# DIFFERENTIAL POLYNOMIALS OVER BAER RINGS

Ebrahim Hashemi

Received: 26 May 2008; Revised: 19 May 2009 Communicated by Syed M. Tariq Rizvi

ABSTRACT. Let R be a ring with unity and  $\delta$  a derivation on R. In this paper we extend a result of Armendariz on the Baer condition in a polynomial ring to a Baer condition in a nearring of differential polynomial. The nearring of differential has substitution for its "multiplication" operation.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 16Y30, 16S36

**Keywords**: annihilator conditions, nearrings, derivation polynomial rings, Baer rings, Armendariz rings

## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper all rings are associative and all nearrings are left nearrings. We use R and N to denote a ring and a nearring respectively. The study of Rickart rings has its roots in both functional analysis and homological algebra. In [18], Rickart studied C\*-algebra with the property that every right annihilator of any element is generated by a projection (an idempotent p is called a projection if  $p = p^*$ , where \* is an involution on the algebra). This condition is modified by Kaplansky [16] through introducing *Baer* rings ( a ring R is called Baer if the right annihilator of every nonempty subset of R is generated, as a right ideal, by an idempotent of R) to abstract various properties of AW\*-algebra and von Neumann algebra. See also Berberian [2] for more details.

A ring satisfying a generalization of *Rickart's condition* (i.e., every right annihilator of any element in R, as a right ideal, by an idempotent) has a homological characterization as a right *PP ring*, i.e., every principal right ideal is projective. Left PP rings are defined similarly. In [9] Clark defines a ring to be *quasi-Baer* if the left annihilator of every ideal is generated, as a left ideal, by an idempotent. Then he used the quasi-Baer concept to characterize when a finite-dimensional algebra with unity over an algebraically closed field is isomorphic to a twisted matrix units semigroup algebra. Every prime ring is a quasi-Baer ring. It is natural to ask if some of these properties can extended from a ring R to the polynomial ring

This research is supported by the Shahrood University of Technology at Iran.

(R[x], +, .) and vice versa. Armendariz [1] and Birkenmeier et al. [5] obtained the following results:

**Theorem B** [1]. Let R be a reduced ring (i.e. R has no nonzero nilpotent element). Then R is a Baer (resp. PP) ring if and only if (R[x], +, .) is a Baer (resp. PP) ring.

**Theorem** [5]. R is quasi-Baer if and only if R[x] is quasi-Baer.

Armendariz provided an example to show that the reduced condition is not superfluous. A generalization of Armendariz's result for several types of polynomial extensions over Baer and quasi-Baer rings, are obtained by various authors [5,6,7, 12,14,15]. In [15] Hong et al. studied Ore extension of Baer and quasi-Baer rings.

Three commonly used operations for polynomials are addition "+", multiplication "." and substitution " $\circ$ " [8,10,11,17], respectively. Observe that (R[x], +, .) is a ring and  $(R[x], +, \circ)$  is a left nearring where the substitution indicates substitution of f(x) into g(x), explicitly  $f(x) \circ g(x) = ((x)f)g$  for each  $f(x), g(x) \in R[x]$ . It is natural to investigate the nearring of polynomials R[x] and the zero-symmetric nearring of polynomials  $R_0[x]$ . Birkenmeier and Huang in [3,4], have defined the *Baer-type annihilator conditions* in the class of nearrings as follows (for a nonempty  $S \subseteq N$ , let  $r_N(S) = \{a \in N | Sa = 0\}$  and  $\ell_N(S) = \{a \in N | aS = 0\}$ ):

- (1)  $N \in \mathcal{B}_{r1}$  if  $r_N(S) = eN$  for some idempotent  $e \in N$ ;
- (2)  $N \in \mathcal{B}_{r2}$  if  $r_N(S) = r_N(e)$  for some idempotent  $e \in N$ ;
- (3)  $N \in \mathcal{B}_{\ell 1}$  if  $\ell_N(S) = Ne$  for some idempotent  $e \in N$ ;
- (4)  $N \in \mathcal{B}_{\ell 2}$  if  $\ell_N(S) = \ell_N(e)$  for some idempotent  $e \in N$ .

