

Journal of Experimental and Clinical Medicine https://dergipark.org.tr/omujecm

Research Article



J Exp Clin Med 2022; 39(4): 928-938 doi: 10.52142/omujecm.39.4.3

Change in GFR in relation to pulse rate, dipping blood pressure, anti-hypertensives, NLR and PLR in patients with chronic kidney disease

Hüseyin DURU'*

Department of Internal Medicine, Rize Satate Hospital, Rize, Türkiye

	Received: 12.05.2022	•	Accepted/Published Online: 09.07.2022	٠	Final Version: 29.10.2022
--	-----------------------------	---	---------------------------------------	---	---------------------------

Abstract

This study aimed to evaluate the change in glomerular filtration rate (GFR) in chronic kidney disease (CKD) patients in relation to certain 24-h ambulatory blood pressure monitoring (ABPM) parameters and anti-hypertensives and inflammatory markers. This retrospective study included 206 adult CKD patients (mean \pm SD age: 51.3 \pm 17.1 years, 54.9% females). We recorded the data on patient demographics, comorbidity and medications, 24-h ABPM parameters (pulse rate and dipping systolic and diastolic BP), neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio (PLR) and GFR. We evaluated the change in e-GFR values from baseline concerning study variables. There was a mean - 1.5mL/min/1.73m²(range -80.2 to 18.1) decline from baseline GFR during the study period. The decrease in GFR from baseline was significantly lower in patients with vs without diuretic therapy (median 1.2 vs 0 mL/min/1.73m², p=0.017). The GFR change from baseline was positively correlated with the patient age (r=0.145, p=0.040) as well as with the total (r=0.198, p=0.005), day-time (r=0.184, p=0.009) and night-time (r=0.219, p=0.003) pulse rate. We noted no significant difference in the GFR change from baseline concerning gender, anti-hypertensive medications other than diuretics, dipping systolic or diastolic BP values or inflammatory markers. Our findings revealed a significant correlation between age, pulse rate and diuretic usage but not dipping systolic or diastolic BP or inflammatory markers with the GFR change from baseline.

Keywords: chronic kidney disease, hypertension, renal progression, dipping blood pressure, anti-hypertensive medications, inflammatory markers

1. Introduction

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is an important public health concern in association with an increased risk of adverse outcomes such as the development of end-stage renal disease (ESRD), cardiovascular events, psychiatric problems and mortality in the advanced stage (1-4). Given the continued risk of progression to ESRD and the mortality despite several measures devoted to managing CKD, identifying the risk factors of kidney function decline is considered crucial for patients with CKD (4, 5).

Hypertension leads to an increased risk of CKD development and progression to ESRD, while CKD is also a common cause and a sequel of uncontrolled hypertension (4-6).

Although the exact mechanisms of circadian pattern alterations in CKD patients remain unknown, the diurnal variability of blood pressure (BP) and pulse rate (reduced or absent decrease in nighttime BP levels in particular) is considered likely to be associated with end-organ damage and cardiovascular events in hypertensive CKD patients (7-13).

The 24-h ambulatory blood pressure monitoring (ABPM) is therefore considered the gold standard for assessing hypertension in CKD patients to assess the progression of

renal dysfunction and prevent cardiovascular complications (5,14).

In addition, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) and platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio (PLR) have also been proposed recently as markers of inflammation and predictors of renal decline in patients with CKD (15-19).

This study aimed to evaluate the change in GFR values in CKD patients in relation to certain 24-h ABPM parameters (pulse rate, dipping systolic and diastolic BP), anti-hypertensive medications and inflammatory markers (NLR, PLR).

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study population

We included 206 adult CKD patients (mean \pm SD age: 51.3 \pm 17.1 years, 54.9% females) in this retrospective descriptive study conducted between January 2013 and December 2017.

We obtained written informed consent from each subject, and the institutional ethics committee approved the study (Approval number: 2021/208, approval date: 9.12.2021).

