

ON THE FINITENESS PROPERTIES OF GENERALIZED LOCAL COHOMOLOGY MODULES

Fatemeh Dehghani-Zadeh

Received: 29 October 2010; Revised: 8 July 2011

Communicated by A. Çiğdem Özcan

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study certain properties of generalized local cohomology modules with respect to a Serre class. We have proved that the membership of the generalized local cohomology of finite modules M and N in a Serre subcategory in the upper range (lower rang) depends on the support of module M (N).

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 13D45, 13D07

Keywords: generalized local cohomology, finiteness, Serre subcategories

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a commutative Noetherian local ring. For unexplained terminology from homological and commutative algebra we refer to [8] and [7]. Generalized local cohomology was given in the local case by J. Herzog [9] and in the more general case by Bijan-Zadeh [5]. Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring with identity, \mathfrak{a} an ideal of R and let M, N be two R -modules. For an integer $i \geq 0$, the i -th generalized local cohomology module $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) = \varinjlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Ext}_R^i(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M, N)$ with $M = R$, we obtain the ordinary local cohomology module $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(N)$ of N with respect to \mathfrak{a} which was introduced by Grothendieck. We recall some properties of generalized local cohomology modules which we need in this note. For any ideal \mathfrak{a} of R and two R -modules M and N the following statements hold:

- (i) If $0 \rightarrow N' \rightarrow N \rightarrow N'' \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence of R -modules, then there are long exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^0(M, N') \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^0(M, N) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^0(M, N'') \rightarrow \dots \\ \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(M, N') \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(M, N) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(M, N'') \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^0(N'', M) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^0(N, M) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^0(N', M) \rightarrow \dots \\ \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(M, N') \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(M, N) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(M, N'') \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

of generalized local cohomology modules.

- (ii) If N is an \mathfrak{a} -torsion R -module, then there is an isomorphism $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^n(M, N)$ for all $n \geq 0$.

Recall that a class S of R -modules is a *Serre subcategory* of the category of R -modules, when it is closed under taking submodules, quotients and extensions. In this paper, we study some properties of generalized local cohomology modules by using Serre classes. In [1], the authors have discussed the connection between $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(N)$ and the Serre classes of R -modules.

Using the generalized local cohomology modules, we can define $t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)$ (*resp.* $t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)$) of a pair (M, N) of R -modules relative to the ideal \mathfrak{a} by

$$\begin{aligned} t_{\mathfrak{a}}^S(M, N) = t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) = \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) \text{ is not in } S\} \\ (\text{resp. } t_S^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) = t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) = \sup\{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) \text{ is not in } S\}) \end{aligned}$$

with the usual convention that the infimum (*resp.* supremum) of the empty set of integers is interpreted as $+\infty$ (*resp.* $-\infty$). We denote $t_{\mathfrak{a}}(R, N) = t_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)$ (*resp.* $t^{\mathfrak{a}}(R, N) = t^{\mathfrak{a}}(N)$). We study the behavior of $t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)$ and $t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)$ under changing one of the M and N , when we fixed the one others.

This paper recovers some results regarding the local cohomology R -modules that have appeared in different papers.

2. Study of $t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)$

Lemma 2.1. *Let N be in S and M a finitely generated R -module. Then for any $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the R -modules $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, N)$ and $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N)$ are in S .*

Proof. Let $\dots \rightarrow F_i \rightarrow F_{i-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ be a minimal free resolution of M . Then $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, N)$ is an R -subquotient of $F_i \otimes N \cong N^{\text{rk}(F_i)}$ and hence is in S , where $\text{rk}(F)$ means the rank of a free module F . The similar argument shows that $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N)$ is in S . \square

Theorem 2.2. *Let S be a Serre subcategory of the category of R -modules. Let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal of R and N a finite R -module. Suppose that L is an R -module in S . If M is a finite R -module with $\text{Supp}M \subseteq \text{Supp}N$, then $t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, L) \geq t_{\mathfrak{a}}(N, L)$, where the support of T is denoted by $\text{Supp}T$ for an R -module T . In particular if $\text{Supp}N = \text{Supp}M$, then $t_{\mathfrak{a}}(N, L) = t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, L)$*

