#### Iğdır Üniversitesi Fen Bilimleri Enstitüsü Dergisi, 12(3): 1345-1357, 2022 Journal of the Institute of Science and Technology, 12(3): 1345-1357, 2022

|  | ISSN: 2146-0574, eISSN: 2536-4618     |  |  |  |
|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Biology  | DOI: 10.21597/jist.1117484            |  |  |  |
| Research Article   |                                       |  |  |  |
| Received: 16.05.2022   | Accepted: 20.07.2022                  |  |  |  |
| To Cite: Koca M, 2022. Synthesis and Cholinesterase Inhibitory Potential                         | s of (5-formylfuran-2-yl) methyl 3,4- |  |  |  |
| dimethoxy/nitro benzoates. Journal of the Institute of Science and Technology, 12(3): 1345-1357. |                                       |  |  |  |

# Synthesis and Cholinesterase Inhibitory Potentials of (5-formylfuran-2-yl) methyl 3,4dimethoxy/nitro benzoates

# Mehmet KOCA<sup>1\*</sup>

**ABSTRACT:** Cholinesterase (ChE) inhibitors are an important group of drugs used in Alzheimer's, glaucoma, and myasthenia gravis. In recent years, cholinesterase inhibition potentials of compounds have been investigated in new drug discovery studies. In this study (5-formylfuran-2-yl) methyl 4-nitro benzoate (compound 1) and newly designed (5-formylfuran-2-yl) methyl 3,4-dimethoxybenzoate (compound 2) were synthesized. The chemical structures of the synthesized compounds were characterized by spectral data (HRMS, <sup>1</sup>H NMR, and <sup>13</sup>C NMR). The ChE inhibitory activity of the compounds was evaluated using *in vitro* colorimetric Ellman method. Compound 1 and compound 2 exhibited inhibitory activity against AChE at IC<sub>50</sub> values of 3.25  $\mu$ M and 8.45  $\mu$ M, respectively. Compound 1 and Compound 2 showed inhibitory activity against BuChE at IC<sub>50</sub> values of 8.45  $\mu$ M and 14.44  $\mu$ M, respectively. In Docking simulations with 1EVE and 1P0I, the binding free energy scores of compound 1 were higher than the binding free energy scores of compound 2. In this respect, *in silico* molecular docking studies overlapped with *in vitro* enzyme inhibitions.

Keywords: Benzoate, cholinesterase, inhibition, molecular docking, synthesis

\*Corresponding Author: Mehmet KOCA, e-mail: kocamehmet@atauni.edu.tr

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Mehmet KOCA (Orcid ID: 0000-0002-1517-5925), Atatürk Üniversitesi, Eczacılık Fakültesi, Farmasötik Kimya Bölümü, Erzurum, Türkiye

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Alzheimer is a disease characterized by disruption of the cholinergic system, Aβ accumulation, tau hyperphosphorylation, metal dyshomeostasis, neuroinflammation, and various cellular changes related to the pathogenesis of the disease. (Chen et al., 2022; Karran and De Strooper, 2022; Tecalco–Cruz et al., 2022) Based on these findings, various hypotheses have been proposed for the prevention of Alzheimer's and slowing the progression of Alzheimer's disease. (Contestabile, 2011; Giacobini, 2003) Acetylcholine, a neurotransmitter secreted by cholinergic neurons, is important for cognitive function.(Klinkenberg et al., 2011; Muir, 1997). Acetylcholine is broken down or hydrolyzed to acetic acid and choline (Ch) by cholinesterases (ChEs). (Silman and Sussman, 2008) ChEs are acetylcholinesterase (AChE), which is the specific cholinesterase, and butyrylcholinesterase (BuChE), which is a non-specific cholinesterase. Acetylcholinesterase inhibitors continue to be used today to prevent the progression of Alzheimer's disease. (S. Zhou and Huang, 2022) BuChE has lower acetylcholine catalytic efficiency than AChE. (Ha et al., 2020) BChE shows protective activity against the toxicity of nerve agents. BuChE inhibitors can produce lower side effects than specific AChE inhibitors in long-term use. (S. Zhou and Huang, 2022)

