $\psi'_M \psi_M$ and $\varphi_M \varphi'_M$ are identity maps on $Coder(M, C)$ and M^* , respectively. Thus the following sequence of Rmodules is split exact:

$$
0 \longrightarrow Coder(M, C) \stackrel{\psi_M}{\longrightarrow} gCoder(M, C) \stackrel{\varphi_M}{\longrightarrow} M^* \longrightarrow 0.
$$

Let $f, g: C \to C$ be coderivations. Then by

$$
\Delta(fg) = (f \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes f)\Delta g = (fg \otimes 1 + f \otimes g + g \otimes f + 1 \otimes fg)\Delta,
$$

 $[f, g] = fg - gf$ is also a coderivation of C and thus $\text{Coder}(C, C) = \text{Coder}(C)$ has a Lie algebra structure. We show that $g\text{Coder}(C) = g\text{Coder}(C, C)$ has also a Lie algebra structure.

Lemma 3.2. Let $(f ; \xi)$, $(g ; \gamma) : C \to C$ be generalized coderivations. Then $([f, g] ; \xi g - \gamma f) : C \to C$ is a generalized coderivation.

Proof. We see that

$$
\Delta(fg - gf)
$$

= $(f \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes f)\Delta g + (1 \otimes \xi \otimes 1)(\Delta \otimes 1)\Delta g$

$$
- (g \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes g)\Delta f - (1 \otimes \gamma \otimes 1)(\Delta \otimes 1)\Delta f
$$

= $([f, g] \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes [f, g])\Delta$

$$
+ (f \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes 1 \otimes f)(1 \otimes \gamma \otimes 1)(\Delta \otimes 1)\Delta + (1 \otimes \xi \otimes 1)(\Delta \otimes 1)\Delta g
$$

$$
- (g \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes 1 \otimes g)(1 \otimes \xi \otimes 1)(\Delta \otimes 1)\Delta - (1 \otimes \gamma \otimes 1)(\Delta \otimes 1)\Delta f.
$$

Since $(g; \gamma)$ is a generalized coderivation, we have

$$
(1 \otimes \xi \otimes 1)(\Delta \otimes 1)\Delta g
$$

= $(1 \otimes \xi \otimes 1)(\Delta g \otimes 1 + \Delta \otimes g)\Delta + (1 \otimes \xi \otimes 1)(\Delta \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \gamma \otimes 1)(\Delta \otimes 1)\Delta$
= $(g \otimes \xi \otimes 1)(\Delta \otimes 1)\Delta + (1 \otimes \xi g \otimes 1)(\Delta \otimes 1)\Delta$
+ $(1 \otimes \gamma \otimes \xi \otimes 1)(\Delta \otimes 1 \otimes 1)(\Delta \otimes 1)\Delta + (1 \otimes \xi \otimes g)(\Delta \otimes 1)\Delta$
+ $(1 \otimes \xi \otimes 1)(\Delta \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \gamma \otimes 1)(\Delta \otimes 1)\Delta$
= $(g \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes 1 \otimes g)(1 \otimes \xi \otimes 1)(\Delta \otimes 1)\Delta$
+ $(1 \otimes \gamma \otimes \xi \otimes 1)(\Delta \otimes 1 \otimes 1)(\Delta \otimes 1)\Delta + (1 \otimes \xi g \otimes 1)(\Delta \otimes 1)\Delta$
+ $(1 \otimes \xi \otimes \gamma \otimes 1)(\Delta \otimes 1 \otimes 1)(\Delta \otimes 1)\Delta$

and similarly

$$
(1 \otimes \gamma \otimes 1)(\Delta \otimes 1)\Delta f
$$

= $(f \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes 1 \otimes f)(1 \otimes \gamma \otimes 1)(\Delta \otimes 1)\Delta$
+ $(1 \otimes \xi \otimes \gamma \otimes 1)(\Delta \otimes 1 \otimes 1)(\Delta \otimes 1)\Delta + (1 \otimes \gamma f \otimes 1)(\Delta \otimes 1)\Delta$
+ $(1 \otimes \gamma \otimes \xi \otimes 1)(\Delta \otimes 1 \otimes 1)(\Delta \otimes 1)\Delta$.

