

SOME RESULTS ON THE STUDY OF Ξ -HILFER TYPE FUZZY FRACTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH TIME DELAY

R. VIVEK*, D. VIVEK**, K. KANAGARAJAN***, AND E. M. ELSAYED****

*,***DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SRI RAMAKRISHNA MISSION VIDYALAYA
 COLLEGE OF ARTS & SCIENCE, COIMBATORE-641020, INDIA.

ORCID NUMER OF THE FIRST AUTHOR: 0000-0002-1451-0875

ORCID NUMER OF THE THIRD AUTHOR: 0000-0001-5556-2658

**DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PSG COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE,
 COIMBATORE-641014, INDIA.

ORCID NUMER OF THE SECOND AUTHOR: 0000-0003-0951-8060

****DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, MANSOURA
 UNIVERSITY, MANSOURA 35516, EGYPT.

ORCID NUMER OF THE FOURTH AUTHOR: 0000-0003-0894-8472

ABSTRACT. This paper is concerned with the finite-time stability of Ξ -Hilfer type fuzzy fractional differential equations (FFDEs) with time delay. By applying standard theorems and a hypothetical condition, we explore the existence of solution and stability results.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this manuscript, we will explore the existence and stability of the following Ξ -Hilfer type FFDE with time delay

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}_{0+}^{\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \Xi} w(t) = g(t, w_t), & t \in (0, b], \\ \mathcal{I}_{0+}^{1-\gamma, \Xi} w(0^+) = w_0, & \gamma = \zeta_1 + \zeta_2 - \zeta_1 \zeta_2, \\ w(t) = \chi(t), & t \in [-\tau, 0], \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $w \in \mathbb{R}^c$, $g : [0, b] \times C([-\tau, b], E_c) \rightarrow E_c$ is fuzzy function, where $\chi \in C([-\tau, 0], E_c)$ and E_c is the space of fuzzy sets. Moreover $\mathcal{I}_{0+}^{1-\gamma, \Xi}$, $\mathcal{D}_{0+}^{\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \Xi}$ denotes the Ξ -Hilfer fractional integral and derivative of order $\zeta_1 \in (0, 1)$ and type $\zeta_2 \in [0, 1]$. Compared to the literature [1] to [35], the main contributions and novelty of this paper are reflected in the following aspects:

- (i) The system (1.1) has delay terms, which can be truly reflected the object process of change.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 26A33 ; Secondaries: 33E12 .

Key words and phrases. Fuzzy differential equations; Ξ -Hilfer fractional derivative; Finite-time stability; Fixed point; Existence.

©2022 Proceedings of International Mathematical Sciences.

Submitted on 30.08.2022. Accepted on 04.11.2022.

Communicated by Hacer SENGUL KANDEMIR and Nazlım Deniz ARAL.

- (ii) In view of different systems, although the method used to study the existence and stability, but there are many differences in the processes of proof.
- (iii) We are able to prove time-stability by using new assumptions.

2. ELEMENTARY RESULTS

This section of research paper is devoted to basic results and definitions that we need for investigation of the main results.

Let us take $\mathfrak{J} = [0, b]$. Let $C([-\tau, b], E_c)$ be the family of all continuous fuzzy functions from $[-\tau, b]$ into E_c , which endowed with the supremum metric: $D_{[-\tau, b]}[w, \hat{0}] = \sup_{t \in [-\tau, b]} D_0[w(t), \hat{0}]$ and $AC(\mathfrak{J}, E_c)$ be the family of all absolutely continuous fuzzy functions on the interval \mathfrak{J} with the values in E_c . Let $\gamma \in (0, 1)$, by $C_{\gamma, \Xi}(\mathfrak{J}, E_c)$. We denote the family of continuous functions defined by $C_{\gamma, \Xi}(\mathfrak{J}, E_c) = \{w : (0, b) \rightarrow E_c | (\Xi(t) - \Xi(0))^{1-\gamma} w(t) \in C(\mathfrak{J}, E_c)\}$.

Let E_c denote the space of all fuzzy numbers on \mathbb{R}^c , if $w : \mathbb{R}^c \rightarrow [0, 1]$ satisfies normal, convex, upper semicontinuous and compactly supported. The q -level set of w is defined by

$$[w]^q = \{t \in \mathbb{R}^c : w(t) \geq q\}, \quad q \in [0, 1] \quad \text{and}$$

$$[w]^0 = \{t \in \mathbb{R}^c | w(t) > 0\}.$$

It follows that the q -level set of $w \in E_c$, $[w]^q$ is a nonempty compact interval, for any $q \in [0, 1]$. We denote by $[\underline{w}(q), \overline{w}(q)]$ the q -level of a fuzzy number w .