There are a limited number of drugs currently used in Alzheimer's, such as Donepezil, galantamine, and rivastigmine, which are FDA-approved as cholinesterase inhibitors. (Sharma, 2019) All these drugs have side effects such as insomnia, nausea, loss of appetite, and diarrhea. In addition, these drugs may show different side effects on the cardiovascular system, which can result in death.(Ali et al., 2015)

Lipophilicity plays a role in modulating AChE inhibitor potency. (Carotti et al., 2006) The methyl and ethyl ester derivatives of phenolic acids were found to be more potent inhibitors than the corresponding free acids. (Szwajgier, 2013)

The ChEs inhibitory potentials of phenyl acetates and phenylacetamides were evaluated. According to the results, esters caused more effective ChEs inhibitory activity than their amide analogs. Among the compounds, 4-nitro phenyl derivatives showed higher activity compared to other 4-substituents.(Krátký et al., 2016)

AChE has two important active sites: the catalytic anionic domain (CAS) and the peripheral anionic domain (PAS). Acetylcholine is hydrolyzed in CAS. (Bajda et al., 2013) PAS influences the conformation of the CAS and the entry of ligands into the CAS region. (Bourne et al., 2003)

Donepezil (Figure 1) is a cholinesterase inhibitor in the structure of 3,4 dimethoxy indanone, which is the most used in mild to moderate AD. (Sugimoto et al., 2012)



Figure 1. Structure of donepezil

In this study, two benzoate esters of 5-Hydroxymethylfurfuraldehyde were synthesized (Figure 2). From these compounds (5-formylfuran-2-yl) methyl 3,4-dimethoxybenzoate was synthesized for the first time in this study. In addition, ChE inhibitor activities of both molecules were reported for the first time in this study



Figure 2. Structure of the benzoate esters of 5-Hydroxymethylfurfuraldehyde

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Chemistry

1 equivalent D-fructose (3,6 gr) was dissolved in DMSO (40 ml) in a 100 ml glass balloon. After that 0.1 equivalent FeCl<sub>3</sub>.H<sub>2</sub>O/Activated charcoal (135 mg/800 mg) were added as the catalyst. The mixture was stirred at 90-100 °C for 4-5 hours with a magnetic stirrer. Afterward, the mixture was filtered and removed from the activated charcoal. After that, 80 ml of water was added to the mixture and the mixture was extracted 3 times with 30 ml of ethyl acetate. Next, the ethyl acetate portion was separated and concentrated under a vacuum. The crude mixture can be used as such for the next reaction. If it is desired to obtain a pure 5-HMF completely separated from DMSO, the crude mixture can be purified using column chromatography. (Ding et al., 2018)

## Synthesis of (5-formylfuran-2-yl)methyl benzoate derivatives

5-HMF (366 mg, 1 mmol) was dissolved in 10 mL of dichloromethane. Trimethylamine (606 g, 2 mmol) was then added to the reaction medium. The mixture was then cooled to 0 °C. Then, benzoyl chloride derivatives (1.5 mmol) were added slowly into the mixture. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight (10-12 hours) (Figure 3). The product formation in the reaction was followed by TLC. The reaction was terminated by adding water to the mixture. The dichloromethane phase was separated and concentrated under a vacuum. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (ethyl acetate/hexane = 3:2). (S. Zhou and Huang, 2022)



Figure 3. Synthesis of the target compounds

NMR experiments were performed and were recorded with 400 (100) MHz Bruker instruments. Interchangeable hydrogens or carbons were shown with the same letters (Figure 4 and Figure 5).



Figure 4. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz ) and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (100 MHz ) spectra of compound 1 (CDCl3).

# (5-formylfuran-2-yl) methyl 3,4-dimethoxybenzoate

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 9.61 (s, 1H), 7.66 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (s, 1H), 7.20 (s, 1H), 6.85 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.64 (s, 1H), 5.32 (s, 2H), 3.90 (s, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 177.84, 165.72, 155.77, 153.39, 152.81, 148.67, 124.03, 121.65, 112.78, 112.02, 110.27, 58.06, 56.04. HRMS (Q-TOF) m/z Calcd for [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> 313.06815, found. 313.06815

12(3): 1345 - 1357, 2022

(5-formilfuran-2-il)metil 3,4-dimetoksi/nitro benzoatların Sentezi ve Kolinesteraz İnhibitör Potansiyelleri





