

Computing Eigenvalues of Sturm–Liouville Operators with a Family of Trigonometric Polynomial Potentials

Cemile Nur*

Abstract

We provide estimates for the periodic and antiperiodic eigenvalues of non-self-adjoint Sturm–Liouville operators with a family of complex-valued trigonometric polynomial potentials. We even approximate complex eigenvalues by the roots of some polynomials derived from some iteration formulas. Moreover, we give a numerical example with error analysis.

Keywords: Eigenvalue estimations; periodic and antiperiodic boundary conditions; trigonometric polynomial potentials

AMS Subject Classification (2020): 34L05; 34L15; 65L15.

*Corresponding author

1. Introduction

In the present paper, we consider the operators $T_s(v)$, for $s = 0, 1$, generated in $L_2[0, \pi]$ by the differential expression

$$-y''(x) + v(x)y(x) \quad (1.1)$$

and the boundary conditions

$$y(\pi) = e^{i\pi s}y(0), \quad y'(\pi) = e^{i\pi s}y'(0), \quad (1.2)$$

which are periodic and antiperiodic boundary conditions, where v is the complex-valued trigonometric polynomial potential of the form

$$v(x) = v_{-1}e^{-i2x} + v_2e^{i4x}, \quad v_{-1}, v_2 \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (1.3)$$

Note that, the trigonometric polynomial potential (1.3) is a PT-symmetric potential if $v_{-1}, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. For the properties of the general PT-symmetric potentials, see [1–6] and references therein. Here, we only note that, the investigations of PT-symmetric periodic potentials were begun by Bender et al. [7].

Received : 28-04-2022, Accepted : 02-08-2022

(Cite as "C. Nur, Computing Eigenvalues of Sturm–Liouville Operators with a Family of Trigonometric Polynomial Potentials, Math. Sci. Appl. E-Notes, 11(1) (2023), 29-42")



It is well known that the spectra of the operators $T_0(v)$ and $T_1(v)$ are discrete and for large enough n , there are two periodic (if n is even) or antiperiodic (if n is odd) eigenvalues (counting with multiplicities) in the neighborhood of n^2 . See the basic and detailed classical results in [8–11] and references therein.

The eigenvalues of the operators $T_0(0)$ and $T_1(0)$ are $(2n)^2$ and $(2n+1)^2$, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, respectively and all eigenvalues of $T_0(0)$ and $T_1(0)$, except 0, are double. The eigenvalues of $T_0(v)$ and $T_1(v)$ are called the periodic and antiperiodic eigenvalues and they are denoted by $\mu_n(v)$, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\lambda_n(v)$, for $n \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$, respectively.

It is well known that (see [10–12]), if v is real-valued, then all eigenvalues of the operator $T_s(v)$ are real, for all $s \in (-1, 1]$, and the spectrum $\sigma(T(v))$ of the Hill operator $T(v)$, generated in $L_2(-\infty, \infty)$ by expression (1.1) with the real-valued potential (1.3), consists of the real intervals

$$\Gamma_1 := [\mu_0(v), \lambda_{-1}(v)], \quad \Gamma_2 := [\lambda_{+1}(v), \mu_{-1}(v)], \quad \Gamma_3 := [\mu_{+1}(v), \lambda_{-2}(v)], \quad \Gamma_4 := [\lambda_{+2}(v), \mu_{-2}(v)], \dots,$$

where $\mu_0(v)$, $\mu_{-n}(v)$, $\mu_{+n}(v)$, for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ are the eigenvalues of $T_0(v)$ and $\lambda_{-n}(v)$, $\lambda_{+n}(v)$, for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ are the eigenvalues of $T_1(v)$ and the following inequalities hold:

$$\mu_0(v) < \lambda_{-1}(v) \leq \lambda_{+1}(v) < \mu_{-1}(v) \leq \mu_{+1}(v) < \lambda_{-2}(v) \leq \lambda_{+2}(v) < \mu_{-2}(v) \leq \mu_{+2}(v) < \dots$$

The bands $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \dots$ of the spectrum $\sigma(T(v))$ of $T(v)$ are separated by the gaps

$$\Delta_1 := (\lambda_{-1}(v), \lambda_{+1}(v)), \quad \Delta_2 := (\mu_{-1}(v), \mu_{+1}(v)), \quad \Delta_3 := (\lambda_{-2}(v), \lambda_{+2}(v)), \dots$$

if and only if the eigenvalues at the endpoints of the intervals are simple. In other notation, $\Gamma_n = \{\gamma_n(s) : s \in [0, 1]\}$, where $\gamma_1(s), \gamma_2(s), \dots$ are the eigenvalues of $T_s(v)$, called as Bloch eigenvalues corresponding to the quasimomentum s . The Bloch eigenvalue $\gamma_n(s)$, continuously depends on s and $\gamma_n(-s) = \gamma_n(s)$.

Obviously, $\mu_{-n}(v)$ and $\mu_{+n}(v)$, for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ are the $(2n)$ th and $(2n+1)$ th periodic eigenvalues; $\lambda_{-n}(v)$ and $\lambda_{+n}(v)$, for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ are the $(2n-1)$ th and $(2n)$ th antiperiodic eigenvalues, respectively.

If one of the numbers v_{-1} and v_2 is zero and the other is real in (1.3), then all eigenvalues of the operator $T_0(v)$, except 0, are double and they are equal to $(2n)^2$. This fact was proved for the first time in [13]. This case was investigated also in [14–16].

In this paper, we provide estimates for the eigenvalues of $T_0(v)$, when $v_{-1}, v_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. We even approximate complex eigenvalues by the roots of some polynomials derived from some iteration formulas. Finally, we give a numerical example with error analysis using Rouché's theorem.

It is well known that [17]

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu_{\pm n}(v) - \mu_{\pm n}(0)| &\leq \sup_{x \in [0, \pi]} |v(x)| = M, \\ |\lambda_{\pm n}(v) - \lambda_{\pm n}(0)| &\leq \sup_{x \in [0, \pi]} |v(x)| = M, \end{aligned}$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, where

$$\mu_{\pm n}(0) = (2n)^2, \quad \lambda_{\pm n}(0) = (2n-1)^2$$

and $M \leq |v_{-1}| + |v_2| \leq 2c$, $c = \max\{|v_{-1}|, |v_2|\}$. Moreover, for $n = 0$, $|\mu_0(v)| \leq M$ holds. Therefore, we have

$$(2n)^2 - M \leq |\mu_n| \leq (2n)^2 + M \tag{1.4}$$

and

$$|\mu_n - (2k)^2| \geq |(2n)^2 - (2k)^2| - M = 4|n-k||n+k| - M \geq 4|2n-1| - M,$$

for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k \neq \pm n$. In particular, if $n = 1$, we have $|\mu_{\pm 1}| \leq 4 + M$ and

$$|\mu_{\pm 1} - (2k)^2| \geq ||\mu_{\pm 1}| - (2k)^2| \geq 16 - |\mu_{\pm 1}| \geq 12 - M, \tag{1.5}$$

for $k \geq 2$. Besides, if $|n| \geq 2$, we have $|\mu_n| \geq |\mu_{-2}| \geq 16 - M$ and

$$|\mu_n - (2k)^2| \geq ||\mu_{-2}| - (2k)^2| \geq |\mu_{-2}| - 4 \geq 12 - M, \tag{1.6}$$

for $k \neq \pm n$. The analogous inequalities can be written for the antiperiodic eigenvalues from

$$(2n-1)^2 - M \leq |\lambda_{\pm n}| \leq (2n-1)^2 + M,$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$