Definition 2.1. [12] *Let w_1 and w_2 be two fuzzy sets defined on E_c and $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^c$. Due to Zadeh's extension principle, $w_1 + w_2$ and μw_1 are in E_c and defined as*

$$[w_1 + w_2]^q = [w_1]^q + [w_2]^q,$$

$$[\mu w]^q = \mu [w]^q, \quad \text{for all } q \in [0, 1],$$

where $[w_1]^q + [w_2]^q$ represents the usual addition of two intervals of \mathbb{R}^c and $\mu [w_1]^q$ represents the usual scalar product between μ and an real interval.

Definition 2.2. [12] *The distance $D_0[w_1, w_2]$ between two fuzzy numbers is defined by*

$$D_0[w_1, w_2] = \sup_{0 \leq q \leq 1} H([w_1]^q, [w_2]^q) \quad \text{for all } w_1, w_2 \in E_c, \quad (2.1)$$

where $H([w_1]^q, [w_2]^q) = \max\{|w_1(q) - w_2(q)|, |\overline{w_1}(q) - \overline{w_2}(q)|\}$ is the Hausdoff distance between $[w_1]^q$ and $[w_2]^q$.

Definition 2.3. [12] *Let $w_1, w_2 \in E_c$. There exists $w_3 \in E_c$ such that $w_1 = w_2 + w_3$, that is., $w_3 = w_1 \ominus w_2$, where w_3 is Hukuhara difference of w_1 and w_2 . The generalized Hukuhara difference of two fuzzy numbers $w_1, w_2 \in E_c$ [gH-difference] is defined as*

$$w_1 \ominus_{gH} w_2 = w_3 \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} (i) w_1 = w_2 + w_3, & \text{or} \\ (ii) w_2 = w_1 + (-1)w_3, \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

where $w_1 \ominus_{gH} w_2$ is called as gH-difference of w_1 and w_2 in E_c .

In the q -levels, we have that for all $q \in [0, 1]$,

$$[w_1 \ominus_{gH} w_2]^q = [\min\{\underline{w_1}(s) - \underline{w_2}(s), \overline{w_1}(s) - \overline{w_2}(s)\}], \quad (2.3)$$

$$\max\{\underline{w_1}(s) - \underline{w_2}(s), \overline{w_1}(s) - \overline{w_2}(s)\}. \quad (2.4)$$

Also, the condition for the existence of $w_1 \ominus_{gH} w_2$ in the case(i) is $d([w_1]^q) \geq d([w_2]^q)$, and the condition for the existence of $w_1 \ominus_{gH} w_2$ in the case(ii) is $d([w_2]^q) \geq d([w_1]^q)$.

Definition 2.4. [12] A function $w : [0, b] \rightarrow E_c$ is said to be d -increasing (d -decreasing) on $[0, b]$ if for every $q \in [0, 1]$ the function $t \mapsto d[w(t)]^q$ is nondecreasing (nonincreasing) on $[0, b]$. Let w be a d -increasing or d -decreasing on $[0, b]$, then we say that w is d -monotone on $[0, b]$.

Definition 2.5. [12] The generalized Hukuhara derivative of a fuzzy-valued function $w : (0, b) \rightarrow E_c$ at t is defined as

$$w'_{gH}(t) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{w(t+h) \ominus_{gH} w(t)}{h},$$

if $w'_{gH}(t) \in E_c$, we say that w is generalized Hukuhara differentiable (gH -differentiable) at t .

Moreover, we say that w is $[(i) - gH]$ -differentiable at t if

$$\begin{aligned} [w'_{gh}(t)]^q &= \left[\left[\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\underline{w}(t+h) \ominus_{gH} \underline{w}(t)}{h} \right]^q, \left[\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\overline{w}(t+h) \ominus_{gH} \overline{w}(t)}{h} \right]^q \right], \\ &= [(\underline{w})'(q, t), (\overline{w})'(q, t)], \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

and that w is $[(ii) - gH]$ -differentiable at t if

$$[w'_{gH}(t)]^q = [(\overline{w})'(q, t), (\underline{w})'(q, t)]. \quad (2.6)$$

Definition 2.6. [12] Let us consider $w \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{J}, E_c)$ as a fuzzy function and $\zeta_1 \in (0, 1)$, then the fuzzy Ξ -type Riemann-Liouville integral of fuzzy-valued function w is defined as follows:

$$(\mathcal{I}_{0+}^{\zeta_1, \Xi} w)(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\zeta_1)} \int_0^t \Xi'(s) (\Xi(t) - \Xi(s))^{\zeta_1 - 1} w(s) ds, \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathfrak{J}, \quad (2.7)$$

where $\Gamma(\zeta_1)$ is the Gamma function.

