# **Comparison of enrofloxacin and tulathromycin treatments**

## in sheep with pneumonia caused by Pasteurella multocida

#### ABSTRACT

The objective of the present study was to compare the treatments of enrofloxacin and tulathromycin in sheep with pneumonia caused by Pasteurella multocida. A total of 45 female Tuj sheep between the of 2-6 years old were used in the study. Group 1 enrofloxacin administered 15 sheep, group 2 tulathromycin administered 15 sheep, and 15 healthy sheep of the same age group and characteristics enrolled in the study. Bronchoalveolar lavage fluid samples were obtained from sheep with clinical signs (cough, purulent, serous, mucopurulent nasal discharge) of respiratory system disease. After the microbiological examination of the taken samples, those were positive for Pasteuralla multocida included in the study. Blood samples (10 mL) from the Vena jugularis were collected in serum tubes with K2EDTA and gel from the sick animals before and after the treatment as well as once from the control group. In our study, rectal temperature, respiratory rate and heart rate before treatment were found to be statistically significantly higher in patient groups compared to the control group (P<0.001). Total leukocyte count was found to be higher in the patient groups before treatment compared to the control group (P=0.010). Among the biochemical parameters, alanine aminotransferase, aspartate aminotransferase, alkaline phosphatase, urea and creatine kinase levels were found to be statistically significantly higher in the patient groups compared to the control group before treatment (P<0.05). Clinical improvement was observed from the 3<sup>th</sup> day in group 2 and from the 5th day in group 1. In conclusion, administration of a single dose of tulathromycin resulted in earlier clinical improvement than administration of enrofloxacin for one week. At the same time, it was concluded that tulathromycin is more beneficial and practical in terms of a single application.

Keywords: Enrofloxacin, Pasteurella multocida, sheep, tulathromycin, tuj.

### NTRODUCTION

The term pneumonia is the name given to lung inflammation caused by different etiological factors (Dağ et al., 2018; Eser et al., 2020). Age, breed, immune status and environmental factors have an effect on the emergence of some diseases in sheep (Gülmez et al., 2018). Respiratory system diseases are clinically acute, subacute and chronic. Viral, bacterial, mycotic and parasitic factors play a role in its etiology. Depending on where the inflammation is localized, it progresses as bronchopneumonia, lober and interstitial pneumonia (Çiftçi et al., 2015). In addition to the infectious causes in the etiology, climate changes, cold, stress, bad barn conditions, transport and malnutrition are the main factors that predispose to the disease (Issi et al., 2015). Pneumonia seen in sheep is common in our country as well as all over the world. Respiratory system infections have a share of 5.6% among the diseases seen in sheep. The type of pneumonia seen in sheep is a fibrinous and necrotic bronchopneumonia (Eser et al., 2020).

#### How to cite this article

Akyüz, E., Erkiliç, EE., Coşkun, MR., Merhan, O., Bati, YU., Sezer, M., Bahçıvan E. (2022). Comparison of enrofloxacin and tulathromycin treatments in sheep with pneumonia caused by *Pasteurella multocida*. *Journal of Advances in VetBio Science and Techniques*, 7(2), 242-249. https://doi.org/10.31797/vetbio.1124136

#### **Research Article**

Enes Akyüz<sup>1a</sup> Ekin Emre Erkılıç<sup>1b</sup> Mustafa Reha Coşkun<sup>2c</sup> Oğuz Merhan<sup>3d</sup> Yusuf Umut Batı<sup>1e</sup> Mert Sezer<sup>1f</sup> Emre Bahçıvan<sup>4g</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Kafkas University, Kars, Türkiye Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Kafkas University, Kars, Türkiye <sup>3</sup>Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Kafkas University, Kars, Türkiye Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Kafkas University, Kars, Türkiye

> ORCİD-<sup>a</sup>0000-0002-3288-2058 <sup>b</sup>0000-0003-2461-5598 <sup>c</sup>0000-0002-1441-3995 <sup>d</sup>0000-0002-3399-0667 <sup>e</sup>0000-0001-7528-4376 <sup>f</sup>0000-0003-1691-7764 <sup>g</sup>0000-0002-4415-1738

Correspondence Enes AKYÜZ enesakyuz 44@hotmail.com

#### Article info

Submission: 31-05-2022 Accepted: 10-08-2022 Online First: 20-08-2022 Publication: 31-08-2022

