

Research Article

On the Poisson equation in exterior domains

WERNER VARNHORN*

ABSTRACT. We construct a solution of the Poisson equation in exterior domains $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, in homogeneous Lebesgue spaces $L^{2,q}(\Omega)$, $1 < q < \infty$, with methods of potential theory and integral equations. We investigate the corresponding null spaces and prove that its dimensions are equal to $n + 1$ independent of q .

Keywords: Poisson equation, potential theory, homogeneous Lebesgue spaces.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 31B10, 31B30, 35C15, 35J05.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 2$) be an exterior domain with a smooth boundary ∂G of class C^2 . We consider Poisson's equation concerning some scalar function u :

$$(1.1) \quad -\Delta u = f \text{ in } G, \quad u|_{\partial G} = \Phi.$$

Here f is given in G and Φ is the boundary value prescribed on ∂G . As usual, Δ denotes the Laplacian in \mathbb{R}^n .

It is well-known that in unbounded domains the treatment of partial differential equations causes special difficulties, and that the usual Sobolev spaces $W^{m,q}(G)$ are not adequate in this case: Even for the Laplacian in \mathbb{R}^n we find [6] that the operator $\Delta : W^{m,q}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow W^{m-2,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is not a Fredholm operator in general, as it is in the case of bounded domains [16]. Thus in exterior domains, the equations (1.1) have mostly been studied in connection with weight functions: Either (1.1) has been solved in weighted Sobolev spaces directly [7, 12, 14] or it has first been multiplied by some weights and then been solved in standard Sobolev spaces [17].

It is the aim of the present note to prove the solvability of (1.1) in homogeneous spaces $L^{2,q}(G)$ ($1 < q < \infty$) of the following type [5, 11]: Let $L^q(G)$ be the space of functions defined almost everywhere in G such that the norm

$$\|f\|_{q,G} = \left(\int_G |f(x)|^q dx \right)^{1/q}$$

is finite. Then $L^{2,q}(G)$ is the space of all functions being locally in $L^q(\overline{G})$ and having all second order distributional derivatives in $L^q(G)$. We show that for f given in $L^q(G)$ and some boundary value $\Phi \in W^{2-1/q,q}(\partial G)$ (see the notations below) there exists always a solution $u \in L^{2,q}(G)$. Concerning the uniqueness of this solution we prove that the space of all $u \in L^{2,q}(G)$ satisfying (1.1) with $f = 0$ and $\Phi = 0$ has the dimension $n + 1$, independent of q . This result also holds for the case $n = 2$.

Received: 14.06.2022; Accepted: 02.08.2022; Published Online: 12.08.2022

*Corresponding author: Werner Varnhorn; varnhorn@mathematik.uni-kassel.de

DOI: 10.33205/cma.1143800

Throughout this paper $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 2$) is an exterior domain, i.e. a domain whose complement is compact. Let \bar{G} denote its closure in \mathbb{R}^n and ∂G its boundary, which we assume to be of class C^2 [1, p. 67].

In the following, all function spaces contain real valued functions. Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be any domain with a compact boundary ∂D of class C^2 , or let $D = \mathbb{R}^n$. Besides the spaces $L^q(D)$ we need the well-known function spaces $C^\infty(D)$, $C_0^\infty(D)$, and the space $C_0^\infty(\bar{D})$, containing the restrictions $f|_{\bar{D}}$ of functions $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

We call a function u locally in $L^q(\bar{D})$ ($1 < q < \infty$) and write $u \in L_{\text{loc}}^q(\bar{D})$ if $u \in L^q(D \cap B)$ for every ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Note that this space does not coincide with the usual space $L_{\text{loc}}^q(D)$ in general (except for $D = \mathbb{R}^n$).

By $W^{m,q}(D)$ ($m = 0, 1, 2; W^{0,q}(D) = L^q(D)$) we mean the usual Sobolev space of functions u such that $D^\alpha u \in L^q(D)$ for all multiindices $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n = \{0, 1, \dots\}^n$ with $\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \leq m$ [1]. Here we use

$$D^\alpha u = D_1^{\alpha_1} D_2^{\alpha_2} \dots D_n^{\alpha_n} u, \quad D_i = \partial/\partial x_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, n; x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n).$$

The spaces $W_{\text{loc}}^{m,q}(D)$ and $W_{\text{loc}}^{m,q}(\bar{D})$ are defined analogously.