Definition 2.7. [12] Let $w : \mathfrak{J} \rightarrow E_c$ be a continuous fuzzy mapping. The fuzzy Ξ -type Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of order $n-1 < \alpha < n$ for fuzzy-valued function w is defined by

$$(\mathcal{D}_{0+}^{\zeta_1, \Xi} w)(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n - \zeta_1)} \left(\frac{1}{\Xi'(t)} \frac{d}{dt} \right)^n \int_0^t \Xi'(s) (\Xi(t) - \Xi(s))^{n - \zeta_1 - 1} w(s) ds, \quad \forall t \in \mathfrak{J}. \quad (2.8)$$

If $w \in C(\mathfrak{J}, E_c)$, then the Ξ -Hilfer fractional integral of order ζ_1 of the fuzzy-valued function w is defined as follows:

$$w_{\zeta_1, \Xi}(t) = (\mathcal{I}_{0+}^{\zeta_1, \Xi} w)(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\zeta_1)} \int_0^t \Xi'(t) (\Xi(t) - \Xi(s))^{\zeta_1 - 1} w(s) ds.$$

Since $[w(t)]^q = [\underline{w}(q, t), \overline{w}(q, t)]$ and $0 < \zeta_1 < 1$, let us consider the fuzzy Ξ -fractional integral of the fuzzy-valued function w based on lower and upper functions, that is,

$$[(\mathcal{I}_{0+}^{\zeta_1, \Xi} w)(t)]^q = [(\mathcal{I}_{0+}^{\zeta_1, \Xi} \underline{w})(q, t), (\mathcal{I}_{0+}^{\zeta_1, \Xi} \overline{w})(q, t)],$$

where

$$(\mathcal{I}_{0^+}^{\zeta_1, \Xi} \underline{w})(q, t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\zeta_1)} \int_0^t \Xi'(s) (\Xi(t) - \Xi(s))^{\zeta_1 - 1} \underline{w}(q, s) ds,$$

and

$$(\mathcal{I}_{0^+}^{\zeta_1, \Xi} \overline{w})(q, t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\zeta_1)} \int_0^t \Xi'(s) (\Xi(t) - \Xi(s))^{\zeta_1 - 1} \overline{w}(q, s) ds.$$

In addition, it follows that the operator $w_{\zeta_1, \Xi}(t)$ is linear and bounded from $C(\mathfrak{J}, E_c)$ to $C(\mathfrak{J}, E_c)$. Indeed, we have

$$c \leq \|w\|_0 \frac{1}{\Gamma(\zeta_1)} \int_0^t \Xi'(s) (\Xi(t) - \Xi(s))^{\zeta_1 - 1} ds = \frac{\|w\|_0}{\Gamma(\zeta_1 + 1)} (\Xi(t) - \Xi(0))^{\zeta_1},$$

where $\|w\|_0 = \sup_{t \in \mathfrak{J}} D_0[w(t), \widehat{0}]$.

Definition 2.8. [12] Let order ζ_1 and type ζ_2 satisfy $n-1 < \zeta_1 \leq n$ and $0 \leq \zeta_2 \leq 1$, with $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The fuzzy Ξ -Hilfer generalized Hukuhara fractional derivative (or Ξ -Hilfer gH -fractional derivative) (left-sided/right-sided), with respect to t , with a function $w \in C_{1-\gamma, \Xi}(\mathfrak{J}, E_c)$, is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{D}_{0^+}^{\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \Xi} w)(t) &= (\mathcal{I}_{0^+}^{\zeta_2(1-\zeta_1), \Xi}) \left(\frac{1}{\Xi'(t)} \frac{d}{dt} \right) (\mathcal{I}_{0^+}^{(1-\zeta_2)(1-\zeta_1), \Xi} w)(t) \\ &= (\mathcal{I}_{0^+}^{\zeta_2(1-\zeta_1), \Xi} f^\Xi \mathcal{I}_{0^+}^{(1-\zeta_2)(1-\zeta_1), \Xi} w)(t), \end{aligned}$$