*e-ISSN:* 2548-1150 *doi prefix:* 10.31797/vetbio

http://dergipark.org.tr/vetbio

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License Pasteurella, caused by *Pasteurella multocida* (*P. multocida*) and *Mannheimia haemolytica* (*M. haemolytica*), usually manifests as a respiratory infection in small farm-raised ruminants and causes significant economic losses worldwide. *P. multocida* is rarely mentioned in pneumonia outbreaks in small ruminants. Infectious *P. multocida* sero groups associated with pneumonic pasteurellosis outbreaks in sheep and goats are groups A and D, which have been shown to be both secondary and primary pneumonia agents (Odugbo et al., 2006).

Developed for veterinary medicine and approved for use in animals in the late 1980s. enrofloxacin in the first fluoroquinolone group is used in septic shock, gastrointestinal, urogenital, respiratory, dermal, mycoplasma and staphylococcal infections in ruminant, equidae, poultry, dogs, cats, and exotic animals (Martinez et al., 2006; Traș et al., 2018). Enrofloxacin can be used in diarrhea caused by E. coli, pneumonia caused by Actinobacillus pleuropneumoniae, mycoplasma and pasteuralla (Nakamura, 1995). Tulathromycin is approved for use in the treatment and prevention of respiratory tract disease in cattle associated with M. haemolytica, P. multocida, Histophilus somni and Mycoplasma bovis in the United States (Villarino et al., 2014). Apart from cattle and pigs, it can be used for antimicrobial purposes in horses, goats and sheep (Sirochman et al., 2012; Villarino et al., 2013). When administered in a single dose of 2.5 mg/kg (SC) in sheep, it may be safe in terms of heart, liver, kidney and hematological parameters in sheep (Corum et al., 2015).

The objective of the present study was to compare the treatments of enrofloxacin and tulathromycin in sheep with pneumonia caused by *P. multocida*.

### **MATERIAL and METHOD**

### Animals

The study was carried out at Kafkas University, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Prof. Dr. Ali Rıza Aksoy Training, Research and Application Farm in Kars. A total of 45 female Tuj sheep between the of 2-6 years old were used in the study. Bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALf) samples were obtained from sheep with clinical signs (such as cough, purulent, serous, mucopurulent nasal discharge) of respiratory system disease. After the microbiological examination of the BALf taken samples, those were positive for P. multocida included in the study. Group 1 consists of 15 sheep with pasteurellosis that were administered enrofloxacin (Baytril 10%®, Bayer, Germany, 5 mg/kg intramuscularly for 1 week). Group 2 consists of 15 sheep with pasteurellosis that were administered tulathromycin (Draxxin®, Zoetis. USA. 2.5 mg/kg single dose intramuscularly). The control group consisted of 15 healthy sheep of the same age group and characteristics. Blood samples were taken from the sheep in the patient groups twice, before the treatment and 1 week after the treatment. In the control group, blood samples were taken once.

## Taken and Processing of Blood Samples

Blood samples were taken from the Vena jugularis using a holder and compatible sterile needle tip (Vacuette®, Greiner Bio-One GmbH, Austria) before and after treatment from sick sheeps and once from healthy sheep. For preand post-treatment hematological analysis from sheep, 2 mL blood samples were collected into the EDTA tube (BD Vakutainer®, BD, UK). Whole blood analysis was measured using by an automated whole blood analyzer (VG-MS4e®, Melet Schloesing, France) within half an hour. Five mL blood samples taken into vacuum gel serum tubes (BD Vakutainer®, BD, UK) were kept at room temperature for approximately 1 hour, and then centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 minutes (Hettich Rotina

380R<sup>®</sup>, Hettich, Germany), then serum samples were extracted and measurements were performed daily. Alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST). gamma-glutamyl transferase (GGT), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), total bilirubin, glucose, urea, total protein, albumin, magnesium, and creatin kinase (CK) were measured by using a fully automated biochemistry machine (Mindray BS120®, Mindray Medical Technology Istanbul, Turkey).

