CENTRAL VENOUS CATHETER RELATED INFECTIONS IN HAEMODIALYSIS PATIENTS

İlknur Erdem, M.D.* / Aysun Erdem, M.D.** / Paşa Göktaş, M.D.* Yıldız Barut-Özel, M.D.***

- * Clinic of Infectious Disease and Clinical Microbiology, Haydarpaşa Numune Teaching Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ** Department of Internal Medicine, School of Medicine, Kocaeli University, Kocaeli, Turkey.
- *** Clinic of Internal Medicine, Haydarpaşa Numune Teaching Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey.

ABSTRACT

Objective: Infections represent a serious complication among the haemodialysis patients. More than two - thirds of these infections in haemodialysis patients were related to intravascular catheterizations. We prospectively evaluated catheter related infections in the haemodialysis patients with this study.

Patients-Methods: Eighty-seven central venous catheter tips were collected from 77 haemodialysis patients during a one year period. Catheters were cultured by the semiquantitative method described by Maki et al.

Results: Local catheter related infection was diagnosed in 20 (23%) cases, catheter related bacteremia was diagnosed in 13 (15.6%) cases, catheter related sepsis was diagnosed in 5 (5.7%) cases according to the clinical findings and semiquantitative catheter culture method results. None of the patients was diagnosed as catheter related endocarditis or as catheter related metastatic infections. The Coagulase Negative Staphylococci were the most frequently isolated pathogen (25.7%), followed by Staphylococcus aureus (24.3%) and Gram negative bacteria (34%). The prolonged

catheterization is a significant risk factor for catheter related infection.

Conclusion: It is concluded from the study that, the goal of the physician is to prevent catheter related infections, many of which are potentially preventable. Treatment must be individualized for each patient on the basis of the clinical presentation and the causative agent.

Key Words: Haemodialysis, Central venous catheter, Infection.

INTRODUCTION

Intravascular catheters are the most frequently used indwelling medical devices in clinical practice. The intravascular catheter related infections are quite common in hospitalized patients and account for significant morbidity and mortality. Multiple causative factors are encountered (1,2).

Infection is an extremely important complication in haemodialysis patients because of their sensitivity. The increased susceptibility to infections is related to the decreased immune response that is believed to be caused by impaired renal function. More than two - thirds of the infections in these patients were related to intravascular catheters (3,4).

Strictly obeying infection prevention measures and catheter care are very important. Once fever develops in a patient who has an intravascular catheter, catheter related infections must be considered. If there is a suspicion of infection, appropriate antibiotic therapy should be considered according to the propable causative agents and their antimicrobial resistance patterns based on surveillance studies. Therefore we studied temporarily used central venous catheters in hemodialysis patients in the internal medicine clinics of our hospital. We assessed frequency, types, predisposing factors of central venous catheter related infections with causative agents in a prospective manner.

PATIENTS - MATERIAL - METHOD

Patients: Eighty-seven central venous catheter tips were collected from 77 haemodialysis patients during a one year period. The central venous catheter related data included the catheter application site, dates of insertion and removal of catheter, the catheter application site inspection. Patients data were collected concomitantly and included age, gender use of antibiotic and / or chemoterapic drugs and underlying medical problems. All data were collected on a standardized form.

Method: Catheters were removed if there was a suspicion of catheter related infection or when a central line was no longer necessary. The catheter was removed aseptically with a sterile forceps by pulling the catheter away from the skin surface after local disinfection of the insertion site. A blood sample was drawn from another peripheral vein at the time of catheter removal. After removal, the distal 5 to 6 cm of catheter tip was cut off and placed into a sterile container for culturing. Inoculation of catheter tips were done onto blood agar plates and incubation was performed according to the semiquantitative technique described by Maki et al. Cultures vielding 15 or more colonies were recorded as positive (5). All isolates were idenfied by the routine laboratory methods (6).