Using these three relations, we can get the following relation:

$$
\Delta[f, g] = ([f, g] \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes [f, g])\Delta + (1 \otimes (\xi g - \gamma f) \otimes 1)(\Delta \otimes 1)\Delta.
$$

This shows that $([f, g] ; \xi g - \gamma f)$ is a generalized coderivation.

For generalized coderivations $(f; \xi)$ and $(g; \gamma)$, we can define a bracket operation by Lemma 3.2:

$$
[(f; \xi), (g; \gamma)] = ([f, g]; \xi g - \gamma f).
$$

By this bracket operation $g\text{Coder}(C)$ has a Lie algebra structure. Since C^* is an R-algebra via convolution product \circ given by (1.3), it is also Lie algebra by the following operation:

$$
\{\xi, \gamma\} = \gamma \circ \xi - \xi \circ \gamma = (\gamma \otimes \xi - \xi \otimes \gamma)\Delta, (\xi, \gamma \in C^*).
$$

Then in the exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \text{Coder}(C) \xrightarrow{\psi_C} g \text{Coder}(C) \xrightarrow{\varphi_C} C^* \longrightarrow 0 \tag{3.1}
$$

given by Theorem 3.1, the map ψ_C is a Lie algebra map, but φ_C is not a Lie algebra map in the above Lie algebra stuctures. But we have the following

Theorem 3.3. For any R -coalgebra C ,

$$
g\mathit{Coder}(C) \cong \mathit{Coder}(C) \oplus C^*
$$

as Lie algebras.

Proof. In the exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow C^* \xrightarrow{\varphi'_C} g \text{Coder}(C) \xrightarrow{\psi'_C} \text{Coder}(C) \longrightarrow 0,
$$
\n(3.2)

we show that φ_C' and ψ_C' are Lie algebra maps. Firstly, we note that the following four relations hold for any $\xi, \gamma \in C^*$ and $f, g \in \text{Hom}(C, C)$:

$$
(\xi \otimes 1)\Delta(\gamma \otimes 1)\Delta = (\gamma \otimes \xi \otimes 1)(\Delta \otimes 1)\Delta,
$$

\n
$$
\xi(\gamma \otimes 1)\Delta = (\gamma \otimes \xi)\Delta,
$$

\n
$$
f(\gamma \otimes 1)\Delta = (\gamma \otimes f)\Delta,
$$

\n
$$
(\xi \otimes 1)\Delta g = (\xi g \otimes 1)\Delta + (\xi \otimes g)\Delta + (\xi \otimes \gamma \otimes 1)(\Delta \otimes 1)\Delta.
$$

Then we have

$$
[\varphi'_C(\xi), \varphi'_C(\gamma)] = [((\xi \otimes 1)\Delta \; ; \; -\xi), \; ((\gamma \otimes 1)\Delta \; ; \; -\gamma)]
$$

\n
$$
= ([(\xi \otimes 1)\Delta, \; (\gamma \otimes 1)\Delta] \; ; \; -\xi(\gamma \otimes 1)\Delta + \gamma(\xi \otimes 1)\Delta)
$$

\n
$$
= (\{(\gamma \otimes \xi - \xi \otimes \gamma) \otimes 1\}(\Delta \otimes 1)\Delta \; ; \; (\xi \otimes \gamma - \gamma \otimes \xi)\Delta)
$$