## (5-formylfuran-2-yl) methyl 4-nitro benzoate

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  9.63 (s, 1H), 8.22 (dd, J = 25.0, 8.7 Hz, 4H), 7.23 (d, J = 3.5 Hz, 1H), 6.69 (d, J = 3.4 Hz, 1H), 5.40 (s, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  177.85, 164.10, 154.47, 153.07, 150.77, 134.64, 131.00, 123.63, 121.79, 113.38, 77.38, 77.07, 76.75, 58.89. HRMS (Q-TOF) m/z Calcd for [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> 298.03218, found. 298.03208

HRMS spectra were recorded with an Agilent 6530 LC-MS QTOF (Figure 6).



Figure 0. Q-101 Analysis Results of Compound 1 and Com

#### **ChEs inhibitory activity**

The inhibitory effect of (5-formylfuran-2-yl)methyl (4-nitro/3,4-dimethoxy)benzoates on AChE and BuChE activities was performed according to the spectrophotometric method of Ellman et al. (1961) (Ellman et al., 1961) with slight modifications as described previously. (Koca and Bilginer, 2022; Koca et al., 2015) AChE (E.C.3.1.1.7) and BuChE (E.C.3.1.1.8) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Donepezil was used as the reference compound. All test compounds were prepared in dimethylsulfoxide at 4 different concentrations ranging from 0.05 to 4.30  $\mu$ M. The solutions of ChEs (0.2 U/mL), 5,5'-Dithio-bis(2-nitro-benzoic)acid (DTNB) (3 mM), tris buffer solution (50 mM, PH 8.0), acetylthiocholine iodide (ATCI) / butyrylthiocholine iodide (BTCI) (15 mM) were prepared in deionized water. The absorbance of the reaction mixture was then measured three times at 412 nm every 45 s using a microplate reader (Bio-Tek ELx800, Winooski, VT). IC<sub>50</sub> values were obtained from activity (%) versus compounds plots (Figure 7).



Figure 7. Activity % - [inhibitor] plots of compounds on ChEs.

## **Enzyme kinetic studies**

Catalytic evaluation of ChEs was performed in the presence or absence of inhibitor compound at 5 different substrate concentrations 100 mM, 50 mM, 25 mM, 12.5 mM, and 6.25 mM. ATCI was used as the substrate for AChE, while BTCI was used as the substrate for BuChE. The  $K_i$  value of compound 1 was tested at 5  $\mu$ M,10  $\mu$ M, and 15  $\mu$ M concentrations, the  $K_i$  value of compound 2 was tested at 7  $\mu$ M,14  $\mu$ M, 21  $\mu$ M concentrations, and the  $K_i$  value of donepezil was tested at 0.08  $\mu$ M, 0.16  $\mu$ M, 0.32  $\mu$ M concentrations. While measuring the enzymatic reactions, the conditions mentioned in the ChEs inhibitory activity section were applied. The  $K_i$  values were calculated by plotting the Lineweaver-Burk curves using excel (Figure 8). (Lineweaver and Burk, 1934)



Figure 8. Lineweaver-Burg graphs for inhibitors and ChEs

### **Molecular docking studies**

The crystal structure of AChE (1EVE) and the crystal structure of BuChE (1P0I) were attained from the Protein Data Bank. Ligand and receptor structures were prepared for molecular docking using Autodock4.2 tools. (Morris et al., 2009)

The grid dimensions were  $40 \times 40 \times 40$ . The spacing between grid points was separated by 0.375 Å. Docking studies were performed on the binding sites of specific ligands for receptors. The binding positions of the ligands were determined using the Lamarckian genetic algorithm. A maximum of 10 conformers were considered during the docking process for each compound. Clustering conformations were analyzed with RMSD tolerance of less than 2.0 Å. The binding free energy scores were ranked by the lowest energy representative of each cluster. Protein-ligand interactions were visualized by using Discovery Studio Visualiz

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

(5-formylfuran-2-yl) methyl 3,4-dimethoxy benzoate (compound 1) and (5-formylfuran-2-yl) methyl 4-nitro benzoate (compound 2) were obtained in good yields, 92%, and 83%, respectively. While the benzoyl chloride used in the synthesis of the first molecule has a strong electron-withdrawing group such as nitro at the para position, the benzoyl chloride used in the synthesis of the second molecule has a strong electron-donating group such as methoxy at the para position. Therefore, the first molecule was synthesized with a higher yield than the second molecule. (Krygowski and Stępień, 2005) The reaction took place at room temperature.