When S is a singleton, the Rickart-type annihilator conditions on nearrings are also defined similarly except replacing  $\mathcal{B}$  by  $\mathcal{R}$ . If the subset S considered in the above definition is replaced with an ideal, we obtain the quasi-Baer annihilator conditions in the class of nearrings, denoted by " $q\mathcal{B}$ " in the above notations. In particular they studied Baer-type conditions on the nearring of polynomials R[x](with the operations of addition and substitution) and formal power series by obtaining the following results: Let R be a reduced ring. (1) If R is Baer, then  $R_0[x]$ (resp.  $R_0[[x]]$ ) satisfies all the Baer-type annihilator conditions. (2) If  $R_0[x]$  (resp.  $R_0[[x]]$ ) satisfies any one of the Baer-type annihilator conditions, then R is Baer.

Let  $\delta$  be a derivation of R, that is,  $\delta$  is an additive map such that  $\delta(ab) = \delta(a)b + a\delta(b)$ , for all  $a, b \in R$ . Since  $R[x; \delta]$  is an abelian nearring under addition and substitution, it is natural to investigate the nearring of differential polynomials  $(R[x; \delta], +, \circ)$  when R is Baer. We use  $R[x; \delta]$  to denote the left nearring of differential polynomials  $(R[x; \delta], +, \circ)$  with coefficients from R and  $R_0[x; \delta] =$   $\{f \in R[x;\delta] \mid f \text{ has zero constant term}\}$  the 0-symmetric subnearring of  $R[x;\delta]$ . Let  $(x)f = a_0 + a_1x$  and  $(x)g = b_0 + b_1x + b_2x^2 \in R[x;\delta]$ . Through a simple calculation, we have  $(x)f \circ (x)g = ((x)f)g = b_0 + b_1((x)f) + b_2((x)f)^2 = (b_0 + b_1a_0 + b_2a_0^2 + b_2a_1\delta(a_0)) + (b_1a_1 + b_2a_0a_1 + b_2a_1a_0 + b_2a_1\delta(a_1))x + b_2a_1^2x^2$ .

## 2. Main Results

A nearring N is said to have the *insertion of factors property* (or simply IFP) if for all  $a, b, n \in N$ , ab = 0 implies anb = 0. Clearly each reduced nearring has the IFP.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let R be a reduced ring and  $a, b \in R$ . Then we have the following:

- (1) If ab = 0, then  $a\delta^m(b) = 0 = \delta^m(a)b$  for any positive integers m.
- (2) If  $e^2 = e \in R$ , then  $\delta(e) = 0$ .

**Proof.** (1) It is enough to show that  $a\delta(b) = \delta(a)b = 0$ . If ab = 0, then  $\delta(ab) = \delta(a)b + a\delta(b) = 0$ . Hence  $a\delta(a)b + a^2\delta(b) = 0$ , and that  $a\delta(b) = 0$ , since R is reduced and ab = 0.

(2) If  $e^2 = e$ , then  $\delta(e) = \delta(e)e + e\delta(e)$ . Since R is reduced, so e belong to the center of R. Hence  $2e\delta(e) = e\delta(e)$ , and that  $e\delta(e) = 0$ . Thus  $\delta(e) = 0$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $\delta$  be a derivation of a ring R and  $R[x; \delta]$  the nearring of differential polynomials over R. Let R be a reduced ring. Then:

- (1) If  $(x)E \in R[x;\delta]$  is an idempotent, then  $(x)E = e_1x + e_0$ , where  $e_1$  is an idempotent in R with  $e_1e_0 = 0$ .
- (2)  $R[x;\delta]$  is reduced.

**Proof.** (1) Let  $(x)E = e_0 + \cdots + e_n x^n$  be an idempotent of  $R[x; \delta]$ . Since  $(x)E \circ (x)E = (x)E$ , we have  $e_n^{n+1} = 0$ , if  $n \ge 2$ . Thus  $e_n = 0$ , since R is reduced. Therefore  $(x)E = e_0 + e_1x$ . Clearly  $e_1$  is an idempotent of R and  $e_1e_0 = 0$ .

