



SAKARYA ÜNİVERSİTESİ

FEN BİLİMLERİ ENSTİTÜSÜ DERGİSİ

Sakarya University Journal of Science
SAUJS

ISSN 1301-4048 e-ISSN 2147-835X Period Bimonthly Founded 1997 Publisher Sakarya University
<http://www.saujs.sakarya.edu.tr/>

Title: A Note On E-Injective Modules

Authors: Abuzer GÜNDÜZ, Osama NAJİ

Received: 2022-03-28 00:00:00

Accepted: 2022-10-22 00:00:00

Article Type: Research Article

Volume: 26

Issue: 6

Month: December

Year: 2022

Pages: 1262-1266

How to cite

Abuzer GÜNDÜZ, Osama NAJİ; (2022), A Note On E-Injective Modules. Sakarya University Journal of Science, 26(6), 1262-1266, DOI:

10.16984/saufenbilder.1094262

Access link

<https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/saufenbilder/issue/74051/1094262>

New submission to SAUJS

<http://dergipark.gov.tr/journal/1115/submission/start>

A Note On E-Injective Modules

Abuzer GÜNDÜZ^{*1} , Osama NAJİ¹ 

Abstract

Let R be a commutative ring with identity, M an R -module and E a torsion-free R -module. A submodule N of M is said to be essential (large) in M if the intersection of N with each nonzero submodule of M is nonzero, that is, $N \cap Rm \neq 0$ for any nonzero element $m \in M$ and we write $N \leq_e M$. It is clear that the class of e -exact sequences is larger than the class of exact sequences. In this study we present the concept of e -injective modules as a generalization of injective modules. The main goal is to give a characterization of e -injective modules in terms of contravariant functor $Hom(-, E)$.

Keywords: E -injective modules, e -exact sequences, contravariant functor

1. INTRODUCTION

Let R be a commutative ring with identity and M an R -module. A submodule N of M is said to be essential (large) in M if the intersection of N with each nonzero submodule of M is nonzero, that is, $N \cap Rm \neq 0$ for any nonzero element $m \in M$ and we write $N \leq_e M$. A sequence of R -modules and R -module homomorphisms f_i

$$\dots \rightarrow M_{i-1} \xrightarrow{f_{i-1}} M_i \xrightarrow{f_i} M_{i+1} \xrightarrow{f_{i+1}} \dots$$

is called *exact* at M_i if $Im(f_{i-1}) = Ker(f_i)$. Akray and Zebari in [1] introduced the e -exact sequences as a generalization of exact sequences. The above sequence is called e -exact at M_i if $Im(f_{i-1}) \leq_e Ker(f_i)$ and it is called e -

exact if it is e -exact at each M_i . Expectedly, they defined the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} A_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} A_3 \rightarrow 0$$

to be short e -exact if $Ker(f_1) = 0$, $Im(f_1) \leq_e Ker(f_2)$ and $Im(f_2) \leq_e A_3$, where $f_i: A_i \rightarrow A_{i+1}$ is an R -module homomorphism for $i = 1, 2$. Recall from [1] that an R -morphism $f: A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ is called *epic* if $Im(f_1) \leq_e A_2$ and *essential monic* if $Ker f_1 = 0$. It is clear that the class of e -exact sequences is larger than the class of exact sequences. For example consider the short e -exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow 8\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{f_1} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{f_2} \mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

* Corresponding author: abuzergunduz@sakarya.edu.tr

¹ Sakarya University

E-mail: onaji14@gmail.com

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3351-2443>, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6498-7620>



where $f_1(8n) = 4n$ and $f_2(n) = 2n + 8\mathbb{Z}$. Since f_1 and f_2 are epic, the sequence is *e* – *exact*. Note that f_2 is not an *epimorphism*, so the sequence is not *exact*.

In the sake of completeness, we recall from [2] some basic definitions. An element m of M is said to be torsion of M if there exists a regular element $r \in R$ such that $rm = 0$. The set of all torsion elements $T(M)$ is a submodule of M . Also, an R -module M is called *torsion* if $T(M) = M$, and called *torsion – free* when $T(M) = \{0\}$.

Let E be an R – module. E is said to be injective module if the following condition is satisfied: For any monic map $f_1: A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ and any map $f_2: A_1 \rightarrow E$, there exist $f_3: A_2 \rightarrow E$ such that $f_3f_1 = f_2$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & A_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & A_2 \\
 & & \downarrow f_2 & \nearrow f_3 & \\
 & & E & &
 \end{array}$$

Moreover, if E is injective module, then the contravariant functor $\text{Hom}(-, E)$ is an exact sequence [3].

A group D is called *divisible* if for every positive integer n and every $d \in D$, there exists $0 \neq x \in D$ such that $nx = d$. It is known that a group D is *divisible* if and only if it is *injective* [3].