Proof. It is enough to show that $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, L)$ is in S for all $i < t_{\mathfrak{a}}(N, L)$ and all finitely generated R -modules M such that $\text{Supp}M \subseteq \text{Supp}N$. To this end, we argue by induction on i . In view of hypothesis $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(L)$ is in S . Therefore, since $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^0(M, L) \cong \text{Hom}(M, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(L))$, we see, by Lemma 2.1, that $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^0(M, L)$ is in S . Now, suppose, inductively, that $i > 0$ and that the result has been proved for $i - 1$. By Gruson's theorem (see [12, 4.1]), there is a chain $0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \cdots \subset M_l = M$ of submodules of M such that each of the factor M_i/M_{i-1} is a homomorphic image of a direct sum of finitely many copies of N . In view of the long exact sequence of generalized local cohomology modules that induced by the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M_{j-1} \rightarrow M_j \rightarrow M_j/M_{j-1} \rightarrow 0 \quad j = 1, \dots, l,$$

it suffices to treat with only the case $l = 1$. So we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^t N \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0,$$

where $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and K is a finitely generated R -module. This induces the long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^0(M, L) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^0\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^t N, L\right) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^0(K, L) \rightarrow \cdots \\ H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{i-1}(K, L) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, L) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^t N, L\right). \end{aligned}$$

By induction hypothesis, $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{i-1}(K, L)$ is in S . Also, $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^t N, L\right)$ is in S , because $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^t N, L\right) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^t H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(N, L)$ and $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(N, L)$ is in S , so that, in view of the above exact sequence, the R -module $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, L)$ is in S . \square

Lemma 2.3. (i) *Let M be a finitely generated R -module, N an R -module and $t_{\mathfrak{a}}(N) > 0$. Then*

- (1) $t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)) = t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)$
- (2) $t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) = t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N))$

(ii) *Let $x \in \mathfrak{a}$ be a regular element on N . Then*

- (1) $t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N/xN) \geq t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) - 1$
- (2) $t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) \geq t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N/xN)$.

Proof. Since $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)) = \text{Ext}_R^i(M, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N))$, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N))$ is in S . Now, the claim is clear by the long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)) \rightarrow \cdots$$

(ii) It is clear by the long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N/xN) \rightarrow \cdots \quad \square$$

Theorem 2.4. *Let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal of R and L, M and N finitely generated R -modules.*

- (i) *If $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence, then for any R -module C , we have $t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, C) = \inf\{t_{\mathfrak{a}}(L, C), t_{\mathfrak{a}}(N, C)\}$*
- (ii) *$t_{\mathfrak{a}}(R, N) = \inf\{t_{\mathfrak{a}}(C, N) \mid C \text{ is finitely generated over } R\}$*
- (iii) *If $r < t_{\mathfrak{a}}(R/P, N)$ for all $P \in \text{Supp}M$, then $r < t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)$.*
- (iv) *$t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, L) = \inf\{t_{\mathfrak{a}}(R/P, L) \mid P \in \text{Supp} M\}$*
- (v) *If $l = \text{pd}(N) < \infty$, then $t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) \geq t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, R) - \text{pd}(N)$.*

Proof. (i), (ii) are clear by definition.

(iii) There is a prime filtration $0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \dots \subset M_t = M$ of submodules of M , such that $M_i/M_{i-1} \cong R/P_i$ where $P_i \in \text{Supp}M$. We use induction on t . When $t = 1$, $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^r(M, N) = H_{\mathfrak{a}}^r(R/P, N)$ is in S . Now suppose that $t > 1$ and that the result has been proved for $t - 1$. The exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M_{i-1} \rightarrow M_i \rightarrow M_i/M_{i-1} \rightarrow 0$ induces the long exact sequence $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^r(M_t/M_{t-1}, N) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^r(M_t, N) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^r(M_{t-1}, N)$. It follows that $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^r(M_t, N)$ is in S . This completes the proof of the theorem.