2.2. Assessments

We recorded each patient's data on demographics (age, gender), body mass index (BMI, kg/m²), active smoking, comorbid diseases, anti-hypertensive medications, 24-h ABPM parameters, including pulse (bpm, total daytime, nighttime) and dipping systolic and diastolic BP (mmHg) via inflammatory markers (NLR, PLR) and glomerular filtration rate (GFR; baseline, last visit and the change from baseline values).

A decrease of $\geq 10\%$ in BP value measured at night compared to daytime is considered dipping. We calculated the patients' e-GFR values (mL/min/1.73m²) using the CKD-EPI formula. We evaluated the change in e-GFR values from baseline concerning demographics, anti-hypertensive medications, pulse, dipping BP and inflammatory markers.

2.3. Statistical analysis

We conducted statistical analyses using MedCalc® Statistical Software version 19.7.2 (MedCalc Software Ltd, Ostend, Belgium; https://www.medcalc.org; 2021). We used Mann-Whitney U test to analyze the parametric variables and analyzed the correlation of GFR difference from baseline with study parameters via Spearman correlation analysis. We expressed the data as mean \pm standard deviation (SD), median (min-max) and percent (%) where appropriate and considered p <0.05 statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Demographic and clinical characteristics

Mean±SD patient age was 51.3 ± 17.1 years, and 54.9% of patients were females. Concomitant hypertension was evident in 90.3% of CKD patients, while diabetes in 25.7%. The most commonly used anti-hypertensive medications were calcium channel blockers (CCB, 40.8%), beta-blockers (28.9%) and diuretics (23.3%) (Table 1).

Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics

the and ennied endiacteristic		
mean±SD	51.3±17.1	
Median (min-max)	52.5(17-86)	
	93(45.1)	
Female		
an±SD	31.1±6.4	
n(%)	46(22.3)	
es, n(%)		
	53(25.7)	
Hypertension		
e medications, n(%)		
CCB		
Beta blocker		
Diuretic		
ACEi		
ARB		
Alpha-blocker		
	Median (min-max) an±SD n(%) es, n(%)	

BMI: Body mass index; CCB: Calcium channel blocker; ACEi: Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB: Angiotensin receptor blocker

3.2. Cardiac parameters, inflammatory markers and GFR change from baseline

Mean \pm SD total daytime and nighttime pulse rate values were 74.1 \pm 10, 76.3 \pm 10.7 and 66.5 \pm 8.9, respectively. Median dipping systolic and diastolic BP values were 6.8mmHg (range, -17.5 to 100 mmHg) and 9.7mmHg (range, -14.5 to 100mmHg), respectively (Table 2).

Mean \pm SD NLR values were 2.3 \pm 2.2, while PLR values were 130.2 \pm 57.0 (Table 2).

There was a mean -1.5 mL/min/ $1.73m^2$ (range -80.2 to $18.1mL/min/1.73m^2$) decline from baseline GFR during study period (Table 2).

 Table 2. 24-h ABPM parameters, inflammatory markers and GFR change from baseline

24-h ABPM parameters				
Pulse rate (bpm), mean±SD	Total	74.1±10		
	Day-time	76.3±10.7		
moun_5D	Nigh-time	66.5±8.9		
Dipping blood pressure	Systolic	6.8 (-17.5-100)		
(mmHg), median (min- max)	Diastolic	9.7 (-14.5-100)		
Inflammatory markers,	mean±SD			
NLR		2.3±2.2		
PLR		130.2±57.0		
GFR (mL/min/1.73m ²)				
Baseline	mean±SD	74.8±34.6		
	Median (min-max)	78.9(0.4- 166.1)		
Last visit	mean±SD	73.4±33.4		
	Median(min-max)	74.5(6.1- 147.1)		
Change from baseline	mean±SD	-1.5±15.4		

NLR: Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio; PLR: Platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio; GFR: Glomerular filtration rate

3.3. GFR change from baseline with respect to study parameters

We noted no significant difference in GFR change from baseline with respect to gender or anti-hypertensive medications other than diuretics. The decrease in GFR from baseline was significantly lower in patients with vs without diuretic therapy (median 1.2 vs 0 mL/min/1.73m², p=0.017) (Table 3).