2. Main results

We shall focus only on the operator $T_0(v)$ which is associated with the periodic boundary conditions. The investigation of $T_1(v)$ is similar. From now on, when we use the notation μ_n , we mean the $(2n)$ th and $(2n + 1)$ th periodic eigenvalues $\mu_{-n}(v)$ and $\mu_{+n}(v)$, for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. In order to obtain iteration formulas, we use the equations

$$(\mu_N - (2n)^2)(\Psi_N, e^{i2nx}) = (v\Psi_N, e^{i2nx}), \quad (2.1)$$

$$(\mu_N - (2n)^2)(\Psi_N, e^{-i2nx}) = (v\Psi_N, e^{-i2nx}), \quad (2.2)$$

which are obtained from

$$-\Psi_N''(x) + v(x)\Psi_N(x) = \mu_N\Psi_N(x),$$

by multiplying both sides of the equality by e^{i2nx} and e^{-i2nx} , respectively, where $\Psi_N(x)$ is the eigenfunction corresponding to the eigenvalue μ_N . Iterating equation (2.1) k times, the way it was done in the paper [18], we obtain

$$(\mu_n - (2n)^2 - \sum_{j=1}^k a_j(\mu_n))(\Psi_n, e^{i2nx}) - (v_{2n} + \sum_{j=1}^k b_j(\mu_n))(\Psi_n, e^{-i2nx}) = r_k(\mu_n), \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_j(\mu_n) &= \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_j} \frac{v_{n_1} v_{n_2} \cdots v_{n_j} v_{-n_1 - n_2 - \dots - n_j}}{[\mu_n - (2(n - n_1))^2] \cdots [\mu_n - (2(n - n_1 - n_2 - \dots - n_j))^2]}, \\ b_j(\mu_n) &= \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_j} \frac{v_{n_1} v_{n_2} \cdots v_{n_j} v_{2n - n_1 - n_2 - \dots - n_j}}{[\mu_n - (2(n - n_1))^2] \cdots [\mu_n - (2(n - n_1 - n_2 - \dots - n_j))^2]}, \\ r_k(\mu_n) &= \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{k+1}} \frac{v_{n_1} v_{n_2} \cdots v_{n_k} v_{n_{k+1}} (v\Psi_n, e^{i2(n - n_1 - \dots - n_{k+1})x})}{[\mu_n - (2(n - n_1))^2] \cdots [\mu_n - (2(n - n_1 - \dots - n_{k+1}))^2]}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, the sums are taken under the conditions $n_l = -1, 2, \sum_{i=1}^l n_i \neq 0, 2n$ for $l = 1, 2, \dots, k + 1$. Note that, for the trigonometric polynomial potential of the form (1.3), we have $v_i = 0$ for $i \neq -1, 2$.

Similarly, iterating equation (2.2) k times, we obtain

$$(\mu_n - (2n)^2 - \sum_{j=1}^k a_j^*(\mu_n))(\Psi_n, e^{-i2nx}) - (v_{-2n} + \sum_{j=1}^k b_j^*(\mu_n))(\Psi_n, e^{i2nx}) = r_k^*(\mu_n), \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_j^*(\mu_n) &= \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_j} \frac{v_{n_1} v_{n_2} \cdots v_{n_j} v_{-n_1 - n_2 - \dots - n_j}}{[\mu_n - (2(n + n_1))^2] \cdots [\mu_n - (2(n + n_1 + \dots + n_j))^2]}, \\ b_j^*(\mu_n) &= \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_j} \frac{v_{n_1} v_{n_2} \cdots v_{n_j} v_{-2n - n_1 - n_2 - \dots - n_j}}{[\mu_n - (2(n + n_1))^2] \cdots [\mu_n - (2(n + n_1 + \dots + n_j))^2]}, \\ r_k^*(\mu_n) &= \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{k+1}} \frac{v_{n_1} v_{n_2} \cdots v_{n_k} v_{n_{k+1}} (v\Psi_n, e^{-i2(n + n_1 + \dots + n_{k+1})x})}{[\mu_n - (2(n + n_1))^2] \cdots [\mu_n - (2(n + n_1 + \dots + n_{k+1}))^2]}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, the sums are taken under the conditions $n_l = -1, 2, \sum_{i=1}^l n_i \neq 0, -2n$ for $l = 1, 2, \dots, k + 1$. Since the potential v is the trigonometric polynomial potential of the form (1.3), we have the followings, after some calculations:

$$a_{3j-1}^*(\mu_n) = a_{3j-1}(\mu_n), \quad a_{3j-2}^*(\mu_n) = a_{3j-2}(\mu_n) = a_{3j}^*(\mu_n) = a_{3j}(\mu_n) = 0, \quad (2.5)$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots$. Now, in order to give the main results, we prove the following lemma. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\Psi_n(x)$ is the normalized eigenfunction corresponding to the eigenvalue μ_n .

Lemma 2.1. *The statements*

(a) $|p_n|^2 + |q_n|^2 > 0$, where $p_n = (\Psi_n, e^{i2nx})$ and $q_n = (\Psi_n, e^{-i2nx})$,

(b) $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} r_k(\mu_n) = 0$, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} r_k^*(\mu_n) = 0$,

hold in the following cases:

(i) if $\max\{|v_{-1}|, |v_2|\} = c \leq 97/50$, for $n = 1$,

(ii) if $c < 2t - 1$, for $n \geq t$, $t = 2, 3, \dots$

Proof. (a) Assume the contrary, $p_n = 0$ and $q_n = 0$. Since the system of the root functions $\{e^{2ikx}/\sqrt{\pi} : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ of $T_0(0)$ forms an orthonormal basis for $L_2[0, \pi]$, we have the decomposition

$$\pi\Psi_n = p_n e^{i2nx} + q_n e^{-i2nx} + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \neq \pm n} (\Psi_n, e^{i2kx}) e^{i2kx}$$

for the normalized eigenfunction Ψ_n corresponding to the eigenvalue μ_n of $T_0(v)$. By Parseval's equality, we obtain

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \neq \pm n} |(\Psi_n, e^{i2kx})|^2 = \pi.$$

On the other hand, by (1.4)-(1.6), we have

$$|\mu_1 - 16| \geq 12 - M, \quad |\mu_1 - 36| \geq 32 - M, \quad |\mu_1 - 64| \geq 60 - M, \quad (2.6)$$

$$16 - M \leq |\mu_2| \leq 16 + M, \quad |\mu_2 - 4| \geq 12 - M, \quad |\mu_2 - 36| \geq 20 - M. \quad (2.7)$$

First, we consider the case $n = 1$, namely, the case (i). Using the relations (2.1) and (2.6), the Bessel inequality, and taking

$$\begin{aligned} (v\Psi_1, 1) &= v_{-1}(\Psi_1, e^{i2x}) + v_2(\Psi_1, e^{-i4x}) = v_2(\Psi_1, e^{-i4x}), \\ (v\Psi_1, e^{-i4x}) &= v_{-1}(\Psi_1, e^{-i2x}) + v_2(\Psi_1, e^{-i8x}) = v_2(\Psi_1, e^{-i8x}) \end{aligned}$$

into account, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \neq \pm 1} |(\Psi_1, e^{i2kx})|^2 &= \frac{|(v\Psi_1, 1)|^2}{|\mu_1|^2} + \sum_{k \neq 0, \pm 1} \frac{|(v\Psi_1, e^{i2kx})|^2}{|\mu_1 - (2k)^2|^2} \\ &< \frac{|v_2|^4 |(v\Psi_1, e^{-i8x})|^2}{|\mu_1|^2 |\mu_1 - 16|^2 |\mu_1 - 64|^2} + \sum_{k \neq 0, \pm 1} \frac{|(v\Psi_1, e^{i2kx})|^2}{|\mu_1 - 16|^2} \\ &\leq \frac{c^4 \pi (2c)^2}{(4 - 2c)^2 (12 - 2c)^2 (60 - 2c)^2} + \frac{1}{(12 - 2c)^2} \sum_{k \neq 0, \pm 1} |(v\Psi_1, e^{i2kx})|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{4\pi (97/50)^6}{(3/25)^2 (203/25)^2 (1403/25)^2} + \frac{\pi (97/50)^2}{(203/25)^2} < \frac{13\pi}{100} < \pi, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \neq \pm 1} |(\Psi_1, e^{i2kx})|^2 = \pi$.