(iv) By using Theorem 2.2, $t_{\mathfrak{a}}(R/P, N) \geq t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) = r$ for all $P \in \text{Supp}M$ and so we assume that $r = t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) < t_{\mathfrak{a}}(R/P, N)$. Note that, in view of (iii) $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^r(M, N)$ is in S . This contradiction completes the proof.

(v) We use induction on $l = \text{pd}(N)$. If $l = 0$, then there is nothing to prove. Now, assume that $l > 0$ and that the assertion holds for $l - 1$. We can construct exact sequence $0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow F \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ of finitely generated R -modules such that F is free and $\text{pd}(T) = l - 1$. By the induction hypothesis, $t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, T) \geq t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, R) - l + 1$. Let $i < t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, R) - l$. Then, it follows from the exact sequence $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, F) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{i+1}(M, T)$, that $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N)$ is in S , and the result follows. \square

Definition 2.5. An R -module N is said to be *Weakly Laskerian* if the set of associated primes of any quotient module of N is finite.

Remark 2.6. *If N is weakly Laskerian, then $\text{Ass}N$ is finite. This holds, by employing a method of proof which is similar to that used in [7, 2.1.1], N is \mathfrak{a} -torsion-free if and only if \mathfrak{a} contains a non-zero-divisor on N .*

Theorem 2.7. *Let S be a Serre subcategory of the category of R -modules. Let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal of R and M a finite R -module. Suppose that N a weakly Laskerian R -module of dimension n . If $t_{\mathfrak{a}}(N) > 0$, then the module $\text{Hom}(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)}(M, N))$ is in S . Furthermore, if L is a finite R -module such that $\text{Supp}L \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})$, where $V(\mathfrak{a})$ is the set of prime ideals of R containing \mathfrak{a} , then $\text{Hom}(L, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)}(M, N))$ is in S .*

Proof. Set $t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) = t$ and we use induction on $\dim(N) = n$. If $n = 0$, then $N = \Gamma_m(N)$ and hence $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) = \text{Ext}_R^i(M, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N))$ for all i . Therefore, since $t_{\mathfrak{a}}(N) > 0$, the R -module $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)$ is in S , it follows from Lemma 2.1, that $\text{Hom}(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N))$ is in S . So suppose that $n > 0$ and that the result has been proved for smaller values of n . Since, $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)) \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(M, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N))$ for all i , it follows from Lemma 2.1, that $\text{Hom}(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N))$ is in S if and only if $\text{Hom}(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)))$ is in S . Thus we may assume that $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N) = 0$. Then there exists $x \in \mathfrak{a}$ such that x is an N -sequence. The exact sequence $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow N \rightarrow N/xN \rightarrow 0$ implies the following long exact sequence of generalized local cohomology modules

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{t-1}(M, N) \xrightarrow{x} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{t-1}(M, N) \xrightarrow{\theta} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{t-1}(M, N/xN) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \\ H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N) \xrightarrow{x} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N) \xrightarrow{\psi} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N/xN). \end{aligned}$$

Using this exact sequence by the induction hypothesis and Lemmas 2.1, 2.3, it follows that the R -module $\text{Hom}(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{t-1}(M, N/xN))$ is in S . Note that, by Lemma 2.1, $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{a}, \text{im}\theta)$ is in S . Now, using the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(R/\mathfrak{a}, \text{im}\theta) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{t-1}(M, N/xN)) \\ \rightarrow \text{Hom}(R/\mathfrak{a}, \text{im}\varphi) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{a}, \text{im}\theta), \end{aligned}$$

we get $\text{Hom}(R/\mathfrak{a}, \text{im}\varphi) = \text{Hom}(R/\mathfrak{a}, (0 :_{H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N)} x)) = \text{Hom}(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N))$ is in S . The last part follows from Gruson's Theorem and the similar argument in Theorem 2.2. \square

Theorem 2.8. *Let S be a Serre subcategory of the category of R -modules. Let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal of R and N a weakly Laskerian R -module of finite krull dimension, such that $t_{\mathfrak{a}}(N) > 0$ and $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N)$ is in S for all $i < t$. Let X be a submodule of $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N)$ such that X is in S . Then $\text{Hom}(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N)/X)$ is in S .*

Proof. Let X be a submodule of $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N)$ such that X is in S . The short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N)/X \rightarrow 0$$

induces the following exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(R/\mathfrak{a}, X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N)) \rightarrow \\ \text{Hom}(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N)/X) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{a}, X). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{Hom}(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N))$ and $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{a}, X)$ are in S by Theorem 2.7 and Lemma 2.1, we have $\text{Hom}(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N)/X)$ is in S . \square

The previous theorem recovers the [4, 3, 6, 10].