Throughout this note, all modules are assumed to be torsion-free. In section 2, we introduce the definition of *e* – *injective* E . It is shown that a module E is *e* – *injective* if and only if the contravariant functor $\text{Hom}(-, E)$ is an *e* – *exact* sequence.

2. CHARACTERIZATION OF E-INJECTIVE MODULE

In this part, we investigate some results about *e* – *injective* modules such as when the contravariant functor $\text{Hom}(-, M)$ is an *e* – *exact* sequence, $E = \prod_{i \in \Delta} E_i$ is *e* –

injective for each E_i be an R_i -module for every $i \in \Delta$ and short *e* – *exact* sequence is *e* – *split*.

The following theorem shows that the contravariant functor $\text{Hom}(-, M)$ is a left *e* – *exact* functor when M is a *torsion – free* R -module.

Theorem 1 [1] Suppose that the following sequence of R -modules and R -morphism

$$M_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} M_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} M_3 \rightarrow 0$$

is *e* – *exact*. Then for all *torsion – free* R -module M , the sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 0 & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}(M_3, M) \xrightarrow{f_2^*} \text{Hom}(M_2, M) \\
 & & \downarrow f_1^* \\
 & & \text{Hom}(M_1, M)
 \end{array}$$

is *e* – *exact*. The converse is true if $M_3/\text{Im}(f_2)$ and $M_2/\text{Im}(f_1)$ are *torsion – free* R -modules.

Definition 1 Let R be a ring and E an R – module. E is said to be *e* – *injective* if the following condition is satisfied: For any monic map $f_1: A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ and any map $f_2: A_1 \rightarrow E$, there exist $0 \neq r \in R$ and $f_3: A_2 \rightarrow E$ such that $f_3f_1 = r \cdot f_2$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & A_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & A_2 \\
 & & \downarrow f_2 & \nearrow f_3 & \\
 & & E & &
 \end{array}$$

Theorem 2 Let R be a ring and E an R -module. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) E is an *e* – *injective* R -module.
- (ii) $\text{Hom}(-, E)$ is an *e* – *exact* sequence.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Suppose that E is an *e* – *injective* R -module. Then by Theorem 1, $\text{Hom}(-, E)$ is left *e* – *exact* functor. It

remains to show that $\text{Hom}(-, E)$ is right e -exact functor. Assume that

$$0 \rightarrow A_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} A_2$$

is an e -exact sequence and we want to show that

$$\text{Hom}(A_2, E) \xrightarrow{f_1^*} \text{Hom}(A_1, E) \rightarrow 0$$

is e -exact. Since the contravariant functor $\text{Hom}(-, E)$ is left e -exact, it is enough to prove that $\text{Im}(f_1^*) \leq_e \text{Hom}(A_1, E)$. Since we have that f_1 is monic and let pick $f_2 \in \text{Hom}(A_1, E)$, by the definition of e -injective, we have $f_3 f_1 = r \cdot f_2$ for some $0 \neq r \in R$ and $f_3: A_2 \rightarrow E$. This implies that $f_1^*(f_3) = r \cdot f_2$. Thus, $\text{Im}(f_1^*) \cap Rf_2 \neq 0$ and we obtain that $\text{Im}(f_1^*) \leq_e \text{Hom}(A_1, E)$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Assume that $\text{Hom}(-, E)$ is an e -exact functor. Let $f_1: A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ be a monic map and $f_2: A_1 \rightarrow E$ any map. Since the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} A_2$$

is e -exact, then by assumption, the sequence

$$\text{Hom}(A_2, E) \xrightarrow{f_1^*} \text{Hom}(A_1, E) \rightarrow 0$$

is also e -exact. Then we have $\text{Im}(f_1^*) \leq_e \text{Hom}(A_1, E)$. As $f_2 \in \text{Hom}(A_1, E)$, there exist $0 \neq r \in R$ and $f_3 \in \text{Hom}(A_2, E)$ such that $f_1^*(f_3) = r \cdot f_2$. This implies that $f_3 f_1 = r f_2$. Hence E is e -injective.

Theorem 3 Let E_i be an R_i -module for each $i \in \Delta$, where Δ is an index set. Assume that $R = \prod_{i \in \Delta} R_i$ and $E = \prod_{i \in \Delta} E_i$. Then the following statements hold:

(i) If E is an e -injective R -module, then E_i is an e -injective R_i -module for some $i \in \Delta$.

(ii) If E_i is an e -injective R_i -module for each $i \in \Delta$, then E is an e -injective R -module.