We need the fractional order space $W^{2-1/q,q}(\partial D)$, which contains the trace $u|_{\partial D}$ of all $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ [1, p. 216]. The norm in $W^{2-1/q,q}(\partial D)$ is denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{2-1/q,q,\partial D}$.

The term $\nabla u = (D_j u)_{j=1,\dots,n}$ represents the gradient of u and $\nabla^2 u = (D_i D_j u)_{i,j=1,\dots,n}$ means the system of all second order derivatives of u . For these terms we define the seminorms

$$\|\nabla u\|_{q,D} = \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \|D_k u\|_{q,D}^q \right)^{1/q}, \quad \|\nabla^2 u\|_{q,D} = \left(\sum_{j,k=1}^n \|D_j D_k u\|_{q,D}^q \right)^{1/q},$$

and introduce for $m = 1, 2$ and $1 < q < \infty$ the homogeneous spaces

$$(1.2) \quad L^{m,q}(D) = \{u \in L_{\text{loc}}^q(\bar{D}) \mid \|\nabla^m u\|_{q,D} < \infty\}.$$

Finally, concerning the norms and seminorms, we sometimes omit the domain of definition if it is obvious and use $\|\cdot\|_q$ or $\|\cdot\|_{2-1/q,q}$ instead of $\|\cdot\|_{q,G}$ or $\|\cdot\|_{2-1/q,q,\partial G'}$ for example.

2. POTENTIAL THEORY

Besides the Poisson equation (1.1) we also consider the special case of Laplace' equation with Dirichlet boundary condition

$$(2.3) \quad -\Delta u = 0 \text{ in } G, \quad u|_{\partial G} = \Phi.$$

These equations have mostly been studied with methods of potential theory (see for example [8, 15]). We collect some well-known facts in this section.

Let E_n ($n \geq 2$) in the following denote the fundamental solution of the Laplacian such that $-\Delta E_n(x) = \delta(x)$ where δ is Dirac's distribution in \mathbb{R}^n . It is well-known that

$$(2.4) \quad E_2(x) = -\frac{\ln|x|}{\omega_2} \quad (n = 2), \quad E_n(x) = \frac{|x|^{2-n}}{(n-2)\omega_n} \quad (n \geq 3),$$

where ω_n is the area of the $(n-1)$ -dimensional unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 2$).

Proposition 2.1. *Let $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 2$) be an exterior domain with boundary ∂G of class C^2 , and let $\Phi \in W^{2-1/q,q}(\partial G)$ be given ($1 < q < \infty$). Then there exists a unique function $u \in L^{2,q}(G)$ satisfying*

(2.3) in G , if we require the following decay conditions as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$:

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} u(x) - a \ln|x| &= O(1) \quad (n = 2), & u(x) &= O(|x|^{2-n}) \quad (n \geq 3), \\ \nabla^m u(x) &= O(|x|^{2-n-m}) \quad (n \geq 2; m = 1, 2). \end{aligned}$$

Here $a \in \mathbb{R}$ is a fixed prescribed constant.

Proof. To prove uniqueness let $u = u^1 - u^2$ be the difference of two solutions u^1 and u^2 with the required decay properties above. Define the bounded domain $G_r = G \cap B_r(0)$ where $B_r(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ denotes an open ball with center at zero and radius r such that $\partial G \subset B_r(0)$. From the local regularity theory we find $D_j u \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(\bar{G})$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$). Thus in G_r we may apply Greens first identity, obtaining

$$(2.6) \quad \int_{G_r} |\nabla u|^2 dx = \int_{\partial B_r} (\partial_N u) u d\sigma,$$

because the boundary integral over ∂G vanishes. Here N denotes the outward (with respect to G_r) unit normal vector on the boundary $\partial B_r = \partial B_r(0)$ and $\partial_N u$ is the normal derivative of u . Now do to the decay properties of u , the right hand side in (2.6) tends to zero as $r \rightarrow \infty$. This is obvious if $n \geq 3$. For $n = 2$, using the expansion theorem for harmonic functions at infinity [15, p. 523], we find $u(x) = O(1)$ and $\nabla u(x) = O(|x|^{-2})$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, which implies the assertion above, too. It follows $\nabla u = o$ in G , hence $u = 0$ in G because u vanishes on the boundary ∂G . This proves the uniqueness.