# Taken of Bronchoalveolar Lavage Fluid Samples

Before taking the bronchoalveolar lavage, both nostrils of the sheep were cleaned with alcohol cotton to prevent nasal contamination. After the head and neck extension of the sheep was achieved, a disposable sterile nasogastric tube (4 mm x 1210 mm, Bicakcilar, Istanbul) was advanced transnasalally through the trachea until it encountered a slight resistance. Whether the carina region was reached or not was followed up with a recurrent cough reflex (Ok et al., 2019, İder and Maden, 2019). When the carina was reached, the nasogastric tube was withdrawn 1-2 cm, 15 mL of sterile saline (37 °C, 0.9% Isotonic Sodium Chloride-FTS) was infused into the trachea and immediately aspirated. Approximately 3-5 mL of the given fluid was withdrawn. BALf samples were sent to Kafkas University Veterinary Faculty Microbiology Department laboratory for bacterial analysis.

# Microbiological Procedure

The samples of bronchoalveolar lavage fluid samples were centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 3 minutes and the supernatant was removed, the sediment was homogenized with 100 µL FTS and cultivated in blood agar medium supplemented with 7% sheep blood. At the end of 24-48 hours of incubation at 37°C under aerobic conditions, colonies with a diameter of 1-2 mm, colony morphology, gray, smooth or mucoid and without hemolysis were passed into blood agar with 7% blood for further biochemical tests and incubated under the same conditions. In biochemical tests, colonies that are catalase, oxidase positive, immobile, indole positive, urease negative, *Mac Conkey nongrowing were defined as P*. multocida (Bergey, 1994).

# **Treatment Management**

Sick sheep were kept under surveillance in separate boxes during the treatment. A standard supportive treatment protocol including A, B, C, D and E vitamins were performed to the all diseased sheeps. For vitamin supplementation, the sheeps were given parenterally vitamin B complex (Berovit B12®, Ceva, Australia) in a practical dose of 8-10 mL/sheep for 7 days, vitamin C (Maxivit-C®, Bavette, Turkey) at a dose of 4-6 mg/kg for 3 days, and vitamin ADE at a single subcutaneous dose of 1 mL/50kg (Ademin®, Ceva, Australia). In addition to the treatment. enrofloxacin standard was administered at a dose of 5 mg/kg once a day for 1 week intramuscularly in group 1. In Group 2, a single dose of 2.5 mg/kg of tulathromycin was administered intramuscularly. No drug was applied to the healthy control group sheep.

# Statistical Analysis

The normal distribution of the data of the groups before and after the treatment and the control group was evaluated using visual methods (histogram graph and Q-Q graph) and Shapiro-Wilk test. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used for the multiple comparison of the pre-treatment and posttreatment groups (group 1 and group 2) and the control group, and Tukey HSD test was used for post hoc comparison. The data obtained before and after the treatment were compared with the Paired Sample t-Test. The data obtained in the study were reported as mean  $\pm$  standard error (SEM). All analyzes were performed with the SPSS® software program (SPSS® Statistics 26.0, Chicago, IL, USA). Differences obtained in group comparisons were considered significant

## significant at P≤0.05.

### **RESULTS**

In our study, physical examination findings such as rectal temperature, respiratory rate and heart rate before treatment were statistically significant compared to the control (P<0.001, Table 1). Total leukocyte count was found to be higher in the patient groups before treatment compared to the control group (P=0.010, Table 1). The physical examination findings and other

hematological parameters are given in Table 1. ALT, AST, ALP, urea and CK concentrations were found to be statistically significantly higher in the patient groups compared to the control group before treatment (P<0.05, Table 2). Among the other biochemical parameters presented in the study, GGT, total protein, total bilirubin, albumin, magnesium and glucose levels are given in Table 2 (P>0.05).

Table 1. Physical	examination finding	gs and hematology	of sick and health	v sheep