Definitions: The catheter related infections were diagnosed by semiquantitative catheter culture and / or simultaneous quantitative blood cultures explained below. No catheter infection: When the catheter culture is negative and no clinical evidence of infection is fully explained by a known source this is called no catheter infection. Catheter colonization: Catheter colonization was defined as colony formation greater and equal than 15 cfu (\geq 15 colony forming units) per plate. Catheter contamination: Catheter contamination was defined as colony formation less than (<15) cfu per plate.

Local catheter related infection: Local catheter related infection was defined as colony formation greater and equal than $15 (\ge 15)$ cfu per plate with the local sings of infection such as presence of fever, leucocytosis, signs of infection at the catheter application site (erythema, tenderness, swelling, purulant discharge etc), no clinical evidence of another source of infection.

Catheter related bacteremia / septisemia: When semiquantitative catheter cultures and blood cultures positive for the same species; clinical and microbiological data disclosed no other apparent source for the bacteremia / septisemia this is called catheter related bacteremia / sepsis (7,8).

Statistics: All data were collected on standardized form and statistical analysis of proportions were performed by using the chisquare test.

RESULTS

A total of 87 central vein catheters inserted in 77 haemodialysis patients were included in this study. 45 (51.7%) males and 32 (48.3%) females, with a mean age of 49 (range, 16 - 75 years) data of the patient and catheter informatiyon was shown in Table I. According to the results of semiquantitative catheter culture method, 66 microorganisms were isolated from 53 central vein catheters (CVC). Only one agent was isolated from 42 CVC and two different kinds of agents were isolated from 9 CVC. Three different kinds of agents were isolated from 2 CVC. 17 of all isolates were identified as Coagulase Negative staphylococci (CNS), 16 isolates were identified as Staphylococcus aureus (S.aureus) and 23 isolates were identified as Gram negative bacteria. Oxacillin resistance of S.aureus and CNS was found 41.2%, 37.5% respectively. Distribution of those isolates was shown in Table II.

Local catheter related infection was diagnosed in 20 (23 %) cases, catheter related bacteremia was diagnosed in 13 (15.6%) cases and catheter related sepsis was diagnosed in 5 (5.7%) cases according to the clinical findings and semiguantitative catheter culture method results. None of the patients were diagnosed as catheter related endocarditis or as catheter related metastatic infection. 3 of 5 patients who were diagnosed catheter related sepsis died. One patient died due to Group A B- Hemolytic Streptococcus catheter related sepsis and two patients died due to S.aureus catheter related sepsis. Two other patients diagnosed as catheter related sepsis were treated with III. generation cephalosporin and quinolone respectively. Local catheter related infections were mostly caused by CNS. Catheter related bacteremia / sepsis were mostly caused by S. aureus. These results were shown in Table III.

Four central venous catheters were inserted into the jugular vein, 24 central venous catheters were inserted into the subclavian vein and 59 central venous catheters were inserted into the femoral vein. Two (50%) of 4 jugular venous catheters were culture positive. One case was diagnosed as local catheter related infection, another case was diagnosed as catheter related bacteremia / sepsis. Fifteen (62%) of 24 subclavian venous catheters were culture positive, 8 (52.3%) of them were diagnosed as local catheter related infection, 5 (33.3%) of them were diagnosed as catheter related bacteremia / sepsis. 36 (61%) of 59 femoral catheters were culture positive, 11 (30.5%) of them were diagnosed as local catheter related infection and 2 (33%) of them were diagnosed as catheter related bacteremia / sepsis. According to our results, there was no significant relation between catheter inserted vein and positive cultures of central venous catheters (p=0.32, p>0.05). These results were shown in Table IV.