if the gH -derivative $w'_{(1-\zeta_1), \Xi}(t)$ exists for $t \in \mathfrak{J}$, where

$$w_{(1-\zeta_1), \Xi}(t) := (\mathcal{I}_{0^+}^{(1-\zeta_1), \Xi} w)(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\zeta_1)} \int_0^t \Xi'(s) (\Xi(t) - \Xi(s))^{-\zeta_1} w(s) ds.$$

Definition 2.9. [11] Let $\zeta_1 > 0$, $\zeta_2 > 0$. Then the two parameters Mittag-Leffler function is defined as

$$\mathbb{E}_{\zeta_1, \zeta_2}(w) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{w^k}{\Gamma(\zeta_1 k + \zeta_2)}, \quad w \in E_c. \quad (2.9)$$

If $\zeta_2 = 1$, the one-parameter Mittag-Leffler function defined by

$$\mathbb{E}_{\zeta_1}(w) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{w^k}{\Gamma(\zeta_1 k + 1)}, \quad w \in E_c, \zeta_1 > 0. \quad (2.10)$$

Definition 2.10. [11] The fuzzy problem (1.1) is said to be finite time stable with respect to $\{0, J, \tau, \sigma, \epsilon\}$, $0 < \delta_1 < \epsilon$, $\epsilon \in E_c$, such that for any solution w of fuzzy problem (1.1), if and if $D_0[w_0, \widehat{0}] < \sigma$ and $D_0[\chi, \widehat{0}] < \sigma$, implies a solution w of fuzzy problem (1.1) satisfying $D_{\mathfrak{J}}^\gamma[w, \widehat{0}] < \epsilon$.

For our convenience, we define $\mathcal{N}(w) = \{w \in C_\gamma(\mathfrak{J}, E_c) : w \text{ satisfies (3.1)}\}$.

Lemma 2.1. [12] Let $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \vartheta_1 > 0$. Then

- (i) $\mathcal{I}_{0^+}^{\zeta_1, \Xi} \mathcal{I}_{0^+}^{\zeta_2, \Xi} w(t) = \mathcal{I}_{0^+}^{\zeta_1, \zeta_2} w(t)$.
- (ii) $\mathcal{I}_{0^+}^{\zeta_1, \Xi} (\Xi(t) - \Xi(0))^{\vartheta_1 - 1} = \frac{\Gamma(\vartheta_1)}{\Gamma(\zeta_1 + \vartheta_1)} (\Xi(t) - \Xi(0))^{\zeta_1 + \vartheta_1 - 1}$.

Lemma 2.2. [12] Let $\zeta_1 > 0$, $0 \leq \gamma < 1$. If $w \in C_{\gamma, \Xi}[0, b]$ and $\mathcal{I}_{0^+}^{1-\zeta_1, \Xi} w \in C_{\gamma, \Xi}^1[0, b]$, then

$$\mathcal{I}_{0^+}^{\zeta_1, \Xi} \mathcal{D}_{0^+}^{\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \Xi} w(t) = w(t) - \frac{\mathcal{I}_{0^+}^{1-\zeta_1, \Xi} w(t)}{\Gamma(\zeta_1)} (\Xi(t) - \Xi(0))^{\zeta_1 - 1}.$$

Lemma 2.3. [12] Let $w \in L^1(0, b)$. If $\mathcal{D}_{0^+}^{\zeta_2(1-\zeta_1), \Xi} w$ exists on $L^1(0, b)$, then

$$\mathcal{D}_{0^+}^{\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \Xi} \mathcal{I}_{0^+}^{\zeta_1, \Xi} w = \mathcal{I}_{0^+}^{\zeta_2(1-\zeta_2), \Xi} \mathcal{D}_{0^+}^{\zeta_2(1-\zeta_1), \Xi} w, \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, b).$$

Theorem 2.4. [25] (**Schauder fixed point theorem**) Let $H \neq 0$ be a bounded, closed, convex subset of a fuzzy Banach space in X . If $T : H \rightarrow H$ be a continuous compact operator. Then, T has at least one fixed point in H .