Parameters	Groups	Ν	Before treatment	After treatment	P1 value
			Mean±SF		
<b>Rectal temperature (°C)</b>	Group 1	15	$39.98{\pm}~0.14^{\rm B}$	$38.70 \pm 0.08$	< 0.001
	Group 2	15	39.05±0.21 <sup>A</sup>	38.89±0.13	< 0.001
	Control	15	38.48±0.15 <sup>A</sup>		-
	P2 val	ue	<0.001	0.092	-
Heart beats/min	Group 1	15	$108.07 \pm 4.28^{B}$	74.27±5.28	< 0.001
	Group 2	15	$105.47 \pm 8.41^{B}$	71.73±2.63	< 0.001
	Control	15	72.53±2.47 <sup>A</sup>		-
	P2 val	ue	<0.001 0.885		-
Breaths/min	Group 1	15	38.40±1.22 <sup>B</sup>	26.80±1.66	< 0.001
	Group 2	15	31.93±3.85 <sup>AB</sup>	31.47±2.86	0.923
	Control	15	24.53±1.3	33 <sup>A</sup>	-
	P2 val	ue	< 0.001	0.064	-
Total leukocytes count	Group 1	15	$14.46 \pm 2.58^{AB}$	9.66±0.81	0.038
(×10 <sup>3</sup> /μL)	Group 2	15	18.79±2.68 <sup>B</sup>	$9.40{\pm}0.88$	0.004
	Control	15	8.99±0.58 <sup>A</sup> -		
	P2 val	ue	0.010	0.826	-
Lymphocytes count	Group 1	15	7.11±2.09	5.21±0.56	0.394
$(x10^{3}/\mu L)$	Group 2	15	10.17±2.50	5.28±0.65	0.077
	Control	15	5.85±0.4	18	-
	P2 val	ue	0.269	0.686	-
Monocytes count (x10 <sup>3</sup> /µL)	Group 1	15	0.52±0.04	0.59±0.04	0.272
	Group 2	15	$0.65{\pm}0.06$	0.61±0.07	0.693
	Control	15	0.55±0.0	)5	-
	P2 val	ue	0.267	0.766	-
Granulocytes count	Group 1	15	$6.81{\pm}1.01^{\rm B}$	3.85±0.57	0.018
$(x10^{3}/\mu L)$	Group 2	15	7.97±1.11 <sup>B</sup>	3.57±0.33	0.002
	Control	15	2.58±0.15 <sup>A</sup>		-
	P2 val	ue	<0.001 0.072		-
Red blood cell count	Group 1	15	13.21±0.85	13.69±0.46	0.628
(x10 <sup>6</sup> /μL)	Group 2	15	13.93±1.39	13.05±0.55	0.564
	Control	15	12.17±0.	42	-
	P2 val	ue	0.448 0.098		-
Mean red cell volume (fL)	Group 1	15	37.14±1.51 <sup>A</sup>	38.22±0.93	0.550
	Group 2	15	36.35±0.90 <sup>A</sup>	39.68±1.18	0.211
	Control	15	42.64±2.0		-
	P2 val		0.002 0.107		-
Hematocrit (%)	Group 1	15	47.42±2.30	51.86±1.41	0.114
~ ~	Group 2	15	46.49±4.10	51.13±1.44	0.296
	1				

### Sheep with Pasteuralla multocida pneumonia

	Control 15 P2 value		46.01±2.05		-
			0.943	0.064	-
Hemoglobin (g/dL)	Group 1	15	12.54±0.63	11.57±0.35	0.189
	Group 2	15	12.28±0.52	11.80±0.33	0.451
	Control 15 P2 value		11.16±0.20		-
			0.117	0.332	-
Platelet count (x10 <sup>3</sup> /µL)	Group 1	15	305.40±51.05	$473.40{\pm}40.50^{b}$	0.016
	Group 2	15	352.33	$349.87{\pm}25.78^{ab}$	0.962
	Control	Control 15 $354\pm13^{a}$		1	-
	P2 val	ue	0.656	0.015	-

SEM: Standard error of mean. N: Number of calves in the groups. P<0.05: Statistically significant. P1: Expresses the statistical significance level within the group. P2: Expresses the statistical significance level between groups. A,B: Different letters in the same column indicate statistical difference between groups before treatment. a,b: Different letters in the same column indicate statistical difference between groups after treatment.