To show the existence of a solution with the required properties we use the boundary integral equations method: Let us define the simple layer potential

$$(E^n \Theta)(x) = \int_{\partial G} E_n(x-y) \Theta(y) d\sigma_y, \quad (x \notin \partial G),$$

the double layer potential

$$(D^n \Theta)(x) = - \int_{\partial G} \partial_{N(y)} E_n(x-y) \Theta(y) d\sigma_y \quad (x \notin \partial G),$$

and the normal derivative of the simple layer potential

$$(H^n \Theta)(x) = - \int_{\partial G} \partial_{N(x)} E_n(x-y) \Theta(y) d\sigma_y \quad (x \notin \partial G).$$

Here and in the following, $N = N(z)$ is the outward (with respect to the bounded domain $G_b = \mathbb{R}^n / \bar{G}$) unit normal vector in $z \in \partial G$, and $\Theta \in W^{2-1/q, q}(\partial G)$ is the unknown source density. Then we have the continuity relation

$$(2.7) \quad (E^n \Theta)^e = (E^n \Theta)^i = E^n \Theta \quad \text{on } \partial G$$

and the jump relations

$$(2.8) \quad D^n \Theta - (D^n \Theta)^e = (D^n \Theta)^i - D^n \Theta = 1/2 \Theta \quad \text{on } \partial G,$$

$$(2.9) \quad H^n \Theta - (H^n \Theta)^e = (H^n \Theta)^i - H^n \Theta = -1/2 \Theta \quad \text{on } \partial G.$$

The index e stands for the limit from outside, and the index i for the limit from inside. Now let us first assume $n \geq 3$. Following [3, 10] (here for the case of Helmholtz' equation), for the solution of (2.3) we choose in G the ansatz

$$u = D^n \Theta - \alpha E^n(\Theta) \quad (0 < \alpha \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Then by means of (2.7), (2.8) we obtain the second kind Fredholm boundary integral equation

$$(2.10) \quad \Phi = -1/2 \Theta + D^n \Theta - \alpha E^n \Theta \quad \text{on } \partial G$$

for the unknown source density $\Theta \in W^{2-1/q,q}(\partial G)$. To see that (2.10) is uniquely solvable for all boundary values $\Phi \in W^{2-1/q,q}(\partial G)$, let $0 \neq \Psi$ be a solution of the homogeneous adjoint integral equation

$$(2.11) \quad 0 = -1/2\Psi + H^n\Psi - \alpha E^n\Psi \quad \text{on } \partial G.$$

By (2.7) and (2.9), this implies $\alpha(E^n\Psi)^i = (H^n\Psi)^i = -(\partial_N E^n\Psi)^i$, and Green's first identity yields $\int_{G_b} |\nabla(E^n\Psi)|^2 dx = \int_{\partial G} (E^n\Psi)^i (\partial_N E^n\Psi)^i do = -\alpha \int_{\partial G} |E^n\Psi|^2 do$, hence $E^n\Psi = 0$ in \overline{G}_b . This implies $(E^n\Psi)^e = 0$ using (2.7), and the uniqueness statement above yields $E^n\Psi = 0$ in G , too. Thus $E^n\Psi = 0$ in the whole \mathbb{R}^n , and we obtain $\Psi = 0$ by (2.9), as asserted. This proves the existence in the case $n \geq 3$.

Now let $n = 2$. As in [9] (for the case of Stokes' equations) we use in G the ansatz

$$u = -a\omega_2 E^2 1 + D^2\Theta - \alpha E^2\Theta^* - \beta b_\Theta \quad (0 < \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \neq \beta \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Here $a \in \mathbb{R}$ is the prescribed constant from (2.5), $E^2 1$ is the simple layer potential with constant density $\Psi = 1$,

$$b_\Theta = \int_{\partial G} \Theta(y) do_y$$

is some constant, and the source density Θ^* is defined by

$$(2.12) \quad \Theta^*(x) = \Theta(x) - b_\Theta / (\text{meas}(\partial G)),$$

which implies $b_{\Theta^*} = \int_{\partial G} \Psi^*(y) do_y = 0$. Note that the decay properties (2.5) are fulfilled in this case. Here again, (2.7) and (2.8) lead to the second kind Fredholm boundary integral equation

$$(2.13) \quad \Phi + a\omega_2 E^2 1 = -1/2\Theta + D^2\Theta - \alpha E^2\Theta^* - \beta b_\Theta \quad \text{on } \partial G.$$