No. of men (%)	45 (51.7)
No. of women (%)	32 (48.3)
Mean age in years (range)	49 (16-75)
No. of CVCs inserted per patient (%)	
1	67 (83%)
2	10 (17%)
Site of CVC insertion no (%)	
Subclavian	24
Jugular	4
Femoral	59
Mean inserted catheter duration time	
Infection (+)	18 / day
Infection (-)	12 / day

 Table I.
 Data from 77 patients with 87 central venous catheters (CVCs)

 Table II. Distribution of microorganisms which were isolated from central venous catheters

Microorganisms	n	(%)	
CNS	17	(25.7)	
- Oxacillin Resistant	7	(41.2)	
 Oxacillin Susceptible 	10	(62.5)	
S. aureus	16	(24.3)	
Oxacillin Resistant	6	(37.5)	
 Oxacillin Susceptible 	10	(58.8)	
Micrococcus spp.	5	(7.8)	
Streptococcus spp.	2	(3.0)	
Enterococcus spp.	1	(1.5)	
Corynebacerium spp.	1	(1.5)	
Enterobacter spp.	7	(10.6)	
Klebsiella spp.	6	(9.1)	
Acinetobacter spp.	2	(3.0)	
P. aeruginosa	2	(3.0)	
E.coli	2	(3.0)	
Flavobacterium spp.	2	(3.0)	
Serratia spp.	1	(1.5)	
Pseudomonas spp.	1	(1.5)	
Candida spp.	1	(1.5)	
Total	66	(100.0)	

 Table III. Distribution of agents which were isolated from intravascular catheter related infections.

Organism	Local infection	Bacteremia	Sepsis	Total (n)
CNS	11	2	-	13
S.aureus	4	6	2	12
Group A β hemolytic				
streptococcus	-	-	1	1
Group B β hemolytic				
streptococcus	1	-	-	1
Enterococcus spp.	-	1	-	1
Enterobacter spp.	3	1	-	4
Klebsiella spp.	3	1	1	5
Acinetobacter spp.	1	-	1	2
E. coli	1	1	-	2
Flavobacterium spp.	2	-	-	2
Pseudomonas aerugin	osa 1	-	-	1
Serratia spp.	•	1	-	1
Pseudomonas spp.	1	-	-	1
Total (n)	28	13	5	46

	Colonise		Local catheter related infection		Catheter related bacteremia/sepsis		Negative culture		Total	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Jugular vein	-	0	1	1.15	1	1.15	2	2.3	4	4.6
Subclavian vein	2	2.3	8	9	5	6	9	10.3	24	27.6
Femoral vein	13	15	11	12.6	12	13.8	23	26.4	59	67.8
	15	17.3	20	22.75	18	20.95	34	39.0	87	100.0

Table IV. The distribution of intravascular catheter related infections according to catheter application site.

DISCUSSION

Infection is one of the leading complications of intravascular catheters and intravascular catheters are a common source of nosocomial infections (9, 10). Central venous catheters particularly multiple lumens catheters or haemodialysis catheters are associated with a higher risk of infection than peripheral venous catheters (11). The incidence of central venous catheter related infections is reported about 3 to 7 %. Catheter related infection was reported 20% and catheter related bacteremia / sepsis was reported 10 % in hemodialysis patients (12, 3). Local catheter related infection was diagnosed in 20 (23%) cases, catheter related bacteremia was diagnosed in 13 cases (15%), catheter related sepsis was diagnosed in 5 cases (5.7%) according to our results.

As found in previous studies, staphylococci were the most common isolated agents from catheter related infections in haemodialysis patients (13, 14). Staphylococci were the most commonly isolated organism in our study too. Oxacillin resistant S. aureus and CNS was found 41.2%, 37.5% respectively. Enteric Gram negative bacteria rarely cause catheter related infections and these isolates tend to have high level III. generation cephalosporin resistance and they are usually nosocomial pathogens. In addition the outbreak of Gram negative bacteremia could be encountered in haemodialysis centers (15-17). According to our results, 23 (34.8 %) of all isolates were identified as Gram negative bacteria and five of Gram negative bacteria were caused by catheter related bacteremia / sepsis. Due to these results Gram negative bacteria are usually important pathogens of nosocomial infections in our hospital.