Example 2.9. Let $r = f\text{-depth}(\mathfrak{a}, N) = 0$ and S be class of Artinian R -modules. Then $\text{Hom}(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^r(N))$ is not Artinian (cf. [11]). This example shows that if we delete the assumption $t_{\mathfrak{a}}(N) > 0$, then it may happen that $\text{Hom}(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N)/X)$ is not in S .

Remark 2.10. The following classes of modules are Serre subcategories and they are true in above theorems.

- (1) The class of zero modules and $t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) = \text{grade}(\mathfrak{a} + \text{Ann}(M), N)$.
- (2) The class of Artinian modules and $t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) = f\text{-depth}(\mathfrak{a} + \text{Ann}(M), N)$.
- (3) The class of Noetherian modules and $t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) = f_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)$, where $f_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)$, the \mathfrak{a} -finiteness dimension of a pair (M, N) of R -modules relative to the ideal \mathfrak{a} , is the least non-negative integer i such that $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N)$ is not finitely generated.
- (4) The class of R -modules with finite support and $t_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) = g\text{-depth}(\mathfrak{a} + \text{Ann}(M), N)$.

3. Study of $t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)$

Notation. The cohomological dimension $cd_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)$ of M and N with respect to \mathfrak{a} is defined as $cd_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) = \sup\{i \geq 0 \mid H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) \neq 0\}$. Note that if $pd_R(M)$ is finite, then, by easy induction, we can show that $cd_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) < \infty$.

Theorem 3.1. Let S be a Serre subcategory of the category of R -modules. Let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal of R , N a finite R -module and M a finite R -module with $pd(M) < \infty$, where we denote by $pd(T)$ the projective dimension over R of T for an R -module T . If L is a finite R -module with $\text{Supp}L \subseteq \text{Supp}N$, then $t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, L) \leq t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)$. In particular, if $\text{Supp}L = \text{Supp}N$, then $t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, L) = t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)$.

Proof. It is enough to show that $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, L)$ belongs to S for all finite R -module L with $\text{Supp}L \subseteq \text{Supp}N$ and for all $i > t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)$. Since $pd(M) < \infty$, so $cd_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, L)$ is finite, we have $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, L) = 0$ is in S for all $i > cd_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, L)$. We now argue by descending induction on i . Now, assume that $t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) < i$ and that the claim holds for $i + 1$. By Gruson's Theorem (see [12, 4.1]), there is a chain $0 = L_0 \subset L_1 \subset \cdots \subset L_l = L$ of submodules of L such that each of the factor L_i/L_{i-1} is a homomorphic image of a direct sum of finitely many copies of N . In view of the long exact sequence of generalized local cohomology modules that induced by short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow L_{i-1} \rightarrow L_i \rightarrow L_i/L_{i-1} \rightarrow 0$, for $i = 1, \dots, l$, it suffices to treat with only the case $l = 1$. So, we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^t N \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$,

where $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and K is a finitely generated R -module. This induces the long exact sequence

$$H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{i-1}(M, L) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, K) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, \bigoplus_{i=1}^t N) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, L) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{i+1}(M, K).$$

By the induction hypothesis, $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{i+1}(M, K)$ belongs to S . Also, $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, \bigoplus_{i=1}^t(N)) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^t H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N)$ belongs to S , because $i > t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)$. Therefore, by the above exact sequence $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, L)$ belongs to S . \square

Theorem 3.2. *Let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal of R and L, C and N finitely generated R -modules.*

- (i) *If $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow N \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence, then for any finitely generated R -module M , we have $t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) = \sup\{t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, C), t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, L)\}$.*
- (ii) *$t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, R) = \sup\{t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, C) \mid C \text{ is finitely generated over } R\}$.*
- (iii) *Let $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^r(M, R/P)$ be in S for all $P \in \text{Supp}N$. Then $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^r(M, N)$ is in S .*
- (iv) *If $pd_R(M) < \infty$, then $t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) = \sup\{t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, R/P) \mid P \in \text{Supp}N\}$.*
- (v) *If $l = pd(M) < \infty$, then $t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) - l \leq t^{\mathfrak{a}}(R, N) = t^{\mathfrak{a}}(N)$.*

Proof. (i) and (ii) are clear by definition.