To see that (2.13) has a unique solution $\Theta \in W^{2-1/q,q}(\partial G)$ for all boundary values $\Phi \in W^{2-1/q,q}(\partial G)$ and all $a \in \mathbb{R}$, let $0 \neq \Psi$ solve the homogeneous adjoint integral equation

$$0 = -1/2\Psi + H^2\Psi - \alpha E^2\Psi^* - \beta b_\Psi \quad \text{on } \partial G.$$

Because for any constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $-1/2c + D^2c = 0$ [15, p. 511] and $E^2c^* = 0$ (see (2.12) for the definition of c^*), we find

$$0 = \langle c, -1/2\Psi + H^2\Psi - \alpha E^2\Psi^* - \beta b_\Psi \rangle = -\beta \langle c, b_\Psi \rangle,$$

where here $\langle \psi, \varphi \rangle = \int_{\partial G} \psi(y)\varphi(y) do$ denotes the corresponding duality. It follows $b_\Psi = 0$ and $\Psi^* = \Psi$, hence Ψ is a solution of

$$0 = -1/2\Psi + H^2\Psi - \alpha E^2\Psi \quad \text{on } \partial G,$$

too. Now the same arguments as for (2.11) in the case $n \geq 3$ yield the assertion and the proposition is proved. \square

3. THE POISSON EQUATION

The first theorem ensures the solvability of Poisson's equation (1.1) in the space $L^{2,q}(G)$, defined by (1.2).

Theorem 3.1. *Let $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 2$) be an exterior domain with boundary ∂G of class C^2 , and let $1 < q < \infty$. Then for every $f \in L^q(G)$ and $\Phi \in W^{2-1/q,q}(\partial G)$ there exists some $u \in L^{2,q}(G)$ satisfying the Poisson equation (1.1) in G .*

Proof. Setting $f = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n/G we obtain some function $\tilde{f} \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\tilde{f}|_G = f$ in G . Let $\tilde{f}_i \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denote a sequence such that $\tilde{f}_i \rightarrow \tilde{f}$ in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Consider now for fixed i the equation $-\Delta \tilde{u}_i = \tilde{f}_i$ in \mathbb{R}^n . We can solve it by convolution with E_n (see (2.4)), obtaining $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the representation

$$\tilde{u}_i(x) = (E_n * \tilde{f}_i)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} E_n(x - y) \tilde{f}_i(y) \, dy.$$

Moreover, by the theorem of Calderon-Zygmund [4], for the second order derivatives we obtain the estimate $\|\nabla^2 \tilde{u}_i\|_q \leq c \|\tilde{f}_i\|_q$ with some constant c independent of $i \in \mathbb{N}$, which implies $\|\nabla^2(\tilde{u}_i - \tilde{u}_k)\|_q \rightarrow 0$ as $i, k \rightarrow \infty$.

Next consider a sequence of open balls $(B_j)_j$ with $B_j \subset B_{j+1} \cup_{j=1}^\infty B_j = \mathbb{R}^n$. Let us define the space

$$(3.14) \quad \mathbb{P} = \{P : x \rightarrow P(x) = a + b \cdot x \mid b, x \in \mathbb{R}^n, a \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

of linear functions $P : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then by the generalized Poincaré inequality (compare [11, p. 22] or [13, p. 112]) we obtain for every $v \in L^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the estimate

$$(3.15) \quad \|v\|_{L^q(B_j)/\mathbb{P}} := \inf_{P \in \mathbb{P}} \|v + P\|_{L^q(B_j)} \leq c_j \|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^q(B_j)_{n^2}}$$

with some constants $c_j > 0$. Because $\tilde{u}_i \in L^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we conclude that $(\tilde{u}_i)_i$ is a Cauchy sequence with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^q(B_1)/\mathbb{P}}$ on the left hand side of (3.15) for fixed $j = 1$. This implies the existence of linear functions $P_i \in \mathbb{P}$ such that $(\tilde{u}_i + P_i)_i$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^q(B_1)$. Repeating this argument now for $j = 2$, there exist linear functions $Q_i \in \mathbb{P}$ such that $\tilde{u}_i + Q_i$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^q(B_2)$, hence in $L^q(B_1)$, because $B_1 \subset B_2$. Thus the difference $(P_i - Q_i)_i$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^q(B_1)$, and using the representation