\n
$$
= \varphi'_C(\{\xi, \; \gamma\})
$$

and so φ_C' is a Lie algebra map. If $(g; \gamma) : C \to C$ is a generalized coderivation, then, we see

$$
[\psi'_C(f; \xi), \psi'_C(g; \gamma)]
$$

= $[f + (\xi \otimes 1)\Delta, g + (\gamma \otimes 1)\Delta]$
= $[f, g] + f(\gamma \otimes 1)\Delta + (\xi \otimes 1)\Delta g + (\xi \otimes 1)\Delta(\gamma \otimes 1)\Delta$
 $- g(\xi \otimes 1)\Delta - (\gamma \otimes 1)\Delta f - (\gamma \otimes 1)\Delta(\xi \otimes 1)\Delta$
= $[f, g] + ((\xi g - \gamma f) \otimes 1)\Delta$
= $\psi'_C([f; \xi), (g; \gamma)])$.

Thus ψ_C' is also a Lie algebra map. Since ψ_C is a Lie algebra map such that $\psi_C' \psi_C$ is the identity on $\mathrm{Coder} (C)$, we have $g \mathrm{Coder} (C) \cong \mathrm{Coder} (C) \oplus \mathrm{Ker} \ \psi_C'$. Moreover, by the exact sequence (3.2), we see Ker $\psi'_C \cong C^*$. This shows the theorem. \Box

By Theorem 3.1, we have the functors $\text{Coder}(-, C)$, $q \text{Coder}(-, C)$ and $\text{Hom}_R(-, R)$ from the category of C-bicomodules to the category of R-modules and

$$
g\mathrm{Coder}(-, C) \cong \mathrm{Coder}(-, C) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}_R(-, R)
$$

as functors.

Now let L be the cokernel of the map $\Delta: C \to C \otimes C$. Then the sequence of C-bicomodules

$$
0 \longrightarrow C \stackrel{\Delta}{\longrightarrow} C \otimes C \stackrel{\omega}{\longrightarrow} L \longrightarrow 0
$$

is exact. Define a map

$$
\lambda: L \ni \omega(c \otimes c') \mapsto c\varepsilon(c') - \varepsilon(c)c' \in C.
$$

Then λ is a coderivation and λ is a universal coderivation in the following sense: For any C -bicomodules M , the map

$$
Com(M, L) \ni \sigma \mapsto \lambda \sigma \in Coder(M, C)
$$

is an R-module isomorphism, where $Com(M, L)$ is the set of all C-bicomodule maps from M to L (cf. [3, Proposition 13]). Using this isomorphism and Theorem 3.1, the following is easily seen.

Corollary 3.4. For any C-bicomodule M, the map

$$
\Phi: Com(M, L) \oplus M^* \ni (\sigma, \xi) \mapsto (\lambda \sigma + (\xi \otimes 1)\rho^+ ; -\xi) \in g\mathit{Coder}(M, C)
$$

is an R-module isomorphism.

4. A relation of $g\text{Coder}(C)$ and $g\text{Der}(C^*, M^*)$

Since C^* is an R-algebra by the convolution product \circ , M^* is a C^* -bimodule by (1.4) for any C-bicomodule M. Define two R-module maps

$$
\psi_M^* : \text{Der}(C^*, M^*) \ni \alpha \mapsto (\alpha \ ; \ 0) \in g\text{Der}(C^*, M^*),
$$

$$
\varphi_M^* : g\text{Der}(C^*, M^*) \ni (\beta \ ; \ m^*) \mapsto m^* \in M^*.
$$

Then we see that

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Der}(C^*, M^*) \xrightarrow{\psi^*_{M}} g \mathrm{Der}(C^*, M^*) \xrightarrow{\varphi^*_{M}} M^* \longrightarrow 0
$$

is an exact sequence of R-modules (cf. [9, Theorem 2.4.]) By Lemma 2.1, we show that a generalized coderivation $(f, \xi) : M \to C$ induces a generalized derivation $(f^*$; $\xi): C^* \to M^*$ and therefore we have an R-linear map

$$
\theta: g \text{Coder}(C, M) \ni (f; \xi) \mapsto (f^*; \xi) \in g \text{Der}(C^*, M^*).
$$