The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of compounds showed a singlet at  $\delta$  5.32/5.40 due to methylene (CH<sub>2</sub>) protons.  $\delta$  The two doublets in 7.6 and 6.6 are due to furan protons. A singlet at  $\delta$  9.61/9.63 is due to

| Mehmet KOCA   | 12(3): 1345 - 1357, 2022 |  |  |
|---|--------------------------|--|--|
| (5-formilfuran-2-il)metil 3,4-dimetoksi/nitro benzoatların Sentezi ve Kolinesteraz İnhibitör Potansiyelleri |                          |  |  |

aldehyde (CHO) further confirms the structure. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of compound 1 methoxy protons (2xOCH<sub>3</sub>) appeared as a singlet at 3.90. The mass spectrum of compound 1 and compound 2 showed natrium ion peaks at m/z = 313.06815 (M+Na) and m/z = 298.03218 (M+Na) respectively which is in agreement with the molecular formulas (C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O<sub>6</sub> and C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>9</sub>O<sub>6</sub>).

Tacrine is a competitive/non-competitive reversible ChEs inhibitor approved by the FDA for use in Alzheimer's. (Osmaniye et al., 2022) Tacrine, which has IC<sub>50</sub> values of low micromolar concentrations against ChEs, is used as a reference inhibitor in many studies on in vitro ChE inhibition. (Özbey et al., 2016; Yılmaz et al., 2016) However, due to the hepatotoxic effects of tacrine, its clinical use is very limited.(Uğur Güller, Pınar Güller, 2021) In this study, donepezil was used as the reference inhibitor. Results of in vitro inhibitory and molecular docking studies of (5-formylfuran-2-yl) methyl benzoate derivatives on AChE and BuChE are summarized in Table 1. According to Table 1, IC<sub>50</sub> values of the reference drug donepezil were 0.08 µM and 0.37 µM against AChE and BuChE. Compound 1 and Compound 2 had IC<sub>50</sub> values against AChE of 3.25 and 8.45 µM, respectively, while IC<sub>50</sub> values of Compound 1 and Compound 2 were 8.88 µM and 14.44 µM against BuChE, respectively. In enzyme kinetic studies, donepezil showed a  $K_i$  value of 0.070±0.002  $\mu$ M against AChE, while a  $K_i$  value of 0.29±0.003 µM against BuChE. Compound 1 exhibited a  $K_i$  value of 3.03±0.12  $\mu$ M against AChE and a K<sub>i</sub> value of 7.28±0.07  $\mu$ M against BuChE. Compound 2 performed a K<sub>i</sub> value of 7.2±0.14  $\mu$ M against AChE and a K<sub>i</sub> value of 13.78±0.62  $\mu$ M against BuChE. The inhibitory potential of compound 1 against both ChEs was approximate twice the inhibitory potential of compound 2 against both ChEs.

In a study in the literature, nitrobenzoate derivatives were synthesized by reacting the hydroxyl group of salicylaldehyde with nitro substituted benzoyl chloride. In the study, the effect of the position of the electron-withdrawing nitro group in the benzene ring on the choline esterase inhibitory activity of the molecules was investigated. Acetylcholine esterase inhibitory activity of 4-Nitrobenzoate derivative was found to be higher than the inhibitory activity of 2-Nitrobenzoate and 3-Nitrobenzoate derivatives at micromolar concentrations.(Çakmak et al., 2021)

According to the results of dockings with both ChEs while donepezil's binding free energy scores were higher than compound 1's binding free energy scores, compound 1's binding free energy scores were higher than compound 2's binding free energy scores. The fact that compound 1 has a higher inhibition potential than compound 2 may be due to the presence of a group that provides electrons to the ring with resonance in compound 1, and the presence of a group that withdraws electrons by resonance from the ring in compound 2.