Lemma 2.5. [11] (**Generalized Gronwall's Inequality**) Let $\zeta_1 > 0$ and $x_1(t), x_2(t)$ be two nonnegative function locally integrable on $[0, T]$. Assume that g is nonnegative and nondecreasing, and let $\Xi \in C^1([0, T], E_c)$ an increasing function such that $\Xi'(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in [0, T]$. If

$$x_1(t) \leq x_2(t) + g(t) \int_0^t \Xi'(s) (\Xi(t) - \Xi(s))^{\zeta_1} x_1(s) ds, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Then

$$x_1(t) \leq x_2(t) + \int_0^t \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{[g(t)\Gamma(\zeta_1)]^n}{\Gamma(n\zeta_1)} \Xi'(s) (\Xi(t) - \Xi(s))^{n\zeta_1} x_2(s) ds, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

If x_2 be a nondecreasing function on $[0, T]$. Then

$$x_1(t) \leq x_2(t) \mathbb{E}_{\zeta_1} \{g(t)\Gamma(\zeta_1) [\Xi(t) - \Xi(0)]^{\zeta_1}\}, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Lemma 2.6. [12] Let $g : (0, b] \times E_c \rightarrow E_c$ be a continuous fuzzy function. Then the following problem

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}_{0^+}^{\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \Xi} w(t) = g(t, w_t), & t \in (0, b], \\ \mathcal{I}_{0^+}^{1-\gamma, \Xi} w(0^+) = w_0, & \gamma = \zeta_1 + \zeta_2 - \zeta_1 \zeta_2, \end{cases}$$

is equivalent to integral equation

$$w(t) = \frac{(\Xi(t) - \Xi(0))^{\gamma-1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} w_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\zeta_1)} \int_0^t \Xi'(s) (\Xi(t) - \Xi(s))^{\zeta_1} g(s, w_s) ds.$$

3. EXISTENCE AND STABILITY THEORY

In this section, we establish and demonstrate the existence and stability of (1.1). We assume the following assumptions before beginning and examining the key outcomes. (A1) There exists a positive constants \mathcal{L} such that

$$D_0[g(t, w), \widehat{0}] \leq \mathcal{L} (\Xi(t) - \Xi(0))^{1-\gamma} D_{[-\tau, 0]}[w, \widehat{0}],$$

for all $w \in C([-\tau, 0], E_c), w \in E_c, t \in \mathfrak{J}$.

with $\mathcal{L} \in [0, \Gamma(\zeta_1 + 1) \left(\frac{1}{\Xi(b) - \Xi(0)}\right)^{1+\zeta_1-\gamma}]$.

(A2) There exists a positive constants \mathcal{L}^* such that

$$\begin{aligned} D_0[g(t, w_t), g(t, w_t^*)] &\leq \mathcal{L}^* D_{[-\tau, 0]}[w_t, w_t^*] \\ &= \mathcal{L}^* D_{[t-\tau, t]}[w, w^*], \quad \text{for all } w, w^* \in E_c. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let $g : [0, b] \times C([- \tau, 0], E_c) \rightarrow E_c$ be a continuous fuzzy function, $\chi \in C([- \tau, 0], E_c)$. Then a d -monotone fuzzy function $w \in C(\mathfrak{J}, E_c)$ is a solution of initial value problem (1.1) if and only if w satisfies the integral equation*

$$w(t) \ominus_{gH} \frac{(\Xi(t) - \Xi(0))^{\gamma-1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} w_0 = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\zeta_1)} \int_0^t \Xi'(s) (\Xi(t) - \Xi(s))^{\zeta_1-1} g(s, w_s) ds, \quad t \in \mathfrak{J} \quad (3.1)$$

and $w(t) = \chi(t)$, $t \in [- \tau, 0]$, and the fuzzy function $t \mapsto \mathcal{I}_{0+}^{1-\gamma, \Xi} g(t, w_t)$ is d -increasing on \mathfrak{J} .