46 ATSUSHI NAKAJIMA

In this section, we give the relations of an exact sequence of generalized coderivations in Theorem 3.1 and the above exact sequence of derivations. First we have the following

Theorem 4.1. The following diagram of R-modules is commutative and each rows are split exact:

$$
0 \longrightarrow Coder(M, C) \xrightarrow{\psi_M} gCoder(M, C) \xrightarrow{\varphi_M} M^* \longrightarrow 0
$$

$$
\downarrow \theta_0 \qquad \qquad \downarrow \theta \qquad \qquad \downarrow 1
$$

$$
0 \longrightarrow Der(C^*, M^*) \xrightarrow{\psi_M^*} gDer(C^*, M^*) \xrightarrow{\varphi_M^*} M^* \longrightarrow 0,
$$

where θ_0 is the restriction map of θ and 1 is the identity map on M^* . Moreover, if R is a field, then θ_0 is a monomorphism and thus θ is a monomorphism.

Proof. The commutativity of the diagram is easily seen by the definitions of each maps, and the split exactness is obtained by Theorem 3.1. Assume that R is a field and $0 \neq f \in \text{Coder}(M, C)$ such that $\theta_0(f) = 0$. Then there exists $m \in M$ such that $f(m) \neq 0$. Since R is a field, $C = Rf(m) \oplus C_1$ for some R-subspace C_1 of C and so we have an R-linear map $\lambda: C \to R$ such that $\lambda(f(m)) = 1$ and $\lambda(C_1) = 0$. This contradicts to $\theta_0(f)(\lambda)(m) = \lambda f(m) = 0$ for all $\lambda \in C^*$. Therefore θ_1 is a monomorphism and thus θ is a monomorphism by five lemma.

Although C^* is an algebra, but A^* is not a coalgebra in general, and thus a generalized derivation $(d ; \xi) : A \to M$ does not necessarily induce a generalized coderivation from M^* to A^* . If A is a finitely generated projective R-module, then it is well known that A^* has a coalgebra structure with the comultiplication

$$
\Delta_{A^*}: A^* \ni \alpha \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha \mu(-\otimes a_i) \otimes f_i \in (A \otimes A)^* \cong A^* \otimes A^*,
$$

where $\{a_i, f_i\}$ $(i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ is an R-projective coordinate system of A and the map $\alpha\mu(-\otimes a_i) : A \to A$ is defined by $\alpha\mu(-\otimes a_i)(a) = \alpha(aa_i)$. Under these notations, we prove the following

Lemma 4.2. Assume that A is finitely generated projective R-module and $(f; x)$: $A \rightarrow A$ is a generalized derivation. Then $(f^* ; x) : A^* \rightarrow A^*$ is a generalized coderivation. Especially, if f is a derivation, then f^* is a coderivation.

Proof. Let $a, b \in A$ and $\alpha \in A^*$. Then by $a = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(a)a_i$, we have

$$
(\Delta_{A^*} f^*)(\alpha)(a \otimes b) = \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha f \mu(-\otimes a_i) \otimes f_i)(a \otimes b) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha(f(aa_i))f_i(b) = \alpha(f(ab))
$$

= $\alpha(f(a)b + af(b) + axb).$

Moreover, by

$$
(f^* \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes f^*)\Delta_{A^*}(\alpha)(a \otimes b)
$$

= ((f^* \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes f^*)(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha \mu(-\otimes a_i) \otimes f_i))(a \otimes b)
= \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha \mu(-\otimes a_i)(f(a)) \otimes f_i(b) + \alpha(aa_i)f_i(f(b)))
= \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha(f(a)a_if_i(b) + aa_if_i(f(b))) = \alpha(f(a)b + af(b))

and

$$
(1 \otimes x \otimes 1)(\Delta_{A^*} \otimes 1)\Delta_{A^*}(\alpha)(a \otimes b)
$$