The predisposing factors to infections are prolonged catheterization, multiple catheter manipulation, improper aseptic insertion and techniques. maintanence Prolonaed catheterization is one of the major risk factors for infection associated with venous and arterial catheters. Changing of central venous catheters at intervals of 48 - 72 hours is not offered practically because mechanical complications may increase in this way. There is a significant relation between catheter related infection and catheter using time (18, 19). According to our results, average catheter using time was found to be 18 days among catheter culture positives.

Finally, haemodialysis patients are frequently confronted by intravascular applications and consequently intravascular catheter related infections. The aim of the physician should be to prevent intravascular catheter related infections and if intravascular catheter related infections occur, the physician must treat the infection according to each patient on the basis of the clinical presentation and resistance pattern of probable causative agents based on surveillance studies.

REFERENCES

- 1. Raad II, Bodey GP. Infectious complications of indwelling vascular catheters. Clin Infect Dis 1992;15:197-210.
- 2. Maki DG. Infections due to infusion therapy. In: Bennett JV, Brachman PS, eds. Hospital infections, 3 rd ed. Boston: Little, Brown and Company Inc, 1992: 849-899.
- 3. Uldall RP. Temporary vascular access for haemodialysis. In: Nissenson AR, Fine RN, eds. Dialysis therapy, 2 nd ed. Philadelphia Hanley & Betfus Inc, 1993: 5-10.

- 4. Raad II. Intravascular catheter related infections. Lancet 1998;351:893-898.
- 5. Maki DG, Weise CE, Sarafin HW. A semiquantitative method for identifying intravenous catheter - related infection. N Engl J Med 1977;296:1305-1309.
- 6. NCCLS. Performance standards for antimicrobial disk susceptibility test, Document M2-A4, Villanova 1991.
- 7. Brun-Buisson C, Abrouk F, Legrand P, et al. Diagnosis of central venous catheter related sepsis, Ann Intern Med 1987;147:873-877.
- 8. Widmer AF, Nettleman M, Flint K, et al. The clinical impact of culturing central venous catheters. A prospective study. Arch Intern Med 1992;152:1299-1302.
- Handerson DK. Bacteremia due to percutaneous intravascular devices. In: Mandel GL, Douglas RG, Bennett JE, eds. Principles and practise of infectious diseases, 4 th ed. New York: Churchill Livingstone Inc, 1995: 2587 - 2599.
- 10. Hampton AA, Sherertz RJ. Vascular access infections in hospitalized patients. Surg Clin North Am 1988;68:57-70.
- 11. Garrison RN, Wilson MA. Intravenous and central catheter infections. Surg Clin North Am 1994;74:557-570.
- 12. Elliott TSJ. Intravascular device infections. J Med Microbiol 1988;27:161-167.

- 13. Haslett TM, Isenberg HD, Hilton E, et al. Microbiology of indwelling central intravascular catheters. J Clin Microbiol 1988;26:696-701.
- 14. Jansen B. Vascular catheter related infection: aetiology and prevention. Cur Opin In Infect Dis 1993;6:526-531.
- 15. Schaberg DR, Culver DH, Gaynes RP. Major trends in the microbial etiology of nosocomial infection. Am J Med 1991;16 (Suppl 3B): 72-75.
- 16. Beck Sague CM, Jarvis WR, Bland LA, et al. Outbreak of Gram negative bacteremia and pyrogenic reactions in haemodialysis center. Am J Nephrol 1990;10:397-403.
- 17. Pearson LM. Guideline for prevention of intravascular device related infections. Part II. Recommendations for the prevention of nosomial intravascular device related infection. Hospital Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee. Am J Infect Control 1996;24:277-293.
- 18. Weightman NC, Simpson EM, Speller DC, et al. Bacteraemia related to indwelling central venous catheters: prevention, diagnosis ad treatment. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 1988;2:125-129.
- 19. Bonomo RA, Rice D, Whalen C, et al. Risk factors associated with permanent access-site infections in chronic haemodialysis patients. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 1997;18:757-761.