$$P_i(x) = \alpha_i + B_i \cdot x, \quad Q_i(x) = \gamma_i + \delta_i \cdot x,$$

we obtain that $(\alpha_i - \gamma_i)_i$ and $(\beta_i - \delta_i)_i$ are Cauchy sequences in \mathbb{R} and in \mathbb{R}^n , respectively. From this we find that $(P_i - Q_i)_i$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^q(B_2)$, and thus also $(\tilde{u}_i + P_i)_i = (\tilde{u}_i + Q_i)_i + (P_i - Q_i)_i$. Repeating this procedure it follows that $(\tilde{u}_i + P_i)_i$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^q(B_j)$ for all $j = 1, 2, \dots$. Thus we can find some $\tilde{u} \in L^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $(\tilde{u}_i + P_i) \rightarrow \tilde{u}$ in $L^q_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\|\nabla^2(\tilde{u} - \tilde{u}_i)\|_{q,\mathbb{R}^n} \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, \tilde{u} satisfies $-\Delta \tilde{u} = \tilde{f}$ in \mathbb{R}^n and the estimate $\|\nabla^2 \tilde{u}\|_q \leq c \|\tilde{f}\|_q$. Since $\tilde{u} \in W^{2,q}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we conclude from the usual trace theorem [1, p. 217] that $\tilde{u}|_{\partial G} \in W^{2-1/q,q}(\partial G)$. Following Proposition 2.1 there is a function $w \in L^{2,q}(G)$ satisfying the equations

$$-\Delta w = 0 \text{ in } G, \quad w|_{\partial G} = \tilde{u}|_{\partial G} - \Phi,$$

where $\Phi \in W^{2-1/q,q}(\partial G)$ is the prescribed boundary value. Now setting $u = \tilde{u}|_G - w$ we obtain the desired solution and the theorem is proved. \square

Because functions $u \in L^{2,q}(G)$ have no suitable decay properties at infinity, in general we cannot expect uniqueness for the solution of (1.1) constructed in Theorem 3.1. Thus we consider in G the homogeneous equations and defined the nullspace of (1.1) by

$$(3.16) \quad N_q(G) = \{u \in L^{2,q}(G) \mid -\Delta u = 0 \text{ in } G, u|_{\partial G} = 0\}.$$

Theorem 3.2. *Let $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 2$) be an exterior domain with boundary ∂G of class C^2 , and let $1 < q < \infty$. Then for the dimension $\dim N_q(G)$ of the nullspace defined in (3.16) we have $\dim N_q(G) = n + 1$ independent of q .*

Proof. Consider the space \mathbb{P} of linear functions defined in (3.14). Because for every $P \in \mathbb{P}$ we have $P(x) = a + b \cdot x$ with some $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and some vector $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we find $\dim \mathbb{P} = n + 1$. Let u^P denote the uniquely determined solution of the equation

$$-\Delta u = 0, \quad u|_{\partial G} = -P|_{\partial G}$$

with $P \in \mathbb{P}$, according to Lemma 2.1. Here in the case $n = 2$ we require

$$(3.17) \quad u(x) - a \ln|x| = 0(1) \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty,$$

where the constant a is chosen from $P(x) = a + b \cdot x$. Setting

$$M_q(G) = \{u^P + P|_{\bar{G}} \mid P \in \mathbb{P}\}$$

we obtain $M_q(G) \subset N_q(G)$, obviously. Furthermore, we have $\dim M_q(G) = \dim \mathbb{P} = n + 1$, which can be shown as follows: Let $p(x) = a + b \cdot x$ and let $u^P + P|_{\bar{G}} = 0$ in \bar{G} . Then from the decay properties of u^P and ∇u^P established in Lemma 2.1 we find $a = 0$ and $b = 0$, hence $P = 0$. Here in the case $n = 2$ we obtain $a = 0$ due to the special choice of the number a in (3.17). Together with the uniqueness statement in Lemma 2.1 this means that, if B is a basis of \mathbb{P} , then

$$B_q(G) = \{u^P + P|_{\bar{G}} \mid P \in B\}$$

is a basis of $M_q(G)$. Thus it remains to show

$$(3.18) \quad N_q(G) \subset M_q(G).$$

To do so, let us first determine the null space

$$N_q(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{u \mid u \in L^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ with } -\Delta u = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n\}.$$

From $\Delta u = 0$, hence $\Delta \nabla^2 u = 0$ with $D_{jk}^2 u \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ($j, k = 1, \dots, n$) we obtain $\nabla^2 u = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n , which implies $u = P$ for some $P \in \mathbb{P}$. Thus we have shown that

$$(3.19) \quad N_q(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathbb{P}.$$