= $(1 \otimes x \otimes 1)(\Delta_{A^*} \otimes 1)(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha \mu(-\otimes a_i) \otimes f_i)(a \otimes b)$
= $(1 \otimes x \otimes 1)\left\{\sum_{i,j=1}^n (\alpha \mu(-\otimes a_i))(\mu(-\otimes a_j) \otimes f_j) \otimes f_i\}(a \otimes b)\right\}$
= $\sum_{i,j=1}^n \alpha(aa_ja_i)f_j(x)f_i(b) = \alpha(axb).$

Combining these three relations, we see that $(f^* ; x)$ is a generalized coderivation of A^* . .

By (3.1), Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 4.2, we have the following

Theorem 4.3. In the commutative diagram in Theorem 4.1, if C is finitely generated projective R-module, then the maps θ_0 and θ are isomorphisms. Especially, the following diagram of Lie algebras is commutative and each rows are split exact:

$$
0 \longrightarrow Coder(C) \xrightarrow{\psi_C} gCoder(C) \xrightarrow{\varphi_C} C^* \longrightarrow 0
$$

$$
\downarrow \theta_0 \qquad \qquad \downarrow \theta \qquad \qquad \downarrow 1
$$

$$
0 \longrightarrow Der(C^*) \xrightarrow{\psi_C^*} gDer(C^*) \xrightarrow{\varphi_C^*} C^* \longrightarrow 0.
$$

Let $gInn\text{Coder}(M, C)$ be the set of generalized inner coderivations from M to C. Then $gInn\text{Coder}(M, C)$ is an R-submodule of $g\text{Coder}(M, C)$ and we have an exact sequence of R-modules

$$
0 \longrightarrow gInn\mathrm{Coder}(M, C) \xrightarrow{\iota_M} g\mathrm{Coder}(M, C) \xrightarrow{\pi_M} P_M \longrightarrow 0,
$$

where ι_M is the inclusion map, $P_M = g\text{Coder}(M, C)/g\text{InnCoder}(M, C)$ is the quotient R-module and π_M is the natural projection. Then by Lemma 2.1(2),

 $\theta_{-1} : gInn\text{Coder}(M, C) \ni (d; \alpha, \beta) \mapsto (d^*; \alpha, \beta) \in gInn\text{Der}(C^*, M^*)$ (4.1)

is an R-module homomorphism and so we have the following commutative diagram:

$$
0 \longrightarrow gInn\operatorname{Coder}(M, C) \xrightarrow{\iota_M} g\operatorname{Coder}(M, C) \xrightarrow{\pi_M} P_M \longrightarrow 0
$$

$$
\downarrow \theta \qquad \qquad \downarrow \theta \qquad \qquad \downarrow p
$$

$$
0 \longrightarrow gInn\operatorname{Der}(C^*, M^*) \xrightarrow{\iota_M^*} g\operatorname{Der}(C^*, M^*) \xrightarrow{\pi_M^*} P_M^* \longrightarrow 0,
$$

where $P_{M^*} = g \text{Der}(C^*, M^*)/gInn \text{Der}(C^*, M^*)$ and p is the natural map. In the next section, we define a notion of weakly coseparable coalgebras which relates to generalized inner coderivations.

5. Weakly coseparable coalgebras.

A coalgebra C is called *coseparable* if there exists a C-bicomodule map π : $C \otimes C \rightarrow C$ such that $\pi \Delta = 1$. It was defined in [6], and several properties of coseparable coalgebras were given in [3], [4], [6], [7] and [8]. A coseparable coalgebra is a dual notion of a separable algebra, and it is known that an algebra A is separable if and only if any derivation in $Der(A, N)$ is inner for every A-bimodule N (cf. [2, pp.75-76]). The corresponding result for coalgebras was also proved in [3, Theorem 3] and [8, Theorem 1.2], that is, C is coseparable if and only if any coderivation in $\text{Coder}(M, C)$ is inner for every C-bicomodule M. Recently, the notion of separable algebras is generalized as follows. A is called weakly separable if any derivation in $Der(A)$ is inner, and characterize weakly separable polynomials in $R[X]$ (cf. [5]). From these point of view, we define that C is weakly coseparable if any coderivation in $\mathrm{Coder}(C)$ is inner.