Now, we consider the case (ii), namely the case $c < 2t - 1$, for $n \geq t$, $t = 2, 3, \dots$. Using

$$(2n)^2 - 2c \leq |\mu_n| \leq (2n)^2 + 2c,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu_n - (2k)^2| &\geq |\mu_n - (2(n-1))^2| \geq (2n)^2 - 2c - (2(n-1))^2 \\ &= 4(2n-1) - 2c > 4(2t-1) - 2(2t-1) = 4t - 2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \neq \pm n} |(\Psi_n, e^{i2kx})|^2 &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \neq \pm n} \frac{|(v\Psi_n, e^{i2kx})|^2}{|\mu_n - (2k)^2|^2} \\ &< \frac{1}{(4t-2)^2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \neq \pm n} |(v\Psi_n, e^{i2kx})|^2 \leq \frac{\pi(2c)^2}{(4t-2)^2} < \pi, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \neq \pm n} |(\Psi_n, e^{i2kx})|^2 = \pi$ and completes the proof of (a).

(b) By the definition of $r_k(\mu_n)$ and the conditions imposed on the summations, the number of each of the greatest summands

$$\frac{(v_{-1})^{2k-1}(v_2)^{k+1}(v\Psi_1, e^{-i4x})}{\mu_1(\mu_1 - 16)^{k+1}(\mu_1 - 36)^{k-1}(\mu_1 - 64)^{k-1}}$$

and

$$\frac{(v_{-1})^{2k-1}(v_2)^{k+1}(v\Psi_2, e^{-i2x})}{\mu_2^k(\mu_2 - 4)^{2k}}$$

of $r_{3k-1}(\mu_1)$ and $r_{3k-1}(\mu_2)$ in absolute value, is not greater than 4^k . Therefore, using (2.6), (2.7) and $M \leq |v_{-1}| + |v_2| \leq 2c$ and considering the greatest summands of $r_{3k-1}(\mu_n)$ in absolute value, we obtain for case (i)

$$\begin{aligned} |r_{3k-1}(\mu_1)| &< \frac{4^k |v_{-1}|^{2k-1} |v_2|^{k+1} M \sqrt{\pi}}{|\mu_1| |\mu_1 - 16|^{k+1} |\mu_1 - 36|^{k-1} |\mu_1 - 64|^{k-1}} \leq \frac{4^k c^{2k-1} c^{k+1} 2c \sqrt{\pi}}{(4-2c)(12-2c)^{k+1} (32-2c)^{k-1} (60-2c)^{k-1}} \\ &\leq \frac{2\sqrt{\pi} 4^k (97/50)^{3k+1}}{(3/25)(203/25)^{k+1} (703/25)^{k-1} (1403/25)^{k-1}} < 6284\sqrt{\pi} \left(\frac{1}{438}\right)^k, \quad k \geq 2 \end{aligned}$$

and for case (ii)

$$\begin{aligned} |r_{3k-1}(\mu_n)| &\leq |r_{3k-1}(\mu_2)| < \frac{4^k |v_{-1}|^{2k-1} |v_2|^{k+1} M \sqrt{\pi}}{|\mu_2|^k |\mu_2 - 4|^{2k}} \leq \frac{4^k c^{3k+1} 2\sqrt{\pi}}{(16-2c)^k (12-2c)^{2k}} \\ &< \frac{4^k 3^{3k+1} 2\sqrt{\pi}}{10^k 6^{2k}} = 6\sqrt{\pi} \frac{4^k 27^k}{10^k 36^k} = 6\sqrt{\pi} \left(\frac{3}{10}\right)^k. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, in any case $|r_{3k-1}(\mu_n)| < \alpha a^k$, for some constant $\alpha > 0$ and $0 < a < 1$, which implies $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} r_k(\mu_n) = 0$. Similarly, we prove that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} r_k^*(\mu_n) = 0$. \square

Now, we consider the statements of Lemma 2.1 for the case $n = 0$:

Lemma 2.2. *If $\max\{|v_{-1}|, |v_2|\} = c \leq 36/25$, for $n = 0$, then the statements (a) $|(\Psi_0, 1)| > 0$ and (b) $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} r_k(\mu_0) = 0$ are valid.*

Proof. (a) Assume the contrary $(\Psi_0, 1) = 0$. Isolating the terms $|(\Psi_0, e^{-i2x})|^2$ and $|(\Psi_0, e^{i2x})|^2$ in Parseval's equality, we can write

$$|(\Psi_0, e^{-i2x})|^2 + |(\Psi_0, e^{i2x})|^2 + \sum_{k \neq 0, \pm 1} |(\Psi_0, e^{i2kx})|^2 = \pi.$$

First, we estimate $|(\Psi_0, e^{-i2x})|^2 + |(\Psi_0, e^{i2x})|^2$. Using (2.1), the relations

$$|\mu_0 - 4| \geq 4 - M, \quad |\mu_0 - 16| \geq 16 - M, \quad |\mu_0 - 36| \geq 36 - M, \quad (2.8)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (v\Psi_0, e^{-i2x}) &= v_{-1}(\Psi_0, 1) + v_2(\Psi_0, e^{-i6x}) = v_2(\Psi_0, e^{-i6x}), \\ (v\Psi_0, e^{i2x}) &= v_{-1}(\Psi_0, e^{i4x}) + v_2(\Psi_0, e^{-i2x}), \\ (v\Psi_0, e^{i4x}) &= v_{-1}(\Psi_0, e^{i6x}) + v_2(\Psi_0, 1) = v_{-1}(\Psi_0, e^{i6x}), \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$|(v\Psi_0, e^{-i2x})| \leq \frac{|v_2(v\Psi_0, e^{-i6x})|}{|\mu_0 - 36|} \leq \frac{2c^2 \sqrt{\pi}}{(36-2c)} \leq \frac{2(36/25)^2 \sqrt{\pi}}{(828/25)} < \frac{13\sqrt{\pi}}{100}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |(v\Psi_0, e^{i2x})| &\leq \frac{|(v_{-1})^2(q\Psi_0, e^{i6x})|}{|\mu_0 - 16||\mu_0 - 36|} + \frac{|(v_2)^2(q\Psi_0, e^{-i6x})|}{|\mu_0 - 4||\mu_0 - 36|} \\ &\leq \frac{2c^3 \sqrt{\pi}}{(16-2c)(36-2c)} + \frac{2c^3 \sqrt{\pi}}{(4-2c)(36-2c)} \\ &\leq \frac{2(36/25)^3 \sqrt{\pi}}{(328/25)(828/25)} + \frac{2(36/25)^3 \sqrt{\pi}}{(28/25)(828/25)} < \frac{3\sqrt{\pi}}{10}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence,