3.4. Correlation of GFR change with continuous variables GFR change from baseline was positively correlated with patient age (r=0.145, p=0.040) as well as with the total (r=0.198, p=0.005), day-time (r=0.184, p=0.009) and night-time (r=0.219, p=0.003) pulse rate values. We noted no significant change from baseline GFR with the dipping systolic or diastolic BP values or inflammatory markers.

	GFR change from		
	baseline (mL/min/1.73m ²)	p value	
	median		
	(min-max)		
	-0.1±13.6	0.444	
	0(-29.5-18.1)		
ve			
No	0(-80.2-18.1)	0.983	
Yes	0(-49-62.2)		
No	0(-49.7-18.1)	0.757	
Yes	0(-80.2-17.5)		
No	0(-80.2-62.2)	0.527	
Yes	0(-22.9-18.1)		
No	0(-49-62.2)	0.192	
Yes	0(-80.2-18.1)		
No	0(-80.2-18.1)	0.295	
Yes	0(-19.8-27.9)		
No	0(-80.2-62.2)	0.017	
Yes	1.2(-19.8-18.1)		
	No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No	baseline (mL/min/1.73m²) median (min-max) -0.1±13.6 0(-29.5-18.1) ve No 0(-80.2-18.1) Yes 0(-49-62.2) No 0(-80.2-17.5) No 0(-80.2-17.5) No 0(-80.2-17.5) No 0(-49-62.2) Yes 0(-29.9-18.1) No 0(-49-62.2) Yes 0(-80.2-18.1) No 0(-80.2-18.1) No 0(-80.2-18.1) No 0(-80.2-18.1) No 0(-80.2-18.1) No 0(-80.2-18.1) No 0(-80.2-18.1)	

Table 3. GFR	change from	baseline	with	respect	to	gender	and
antihypertensive	e medications						

ACEi: Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB: Angiotensin receptor blocker; CCB: Calcium channel blocker; GFR: Glomerular filtration rate Mann Whitney U test

4. Discussion

Our findings revealed that eGFR declined by 1.5 units on average during follow up in CKD patients, along with a significant correlation of age, pulse rate and diuretic usage with the change in GFR over time. The gender, BMI, other types of anti-diabetic medications, dipping systolic or diastolic BP or inflammatory markers (NLR, PLR) had no significant impact on GFR change over time in CKD patients.

Notably, a past study with 190 hypertensive patients reported patients with reduced eGFR (60 mL/minute/1.73 m²) to have an earlier time of systolic BP to reach a significantly higher level above the midnight systolic BP, to be older and to include more non-dippers (57.8% vs 39.3%) than those with a normal eGFR, while the time course of pulse rate was similar in reduced vs normal GFR groups (9). The 5-year data of APrODiTe-2 study revealed faster eGFR progression and adverse renal outcomes in patients with poor BP control at baseline or over one year and higher systolic BP and pulse pressure (5).

In this regard, the association of total daytime and nighttime pulse rates with the amount of decline in GFR in CKD patients in the current study seems to be in accordance with the consideration of pulse rate as a good surrogate marker of adverse renal outcomes and eGFR progression, as well as the association of lower BP burden over at least one year with increased likelihood of better renal outcomes over five years (5).