In this section, we treat weakly coseparable coalgebras and give such an example. First, we have the following

Lemma 5.1. Consider the following commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}\n0 & \xrightarrow{\hspace{2cm}} \operatorname{Inn}\n\operatorname{Coder}(M, \ C) & \xrightarrow{\hspace{2cm} \iota'_{M}} & \operatorname{Coder}(M, \ C) \\
& \downarrow^{\psi_{M_0}} & \downarrow^{\psi_{M}} \\
0 & \xrightarrow{\hspace{2cm}} \operatorname{gInn}\n\operatorname{Coder}(M, \ C) & \xrightarrow{\hspace{2cm} \iota_{M}} & \operatorname{g}\n\operatorname{Coder}(M, \ C),\n\end{array}
$$

where ι'_M is the canonical inclusion and ψ_{M_0} is the restriction of ψ_M . Then ι'_M is an isomorphism if and only if ι_M is an isomorphism. Therefore, a coalgebra C is weakly coseparable if and only if any generalized coderivation in $Coder(C)$ is generalized inner.

Proof. Assume that $Inn\text{Coder}(M, C) = \text{Coder}(M, C)$. If $(d \, ; \, \alpha) : M \to C$ is a generalized coderivation, then by Lemma 2.2(1), $d_1 = d + (\alpha \otimes 1)\rho^+$ is a coderivation, and so there exists $\beta \in M^*$ such that $d_1 = (\beta \otimes 1)\rho^+ - (1 \otimes \beta)\rho^-$. Therefore, $d = \{(\beta - \alpha) \otimes 1\} \rho^+ - (1 \otimes \beta) \rho^-$ is a generalized inner coderivation. Conversely, assume that $gInn\text{Coder}(M, C) = g\text{Coder}(M, C)$. If $d : M \to C$ is a coderivation, then d is generalized inner by assumption, and so $d = (\alpha \otimes 1)\rho^+ + (1 \otimes \beta)\rho^-$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in M^*$. Substituting d in the relation $\Delta d = (d \otimes 1)\rho^+ + (1 \otimes d)\rho^-$, we see

$$
0 = ((d \otimes 1)\rho^+ + (1 \otimes d)\rho^-) - \Delta d
$$

=
$$
(((\alpha \otimes 1)\rho^+ + (1 \otimes \beta)\rho^-) \otimes 1)\rho^+ + (1 \otimes ((\alpha \otimes 1)\rho^+ + (1 \otimes \beta)\rho^-))\rho^-
$$

$$
-\Delta((\alpha \otimes 1)\rho^+ + (1 \otimes \beta)\rho^-)
$$

=
$$
(1 \otimes \beta \otimes 1)(\rho^- \otimes 1)\rho^+ + (1 \otimes \alpha \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \rho^+) \rho^-.
$$

Using $(\varepsilon \otimes 1 \otimes \varepsilon)((\rho^- \otimes 1)\rho^+) = (\varepsilon \otimes 1 \otimes \varepsilon)((1 \otimes \rho^+) \rho^-) = 1$, we have $\alpha = -\beta$, which shows that d is an inner coderivation.

Note that for an algebra A, there holds $InnDer(A, N) = Der(A, N)$ if and only if $gInnDer(A, N) = gDer(A, N)$.

Theorem 5.2. Assume that C is finitely generated projective R-module. Then C is coseparable if and only if C^* is separable. Especially, C is weakly coseparable if and only if C^* is weakly coseparable.