| Enzyme | Compounds  | R1                | R2                | IC <sub>50</sub><br>(μM) | Types of<br>Inhibition | <i>K<sub>i</sub></i><br>(μΜ) | Estimated Free<br>Energy of Binding<br>(kcal/mol) |
|--------|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|---|
|        | Compound 1 | -OCH <sub>3</sub> | -OCH <sub>3</sub> | 3.25                     | Competitive            | 3.03±0.12                    | -7.60   |
| hAChE  | Compound 2 | -NO <sub>2</sub>  | -H                | 8.45                     | Competitive            | 7.2±0.14                     | -7.14   |
| Ч      | Donepezil  |                   |                   | 0.08                     | Non-competitive        | $0.070 \pm 0.002$            | -10.44  |
|        | Compound 1 | -OCH <sub>3</sub> | -OCH <sub>3</sub> | 8.88                     | Competitive            | $7.28 \pm 0.07$              | -6.90   |
| hBuChE | Compound 2 | -NO <sub>2</sub>  | -H                | 14.44                    | Competitive            | 13.78±0.62                   | -6.45   |
| hBu    | Donepezil  |                   |                   | 0.37                     | Non-competitive        | 0.29±0.003                   | -8.88   |

Table 1. Results of inhibitory activity and docking scores

Binding interactions of the compounds and AChE were presented in Figure 9. The catalytic triad, also known as the estartatic portion of the enzyme, is where acetylcholine is hydrolyzed to choline and acetic acid. (Y. Zhou et al., 2010) CAS consists of Ser200, His440, and Glu327 residues called

| Mehmet KOCA   | 12(3): 1345 - 1357, 2022                      |
|---|---|
| (5-formilfuran-2-il)metil 3,4-dimetoksi/nitro benzoatların Sent | tezi ve Kolinesteraz İnhibitör Potansiyelleri |

catalytic triad in Torpedo californica (TcAChE). In docking studies, hydrogen bond formation was observed between both compounds and the catalytic residues of 1EVE (HIS440 and SER200).

The peripheral anionic domain (PAS) is located at the entrance of AChE and is important for the molecule to reach the catalytic part of the enzyme.(Colletier et al., 2006; Silman and Sussman, 2008) PAS consists of residues Tyr 70, Asp 72, Tyr 121, Trp 279, and Tyr 334 in Torpedo California. (Johnson and Moore, 2006) While hydrogen bond formation was observed between the benzoyl carbonyl of Compound 1 and the residue of TYR121 in the PAS of the enzyme, no bond formation was observed between the benzoyl carbonyl of Compound 2 and the enzyme. In addition, Compound 1 showed a pi-alkyl hydrophobic interaction with Trp279 residue of PAS. Another hydrogen bond was formed between the 3-OCH<sub>3</sub> moiety of compound 1 and PHE 288 in the acyl pocket of the enzyme.



Figure 9. Binding interactions of the compounds and AChE

Binding interactions of the compounds and BuChE were presented in Figure 10. The CAS of human BuChE consists of residues Ser198, His438, and Glu325. (Xing et al., 2021) PAS of human BuChE is constructed from residues Asp70 and Tyr332. (Szwajgier, 2013) In docking studies, Compound 1 formed more hydrogen bonds with the catalytic residues of 1POI (SER198 and HIS438) than Compound 2. Hydrogen bonding and pi-pi interactions occurred between both compounds and the anionic residues of the enzyme (PHE329, TRP82, TYR128). An unfavorable interaction occurred between compound 2 and the residue of pro285. This may also affect the fact that the activity in compound 2 is lower than in compound 1. (Dhorajiwala et al., 2019)



Figure 10. Binding interactions of the compounds and BuChE

### CONCLUSION

(5-formylfuran-2-yl) methyl 4-nitro benzoate and the newly designed (5-formylfuran-2-yl) methyl 3,4-dimethoxybenzoate were synthesized and purified successfully. Potential inhibitory effects of the compounds on AChE and BuChE enzymes were evaluated for the first time in this study. The compounds inhibited both ChEs at micromolar levels. In molecular docking studies, it was observed that the furfural carbonyl of the compounds has the potential to form hydrogen bonds with the catalytic residues of ChEs. In both *in vitro* ChE inhibition studies and molecular docking studies, the 3,4 dimethoxy benzoate derivative showed higher cholinesterase inhibitory activity than the 4-nitrobenzoate derivative.