$$|(v\Psi_0, e^{-i2x})|^2 + |(v\Psi_0, e^{i2x})|^2 < \pi/9. \quad (2.9)$$

Using (2.1), (2.8), (2.9) and the Bessel inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \neq 0} |(\Psi_0, e^{i2kx})|^2 &= \frac{|(v\Psi_0, e^{-i2x})|^2}{|\mu_0 - 4|^2} + \frac{|(v\Psi_0, e^{i2x})|^2}{|\mu_0 - 4|^2} + \sum_{k \neq 0, \pm 1} \frac{|(v\Psi_0, e^{i2kx})|^2}{|\mu_0 - (2k)^2|^2} \\ &< \frac{\pi}{9(4-2c)^2} + \frac{1}{(16-2c)^2} \sum_{k \neq 0, \pm 1} |(v\Psi_0, e^{i2kx})|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{\pi}{9(28/25)^2} + \frac{4(36/25)^2\pi}{(328/25)^2} < \frac{\pi}{11} + \frac{\pi}{20} = \frac{31\pi}{220} < \pi, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \neq 0} |(\Psi_0, e^{i2kx})|^2 = \pi$ and completes the proof of (a).

(b) The number of the greatest summand

$$\frac{2(v_{-1})^{2k-1}(v_2)^{k+1}(v\Psi_0, e^{-i6x})}{(\mu_0 - 4)^{k+1}(\mu_0 - 16)^{k-1}(\mu_0 - 36)^k}$$

of $r_{3k-1}(\mu_0)$ in absolute value, is not greater than 4^k . Hence, using (2.8) and $M \leq |v_{-1}| + |v_2| \leq 2c$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |r_{3k-1}(\mu_0)| &< \frac{4^k 2 |v_{-1}|^{2k-1} |v_2|^{k+1} M \sqrt{\pi}}{|\mu_0 - 4|^{k+1} |\mu_0 - 16|^{k-1} |\mu_0 - 36|^k} \leq \frac{4^{k+1} c^{3k+1} \sqrt{\pi}}{(4-2c)^{k+1} (16-2c)^{k-1} (36-2c)^k} \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{\pi} 4^{k+1} (36/25)^{3k+1}}{(28/25)^{k+1} (328/25)^{k-1} (828/25)^k} < 68\sqrt{\pi} \left(\frac{1}{40}\right)^k, \quad k \geq 2, \end{aligned}$$

which implies $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} r_k(\mu_0) = 0$. □

Now, letting k tend to infinity in the equations (2.3) and (2.4), we obtain the following results. First, we consider the case $n \geq 2$.

Theorem 2.1. *If $\max\{|v_{-1}|, |v_2|\} = c < 2t - 1$, for $n \geq t$, $t = 2, 3, \dots$, then μ is an eigenvalue of $T_0(v)$ if and only if it is a root of the equation*

$$(\mu - (2n)^2 - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{3j-1}(\mu))^2 - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j(\mu) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j^*(\mu) = 0 \quad (2.10)$$

lying inside the circle $C_n := \{\mu \in \mathbb{C} : |\mu - (2n)^2| = 2c\}$ and each of the series in equation (2.10) converges uniformly to an analytic function on the disk $D_n := \{\mu \in \mathbb{C} : |\mu - (2n)^2| \leq 2c\}$.

Proof. (a) By Lemma 2.1, letting k tend to infinity in the equations (2.3) and (2.4), we obtain

$$(\mu_n - (2n)^2 - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{3j-1}(\mu_n)) p_n = (v_{2n} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j(\mu_n)) q_n, \quad (2.11)$$

$$(\mu_n - (2n)^2 - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{3j-1}^*(\mu_n)) q_n = (v_{-2n} - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j^*(\mu_n)) p_n, \quad (2.12)$$

where $p_n = (\Psi_n, e^{i2nx})$ and $q_n = (\Psi_n, e^{-i2nx})$. If one of the numbers p_n and q_n is zero, then the proof is obvious. If they are both different from zero, multiplying these equations side by side and then cancelling the term $p_n q_n$, by (2.5), we have

$$(\mu_n - (2n)^2 - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{3j-1}(\mu_n))^2 - (v_{2n} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j(\mu_n)) (v_{-2n} - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j^*(\mu_n)) = 0. \quad (2.13)$$

Since $v_{2n} = v_{-2n} = 0$ for $n \geq 2$, the eigenvalue μ of $T_0(v)$ is a root of (2.10).

Now, we prove that the roots of (2.10) lying in the disk D_n are the eigenvalues of T_0 . The equation $f(\mu) := (\mu - (2n)^2)^2 = 0$, has two roots in the disk D_n and

$$|f(\mu_n)| = |\mu_n - (2n)^2|^2 = 4c^2,$$

for all $\mu_n \in C_n$. Define the function

$$g(\mu) := (\mu - (2n)^2 - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{3j-1}(\mu))^2 - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j(\mu) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j^*(\mu) = 0.$$

Estimating the summands of $|a_{3j-1}(\mu_n)|$, $|b_j(\mu_n)|$ and $|b_j^*(\mu_n)|$ for $n = 2$, we obtain

$$|a_{3j-1}(\mu_2)| < \frac{2^j |v_{-1}|^{2j} |v_2|^j}{|\mu_2|^j |\mu_2 - 4|^{2j-1}}, \quad |b_{3j-2}(\mu_2)| < \frac{2^{j-1} |v_{-1}|^{2j-2} |v_2|^{j+1}}{|\mu_2|^j |\mu_2 - 4|^{2j-2}}, \quad |b_{3j}^*(\mu_2)| < \frac{|v_{-1}|^{2j+2} |v_2|^{j-1}}{|\mu_2|^j |\mu_2 - 4|^{2j}}, \quad (2.14)$$