Proof. (i): Suppose that $f_1: A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ is a monic map and $f_2: A_1 \rightarrow E_i$. Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & A_2 \\ & & \downarrow f_2 & \nearrow f_3 & \\ & & E_i & & \\ & & \downarrow p_i & \nearrow f_4 & \\ & & E & & \end{array}$$

where $i_i: E_i \rightarrow E$ is the injective map and $p_i: E \rightarrow E_i$ is the projective map. Since $i_i f_2: A_1 \rightarrow E$ and E is an e -injective R -module, there exist $0 \neq r = (r_i)_{i \in \Delta} \in R$ and $f_4: A_2 \rightarrow E$ such that $f_4 f_1 = r \cdot (i_i f_2)$. Assume that $r_k \neq 0$ for some $k \in \Delta$ and define $f_3: A_2 \rightarrow E_k$ by $f_3 = p_k f_4$. Since $p_k \circ i_k = 1_{E_k}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f_3 f_1 &= p_k f_4 f_1 = p_k (r \cdot i_k f_2) = r_k p_k i_k f_2 \\ &= r_k f_2 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, E_k is an e -injective R_k -module.

(ii): Assume that $f: A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ is a monic map and $g: A_1 \rightarrow E$. Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & A_2 \\ & & \downarrow g & \nearrow h & \\ & & E & & \\ & & \downarrow p_i & \nearrow f_i & \\ & & E_i & & \end{array}$$

Since E_i is e -injective and $p_i g: A_1 \rightarrow E_i$ for each $i \in \Delta$, there exist $0 \neq r_i \in R_i$ and $f_i: A_2 \rightarrow E_i$ such that $f_i f = r_i (p_i g)$. Then

there exists $h: A_2 \rightarrow E$ such that $f_i = p_i h$. Let $0 \neq r = (r_i) \in R$ and note that $p_i h f = f_i f = r_i p_i g = p_i (r g)$. Hence, we get $h f = r g$. Therefore, E is an e -injective R -module.

Theorem 4 *Let R be a ring and E be an e -injective R -module. For any monic map*

$$0 \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{f} L$$

there exists an R -homomorphism $\alpha: L \rightarrow E$ such that $\alpha f = r \cdot 1_E$ for some $0 \neq r \in R$.

Proof. It is clear.

Definition 2 [1] *Let*

$$0 \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{f_1} A_1 \xrightarrow{f_2} A_2 \rightarrow 0$$

be a short e -exact sequence. If for any map $f_1: E \rightarrow A_1$ there exist $g: A_1 \rightarrow E$ and $r \in R$ such that $g f_1 = r \cdot 1_E$. Then the above short e -exact sequence is called e -split.

Theorem 5 *An e -exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{f_1} A_1 \xrightarrow{f_2} A_2 \rightarrow 0$$

is e -split if E is an e -injective module.

Proof. Suppose that

$$0 \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{f_1} A_1 \xrightarrow{f_2} A_2 \rightarrow 0$$

is an e -exact sequence and E is an e -injective R -module. Then by Theorem 2, the sequence

$$\begin{aligned} &0 \\ &\rightarrow \text{Hom}(A_2, E) \\ &\xrightarrow{f_2^*} \text{Hom}(A_1, E) \xrightarrow{f_1^*} \text{Hom}(E, E) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

is e -exact. Since f_1^* is epic, $\text{Im}(f_1^*) \leq_e \text{Hom}(E, E)$. Note that $1_E \in \text{Hom}(E, E)$, so there exist a map $g: A_1 \rightarrow E$ and $r \in R$ such that $f_1^*(g) = r \cdot 1_E$ and

hence $g f_1 = r \cdot 1_E$. Therefore the sequence is e -split.

3. CONCLUSION

As a result, we get the definition of the e -injective R -module and some results. We hope that the results give rise to new results in Homological Algebra with regard to e -exact theory such as e -flat module and e -homology, e -functor.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the referees for their valuable suggestions that improved this paper.

Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship and publication of this study.

Authors' Contribution

The authors contributed equally to the study.

The Declaration of Ethics Committee Approval

The study does not require ethics committee permission or any special permission.

The Declaration of Research and Publication Ethics

The authors of the paper declare that they comply with the scientific, ethical, and quotation rules of SAUJS in all processes of the paper and that they do not make any falsification of the data collected. In addition, they declare that Sakarya University Journal of Science and its editorial the board has no responsibility for any ethical violations that may be encountered, and that this the study has not been evaluated in any academic publication environment other than Sakarya University Journal of Science.

REFERENCES

- [1] I. Akray, A. Zebari, “Essential exact sequences,” *Communications of the Korean Mathematical Society*, 2020; 35(2):469-480.
- [2] A. Tercan, C. C. Yücel, “Module Theory, Extending Modules and Generalizations,” *Bassel: Birkhäuser, Springer*, 2016.
- [3] J. J. Rotman, J. J. Rotman, “An introduction to homological algebra,” *New York: Springer*, 2009.