(2) Let  $(x)f = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n \in R[x;\delta]$  such that  $(x)f \circ (x)f = 0$ . Then  $a_n^{n+1} = 0$ . Hence  $a_n = 0$ , since R is reduced. By using induction on n, we have  $a_i = 0$  for each  $0 \le i \le n$ . Therefore (x)f = 0 and  $R[x;\delta]$  is reduced.

The following example ([3], Example 3.5), shows that there exists a finite reduced commutative Baer ring R such that  $R[x] \notin \mathcal{B}_{r2}$ .

**Example 2.3.** Let  $R = Z_6$  and  $S = \{2x + 2, 2x + 5\}$ . From Lemma 2.2, all idempotents in  $Z_6[x]$  are  $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, x, 3x, 3x + 2, 3x + 4, 4x, 4x + 3\}$ . Note that  $x - c \in r(c)$  and  $x - c \notin r(S)$  for all constant idempotents  $c \in Z_6[x]$ . Also the possible idempotents  $(x)E \in Z_6[x]$  such that r(S) = r((x)E) are either 4x or 4x + 3.

Observe that  $3x \in r(4x)$  but  $3x \notin r(S)$ , and also  $3x^3 + 3 \in r(4x + 3)$  but  $3x^3 + 3 \notin r(S)$ . Therefore, there is no idempotent  $(x)E \in Z_6[x]$  such that r(S) = r((x)E). Consequently,  $Z_6[x] \notin \mathcal{B}_{r2}$ .

If 
$$(x)f = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i x^i \in R[x; \delta]$$
, let  $S_f^* = \{a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n\}$ 

**Proposition 2.4.** Let R be a reduced ring. Then:

- (1)  $R \in \mathcal{B}_{r1}$  if and only if  $R_0[x; \delta] \in \mathcal{B}_{\ell 1}$ .
- (2)  $R \in \mathcal{B}_{r2}$  if and only if  $R_0[x; \delta] \in \mathcal{B}_{\ell 2}$ .

**Proof.** (1) Assume  $R \in \mathcal{B}_{r1}$ . Let S be a nonempty subset of  $R_0[x;\delta]$ . Then  $T = \bigcup_{f \in S} S_f^*$  is a nonempty subset of R. Hence  $r_R(T) = eR$  for some idempotent  $e \in R$ , since  $R \in \mathcal{B}_{r1}$ . We show that  $\ell(S) = R_0[x;\delta] \circ (ex) = e \cdot R_0[x;\delta]$ . Let  $(x)f = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i x^i \in S$ . Since  $\delta(e) = 0$ , we have  $(ex) \circ (x)f = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i(ex)^i = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i ex^i = 0$ . Thus  $ex \in \ell(S)$  and hence  $e \cdot R_0[x;\delta] \subseteq \ell(S)$ . Now, let  $(x)h = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k x^k \in \ell(S)$  and  $(x)f = a_1x \cdots + a_m x^m \in S$ . Then  $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i((x)h)^i = 0$  and that  $a_m(c_n)^m = 0$ . Hence  $a_mc_n = c_na_m = 0$ , since R is reduced. Thus  $\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a_ic_n((x)h)^i = 0$  and that  $(x)h \circ (c_na_1x + \cdots + c_na_{m-1}x^{m-1}) = 0$ . By using induction on m + n, we have  $a_ic_j = 0$  for  $1 \le i \le m - 1$  and  $1 \le j \le n$ . Therefore  $c_k = ec_k$  for all  $1 \le k \le n$ . Hence  $(x)h = e\sum_{k=1}^n c_k x^k \in eR_0[x;\delta]$  and so  $\ell(S) = R_0[x;\delta] \circ (ex)$ . Thus  $R_0[x;\delta] \in \mathcal{B}_{\ell 1}$ .