for $j \geq 1$. Using the relations $|\mu_2| \geq 16 - 2c$ and $|\mu_2 - 4| \geq 12 - 2c$, it follows by the geometric series formula that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |a_{3j-1}(\mu_n)| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |a_{3j-1}(\mu_2)| < \frac{2c^3}{(16-2c)(12-2c)} + \frac{2^2 c^6}{(16-2c)^2 (12-2c)^3} + \frac{2^3 c^9}{(16-2c)^3 (12-2c)^5} + \dots \\ &= \frac{2c^3}{(16-2c)(12-2c)} \left(1 + \frac{2c^3}{(16-2c)(12-2c)^2} + \frac{2^2 c^6}{(16-2c)^2 (12-2c)^4} + \dots \right) \\ &= \frac{2c^3}{(16-2c)(12-2c)} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2c^3}{(16-2c)(12-2c)^2}} = \frac{2c^3(12-2c)}{(16-2c)(12-2c)^2 - 2c^3} < \frac{12 \cdot 3^3}{360 - 54} = \frac{18}{17}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |b_j(\mu_n)| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |b_{3j-2}(\mu_2)| < \frac{c^2}{(16-2c)} + \frac{2c^5}{(16-2c)^2 (12-2c)^2} + \frac{2^2 c^8}{(16-2c)^3 (12-2c)^4} + \dots \\ &= \frac{c^2}{(16-2c)} \left(1 + \frac{2c^3}{(16-2c)(12-2c)^2} + \frac{2^2 c^6}{(16-2c)^2 (12-2c)^4} + \dots \right) \\ &= \frac{c^2}{(16-2c)} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2c^3}{(16-2c)(12-2c)^2}} = \frac{c^2(12-2c)^2}{(16-2c)(12-2c)^2 - 2c^3} < \frac{18}{17}, \end{aligned}$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |b_j^*(\mu_n)| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |b_{3j}^*(\mu_2)| < \frac{c^4}{(16-2c)(12-2c)^2} + \frac{c^7}{(16-2c)^2 (12-2c)^4} + \frac{c^{10}}{(16-2c)^3 (12-2c)^6} + \dots \\ &= \frac{c^4}{(16-2c)(12-2c)^2} \left(1 + \frac{c^3}{(16-2c)(12-2c)^2} + \frac{c^6}{(16-2c)^2 (12-2c)^4} + \dots \right) \\ &= \frac{c^4}{(16-2c)(12-2c)^2} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{c^3}{(16-2c)(12-2c)^2}} = \frac{c^4}{(16-2c)(12-2c)^2 - c^3} < \frac{3^4}{360 - 27} = \frac{9}{37}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} |g(\mu_n) - f(\mu_n)| &\leq 2|\mu_n - (2n)^2| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |a_{3j-1}(\mu_n)| + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |a_{3j-1}(\mu_n)| \right)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |b_j(\mu_n)| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |b_j^*(\mu_n)| \\ &< \frac{8c^4(12-2c)}{(16-2c)(12-2c)^2 - 2c^3} + \left(\frac{2c^3(12-2c)}{(16-2c)(12-2c)^2 - 2c^3} \right)^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{c^2(12-2c)^2}{(16-2c)(12-2c)^2 - 2c^3} \frac{c^4}{(16-2c)(12-2c)^2 - c^3} < 4c^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $|g(\mu) - f(\mu)| < |f(\mu)|$ holds for all $\mu \in C_n$. By Rouché's theorem, $g(\mu)$ has two roots inside the circle C_n . Hence, T_0 has two eigenvalues (counting with multiplicities) lying inside C_n , which are the roots of (2.10). On

the other hand, equation (2.10) has exactly two roots (counting with multiplicities) inside C_n . Thus, $\mu \in C_n$ is an eigenvalue of T_0 if and only if, it is a root of (2.10) and the roots of (2.10) coincide with the eigenvalues μ_{-n} and μ_{+n} of T_0 .

Now, in order to estimate $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |a'_{3j-1}(\mu_n)|$, $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |b'_j(\mu_n)|$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |b_j^*(\mu_n)|$, first we estimate the summands $|a'_{3j-1}(\mu_2)|$, $|b'_j(\mu_2)|$ and $|b_j^*(\mu_2)|$, by differentiating $a_{3j-1}(\mu_2)$, $b_j(\mu_2)$ and $b_j^*(\mu_2)$ with respect to μ_2 :

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{d(a_{3j-1}(\mu_2))}{d\mu_2} \right| &< \frac{2^{j+1}|v_{-1}|^{2j}|v_2|^j}{|\mu_2|^j|\mu_2-4|^{2j}}, & \left| \frac{d(b_{3j}^*(\mu_2))}{d\mu_2} \right| &< \frac{3^{j+1}|v_{-1}|^{2j+2}|v_2|^{j-1}}{2^j|\mu_2|^j|\mu_2-4|^{2j+1}},, & j \geq 1 \\ \left| \frac{d(b_1(\mu_2))}{d\mu_2} \right| &< \frac{|v_2|^2}{|\mu_2|^2}, & \left| \frac{d(b_{3j-2}(\mu_2))}{d\mu_2} \right| &< \frac{2^{j+1}|v_{-1}|^{2j-2}|v_2|^{j+1}}{|\mu_2|^j|\mu_2-4|^{2j-1}}, & j \geq 2, \end{aligned}$$

and hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |a'_{3j-1}(\mu_n)| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |a'_{3j-1}(\mu_2)| < \frac{2^2c^3}{(16-2c)(12-2c)^2} + \frac{2^3c^6}{(16-2c)^2(12-2c)^4} + \frac{2^4c^9}{(16-2c)^3(12-2c)^6} + \dots \\ &= \frac{2^2c^3}{(16-2c)(12-2c)^2} \left(1 + \frac{2c^3}{(16-2c)(12-2c)^2} + \frac{2^2c^6}{(16-2c)^2(12-2c)^4} + \dots \right) \\ &= \frac{4c^3}{(16-2c)(12-2c)^2} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2c^3}{(16-2c)(12-2c)^2}} = \frac{4c^3}{(16-2c)(12-2c)^2 - 2c^3} < \frac{4.3^3}{360-54} = \frac{6}{17}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |b'_j(\mu_n)| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |b'_{3j-2}(\mu_2)| < \frac{c^2}{(16-2c)^2} + \frac{8c^5}{(16-2c)(12-2c)[(16-2c)(12-2c)^2 - 2c^3]} < \frac{7}{37}, \\ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |b_j^*(\mu_n)| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |b_{3j}^*(\mu_2)| < \frac{9c^4}{(12-2c)[2(16-2c)(12-2c)^2 - 3c^3]} < \frac{27}{142}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, each of the series $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{3j-1}(\mu_n)$, $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j(\mu_n)$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j^*(\mu_n)$, converges uniformly to an analytic function on the disk D_n . \square

Now, to estimate the periodic eigenvalues μ_{-1} and μ_1 , we consider the case $n = 1$. In this case, substituting $b_{3j-1}(\mu_1) = 0$, $b_{3j-2}(\mu_1) = 0$, for $j \geq 1$, and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j^*(\mu_1) = b_1^*(\mu_1) = (v_{-1})^2/\mu_1$, in (2.3) and (2.4) as $k \rightarrow \infty$, by Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$\left(\mu_1 - 4 - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{3j-1}(\mu_1) \right)^2 - \frac{(v_{-1})^2}{\mu_1} \left(v_2 - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_{3j}(\mu_1) \right) = 0. \quad (2.15)$$

Therefore, we have the following results.

Theorem 2.2. *If $\max\{|v_{-1}|, |v_2|\} = c \leq 97/50$, for $n = 1$, then μ is an eigenvalue of $T_0(v)$ if and only if it is a root of the equation*

$$\left(\mu - 4 - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{3j-1}(\mu) \right)^2 - \frac{(v_{-1})^2 v_2}{\mu} - \frac{(v_{-1})^2}{\mu} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_{3j}(\mu) = 0 \quad (2.16)$$

lying inside the circle $C_1 := \{\mu \in \mathbb{C} : |\mu| = 4 + 2c\}$ and each of the series in equation (2.16) converges uniformly to an analytic function on the disk $D_1 := \{\mu \in \mathbb{C} : |\mu| \leq 4 + 2c\}$.