Table 2. Se	erum biochemica	l parameters of	sick and healt	hy sheep

Parameters	Groups	Ν	Before treatment	After treatment	P1 value
	-		Mean±S		
Alanine aminotransferase	Group 1	15	71.22±6.05 <sup>B</sup>	78.53±9.13 <sup>b</sup>	0.509
(IU/L)	Group 2	15	66.96±5.52 <sup>B</sup>	59.48±5.24 <sup>ab</sup>	0.334
	Control	15	40.54±5.33 <sup>Aa</sup> -		-
	P2 val	ue	< 0.001	0.001	-
Aspartate	Group 1	15	$188.87 \pm 10.89^{B}$	181.44±15.05	0.819
aminotransferase (IU/L)	Group 2	15	194.40±12.26 <sup>B</sup>	161.12±8.46	0.034
	Control	15	$121.09 \pm 7.74^{A}$		-
	P2 value		0.002 0.077		-
Gamma glutamyl	Group 1	15	44.96±1.91	37.28±3.24	0.061
transferase (IU/L)	Group 2	15	43.58±2.38	44.08±2.13	0.877
	Control	15	42.79±2.24		-
	P2 val	ue	0.779	0.155	-
Alkaline phosphatase	Group 1	15	122.52±4.78 <sup>B</sup>	103.43±5.82 <sup>ab</sup>	0.081
(IU/L)	Group 2	15	133.77±11.99 <sup>B</sup>	128.16±7.04 <sup>b</sup>	0.877
	Control	15	75.06±6.34 <sup>Aa</sup>		-
	P2 val	ue	< 0.001	0.022	-
Urea (mg/dL)	Group 1	15	$80.60 \pm 1.85^{B}$	64.16±3.12	0.020
	Group 2	15	$71.73 \pm 2.70^{B}$	57.30±3.23	0.023
	Control	15	52.34±2.98 <sup>A</sup> -		
	P2 val	ue	< 0.001	0.281	-
Total bilirubin (mg/dL)	Group 1	15	0.020±0.01	$0.010{\pm}0.008$	0.559
	Group 2	15	0.030±0.01	$0.010{\pm}0.009$	0.699
	Control	15	0.04±0.01		-
	P2 val	ue	0.089	0.205	-
Total protein (g/dL)	Group 1	15	6.87±0.36	7.36±0.47	0.063
	Group 2	15	7.33±0.43	7.86±0.31	0.128
	Control	15	7.16±0.22		-
	P2 val	ue	0.231	0.111	-
Albumin (g/dL)	Group 1	15	3.46±0.10	3.53±0.15	0.719
	Group 2	15	3.31±0.31	3.36±0.23	0.907
	Control	15	3.36±0	.12	-
	P2 val	ue	0.867	0.731	-
Magnesium (mg/dL)	Group 1	15	3.32±0.27	2.79±0.12	0.088
5 ( <del>6</del> 7	Group 2	15	3.10±0.11	2.84±0.15	0.189
	Control	15	3.29±0		-
	P2 val	ue	0.717	0.064	-
Creatine kinase (IU/L)	Group 1	15	385.48±46.06 <sup>B</sup>	438.70±54.44 <sup>b</sup>	0.548
	Group 2	15	292.19±42.24 <sup>AB</sup>	352.59±29.51 <sup>ab</sup>	0.251
	Control	15	199.81±14		-

	P2 val	ue	0.004	0.003	-
Glucose (mg/dL)	Group 1	15	128.27±19.19	111.53±15.45	0.503
	Group 2	15	94.27±14.53	96.27±8.07	0.905
	Control	15	80.53±12.02		-
	P2 val	ue	0.094	0.213	-

SEM: Standard error of mean. N: Number of calves in the groups. P<0.05: Statistically significant. P1: Expresses the statistical significance level within the group. P2: Expresses the statistical significance level between groups. A,B: Different letters in the same column indicate statistical difference between groups before treatment. a,b: Different letters in the same column indicate statistical difference between groups after treatment.

### **DISCUSSION**

Anorexia. fever. dyspnea, serous or nasolacrimal discharge, mucopurulent tachypnea, and tachycardia are among the clinical symptoms seen in respiratory system infection (Bulut, 2019). In our study, there were fever, anorexia, tachycardia and tachypnea findings in the sheep in the patient groups, which was consistent with the literature. Along with the treatments, physical examination findings in both group 1 and group 2 were similar to the control.

Leukocytosis is observed as a result of defense mechanisms in respiratory system diseases and bacterial infections (Akyüz & Gökce, 2021; Akyüz et al., 2022). In the study we presented, respiratory system infection caused by P. multocida was formed. Probably as a result of the developing bacterial infection, defense mechanisms were activated and it was determined that leukocytosis and granulocytosis were formed in the patient groups. The fact that total leukocyte and granulocyte counts were similar to the control after treatment in groups 1 and 2 showed that tulathromycin and enrofloxacin treatments were successful in controlling the infection. No statistically significant result was observed between the groups in other hematological parameters.