Although the non-dipper HT pattern is considered to be more prevalent in CKD patients as a known indicator of rapid end-organ damage, the direct interaction between non-dipper HT and renal progression remains unclear (20,21). Past studies based on 24-h ABPM recording revealed the likelihood of increased DBP variability associated with better renal outcomes (13) and no significant impact of 24-hour systolic and diastolic BP variability on the progression of CKD (21-23). Our findings revealed no association between dipping systolic or diastolic BP and GFR decline from baseline. Likewise, we have previously reported in 186 adult patients with CKD and HT that the dipper HT pattern was prevalent (45.8%) in them, possibly concerning the presence of severe proteinuria and no significant association of BP variability or non-dipper HT pattern with renal progression (21).

However, other studies indicated the increased systolic BP variability to be a significant determinant of increased risk of CKD and ESRD (11,12). Also, a past study with 436 CKD patients reported non-dipper HT as a significant risk factor for CKD progression through an analysis adjusted for 24-hour ABPM, cardiovascular history, proteinuria and other risk factors (10). Similarly, the authors of a past study with 46 CKD patients reported a significant decrease in dipping diastolic BP during the night, whereas there was no change in nighttime systolic dipping, mean BP values or pulse wave velocity after a one-year observation period (7). The authors noted the likelihood of peripheral and central BP not participating in the CKD progression and no change in their levels over a 1-year follow up despite the significant decline of eGFR (7). The authors also suggested that the reduced magnitude of the diastolic dipping had a key role in the pathogenesis of deterioration of kidney function (7). Also, patients with IgA-nephropathy and non-dipping BP patterns were reported to have lower eGFR and more extensive renal tissue damage than those with preserved dipping BP patterns (24). Moreover, 1-year data from the APrODiTe-2 study with 400 CKD patients revealed the association of good BP control and the dipper BP pattern with subtler eGFR and proteinuria changes (25).

Indeed, given the association of non-dipping BP profile and nocturnal hypertension with hypertension-mediated organ damage in CKD patients, ABPM is suggested to be more extensively used for applying individual risk assessment and personalized anti-hypertensive treatment in CKD patients (26), and the daily BP variability on 24-hour ABPM rather than visit-to-visit BP variability is considered more valuable in reflecting the renal survival (5,21). Notably, a past study with 10271 hypertensive patients (3227 with CKD) from the Hygia Project reported patients with vs without CKD to have older age, higher nocturnal systolic BP, higher ambulatory pulse pressure, and lower daytime ambulatory diastolic BP along with the higher prevalence of non-dipping and the riser BP (elevated asleep systolic BP) pattern (27). The authors emphasized the increased prevalence of a blunted nocturnal BP decline and the riser BP pattern, and the elevated pulse pressure as a marker of increased arterial stiffness. They enhanced CVD risk in hypertensive patients with CKD to indicate a need for ABPM to be considered necessary for proper diagnosis and CVD risk assessment in CKD patients (27).

In fact, our findings on the significant age-dependency of GFR decline seem notable given the reported association of age with SBP or DBP variability in the past studies (21,22,28). In addition, the association of diuretic treatment with GFR change from baseline in our study also seems to support the likelihood of the different impacts of different anti-hypertensive medications on BP variability (i.e., a decline in variability with calcium channel blockers and non-loop diuretics and an increase in variability with ACE inhibitors) (29).

Although previous studies indicated a likelihood of NLR to serve as an independent risk factor for hypertension and renal progression in patients with IgA nephropathy (18,19) and both NLR and PLR to be potential markers for predicting renal outcomes in patients with rapidly progressive glomerulonephritis (RPGN) (15), our findings revealed no correlation of NLR or PLR values with GFR decline during follow up.

In conclusion, our findings revealed a significant correlation between age, pulse rate, and diuretic usage but not dipping systolic or diastolic BP or inflammatory markers with the change of GFR in CKD patients. Future longer-term large-scale studies addressing BP patterns via 24-hour ABPM in CKD patients are needed to understand the exact role of BP variability and dipping status in controlling hypertension and predicting renal progression in CKD patients.

Conflict of interest

The authors declared no conflict of interest.

Funding

No funding was used for the study.

Acknowledgments

None to declare.