Proof. (a) Equation (2.16) follows from (2.15). Let $F(\mu) := (\mu - 4)^2 = 0$ and

$$G(\mu) := \left(\mu - 4 - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{3j-1}(\mu) \right)^2 - \frac{(v_{-1})^2 v_2}{\mu} - \frac{(v_{-1})^2}{\mu} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_{3j}(\mu) = 0.$$

Then, $|F(\mu_1)| = |\mu_1 - 4|^2 \geq (|\mu_1| - 4)^2 = 4c^2$, for all $\mu_1 \in C_1$. Using the estimations

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |a_{3j-1}(\mu_1)| &< \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(3/2)^j |v_{-1}|^{2j} |v_2|^j}{|\mu_1| |\mu_1 - 16|^j |\mu_1 - 36|^{j-1} |\mu_1 - 64|^{j-1}} \\ &< \frac{3c^3(32-2c)(60-2c)}{(4+2c)[2(12-2c)(32-2c)(60-2c) - 3c^3]} < \frac{9}{50}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |b_{3j}(\mu_1)| &< \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{j-1} |v_{-1}|^{2j} |v_2|^{j+1}}{|\mu_1| |\mu_1 - 16|^{j+1} |\mu_1 - 36|^{j-1} |\mu_1 - 64|^{j-1}} \\ &< \frac{c^4(32-2c)(60-2c)}{(4+2c)(12-2c)[(12-2c)(32-2c)(60-2c) - 2c^3]} < \frac{7}{250}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |a'_{3j-1}(\mu_1)| &< \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{j+1} |v_{-1}|^{2j} |v_2|^j}{|\mu_1|^2 |\mu_1 - 16|^j |\mu_1 - 36|^{j-1} |\mu_1 - 64|^{j-1}} \\ &< \frac{4c^3(32-2c)(60-2c)}{(4+2c)^2[(12-2c)(32-2c)(60-2c) - 2c^3]} < \frac{3}{50}, \\ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |b'_{3j}(\mu_1)| &< \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{j+1} |v_{-1}|^{2j} |v_2|^{j+1}}{|\mu_1|^2 |\mu_1 - 16|^{j+1} |\mu_1 - 36|^{j-1} |\mu_1 - 64|^{j-1}} \\ &< \frac{4c^4(32-2c)(60-2c)}{(4+2c)^2(12-2c)[(12-2c)(32-2c)(60-2c) - 2c^3]} < \frac{7}{500}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $\mu_1 \in C_1$, and arguing as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, by Rouché's theorem, we complete the proof. \square

Finally, in order to estimate the first periodic eigenvalue μ_0 , we consider the case $n = 0$. By Lemma 2.2, we have:

Theorem 2.3. *If $\max\{|v_{-1}|, |v_2|\} = c \leq 36/25$, for $n = 0$, then μ is an eigenvalue of $T_0(v)$ if and only if it is the root of the equation*

$$\mu - \frac{(v_{-1})^2 v_2}{(\mu - 4)^2} - \frac{2(v_{-1})^2 v_2}{(\mu - 4)(\mu - 16)} - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_{3j-1}(\mu) = 0 \quad (2.19)$$

lying inside the circle $C_0 := \{\mu \in \mathbb{C} : |\mu| = 2c\}$ and the series in equation (2.19) converges uniformly to an analytic function on the disk $D_0 := \{\mu \in \mathbb{C} : |\mu| \leq 2c\}$.

Proof. (a) Iterating $\mu_N(\Psi_N, 1) = (v\Psi_N, 1)$, for $N = 0, k$ times, by isolating the terms containing $(\Psi_0, 1)$ gives

$$\left(\mu_0 - \sum_{j=1}^k a_j(\mu_0)\right)(\Psi_0, 1) = r_k(\mu_0). \quad (2.20)$$

Letting k tend to infinity in (2.20), by Lemma 2.2 and (2.5), we obtain (2.19). Let

$$H(\mu) := \mu - \frac{(v_{-1})^2 v_2}{(\mu_0 - 4)^2} = 0$$

and

$$K(\mu) := \mu - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{3j-1}(\mu) = \mu - \frac{(v_{-1})^2 v_2}{(\mu - 4)^2} - \frac{2(v_{-1})^2 v_2}{(\mu - 4)(\mu - 16)} - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_{3j-1}(\mu) = 0.$$

Then,

$$|H(\mu_0)| \geq \left| |\mu_0| - \frac{|v_{-1}|^2 |v_2|}{|\mu_0 - 4|^2} \right| \geq 2c - \frac{c^3}{(4-2c)^2},$$

for all $\mu_0 \in C_0$. Using the estimations

$$\begin{aligned}
|K(\mu_0) - H(\mu_0)| &\leq \frac{2|v_{-1}|^2|v_2|}{|\mu_0 - 4||\mu_0 - 16|} + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} |a_{3j-1}(\mu_0)| \\
&< \frac{2|v_{-1}|^2|v_2|}{|\mu_0 - 4||\mu_0 - 16|} + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{2^j|v_{-1}|^{2j}|v_2|^j}{|\mu_0 - 4|^{j+1}|\mu_0 - 16|^{j-1}|\mu_0 - 36|^{j-1}} \\
&< \frac{2|v_{-1}|^2|v_2|}{(4-2c)(16-2c)} + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{2^j|v_{-1}|^{2j}|v_2|^j}{(4-2c)^{j+1}(16-2c)^{j-1}(36-2c)^{j-1}} \\
&< \frac{2c^3}{(4-2c)(16-2c)} + \frac{4c^6}{(4-2c)^2[(4-2c)(16-2c)(36-2c) - 2c^3]} < \frac{47}{100}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} |a'_{3j-1}(\mu_0)| &< \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{2^{j+1}|v_{-1}|^{2j}|v_2|^j}{|\mu_0 - 4|^{j+2}|\mu_0 - 16|^{j-1}|\mu_0 - 36|^{j-1}} \\
&< \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{2^{j+1}|v_{-1}|^{2j}|v_2|^j}{(4-2c)^{j+2}(16-2c)^{j-1}(36-2c)^{j-1}} \\
&< \frac{8c^6}{(4-2c)^3[(4-2c)(16-2c)(36-2c) - 2c^3]} < \frac{11}{100}
\end{aligned}$$

and arguing as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, by Rouché's theorem, we complete the proof. \square

In order to estimate eigenvalues numerically, we take finite summations instead of the infinite series in the equations (2.10), (2.16) and (2.19). When we say the $(3k)$ th approximations, we mean the equations containing $\sum_{j=1}^k a_{3j-1}(\mu)$, $\sum_{j=1}^{3k} b_j(\mu)$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{3k} b_j^*(\mu)$ instead of $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{3j-1}(\mu)$, $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j(\mu)$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j^*(\mu)$. For instance, in the cases $n = 0$, $n = 1$ and $n = 2$, the $(3k)$ th approximations of (2.19), (2.16) and (2.10) are

$$\mu - \frac{(v_{-1})^2 v_2}{(\mu - 4)^2} - \frac{2(v_{-1})^2 v_2}{(\mu - 4)(\mu - 16)} - \sum_{j=2}^k a_{3j-1}(\mu) = 0, \tag{2.22}$$

$$\left(\mu - 4 - \sum_{j=1}^k a_{3j-1}(\mu)\right)^2 - \frac{(v_{-1})^2 v_2}{\mu} - \frac{(v_{-1})^2}{\mu} \sum_{j=1}^k b_{3j}(\mu) = 0, \tag{2.23}$$

and

$$\left(\mu - 16 - \sum_{j=1}^k a_{3j-1}(\mu)\right)^2 - \sum_{j=1}^{3k} b_j(\mu) \sum_{j=1}^{3k} b_j^*(\mu) = 0,$$

respectively. Then, by (2.14), (2.17), (2.18) and (2.21), we have the following estimations for the remaining terms of the series in these equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} a_{3j-1}(\mu_0) \right| &\leq \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} |a_{3j-1}(\mu_0)| < \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{2^j|v_{-1}|^{2j}|v_2|^j}{(4-2c)^{j+1}(16-2c)^{j-1}(36-2c)^{j-1}} \\
&< \frac{2^{k+1}c^{3k+3}}{(4-2c)^{k+1}(16-2c)^{k-1}(36-2c)^{k-1}[(4-2c)(16-2c)(36-2c) - 2c^3]} < 2.41 \left(\frac{1}{162}\right)^k,
\end{aligned}$$

for $n = 0$;