Now let $u \in N_q(G)$. We extend u on the whole space obtaining a function $\tilde{u} \in L^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\tilde{u}|_G = u$ [1, p. 83]. Moreover, this function satisfies on \mathbb{R}^n the identity $-\Delta \tilde{u} = \tilde{f} \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where the function \tilde{f} has a compact support in the bounded domain $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bar{G}$. Consider the equations

$$(3.20) \quad -\Delta w = \tilde{f} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Again, it can be solved by convolution with the fundamental solution E_n of the Laplacian: We obtain $w = E_n * \tilde{f}$ in \mathbb{R}^n and the Calderon-Zygmund theorem implies $D_{jk}^2 w \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $1 < r \leq q$ ($j, k = 1, \dots, n$). Here we used $\tilde{f} \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)^n$ for all $1 < r \leq q$ due to its compact support. Now using a well-known estimate of Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev-type [2, p. 242] we find $w \in L^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $s \geq q$, hence $w \in L_{loc}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L_{loc}^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Thus we have constructed some solution w of (3.20) such that $w \in L^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Setting $W = \tilde{u} - w$ we obtain $W \in N_q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and (3.19) leads to $\tilde{u} = w + P$ for some $P \in \mathbb{P}$. Because $\tilde{u}|_{\partial G} = 0$ and since $\tilde{u}|_G = u$ we find $u \in M_q(G)$, which proves (3.18) and thus the theorem. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] R. A. Adams: *Sobolev Spaces*, New York-San Francisco-London: Academic Press (1975).
- [2] L. Bers, F. John and M. Schechter: *Partial differential equations*, Providence R.I.: Wiley (1979).
- [3] H. Brakhage, P. Werner: *Über das Dirichlet'sche Außenraumproblem für die Helmholtz'sche Schwingungsgleichung*, Arch. Math., **16** (1965), 325–329.
- [4] A. P. Calderon, A. Zygmund: *On singular integrals*. Amer. J. Math., **78** (1956) 289–309.
- [5] J. Deny, J.-L. Lions: *Les espaces du type de Beppo Levi*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, **5** (1953–1954) 305–370.
- [6] D. Fortunato: *On the index of elliptic partial differential operators in \mathbb{R}^n* , Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., **119** (1979), 317–331.
- [7] J. Giroire: *Etude de quelques problèmes aux limites extérieures et résolution par équations intégrales*. Thèse de doctorat d'état es sciences mathématiques. Paris 6: Université Pierre et Marie Curie (1987).
- [8] N. M. Günter: *Die Potentialtheorie und ihre Anwendungen auf Grundaufgaben der mathematischen Physik*, Leipzig: Verlagsgesellschaft (1957).
- [9] G. C. Hsiao, R. Kress: *On an integral equation for the two-dimensional exterior Stokes problem*. NAM-Bericht 33. Universität Göttingen (1983).
- [10] R. Leis: *Zur Eindeutigkeit der Randwertaufgaben der Helmholtz'schen Schwingungsgleichung*, Math. Z., **85** (1964) 141–153.
- [11] V. G. Maz'ja: *Sobolev spaces*, Berlin Heidelberg New York Tokyo, Springer Verlag (1985).
- [12] R. McOwen: *The behaviour of the Laplacian on weighted Sobolev spaces*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **32** (1979), 783–795.
- [13] J. Nečas: *Les méthodes directes en théorie des équations elliptiques*, Prague, Academia (1967).
- [14] J. Saranen, K. J. Witsch: *Exterior boundary value problems for elliptic equations*. Ann. Acad. Sci. Fennicae Series A. I. Mathematica, **8** (1983) 3–42.
- [15] W. I. Smirnow: *Lehrgang der höheren Mathematik 4*, Berlin: Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften (1979).
- [16] C. G. Simader: *On Dirichlet's boundary value problem*, Berlin Heidelberg New York, Springer Lecture Notes 268 (1972).
- [17] W. Varnhorn: *The Poisson equation with weights in exterior domains of \mathbb{R}^n* , Applic. Anal., **43** (1992), 135–145.

WERNER VARNHORN

KASSEL UNIVERSITY

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND NATURAL SCIENCES

34127 KASSEL, GERMANY

ORCID: 0000-0001-9486-1319

E-mail address: varnhorn@mathematik.uni-kassel.de