Alkaline phosphatase concentrations increase in conditions such as cholestasis, stress, bone tissue destruction, and disruption of circulation in the hepatobiliary system. ALT and AST concentrations are used to determine muscle breakdown and liver damage (Soltesova et al., 2015; Bozukluhan et al., 2021). Previous studies showed that in pneumonia, liver perfusion is impaired, causing structural and functional damage to the liver (Bozukluhan et al., 2021). In addition, inflammation caused by mediators released from cells such as and macrophages monocytes causes hepatocellular dysfunction (Civelek et al., 2007). In our study, ALT, AST and ALP concentrations were found to be higher in sheep in groups 1 and 2 before treatment compared to the control. It may be due to the deterioration of liver perfusion resulting from pneumonia and stress. After treatment, ALT, AST and ALP concentrations were observed to decrease more significantly, especially in group 2 compared to group 1. The reason for the decrease in AST and ALP concentrations in the patient groups after treatment may be the reduction of stress, control of inflammation and restoration of liver tissue perfusion with treatment.

Urea concentration increases in cases of high protein catabolism, kidney diseases, infections and anorexia (Gokce & Woldehiwet, 1999; Bozukluhan et al., 2021). In our study, urea concentration before treatment was higher in groups 1 and 2 compared to the control. This increase in urea concentration was probably due to impaired kidney function in association with inflammation. This increase may also occur due to high protein catabolism due to anorexia in pneumonia. After treatment, urea reached close to control levels. CK concentration increases in muscle tissue destruction (Aydın et al., 2018). In the presented study, muscle tissue damage caused by infection may have occurred and CK concentration may have increased as a result.

In pneumonia, especially the cranial lobes of the lungs are affected (Milli et al., 2001; Caswel & Williams, 2007; McGavin et al., 2007; Ciftci et al., 2015). In accordance with the literature, it was determined that especially the cranial lobes were affected more in the lung auscultation performed in our study. Enrofloxacin is a fluoroquinolone antibiotic with а broad spectrum of antibacterial activity. It has been reported to be effective against P. multocida (Suckow et al 1996). In the present study, the clinical improvement of the sick sheep in group 1 after enrofloxacin treatment was found to be consistent with the statement of being active against P. multocida in the literature.

In comparison of tulathromycin, florfenicol and amoxicillin treatments in goats with pneumonia, the most effective antibacterial was reported as tulathromycin (Ghanem et al., 2015). In another study, it was determined that tulathromycin showed high activity against common bacterial pathogens that cause respiratory tract disease. It has been reported that the reason for this is related to the rapid absorption and high bioavailability of the drug (Zhou et al., 2017). In our study, the faster clinical recovery of sheep in group 2 may be due to the rapid absorption of tulathromycin in the lungs and its high bioavailability. In another study, it was determined that after a single dose of 2.5 mg/kg tulathromycin administration to sheep with respiratory system disease. improvement was observed on the 5th day (Champour and Taghipour, 2015). In our study, clinical improvement in sheep in group 2 was similarly observed from the 3th day, which was found to be compatible with the study.

## CONCLUSION

Especially in the infections occurring on the basis of herd, the fastest recovery with the least drug application is desired. In our study, clinical improvement was observed from the 3th day in group 2 and from the 5th day in group 1. We

conclude that the administration of a single dose of tulathromycin is more beneficial and practical in *P. multocida*-induced sheep pneumonia in terms of both clinically earlier recovery and a single administration.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Ethical approval: Kafkas University KAU-HADYEK-2022-028. Date:22.02.2022 No: KAU-HADYEK-2022-028

Conflict of interest: The authors stated that there is no conflict of interest.