Proof. Assume that C is a finitely generated projective R -module. Then by Theorem 4.3, θ_{-1} : $gInn\text{Coder}(M, C) \rightarrow gInn\text{Der}(C^*, M^*)$ and $\theta: g\text{Coder}(M, C) \rightarrow$ $g\text{Der}(C^*, M^*)$ are isomorphisms. By Lemma 5.1, $Inn\text{Coder}(C) = \text{Coder}(C)$ if and only if $gInn\text{Coder}(C) = g\text{Coder}(C)$. Thus in the commutative diagram

$$
gInn\text{Coder}(M, C) \xrightarrow{\iota_M} g\text{Coder}(M, C)
$$

$$
\downarrow \theta_{-1} \qquad \qquad \downarrow \theta
$$

$$
gInn\text{Der}(C^*, M^*) \xrightarrow{\iota_M^*} g\text{Der}(C^*, M^*),
$$

 ι_M is an isomorphism if and only if ι_{M^*} is an isomorphim.

Finally, we give a simple example of a weakly coseparable coalgebra.

Example 5.3. Let R[X] be a free R-module with free basis $\{1, X, \dots, X^k, \dots\}$. We denote the dual basis of R[X] by $\{x_0, x_1, \cdots, x_k, \cdots\}$.

(1) Let $C_1 = R[X]$ and define a coalgebra structure maps $\Delta_1 : C_1 \to C_1 \otimes C_1$ and $\varepsilon_1: C_1 \to R$ as follows:

$$
\Delta_1(X^n) = (X \otimes X)^n, \quad \varepsilon_1(X^n) = 1 \quad \text{for all} \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots
$$

Assume that

$$
(f_1 ; \xi) : C_1 \ni X \mapsto f_1(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i X^i \in C_1 = R[X]
$$

is a generalized coderivation. Then by $\Delta_1 f_1(X) = ((f_1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes f_1))\Delta_1 + (1 \otimes \xi \otimes f_1)$ 1) $(\Delta_1 \otimes 1)\Delta_1(X)$, we see $f_1(X) = a_1X$ and $\xi(X) = -a_1$. By induction, we can easily prove that any generalized coderivation of C_1 is the following form

$$
f_1(X^n) = a_n X^n \quad and \quad \xi(X^n) = -a_n.
$$

Therefore any generalized coderivation is generalized inner and thus C_1 is weakly coseparable.

Next, we show that if 2 is not a zero divisor in R , the dual algebra C_1^* is also coseparable. Since C_1 is cocommutative, C_1^* is commutative and so it is enough to show that $Der(C_1^*) = 0$. In the dual basis $\{x_0, x_1, \cdots, x_k, \cdots\}$ of $\{1, X, \cdots, X^k, \cdots\}$, the convolution product \circ in C_1^* is given by

$$
(x_i \circ x_j)(X^n) = (x_i \otimes x_j)(X^n \otimes X^n) = \delta_{in} \delta_{jn},
$$

where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker's δ . Then the set of dual basis $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots\}$ is the system of orthogonal idempotents in C_1^* . Assume that

$$
d: C_1^* \ni x_k \mapsto \sum_{j=0}^m a_{ij} x_j \in C_1^* = R[X]^*
$$

is a derivation. Since d is a derivation, we have

$$
d(x_i x_k) = d(x_i)x_k + x_i d(x_k) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} a_{ij} x_j x_k + x_i \sum_{j=0}^{m} a_{kj} x_j
$$

= $a_{ik} x_k + a_{ki} x_i$.

Thus if $i \neq k$, then $a_{ik}x_k + a_{ki}x_i = 0$ and so $a_{ik} = a_{ki} = 0$ for all $i \neq k$. Moreover, by $d(x_i^2) = d(x_i) = \sum_{j=0}^m a_{ij}x_j = 2a_{ii}x_i$, we have $a_{ii} = 0$. Thus $d(x_i) = 0$ for all i, which show that C_1^* is a weakly separable algebra.