Now, assume  $R_0[x;\delta] \in \mathcal{B}_{\ell 1}$ . Let S be a nonempty subset of R, and define  $S_x = \{sx | s \in S\}$  a subset of  $R_0[x;\delta]$ . Then  $\ell(S_x) = R_0[x;\delta] \circ (ex)$  for some idempotent  $e \in R$ , by Lemma 2.2. For each  $sx \in S_x$ ,  $0 = (ex) \circ (sx) = sex$ . Therefore  $e \in r_R(S)$ . Now, let  $a \in r_R(S)$ . Then  $(ax) \circ (sx) = sax = 0$  for each  $sx \in S_x$ . Thus  $ax \in \ell(S_x) = R_0[x;\delta] \circ (ex) = e \cdot R_0[x;\delta]$ . Hence  $a = ea \in eR$ . Thus  $r_R(S) = eR$ . Therefore  $R \in \mathcal{B}_{r1}$ .

(2) Assume  $R \in \mathcal{B}_{r2}$ . Let S be a nonempty subset of  $R_0[x; \delta]$ . By a similar construction to that used in (1), we have  $r_R(T) = r_R(e)$  for some idempotent  $e \in R$ . We claim  $\ell(S) = \ell(ex)$ . Let  $(x)g = \sum_{j=1}^n b_j x^j \in \ell(ex)$ . Then  $0 = (x)g \circ ex = e \cdot (x)g$ . Hence  $eb_j = 0$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Consequently,  $b_j \in r_R(e) = r_R(T)$ , for all  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Let  $(x)f = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i x^i \in S$ . Then  $(x)g \circ (x)f = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i (\sum_{j=1}^n b_j x^j)^i = 0$ . Therefore  $\ell(ex) \subseteq \ell(S)$ . Now, let  $(x)g = \sum_{j=1}^n b_j x^j \in \ell(S)$ . Then by a similar way as used in (1),  $b_j \in r_R(T) = r_R(e)$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Thus  $(x)g \circ (ex) = e \cdot (x)g = 0$ . Therefore  $\ell(S) = \ell(ex)$  and so  $R_0[x; \delta] \in \mathcal{B}_{\ell 2}$ .

Assume  $R_0[x; \delta] \in \mathcal{B}_{\ell 2}$ . Let S be a nonempty subset of R and let  $S_x = \{sx | s \in S\}$ . Then  $\ell(S_x) = \ell((x)E)$  for some idempotent  $(x)E = ex \in R_0[x; \delta]$ , by Lemma 2.2. We show that  $r_R(S) = r_R(e)$ . Let  $a \in r_R(S)$ . Then  $ax \circ sx = sax = 0$  for all  $sx \in S_x$ . Hence  $ax \in \ell(S_x) = \ell((x)E)$ . Thus  $ax \circ ex = eax = 0$  and that  $a \in r_R(e)$ . Therefore  $r_R(S) \subseteq r_R(e)$ . Now, let  $b \in r_R(e)$ . Then  $bx \circ ex = ebx = 0$  and that  $bx \in \ell(S_x)$ . Thus  $bx \circ sx = sbx = 0$  for all  $s \in S$ . Hence  $b \in r_R(S)$ . Therefore  $R \in \mathcal{B}_{r^2}$ .

**Corollary 2.5.** Let R be a reduced ring. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) R is Baer;
- (2)  $(R[x;\delta],+,\cdot)$  is Baer;
- (3)  $(R_0[x;\delta],+,\circ) \in \mathcal{B}_{\ell 1} \cup \mathcal{B}_{\ell 2}.$

**Proof.** (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2) follows from [9], and (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3) follows from Proposition 2.4.  $\Box$ 

**Example 2.6.** One can show that the following nearrings satisfy all the Baertype annihilator conditions discussed in this paper when R is reduced Baer ring: (i)  $\{ax \mid a \in R\}$ ; (ii)  $\{(x)f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{2i-1}x^{2i-1} \in R_0[x] \mid a_{2i-1} \in R, n \in N\}$ ; (iii)  $E_0[x; \delta]$ , where E is a subring containing all idempotents of R.

An ideal I of a ring R is called  $\delta$ -ideal whenever  $\delta(I) \subseteq I$ .

**Theorem 2.7.** Let R be a reduced ring. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) R is quasi-Baer;
- (2)  $R[x;\delta] \in q\mathcal{B}_{r2};$
- (3)  $(R[x; \delta], +, .)$  is quasi-Baer;
- (4)  $R_0[x;\delta] \in q\mathcal{B}_{r1}$ .