### REFERENCES

- Akyüz, E., & Gökce, G. (2021). Neopterin, procalcitonin, clinical biochemistry, and hematology in calves with neonatal sepsis. *Trop Anim Health Prod*, 53, 354. doi: 10.1007/s11250-021-02779-z
- Akyüz, E., Merhan O., Aydın U., Sezer, M., Kuru M., Karakurt, E., Yıldız, U., Bozukluhan K., Batı, Y. U., Yıldız, A., & Gökce, G. (2022). Neopterin, procalcitonin, total sialic acid, paraoxonase-1 and selected haematological indices in calves with aspiration pneumonia. Acta Vet Brno, 91, 115-124. doi: 10.2754/avb202291020115
- Aydın, Y., Senem A. Y., Çakmak, F., İpekci, A., Kıyak, S. K., Öztürk, M. & İkizceli, İ. (2018). Analysis of the patients with elevated creatinine kinase (CK) level at emergency department. *Journal* of Anatolian Medical Research, 3, 1-24.
- Bergey, D. H. (1994). Bergey's manual of determinative bacteriology. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.
- Bozukluhan, K., Merhan, O., Kiziltepe, S., Egritag, H. E., Akyuz, E., & Gokce, H. I. (2021). Determination of haptoglobin, some biochemical and oxidative stress parameters in calves with pneumonia. *Fresenius Environmental Bulletin, 30*(07A), 9485-9489.
- **Bulut, İ. (2019).** Sığır pnömonilerinin patolojik ve bakteriyolojik yöntemler ile araştırılması. Sağlık Bilimleri Enstitüsü, Patoloji Anabilim Dalı, [Doktora Tezi, Balıkesir Üniversitesi].
- **Caswell, J. L., & Williams, K. J. (2007).** Respiratory system. In: Jubb (Ed). Kennedy and Palmer's Pathology of Domestic Animals, 5st ed. 523-629.
- Champour, M., & Taghipour, A. (2015). Comparative efficacy of tulathromycin versus a combination of florfenicol-oxytetracycline in the treatment of undifferentiated respiratory disease in large numbers of sheep. *Journal of Advanced Veterinary and Animal Research*, 2(3), 279-284. doi: 10.5455/javar.2015.b86
- Çiftçi, M. K., Ortatatlı, M., Erer, H., Hatipoğlu, F., & Özdemir, Ö. (2015). Veteriner Sistemik Patoloji, 1. Cilt, Selçuk Üniversitesi Basımevi, Konya.

- Civelek, T., Kav, K., Camkerten, İ., Celik, H.A. & Acar., A. (2007). Effects of bacterial pneumonia in neonatal calves on serum lipids. *Bulletin-Veterinary Institute in Pulawy*, *51*, 503-507.
- Çorum, O., Ayşe, E., Burak, D., Hatice, E., Bahcivan, E., & Yazar, E. (2015). Determination of the safety of tulathromycin in sheep. *Eurasian Journal of Veterinary Sciences*, 31(3), 152-157. doi:10.15312/ EurasianJVetSci.2015310972
- Dağ, S., Gürbüz, A., Özen, H., Büyük, F., Çelebi, Ö., Karaman, M., & Karakurt, E. (2018). Immunohistochemical and molecular detection of Mannheimia spp. and pasteurella spp. in sheep with pneumonia in Kars province-Turkey. *Kafkas Üniversitesi Veteriner Fakültesi Dergisi, 24*, 281-288. doi:10.9775/kvfd.2017.18840
- Eser, G., Yıldırım, S., Sağlam, Y. S., Çelebi, D., & Yılmaz, A. (2020). Koyun pnömonilerinde Mannheimia (Pasteurella) haemolytica izolasyonu ve patolojik incelemeler. Atatürk Üniversitesi Veteriner Bilimleri Dergisi, 15(2), 122-129. doi: 10.17094/ataunivbd.696657
- Ghanem, M. M., Yousif, H. M., Helal, M. A. Y., Abd El-Raof, Y. M., & El-Attar, H. M. (2015). Comparative therapeutic effect of three types of antibiotics on pneumonia associated with klebsiella pneumoniae in boer goats. *Benha Veterinary Medical Journal*, 29(1), 74-84.
- Gokce, H. I., & Woldehiwet, Z. (1999). The effects of Ehrlichia (Cytoecetes) phagochytophila on the clinical chemistry of sheep and goats. *Journal of Veterinary Medicine*, 46, 93-103.
- Gülmez Sağlam, A., Erkiliç, E. E., Büyük, F., Kirmizigül, A. H., Gökçe, G., Balyen, L., Akyüz, E., Aydin, U., Özba, B., & Otlu, S. (2018). Moraxella ovis and Mycoplasma conjunctivae isolation from an ovine infectious keratoconjunctivitis outbreak and fortified treatment approaches. Kafkas Üniversitesi Veteriner Fakültesi Dergisi, 24(4), 551-556, 2018. doi: 10.9775/kvfd.2018.19572
- İder, M., & Maden, M. (2019). Seçilmiş biyobelirteçlerin buzağıların fibrinli pnömoni olgularının tanısı ve prognozundaki önemi (Doktora Tezi), Selçuk Universitesi, Sağlık Bilimleri Enstitüsü, Konya, Türkiye.
- İssi, M., Eröksüz, Y., Öngör, H., Gül, Y., Kaya, M., & Çevik, A. (2015). Enzootik pnömoni semptomları görülen bir besi sığırı işletmesinde *Mycoplasma bovis* enfeksiyonu. *Atatürk Üniversitesi Vet Bil Derg*, 10(1), 39-45.
- Martinez, M., McDermott, P., & Walker, R. (2006). Pharmacology of the fluoroquinolones: a perspective for the use in domestic animals. *The Veterinary Journal*, *172*(1), 10-28. doi:10.1016/j.tvjl.2005.07. 010
- McGavin, M. D., & Zachary, J. F. (2007). Pathologic Basis Veterinary Disease, 4st ed. By Mosby inc. 11830 Westline Industrial Drive 63146, 500-530, St. Louis, Missori.
- Milli, Ü. H., & Hazıroğlu, R. (2001). Veteriner Patoloji, Tamer Matbaacılık. 2(2), 31-104, Ankara.
- Nakamura, S. (1995). Veterinary use of new quinolones in Japan. *Drugs*, 49(2), 152-158.