## **Conflict of Interest**

The article authors declare that there is no conflict of interest between them.

#### **Author's Contributions**

The authors declare that they have contributed equally to the article.

## REFERENCES

Ali TB, Schleret TR, Reilly BM, Chen WY, Abagyan R, 2015, Adverse Effects of Cholinesterase Inhibitors in Dementia, According to the Pharmacovigilance Databases of the United-States and Canada. PloS one, 10(12): e0144337–e0144337.

- Bajda M, Wieckowska A, Hebda M, Szałaj N, Sotriffer C, Malawska B, 2013, Structure-Based Search for New Inhibitors of Cholinesterases. International journal of molecular sciences, 14: 5608–5632.
- Bourne Y, Taylor P, Radić Z, Marchot P, 2003, Structural Insights into Ligand Interactions at The Acetylcholinesterase Peripheral Anionic Site. The EMBO journal, 22(1): 1–12.
- Carotti A, de Candia M, Catto M, Borisova TN, Varlamov A V, Méndez-Álvarez E, Soto-Otero R, Voskressensky LG, Altomare C, 2006, Ester Derivatives of Annulated Tetrahydroazocines: A New Class of Selective Acetylcholinesterase Inhibitors. Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry, 14(21): 7205–7212.
- Chen Z-R, Huang J-B, Yang S-L, Hong F-F, 2022, Role of Cholinergic Signaling in Alzheimer's Disease. Molecules, 27(6): 1816–1836.
- Colletier J-P, Fournier D, Greenblatt HM, Stojan J, Sussman JL, Zaccai G, Silman I, Weik M, 2006, Structural Insights into Substrate Traffic and Inhibition in Acetylcholinesterase. The EMBO Journal, 25(12): 2746–2756.
- Contestabile A, 2011, The History of The Cholinergic Hypothesis. Behavioural Brain Research, 221(2): 334–340.
- Dhorajiwala TM, Halder ST, Samant L, 2019, Comparative In Silico Molecular Docking Analysis of L-Threonine-3-Dehydrogenase, a Protein Target Against African Trypanosomiasis Using Selected Phytochemicals. Journal of Applied Biotechnology Reports, 6(3): 101–108.
- Ding Z, Luo X, Ma Y, Chen H, Qiu S, Sun G, Zhang W, Yu C, Wu Z, Zhang J, 2018, Eco-Friendly Synthesis of 5-Hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF) and Its Application to The Ferrier-Rearrangement Reaction. Journal of Carbohydrate Chemistry, 37: 1–13.
- Ellman GL, Courtney KD, Andres V, Featherstone RM, 1961, A New and Rapid Colorimetric Determination of Acetylcholinesterase Activity. Biochemical Pharmacology, 7(2): 88–95.
- Giacobini E, 2003, Cholinergic Function and Alzheimer's Disease. International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry, 18(S1): S1–S5.
- Ha YZ, Mathew S, Yeong YK, 2020, Butyrylcholinesterase: A Multifaceted Pharmacological Target and Tool. Current Protein & Peptide Science, 21(1): 99–109.
- Johnson G, Moore WS, 2006, The Peripheral Anionic Site of Acetylcholinesterase: Structure, Functions and Potential Role in Rational Drug Design. Current Pharmaceutical Design, 12(2): 217–225.
- Karran E, De Strooper B, 2022, The Amyloid Hypothesis in Alzheimer Disease: New Insights from New Therapeutics. Nature Reviews Drug Discovery, 21: 306–318.
- Klinkenberg I, Sambeth A, Blokland A, 2011, Acetylcholine and Attention. Behavioural Brain Research, 221(2): 430–442.
- Koca M, Bilginer S, 2022, New Benzamide Derivatives and their Nicotinamide/Cinnamamide Analogs as Cholinesterase Inhibitors. Molecular Diversity, 26(2): 1201–1212.
- Koca M, Yerdelen K, ANIL B, Kasap Z, 2015, Microwave-Assisted Synthesis, Molecular Docking, and Cholinesterase Inhibitory Activities of New Ethanediamide and 2-Butenediamide Analogues. Chemical & pharmaceutical bulletin, 63: 210–217.
- Krátký M, Štěpánková Š, Vorčáková K, Vinšová J, 2016, Synthesis And In Vitro Evaluation of Novel Rhodanine Derivatives as Potential Cholinesterase Inhibitors. Bioorganic Chemistry, 68: 23–29.
- Krygowski TM, Stępień BT, 2005, Sigma- and Pi-Electron Delocalization: Focus on Substituent Effects. Chemical Reviews, 105(10): 3482–3512.