**Proof.** (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Let J be an ideal of  $R[x; \delta]$  and  $B = r_{R[x;\delta]}(J)$ . Let  $J^1$  and  $B^1$  denote the set of all coefficients of elements of J and B respectively. Let  $J^{1(\delta)}$  and  $B^{1(\delta)}$  be the  $\delta$ -ideals of R generated by  $J^1$  and  $B^1$  respectively. Hence  $r_R(J^{1(\delta)}) = r_R(J^1)$ , by Lemma 2.1. We claim that  $r_R(J^{1(\delta)}) = B^{1(\delta)}$  and  $r_S(J) = B_0^{1(\delta)}[x; \delta]$ . Since  $0 \in J$ , we have  $B \subseteq R_0[x; \delta]$ . Let  $\sum_{i=1}^n b_i x^i \in B$  and  $(x)g = \sum_{j=0}^m g_j x^j \in J$ . Then  $(\sum_{j=0}^m g_j x^j) \circ (\sum_{i=1}^n b_i x^i) = 0$  and that  $b_i g_j = g_j b_i = 0$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m$ , since R is reduced. Hence  $((x)g + b_i x^{2m+1}) \circ x^2 - b_i x^{2m+1} \circ x^2 = g_0^2 + \dots + g_0 b_i x^{2m+1} \in J$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Therefore  $b_i g_0 b_i = 0$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , since R is reduced. Hence gb = bg = 0 for each  $g \in J^1$  and  $b \in B^1$ . Consequently  $g\delta^j(b) = b\delta^j(g) = 0$  for each nonnegative integers j and  $b \in B^1$ ,  $g \in J^1$ , by Lemma 2.1. Therefore  $B^{1(\delta)} \subseteq r_R(J^1) = r_R(J^{1(\delta)})$  and  $B_0^{1(\delta)}[x; \delta] \subseteq r_S(J)$ . But  $r_S(J) = B \subseteq B_0^{1(\delta)}[x; \delta]$ , so  $r_S(J) = B_0^{1(\delta)}[x; \delta]$ . Let  $t \in r_R(J^{1(\delta)})$ . Then  $tJ^1 = J^1t = 0$  and that  $\sum_{j=0}^m g_j x^j \circ tx = 0$  for each  $\sum_{j=0}^m g_j x^j \in J$ . Hence  $tx \in B$  and that  $t \in B^1$ . Therefore  $r_R(J^{1(\delta)}) = B^{1(\delta)}$ . Since R is quasi-Baer and every idempotent of R is central, there exists an idempotent  $e \in R$  such that  $r_R(J^{1(\delta)}) = eR = Re$ . Then

 $r_{R[x;\delta]}(J) = eR_0[x;\delta] = ex \circ R_0[x;\delta] = r_{R[x;\delta]}((1-e)x))$ , since  $\delta(e) = 0$ . Therefore  $R[x;\delta] \in q\mathcal{B}_{r2}$ .