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} a_{3j-1}(\mu_1) \right| &\leq \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} |a_{3j-1}(\mu_1)| < \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{(3/2)^j |v_{-1}|^{2j} |v_2|^j}{(4-2c)(12-2c)^j (32-2c)^{j-1} (60-2c)^{j-1}} \\
 &< \frac{2(3/2)^{k+1} c^{3k+3}}{(4-2c)(12-2c)^k (32-2c)^{k-1} (60-2c)^{k-1} [2(12-2c)(32-2c)(60-2c) - 3c^3]} < 11.25 \left(\frac{1}{1170}\right)^k, \\
 \left| \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} b_{3j}(\mu_1) \right| &\leq \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} |b_{3j}(\mu_1)| < \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{j-1} |v_{-1}|^{2j} |v_2|^{j+1}}{(4-2c)(12-2c)^{j+1} (32-2c)^{j-1} (60-2c)^{j-1}} \\
 &< \frac{2^k c^{3k+4}}{(4-2c)(12-2c)^{k+1} (32-2c)^{k-1} (60-2c)^{k-1} [(12-2c)(32-2c)(60-2c) - 2c^3]} < 1.8 \left(\frac{1}{877}\right)^k,
 \end{aligned}$$

for $n = 1$; and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} a_{3j-1}(\mu_2) \right| &\leq \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} |a_{3j-1}(\mu_2)| < \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{2^j |v_{-1}|^{2j} |v_2|^j}{(16-2c)^j (12-2c)^{2j-1}} \\
 &< \frac{2^{k+1} c^{3k+3}}{(16-2c)^k (12-2c)^{2k-1} [(16-2c)(12-2c)^2 - 2c^3]} < \frac{18}{17} \left(\frac{3}{20}\right)^k, \\
 \left| \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} b_{3j-2}(\mu_2) \right| &\leq \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} |b_{3j-2}(\mu_2)| < \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{j-1} |v_{-1}|^{2j-2} |v_2|^{j+1}}{(16-2c)^j (12-2c)^{2j-2}} \\
 &< \frac{2^k c^{3k+2}}{(16-2c)^k (12-2c)^{2k-2} [(16-2c)(12-2c)^2 - 2c^3]} < \frac{18}{17} \left(\frac{3}{20}\right)^k, \\
 \left| \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} b_{3j}^*(\mu_2) \right| &\leq \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} |b_{3j}^*(\mu_2)| < \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{|v_{-1}|^{2j+2} |v_2|^{j-1}}{(16-2c)^j (12-2c)^{2j}} \\
 &< \frac{c^{3k+4}}{(16-2c)^k (12-2c)^{2k} [(16-2c)(12-2c)^2 - c^3]} < \frac{9}{37} \left(\frac{3}{40}\right)^k,
 \end{aligned}$$

for $n = 2$. Obviously, we have better approximations as k grows.

Now, we approach the periodic eigenvalues by the roots of the polynomials derived from the $(3k)$ th approximations (2.22) and (2.23), the way it was done in [19]. For example, for $n = 0$ and $n = 1$, the sixth approximations are

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q_0(\mu) := &\mu - \frac{(v_{-1})^2 v_2}{(\mu-4)^2} - \frac{2(v_{-1})^2 v_2}{(\mu-4)(\mu-16)} - \frac{2(v_{-1})^4 (v_2)^2}{(\mu-4)^3 (\mu-16)(\mu-36)} \\
 &- \frac{2(v_{-1})^4 (v_2)^2}{(\mu-4)^2 (\mu-16)^2 (\mu-36)} - \frac{2(v_{-1})^4 (v_2)^2}{(\mu-4)(\mu-16)^2 (\mu-36)(\mu-64)} = 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q_1(\mu) := &\left(\mu - 4 - \frac{(v_{-1})^2 v_2}{\mu(\mu-16)} - \frac{(v_{-1})^2 v_2}{(\mu-16)(\mu-36)} - \frac{(v_{-1})^4 (v_2)^2}{\mu(\mu-16)^2 (\mu-36)(\mu-64)} \right. \\
 &\left. - \frac{(v_{-1})^4 (v_2)^2}{(\mu-16)^2 (\mu-36)^2 (\mu-64)} - \frac{(v_{-1})^4 (v_2)^2}{(\mu-16)(\mu-36)^2 (\mu-64)(\mu-100)} \right)^2 \\
 &- \frac{(v_{-1})^2 v_2}{\mu} - \frac{(v_{-1})^4 (v_2)^2}{\mu^2 (\mu-16)^2} - \frac{2(v_{-1})^6 (v_2)^3}{\mu^2 (\mu-16)^3 (\mu-36)(\mu-64)} = 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

respectively. Then, the corresponding polynomials are

$$P_0(\mu) := (\mu-4)^3 (\mu-16)^2 (\mu-36)(\mu-64) Q_0(\mu), \tag{2.24}$$

and

$$P_1(\mu) := \mu^2 (\mu-16)^4 (\mu-36)^4 (\mu-64)^2 (\mu-100)^2 Q_1(\mu), \tag{2.25}$$

respectively. By the same token, we can derive polynomials to approximate the other periodic eigenvalues, as well. Now, we present a numerical example.

Example 2.1. Consider the potential $v(x) = e^{i4x} + e^{-i2x}$. In this case, $v_{-1} = v_2 = 1$, and we have the following approximations for the first periodic eigenvalues μ_0, μ_{-1} and μ_{-1} :

First, we show that μ_0 is the eigenvalue lying inside the circle

$$c_0 := \{\mu \in \mathbb{C} : |\mu - 0.0978293068037| = 8.8 \times 10^{-8}\}.$$

The root of the polynomial $P_0(\mu)$ defined by (2.24), lying in the disk $D_0 = \{\mu \in \mathbb{C} : |\mu| \leq 2\}$, is

$$z_1 = 0.0978293068037.$$

The other roots of $P_0(\mu)$ are

$$\begin{aligned} z_2 &= 3.43962569257, & z_3 &= 3.99479646224, & z_4 &= 4.45733974252, \\ z_5 &= (16.0052043612 - 0.00233054592651i), & z_6 &= (16.0052043612 + 0.00233054592651i), \\ z_7 &= 36.0000000654, & z_8 &= 64.0000000081. \end{aligned}$$

Using the decomposition

$$Q_0(\mu) = \frac{(\mu - z_1)(\mu - z_2) \cdots (\mu - z_8)}{(\mu - 4)^3(\mu - 16)^2(\mu - 36)(\mu - 64)},$$

we obtain by direct calculation $|Q_0(\mu)| > 7.0297 \times 10^{-8}$, for all $\mu \in c_0$. On the other hand, again by direct calculations, we have

$$|K(\mu) - Q_0(\mu)| \leq \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} |a_{3j-1}(\mu)| < 6.8948 \times 10^{-8},$$

for all $\mu \in c_0$. Therefore, by Rouché's theorem, equation (2.19) has only one root inside the circle c_0 . Thus, using Theorem 2.3, we conclude that μ_0 is the eigenvalue lying inside the circle c_0 .