Authors' contributions

Concept: H.D., Design:H.D., Data Collection or Processing: H.D., Analysis or Interpretation: H.D., Literature Search: H.D., Writing: H.D.

References

1. Jha V, Garcia-Garcia G, Iseki K, Li Z, Naicker S, Plattner B, et al. Chronic kidney disease: global dimension and perspectives. Lancet. 2013; 382(9888): 260-272.

- **2.** Grams ME, Yang W, Rebholz CM, Wang X, Porter AC, Inker LA, et al. Risks of adverse events in advanced CKD: the chronic renal insufficiency cohort (CRIC) study. Am J Kidney Dis. 2017; 70(3): 337-346.
- **3.** Duru H. The prevalence and severity of mental health problems and sexual dysfunction in hemodialysis patients before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. Ther Apher Dial. 2022 Jan 27. Epub ahead of print. doi: 10.1111/1744-9987.13805.
- **4.** Jhee JH, Nam BY, Lee CJ, Park JT, Han SH, Kang SW, et al. Soluble Urokinase-Type Plasminogen Activator Receptor, Changes of 24-Hour Blood Pressure, and Progression of Chronic Kidney Disease. J Am Heart Assoc. 2021; 10(1): e017225.
- Cha RH, Lee H, Lee JP, Kim YS, Kim SG. The influence of blood pressure patterns on renal outcomes in patients with chronic kidney disease: The long-term follow up result of the APrODiTe-2 study. Medicine (Baltimore). 2020; 99(8): e19209.
- 6. Hamrahian SM. Management of Hypertension in Patients with Chronic Kidney Disease. Curr Hypertens Rep. 2017; 19(5): 43.
- 7. Kuczera P, Kwiecień K, Adamczak M, Bączkowska T, Gozdowska J, Madziarska K, et al. Different Relevance of Peripheral, Central or Nighttime Blood Pressure Measurements in the Prediction of Chronic Kidney Disease Progression in Patients with Mild or No-Proteinuria. Kidney Blood Press Res. 2018; 43(3): 735-743.
- Salles GF, Reboldi G, Fagard RH, Cardoso CR, Pierdomenico SD, Verdecchia P, et al. Prognostic Effect of the Nocturnal Blood Pressure Fall in Hypertensive Patients: The Ambulatory Blood Pressure Collaboration in Patients With Hypertension (ABC-H) Meta-Analysis. Hypertension. 2016; 67(4): 693-700.
- **9.** Kuramoto M, Aizawa M, KuramotoY, Okabe M, Sakata Y, Aizawa Y. Blood Pressure Increases Before Pulse Rate During the Nocturnal Period in Hypertensive Patients. Int Heart J. 2020; 61(3): 579-584.
- **10.** Minutolo R, Agarwal R, Borrelli S, Chiodini P, Bellizzi V, Nappi F, et al. Prognostic role of ambulatory blood pressure measurement in patients with nondialysis chronic kidney disease. Arch Intern Med. 2011; 171(12): 1090-1098.
- 11. Whittle J, Lynch AI, Tanner RM, Simpson LM, Davis BR, Rahman M, et al. Visit-to-Visit Variability of BP and CKD Outcomes: Results from the ALLHAT. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2016; 11(3): 471-480.
- **12.** McMullan CJ, Lambers Heerspink HJ, Parving H-H, Dwyer JP, Forman JP, de Zeeuw D. Visit-to-visit variability in blood pressure and kidney and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes and nephropathy: a post hoc analysis from the RENAAL study and the Irbesartan Diabetic Nephropathy Trial. Am J Kidney Dis. 2014; 64(5): 714-722.
- Sahutoglu T, Sakaci T. Diastolic blood pressure variability in 24 hour-ABPM and outcomes of chronic kidney disease. Clin Nephrol. 2018; 90(1): 46-52.
- 14. Pickering TG, Shimbo D, Haas D. Ambulatory blood-pressure monitoring. N Engl J Med. 2006; 354(22): 2368-2374.
- **15.** Mae Y, Takata T, Ida A, Ogawa M, Taniguchi S, Yamamoto M, et al. Prognostic Value of Neutrophil-To-Lymphocyte Ratio and Platelet-To-Lymphocyte Ratio for Renal Outcomes in Patients with Rapidly Progressive Glomerulonephritis. J Clin Med. 2020; 9(4): 1128.
- **16.** Turkmen K, Guney I, Yerlikaya FH, Tonbul HZ. The relationship between neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio and inflammation in end-stage renal disease patients. Ren Fail. 2012; 34(2): 155-159.