- Odugbo, M. A., Odama, L. E., Umoh, J. U., & Lamorde, A. G. (2006). *Pasteurella multocida* pneumonic infection in sheep: Prevalence, clinical and pathological studies. *Small Ruminant Research*, 66, 273-277. doi: 10.1016/j.smallrumres.2005.09.003
- Ok, M., Hadimli, H. H., & İder, M. (2019). Evaluation of clinical efficacy of tilmicosin in the treatment of respiratory system infections of calves. *Eurasian Journal of Veterinary Sciences*, 35(2), 79-86. doi: 10.15312/EurasianJVetSci.2019.227
- Sirochman, M. A., Woodruff, K. J., Grigg, J. L., Walsh, D. P., Huyvaert, K. P., Miller, M. W., & Wolfe, L. L. (2012). Evaluation of management treatments intended to increase lamb recruitment in a bighorn sheep herd. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases*, 48(3), 781-784. doi: 10.7589/0090-3558-48.3.781
- Soltesova, H., Nagyova, V., Tothova, C., & Nagy, O. (2015). Haematological and blood biochemical alterations associated with respiratory disease in calves. *Acta Veterinaria Brno*, *84*(3), 249-256. doi: 10.2754/avb201584030249
- Suckow, M. A., Martin, B. J., Bowersock, T. L., & Douglas, F. A. (1996). Derivation of *Pasteurella multocida*-free rabbit litters by enrofloxacin treatment. *Veterinary microbiology*, 51(1-2), 161-168. doi: 10.1016/0378-1135(96)00022-3
- Traş, B., Yazar, E., & Elmas, M. (2018). Veteriner İlaç Rehberi Tedavi El Kitabı: Nobel Tıp Kitapevleri, Atlas Yayınevi, Türkiye.
- Villarino, N., Brown, S. A., & Martín-Jiménez, T. (2013). The role of the macrolide tulathromycin in veterinary medicine. *The Veterinary Journal*, 198(2), 352-357. doi: 10.1016/j.tvjl.2013.07.032
- Villarino, N., Brown, S., & Martín-Jiménez, T. (2014). Understanding the pharmacokinetics of tulathromycin: a pulmonary perspective. *Journal of veterinary pharmacology and therapeutics*, *37*(3), 211-221. doi: 10.1111/jvp.12080
- Zhou, Q., Zhang, G., Wang, Q., Liu, W., Huang, Y.,
  Yu, P., Li, Y., Ding, Y., & Fang, B. (2017).
  Pharmacokinetic/Pharmacodynamic Modeling of Tulathromycin against *Pasteurella multocida* in a Porcine Tissue Cage Model. *Frontiers in pharmacology*, 8, 392. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2017.00392