(iii) There is a prime filtration $0 = N_0 \subseteq N_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq N_t = N$ of submodules of N , such that $N_i/N_{i-1} \cong R/P_i$ where $P_i \in \text{Supp}N$. We use induction on t . When $t = 1$, $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^r(M, R/P) = H_{\mathfrak{a}}^r(M, N)$ is in S , where we put $P = P_i$. Now suppose that $t > 1$ and that the result has been proved for $t - 1$. The exact sequence $0 \rightarrow N_{t-1} \rightarrow N_t \rightarrow N_t/N_{t-1} \rightarrow 0$ induces the long exact sequence

$$H_{\mathfrak{a}}^r(M, N_{t-1}) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^r(M, N_t) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^r(M, R/P_t).$$

It follows that $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^r(M, N_t)$ is in S . This completes the proof.

(iv) By using Theorem 3.1 $t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, R/P) \leq t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) = r$ for all $P \in \text{Supp}N$ and so we assume that $t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, R/P) < t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) = r$. By using (iii), $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^r(M, N)$ is in S . This contradiction completes the proof.

(v) We use induction on l . If $l = 0$, then there is nothing to prove. We can construct an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow F \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ of finitely generated R -modules such that F is free and $pd(M') = l - 1$. By the induction hypothesis, $t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M', N) \leq t^{\mathfrak{a}}(R, N) + l - 1$. Let $i > t^{\mathfrak{a}}(R, N) + l$. Then, it follows from the exact sequence

$$H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{i-1}(M', N) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(F, N) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M', N)$$

that $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N)$ is in S . Hence $t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) \leq t^{\mathfrak{a}}(R, N) + l = t^{\mathfrak{a}}(N) + l$. \square

Theorem 3.3. *Let S be a Serre subcategory of the category of R -modules. Let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal of R , N a finitely generated R -module and M an R -module, $t = t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)$. Assume that one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

- (i) $t_{\mathfrak{a}}(N) > 0$,
- (ii) $t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) > \text{pd}(M)$.

Then the $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N)/\mathfrak{a}H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N)$ belongs to S .

Proof. We prove by induction on $\dim N = n$. If $n = 0$, then N is \mathfrak{m} -torsion, and hence \mathfrak{a} -torsion module. Therefore $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)) = \text{Ext}_R^t(M, N)$ is in S by Lemma 2.1. Thus the claim holds for $n = 0$. Now, suppose, inductively, that $n > 0$ and the result has been proved for all finitely generated R -module of dimension smaller than n . Since $t_{\mathfrak{a}}(N) > 0$, view of the long exact sequence of generalized local cohomology modules that is induced by the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N) \rightarrow N \rightarrow N/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N) \rightarrow 0$, we may assume that $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N) = 0$. Then there exists $x \in \mathfrak{a}$ such that x is an N -sequence. The exact sequence $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow N \rightarrow N/xN \rightarrow 0$ implies the following long exact sequence of generalized local cohomology modules

$$H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{i-1}(M, N/xN) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N/xN).$$