- **17.** Turkmen K, Erdur FM, Ozcicek F, Ozcicek A, Akbas EM, Ozbicer A, et al. Platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio better predicts inflammation than neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio in end-stage renal disease patients. Hemodial Int. 2013; 17(3): 391-396.
- **18.** Wang S, Dong L, Pei G, Jiang Z, Qin A, Tan J, et al. High Neutrophil-To-Lymphocyte Ratio Is an Independent Risk Factor for End Stage Renal Diseases in IgA Nephropathy. Front Immunol. 2021; 12: 700224.
- 19. Li Q, Chen P, Shi S, Liu L, Lv J, Zhu L, et al. Neutrophil-tolymphocyte ratio as an independent inflammatory indicator of poor prognosis in IgA nephropathy. Int Immunopharmacol. 2020; 87: 106811.
- 20. Davidson MB, Hix JK, Vidt DG, Brotman DJ. Association of impaired diurnal blood pressure variation with a subsequent decline in glomerular filtration rate. Arch Intern Med. 2006; 166(8): 846-852.
- **21.** Duru H, Kara E. The relationship between blood pressure variability and renal progression in hypertensive patients with chronic kidney disease. Gevher Nesibe J Med Health Sci. 2021; 6(14): 80-88.
- **22.** Di Iorio B, Pota A, Sirico ML, Torraca S, Di Micco L, Rubino R, et al. Blood pressure variability and outcomes in chronic kidney disease. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2012; 27(12): 4404-4410.
- **23.** Manios E, Tsagalis G, Tsivgoulis G, Barlas G, Koroboki E, Michas F, et al. Time rate of blood pressure variation is

associated with impaired renal function in hypertensive patients. J Hypertens. 2009; 27(11): 2244-2248.

- 24. Briet M, Collin C, Karras A, Laurent S, Bozec E, Jacquot C, et al. Arterial remodeling associates with CKD progression. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2011; 22(5): 967-974.
- **25.** Cha RH, Lee H, Lee JP, Kang E, Song YR, Kim YS, et al. Changes of blood pressure patterns and target organ damage in patients with chronic kidney disease: results of the APrODiTe-2 study. J Hypertens. 2017; 35(3): 593-601.
- **26.** Stróżecki P, Pluta A, Donderski R, Włodarczyk Z, Manitius J. Abnormal diurnal blood pressure profile and hypertensionmediated organ damage in nondiabetic chronic kidney disease G1-G3b patients. Blood Press Monit. 2021; 26(1): 22-29.
- 27. Mojón A, Ayala DE, Piñeiro L, Otero A, Crespo JJ, Moyá A, et al. Comparison of ambulatory blood pressure parameters of hypertensive patients with and without chronic kidney disease. Chronobiol Int. 2013; 30(1-2): 145-158.
- **28.** Benetos A, Salvi P, Lacolley P. Blood pressure regulation during the aging process: the end of the 'hypertension era'?. J Hypertens. 2011; 29(4): 646-652.
- **29.** Webb AJ, Fischer U, Mehta Z, Rothwell PM. Effects of antihypertensive-drug class on interindividual variation in blood pressure and risk of stroke: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet. 2010; 375(9718): 906-915.