- Lineweaver H, Burk D, 1934, The Determination of Enzyme Dissociation Constants. Journal of the American Chemical Society, 56(3): 658–666.
- Morris GM, Huey R, Lindstrom W, Sanner MF, Belew RK, Goodsell DS, Olson AJ, 2009, AutoDock4 and AutoDockTools4: Automated Docking with Selective Receptor Flexibility. Journal of Computational Chemistry, 30(16): 2785–2791.
- Muir JL, 1997, Acetylcholine, Aging, and Alzheimer's Disease. Pharmacology Biochemistry and Behavior, 56(4): 687–696.
- Osmaniye D, Evren AE, Sağlık BN, Levent S, Özkay Y, Kaplancıklı ZA, 2022, Design, Synthesis, Biological Activity, Molecular Docking, and Molecular Dynamics of Novel Benzimidazole Derivatives as Potential Ache/MAO-B Dual Inhibitors. Archiv der Pharmazie, 355(3): 2100450.
- Özbey F, Taslimi P, Gülçin İ, Maraş A, Göksu S, Supuran CT, 2016, Synthesis Of Diaryl Ethers with Acetylcholinesterase, Butyrylcholinesterase and Carbonic Anhydrase Inhibitory Actions. Journal of Enzyme Inhibition and Medicinal Chemistry, 31(sup2): 79–85.
- Sharma K, 2019, Cholinesterase Inhibitors as Alzheimer's Therapeutics. Mol Med Rep, 20(2): 1479–1487.
- Silman I, Sussman JL, 2008, Acetylcholinesterase: How is Structure Related to Function? Chemico-Biological Interactions, 175(1): 3–10.
- Sugimoto H, Yamanish Y, Iimura Y, Kawakami Y, 2012, Donepezil Hydrochloride (E2020) and Other Acetylcholinesterase Inhibitors. Current Medicinal Chemistry, 7(3): 303–339.
- Szwajgier D, 2013, Anticholinesterase Activity of Phenolic Acids and their Derivatives. Zeitschrift für Naturforschung C, 68(3–4): 125–132.
- Tecalco–Cruz AC, Pedraza-Chaverri J, Briones-Herrera A, Cruz-Ramos E, López–Canovas L, Zepeda–Cervantes J, 2022, Protein Degradation-Associated Mechanisms that are Affected in Alzheimer's Disease. Molecular and Cellular Biochemistry, 477(3): 915–925.
- Uğur Güller, Pınar Güller MÇ, 2021, Radical Scavenging and Antiacetylcholinesterase Activities of Ethanolic Extracts of Carob, Clove, and Linden. Altern Ther Health Med, 27(5): 33–37.
- Xing S, Li Q, Xiong B, Chen Y, Feng F, Liu W, Sun H, 2021, Structure and Therapeutic Uses of Butyrylcholinesterase: Application in Detoxification, Alzheimer's Disease, and Fat Metabolism. Medicinal Research Reviews, 41(2): 858–901.
- Yılmaz S, Akbaba Y, Özgeriş B, Köse LP, Göksu S, Gülçin İ, Alwasel SH, Supuran CT, 2016, Synthesis And Inhibitory Properties of Some Carbamates on Carbonic Anhydrase and Acetylcholine Esterase. Journal of Enzyme Inhibition and Medicinal Chemistry, 31(6): 1484– 1491.
- Zhou S, Huang G, 2022, The Biological Activities of Butyrylcholinesterase Inhibitors. Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy, 146: 112556–112566.
- Zhou Y, Wang S, Zhang Y, 2010, Catalytic Reaction Mechanism of Acetylcholinesterase Determined by Born–Oppenheimer Ab Initio QM/MM Molecular Dynamics Simulations. The Journal of Physical Chemistry B, 114(26): 8817–8825.