It yields that $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N/xN)$ belongs to S for all $i > t$. By using Lemma 2.3, $t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N/xN) \leq t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)$. If $t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N/xN) < t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)$, then $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N/xN)$ belongs to S . If $t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N/xN) = t^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)$, thus $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N/xN)/\mathfrak{a}H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N/xN)$ belongs to S by induction hypothesis. Now, consider the exact sequence

$$H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N) \xrightarrow{x} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N) \xrightarrow{\theta} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N/xN) \xrightarrow{\varphi} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{t+1}(M, N),$$

which induces the following two exact sequences

$$H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N) \xrightarrow{x} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N) \rightarrow \text{im}\theta \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{im}\theta \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N/xN) \rightarrow \text{im}\varphi \rightarrow 0,$$

where we denote by $\text{im}\psi$ the image of a map ψ . Therefore, we can obtain the following two exact sequences:

$$H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N)/\mathfrak{a}H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N) \xrightarrow{x} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N)/\mathfrak{a}H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N) \rightarrow \text{im}\theta/\mathfrak{a}\text{im}\theta \rightarrow 0, \quad (**)$$

$$\text{Tor}_1^R(R/\mathfrak{a}, \text{im}\varphi) \rightarrow \text{im}\theta/\mathfrak{a}\text{im}\theta \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N/xN)/\mathfrak{a}H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N/xN) \rightarrow \text{im}\varphi/\mathfrak{a}\text{im}\varphi \rightarrow 0 \quad (*)$$

Since $x \in \mathfrak{a}$, from $(**)$ exact sequence, we deduce that, $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N)/\mathfrak{a}H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N) \cong \text{im}\theta/\mathfrak{a}\text{im}\theta$. Now, $\text{Tor}_1^R(R/\mathfrak{a}, \text{im}\varphi)$ and $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N/xN)/\mathfrak{a}H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N/xN)$ belong in

S by Lemma 2.1. The claim follows by (*). In addition, in view of (ii) the assertion follows by repeating the above argument. \square

The previous theorem recovers the [2, 3.1].

Example 3.4. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a commutative local ring $t = cd_{\mathfrak{m}}(N) = 0$, $N \neq 0$ and S be class of zero modules. Then $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^t(N)/\mathfrak{m}H_{\mathfrak{m}}^t(N) = N/\mathfrak{m}N \neq 0$. This example shows that if we delete the assumption $t^{\alpha}(M, N) > pd(M)$ and $t_{\alpha}(N) > 0$, then it may happen that $H_{\alpha}^t(M, N)/\mathfrak{a}H_{\alpha}^t(M, N)$ is not S .*

Acknowledgment. The author would like to thank the referees for their careful reading and many helpful suggestions on the paper.

References

- [1] M. Asgharzadeh and M. Tousi, *A unified approach to local cohomology modules using Serre classes*, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 53 (2010), 577-586.
- [2] M. Asgharzadeh, K. Divaani-Azar and M. Tousi, *The finiteness dimension of local cohomology modules and its dual notion*, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra.*, 213(3) (2009), 321-328.
- [3] J. Azami, R. Naghipour and B. Vakili, *Finiteness properties of local cohomology modules for α -minimax modules*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 137 (2009), 439-448.
- [4] K. Bahmanpour and R. Naghipour, *On the cofiniteness of local cohomology modules*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 136 (2008), 2359-2363.
- [5] M. H. Bijan-Zadeh, *A common generalization of local cohomology theories*, *Glasgow Math. J.*, 21(2) (1980), 173-181.
- [6] M. P. Brodmann and F. A. Lashgari, *A finiteness result for associated primes of local cohomology modules*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 128 (10) (2000), 2851-2853.
- [7] M. P. Brodmann and R. Y. Sharp, *Local Cohomology; An Algebraic Introduction with Geometric Applications*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [8] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay Ring*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 39, Cambridge, 1998.
- [9] J. Herzog, *Komplex Auflösungen and Dualität in der lokalen algebra*, Preprint, Universität Regensburg, 1974.
- [10] K. Lorestani, P. Sahandi and S. Yassemi, *Artinian Local cohomology modules*, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 50(4) (2007), 598-602.
- [11] R. Lü and Z. Tang, *The f -depth of an ideal on a module*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 130 (7) (2001), 1905-1912.

- [12] W. V. Vasconcelos, *Divisor Theory in Module Categories*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 14, Elsevier, 1974.

Fatemeh Deghani-Zadeh

Department of Mathematics

Islamic Azad University

Yazd Branch, Yazd, Iran

e-mails: f.deghanizadeh@yahoo.com

fdzadeh@gmail.com