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$  Let I be an ideal of R. Assume  $I^{(\delta)}$  be the  $\delta$ -ideal of R generated by I. Then  $I^{(\delta)}[x;\delta]$  is a left nearring of differential polynomials with coefficients from  $I^{(\delta)}$ . We first show that  $I^{(\delta)}[x;\delta]$  is an ideal of  $R[x;\delta]$ . Let  $(x)a = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i x^i \in I^{(\delta)}[x;\delta]$  and  $(x)f, (x)g = \sum_{j=0}^{m} g_j x^j \in R[x;\delta]$ . Observe that  $(x)f \circ (x)a = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i((x)f)^i \in I^{(\delta)}[x;\delta]$  and  $((x)a + (x)f) \circ (x)g - (x)f \circ (x)g = \sum_{j=1}^{m} g_j((x)a + (x)f)^j - \sum_{j=1}^{m} g_j((x)f)^j = \sum_{j=1}^{m} g_j[((x)a + (x)f)^j - ((x)f)^j] \in I^{(\delta)}[x;\delta]$ , since the coefficients of  $[((x)a + (x)f)^j - ((x)f)^j]$  and  $a_j((x)f)^j$  belong to  $I^{(\delta)}$  for each j. Therefore  $I^{(\delta)}[x;\delta]$  is an ideal of  $R[x;\delta]$ . Since  $R[x;\delta] \in q\mathcal{B}_{r2}$ , there exists an idempotent  $(x)E = e_1x + e_0 \in R[x;\delta]$ , where  $e_1$  is an idempotent in R with  $e_1e_0 = 0$ , such that  $r_{R[x;\delta]}(I^{(\delta)}[x;\delta]) = r_{R[x;\delta]}((x)E)$ . Since  $-e_0+(1-e_1)x \in r_{R[x;\delta]}((x)E)$ , we have  $e_0 = 0$ . On the other hand  $r_{R[x;\delta]}(e_1x) = (1-e_1)x \circ R_0[x;\delta] = (1-e_0)R_0[x;\delta]$ . One can show that  $r_R(I) = (1-e_1)R$ . Therefore R is quasi-Baer.

The equivalence of (1) and (3) follows from Hong et al. [15].

 $(4) \Rightarrow (1)$  Let I be an ideal of R. Assume that  $I^{(\delta)}$  be the  $\delta$ -ideal of R generated by I. Hence  $I_0^{(\delta)}[x; \delta]$ , the 0-symmetric left nearring of differential polynomials with coefficients from  $I^{(\delta)}$ , is an ideal of  $R_0[x; \delta]$ . Since  $R_0[x; \delta] \in q\mathcal{B}_{r1}$ , there exists an idempotent  $(x)\varepsilon \in R_0[x; \delta]$  such that  $r_{R_0[x;\delta]}(I_0^{(\delta)}[x; \delta]) = (x)\varepsilon \circ R_0[x; \delta]$ . By Lemma 2.2,  $(x)\varepsilon = ex$  for some idempotent  $e \in R$ . Hence  $r_{R_0[x;\delta]}(I_0^{(\delta)}[x; \delta]) =$  $(x)\varepsilon \circ R_0[x; \delta] = eR_0[x; \delta]$ , since  $\delta(e) = 0$  and e is a central idempotent of R. Since  $I \subseteq I^{(\delta)}$ , hence  $reax = ax \circ (ex \circ rx) = 0$  for each  $a \in I$  and  $r \in R$ . Consequently eRI = IeR = 0, since R is reduced and e is a central idempotent of R. Hence  $eR \subseteq r_R(I)$ . Now, let  $t \in r_R(I)$ . Then It = tI = 0 an that  $tI^{(\delta)} = 0$ , by Lemma 2.1. Hence  $I_0^{(\delta)}[x; \delta] \circ tx = 0$ . Thus  $tx \in r_{S_0}(I_0^{(\delta)}[x; \delta]) = ex \circ R_0[x; \delta]$ . Therefore  $tx = ex \circ tx = tex$  and that  $t = et \in eR$ . Consequently  $r_R(I) = eR$ . Therefore Ris a quasi-Baer ring.

 $(1)\Rightarrow(4)$  Assume that R is a quasi-Baer ring. Let J be an ideal of  $R_0[x;\delta]$ . Assume that  $J^{1(\delta)}$  be the  $\delta$ -ideal of R generated by the set of all coefficients of elements of J. Then  $J_0^{1(\delta)}[x;\delta]$ , the 0-symmetric left nearring of differential polynomials with coefficients from  $J^{1(\delta)}$ , is an ideal of  $R_0[x;\delta]$ . By using Lemma 2.1 one can show that  $r_{R_0[x;\delta]}(J) = r_{R_0[x;\delta]}(J_0^{1(\delta)}[x;\delta])$ . Since R is quasi-Baer, hence  $\ell_R(J^{1(\delta)}) = r_R(J^{1(\delta)}) = eR$  for some idempotent  $e \in R$ . We show that  $r_{R_0[x;\delta]}(J) = ex \circ R_0[x;\delta]$ . Since  $e \in r_R(J^{1(\delta)})$ , we have  $ex \circ R_0[x;\delta] \subseteq r_{R_0[x;\delta]}(J)$ . Now, let  $(x)g = g_1x + \cdots + g_mx^m \in r_{R_0[x;\delta]}(J) = r_{R_0[x;\delta]}(J_0^{1(\delta)}[x;\delta])$ . Then  $J^{1(\delta)}g_i = g_iJ^{1(\delta)} = 0$  for each  $i = 1, \cdots, m$ , since R is reduced. Therefore  $g_i \in r_R(J^{1(\delta)}) = eR$  and that  $g_i = eg_i = g_i e$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . Hence  $(x)g = ex \circ (x)g$ , since  $\delta(e) = 0$ . Consequently  $r_{R_0[x;\delta]}(J) = r_{R_0[x;\delta]}(J_0^{1(\delta)}[x;\delta]) = ex \circ R_0[x;\delta]$ , which implies  $R_0[x;\delta] \in q\mathcal{B}_{r1}$ .