Now, we show that μ_{-1} and μ_1 are the complex eigenvalues lying inside the circles

$$c_{-1} := \{\mu \in \mathbb{C} : |\mu - (3.9817022865 - 0.00000193582494331i)| = 2.4 \times 10^{-11}\},$$

and

$$c_1 := \{\mu \in \mathbb{C} : |\mu - (3.9817022865 + 0.00000193582494331i)| = 2.4 \times 10^{-11}\}.$$

respectively. The roots of the polynomial $P_1(\mu)$ defined by (2.25), lying in the disk $D_1 = \{\mu \in \mathbb{C} : |\mu| \leq 6\}$ are $x_1 = (3.9817022865 - 0.00000193582494331i)$, $x_2 = (3.9817022865 + 0.00000193582494331i)$ and $x_{3,4} = (0.0156946762466 \pm 0.0000000103890273399i)$. The other roots of $P_1(\mu)$ are

$$\begin{aligned} x_{5,6} &= (15.6169913038 \pm 0.443784473665i), & x_{7,8} &= (16.1045835081 \pm 0.60324291928i), \\ x_9 &= 15.4238418891, & x_{10,11} &= (16.5675433687 \pm 0.291363414949i), & x_{12} &= 35.6520094578, \\ x_{13,14} &= (35.8640598326 \pm 0.342968435657i), & x_{15,16} &= (36.3114986519 \pm 0.241842187319i), \\ x_{17,18} &= (63.9746729986 \pm 0.044045369207i), & x_{19} &= 64.0506554041, \\ x_{20} &= 99.9999172995, & x_{21} &= 100.000082697. \end{aligned}$$

Using the decomposition

$$Q_1(\mu) = \frac{(\mu - x_1)(\mu - x_2) \cdots (\mu - x_{21})}{\mu^2(\mu - 16)^4(\mu - 36)^4(\mu - 64)^2(\mu - 100)^2},$$

by direct calculations, we obtain $|Q_1(\mu)| > 1.0992 \times 10^{-11}$, for all $\mu \in c_{-1}$ and $|Q_1(\mu)| > 1.0992 \times 10^{-11}$, for all $\mu \in c_1$. On the other hand, one can easily calculate that

$$|G(\mu) - Q_1(\mu)| \leq 2(|\mu - 4| + |a_2(\mu)| + |a_5(\mu)|) \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} |a_{3j-1}(\mu)| + \left(\sum_{j=3}^{\infty} |a_{3j-1}(\mu)| \right)^2 + \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} \frac{|b_{3j}(\mu)|}{|\mu|} < 4.7184 \times 10^{-12},$$

for all $\mu \in c_{-1} \cup c_1$. The proof follows from Rouché's theorem and Theorem 2.2; equation (2.16) has one root inside each of the circles c_{-1} and c_1 and μ_{-1} and μ_{+1} are the complex eigenvalues lying inside c_{-1} and c_1 , respectively.

3. Conclusion

In this paper, we have given estimates for the periodic eigenvalues, when $v_{-1}, v_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. We have even approximated complex eigenvalues by the roots of some polynomials derived from some iteration formulas. Finally, we have given a numerical example with error analysis using Rouché's theorem. In this paper, we have given a practical way to calculate the eigenvalues of the operator $T_0(v)$. The method used in this paper can be extended to compute the periodic eigenvalues of the Hill operator for different classes of potentials.

Article Information

Acknowledgements: The author is thankful for the Research Fund of Yalova University (Project no. 2019/AP/0010). The authors would like to express their sincere thanks to the editor and the anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments and suggestions.

Author's contributions: All authors contributed equally to the writing of this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflict of Interest Disclosure: No potential conflict of interest was declared by the author.

Copyright Statement: Authors own the copyright of their work published in the journal and their work is published under the CC BY-NC 4.0 license.

Supporting/Supporting Organizations: No grants were received from any public, private or non-profit organizations for this research.

Ethical Approval and Participant Consent: It is declared that during the preparation process of this study, scientific and ethical principles were followed and all the studies benefited from are stated in the bibliography.

Plagiarism Statement: This article was scanned by the plagiarism program. No plagiarism detected.

Availability of data and materials: Not applicable.

References

- [1] Bagarello, F., Gazeau, J.-P., Szafraniec, F., Znojil, M. (Eds.): *Non-selfadjoint operators in quantum physics: Mathematical aspects*. John Wiley & Sons (2015).
- [2] Bender, C. M.: *PT-symmetric potentials having continuous spectra*. *Journal of Physics A-Mathematical and Theoretical*. **53** (37), 375302 (2020).
- [3] Mostafazadeh, A.: *Pseudo-hermitian representation of quantum mechanics*. *International Journal of Geometric Methods in Modern Physics*. **11**, 1191-1306 (2010).
- [4] Veliev, O. A.: *On the spectral properties of the Schrodinger operator with a periodic PT-symmetric potential*. *International Journal of Geometric Methods in Modern Physics*. **14**, 1750065 (2017).
- [5] Veliev, O. A.: *On the finite-zone periodic PT-symmetric potentials*. *Moscow Mathematical Journal*. **19** (4), 807-816 (2019).
- [6] Veliev, O. A.: *Non-self-adjoint Schrödinger operator with a periodic potential*. Springer, Cham (2021).
- [7] Bender, C. M., Dunne, G. V., Meisinger, P. N.: *Complex periodic potentials with real band spectra*. *Physics Letters A*. **252**, 272-276 (1999).
- [8] Brown, B.M., Eastham, M. S. P., Schmidt, K. M.: *Periodic differential operators, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications*, 230, Birkhuser/Springer: Basel AG, Basel (2013).
- [9] Levy, M., Keller, B.: *Instability intervals of Hill's equation*. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*. **16**, 469-476 (1963).
- [10] Magnus, W., Winkler, S.: *Hill's equation*. Interscience Publishers, New York (1966).
- [11] Marchenko, V.: *Sturm-Liouville operators and applications*. Birkhauser Verlag, Basel (1986).

- [12] Eastham, M. S. P.: The spectral theory of periodic differential operators. Hafner. New York (1974).
- [13] Gasymov, M. G.: *Spectral analysis of a class of second-order nonself-adjoint differential operators*. Fankts. Anal. Prilozhen. **14**, 14-19 (1980).
- [14] Kerimov, N. B.: *On a boundary value problem of N. I. Ionkin type*. Differential Equations. **49**, 1233-1245 (2013).
- [15] Nur, C.: *On the estimates of periodic eigenvalues of Sturm-Liouville operators with trigonometric polynomial potentials*. Mathematical Notes. **109** (5), 794-807 (2021).
- [16] Veliev, O. A.: *Spectral problems of a class of non-self-adjoint one-dimensional Schrodinger operators*. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications. **422**, 1390-1401 (2015).
- [17] Pöschel, J., Trubowitz, E.: Inverse Spectral Theory. Academic Press, Boston, Mass, USA (1987).
- [18] Dernek, N., Veliev, O. A.: *On the Riesz basisness of the root functions of the nonself-adjoint Sturm-Liouville operators*. Israel Journal of Mathematics. **145**, 113-123 (2005).
- [19] Veliev, O. A.: *The spectrum of the Hamiltonian with a PT-symmetric periodic optical potential*. International Journal of Geometric Methods in Modern Physics. **15**, 1850008 (2018).

Affiliations

CEMILE NUR

ADDRESS: Department of Computer Engineering, Yalova University, Yalova, Turkey.

E-MAIL: cnur@yalova.edu.tr

ORCID ID:0000-0001-7375-3474