(2) Let $C_2 = R[X]$ and define a coalgebra structure maps $\Delta_2 : C_2 \to C_2 \otimes C_2$ and ε_2 : $C_2 \to R$ as follows:

$$
\Delta_2(X^n) = (X \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X)^n, \quad \varepsilon_2(1) = 1, \quad \varepsilon_2(X^n) = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad n = 1, 2, \cdots.
$$

Define an R-linear map $f_2: C_2 \to C_2$ as follows:

$$
f_2(1) = 0, \ f_2(X) = a_1 X, \ f_2(X^2) = a_2 X + 2a_1 X^2, \cdots,
$$

$$
f_2(X^n) = a_n X + {n \choose 1} a_{n-1} X^2 + \cdots + {n \choose i} a_{n-i} X^{i+1} + \cdots + a_1 {n \choose n-1} X^n,
$$

 $(a_i \in R)$. Then we can check that f_2 is a coderivation. Therefore there are many coderivations in $Coder(C_2)$. Take $a_1 = 1$. If f_2 is an inner coderivation, then there exists a non-zero R-linear map α : $C_2 \rightarrow R$ such that $f_2 = (\alpha \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \alpha) \Delta_2$ and so we have $f_2(X) = X = (\alpha \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \alpha) \Delta_2(X) = 0$, a contradiction. Thus there exists a coderivation which is not inner. This shows that the coalgebra C_2 is not weakly coseparable. In this case, the dual algebra C_2^* is not weakly separable. Because, noting the relation

$$
\varDelta(X^n) = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} X^{n-i} \otimes X^i,
$$

we see $x_i \circ x_j = \binom{i+j}{i} x_{i+j}$. Define an R-linear map

$$
d: C_2^* \ni x_n \mapsto nx_n \in C_2^*, \quad \text{for all} \quad n = 1, 2, \cdots.
$$

Then we can check that d is a non-zero derivation. Since C_2^* is commutative algebra, d is not inner. Therefore C_2^* is not weakly separable.

In our paper [5], we only treat a weakly separable polynomial $f(X)$, that is, $R[X]/(f(X))$ is a finitely generated projective R-module. The above example shows that there exists a non-finitely generated weakly coseparable algebra and a weakly separable algebra.

References

- $[1]$ M. Brešar, On the distance of the composition of two derivations to the generalized derivations, Glasgow Math. J., 33(1) (1991), 89-93.
- [2] F. DeMeyer and E. Ingraham, Separable algebras over commutative rings, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York 181 (1971).
- [3] Y. Doi, *Homological coalgebra*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 33 (1981), 31-50.
- [4] F. Guzman, Cointegrations, Relative Cohomology for Comodules, and Coseparable Corings, J. Algebra, 126 (1989), 211-224.
- [5] N. Hamaguchi and A. Nakajima, Weakly separable polynomials, in preparation.
- [6] R. G. Larson, Coseparable Hopf algebras, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 3 (1973), 261-267.

52 ATSUSHI NAKAJIMA

- [7] A. Nakajima, *Cosemisimple coalgebras and coseparable coalgebras over coalge*bras, Math. J. Okayama Univ., 21 (1979), 125-140.
- [8] A. Nakajima, Coseparable coalgebras and coextensions of coderivations, Math. J. Okayama Univ., 22 (1980), 145-149.
- [9] A. Nakajima, On categorical properties of generalized derivations, Sci. Math., 2(3) (1999), 345-352.
- [10] M. E. Sweedler, Hopf Algebras, Benjamin, New York, 1969.

Atsushi Nakajima

327-1, Nakaku, Nakai, Okayama 703-8205, Japan e-mail: a2017bj.naka@hi2.enjoy.ne.jp