**Corollary 2.8.** Let R be a reduced ring. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) R is quasi-Baer;
- (2)  $R[x] \in q\mathcal{B}_{r2};$
- (3) (R[x], +, .) is quasi-Baer;
- (4)  $R_0[x] \in q\mathcal{B}_{r1}$ .

**Acknowledgment.** The author would like to thank the referee for the valuable suggestions and comments.

#### References

- E.P. Armendariz, A note on extensions of Baer and p.p.-rings, J. Austral. Math. Soc. 18 (1974), 470-473.
- [2] S.K. Berberian, Baer \*-rings, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1968.
- [3] G.F. Birkenmeier and F.K. Huang, Annihilator conditions on polynomials, Comm. Algebra 29(5) (2001), 2097-2112.
- [4] G.F. Birkenmeier and F.K. Huang, Annihilator conditions on formal power series, Algebra Colloq. 9(1) (2002), 29-37.
- [5] G.F. Birkenmeier, J. Y. Kim, J. Y. Park, Polynomial extensions of Baer and quasi-Baer rings, J. Pure and Appl. Algebra 159 (2001), 25-42.
- [6] G.F. Birkenmeier, J. Y. Kim, J. Y. Park, On quasi-Baer rings, Contemporery Mathematics, 259 (2000), 67-92.
- [7] G.F. Birkenmeier, H. E. Heatherly, J. Y. Kim, J. Y. Park, *Triangular matrix representations*, J. Algebra, 230 (2000), 558-595.
- [8] R. Camina, Subgroups of the Nottingham group, J. Algebra 196 (1997), 101-113.
- [9] W.E. Clark, Twisted matrix units semigroup algebras, Duke Math. J. 34 (1967), 417-424.
- [10] S.A. Jennings, Substitution group of formal power series, Canad. J. Math. 6 (1954), 325-340.
- [11] D.L. Johnson, The group of formal power series under substitution, J. Austral. Math. Soc. 45 (1988), 296-302.
- [12] E. Hashemi and A. Moussavi, Polynomial extensions of quasi-Baer rings, Acta Math. Hungar. 107(3) (2005), 207-224.

- [13] E. Hashemi and A. Moussavi, Skew power series extensions of  $\alpha$ -rigid p.p.rings, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 41(4) (2004), 657-665.
- [14] Y. Hirano, On annihilator ideals of a polynomial ring over a noncommutative rings, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 168 (2002), 45-52.
- [15] C.Y. Hong, Nam Kyun Kim, Tai Keun Kwak, Ore extensions of Baer and p.p.-rings, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 151 (2000), 215-226.
- [16] I. Kaplansky, Rings of Operators, Benjamin, New York, 1965.
- [17] H. Lausch, W. Nobaure, Algebra of polynomials, Amsterdam: North Holland, (1973).
- [18] C.E. Rickart, Banach algebras with an adjoint operation, Ann. Math. 47 (1946), 656-658.

#### Ebrahim Hashemi

Department of Mathematics Shahrood University of Technology Shahrood, Iran P.O.Box: 316-3619995161 e-mail: eb\_hashemi@yahoo.com

eb\_hashemi@shahroodut.ac.ir