Proof. Let us assume $w \in C(\mathfrak{J}, E_c)$ be a d -monotone solution of (1.1) and let $y(t) = w(t) \ominus_{gH} (\mathcal{I}_{0+}^{1-\gamma, \Xi})$, $t \in \mathfrak{J}$. Since w is d -monotone on \mathfrak{J} , it follows that $t \mapsto y(t)$ is d -increasing on \mathfrak{J} . It follow from (1.1) and Lemma 2.12 we have

$$\mathcal{I}_{0+}^{\zeta_1, \Xi} \mathcal{D}_{0+}^{\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \Xi} w(t) = w(t) \ominus_{gH} \frac{w_0}{\Gamma(\gamma)} (\Xi(t) - \Xi(0))^{1-\gamma}, \quad t \in \mathfrak{J}.$$

Since $g(t, w) \in C_{\gamma, \Xi}(\mathfrak{J}, E_c)$ for any $w \in E_c$ and by using the Eqn.(1.1), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{0+}^{\zeta_1, \Xi} \mathcal{D}_{0+}^{\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \Xi} w(t) &= \mathcal{I}_{0+}^{\zeta_1, \Xi} g(t, w_t) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\zeta_1)} \int_0^t \Xi'(s) (\Xi(t) - \Xi(s))^{\zeta_1-1} g(s, w_s) ds, \quad t \in \mathfrak{J}. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, since $y(t)$ is d -increasing on $(0, b]$, due to $t \mapsto g_{\zeta_1, \Xi}(t, w)$ is also d -increasing on $(0, b]$. We obtain that

$$w(t) \ominus_{gH} \frac{(\Xi(t) - \Xi(0))^{\gamma-1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} w_0 = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\zeta_1)} \int_0^t \Xi'(s) (\Xi(t) - \Xi(s))^{\zeta_1-1} g(s, w_s) ds, \quad t \in \mathfrak{J}$$

For every $t \in [- \tau, 0]$, we have $w(t) = \chi(t)$. This implies that (3.1) is satisfied.

Conversely, assume that $w \in C(\mathfrak{J}, E_c)$ satisfies (1.1). If $t \in [0, b]$, then $w(0^+) = w_0$,

and applying $\mathcal{D}_{0+}^{\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \Xi}$ on both sides, we obtain

$$\mathcal{D}_{0+}^{\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \Xi} w(t) = g(t, w_t), \quad t \in (0, b].$$

And we can easily prove that $w(t) = \chi(t)$ for $t \in [- \tau, 0]$. \square

Lemma 3.2. *Let $g : [0, b] \times C([- \tau, 0], E_c) \rightarrow E_c$ be a continuous fuzzy function, $\chi \in C([- \tau, 0], E_c)$. Assume that (A1) is satisfied. Then for any $w \in C([- \tau, b], E_c)$ of Eqn.(1.1), there exists a constant $\eta > 0$ such that $D_{[- \tau, b]}[w, \widehat{0}] \leq \eta$.*

Proof. Let us assume $w \in C([- \tau, 0], E_c)$. If $t \in [- \tau, 0]$, then we have that $w(t) = \chi(t)$. In according to the boundedness of χ , which gives $w(t)$ is bounded.

Suppose $t \in \mathfrak{J}$, which is $w \in \mathcal{N}(y)$. Then, for $\xi \in [0, t]$, $t \in (0, b]$, it follows that , we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_{[- \tau, 0]}[w_\xi, \widehat{0}] &= \sup_{\theta \in [- \tau, 0]} D_0[y_\xi(\theta), \widehat{0}] \\ &= \sup_{\theta \in [- \tau, 0]} D_0[y(\xi + \theta), \widehat{0}] \\ &\leq \sup_{r \in [- \tau, 0]} D_0[y_r, \widehat{0}] + \sup_{r \in [0, \xi]} D_0[y(r), \widehat{0}] \\ &\leq D_{[- \tau, 0]}[\chi, \widehat{0}] + \sup_{r \in [0, \xi]} D_0[y_r, \widehat{0}]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Hence, for $t \in (0, b]$, by using (3.1), (3.2), (A1), Definition 2 and the Beta Function $B(\cdot, \cdot)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& D_0[(\Xi(t) - \Xi(0))^{1-\gamma} w(t), \widehat{0}] \\
& \leq D_0 \left[(\Xi(t) - \Xi(0))^{1-\gamma} \left(\frac{(\Xi(t) - \Xi(0))^{\gamma-1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} w_0 \right), \widehat{0} \right] \\
& + D_0 \left[(\Xi(t) - \Xi(0))^{1-\gamma} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\zeta_1)} \int_0^t \Xi'(s) (\Xi(t) - \Xi(s))^{\zeta_1-1} g(s, w_s) ds, \widehat{0} \right] \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} D_0[w_0, \widehat{0}] \\
& + \frac{(\Xi(b) - \Xi(0))^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\zeta_1)} \int_0^t \Xi'(s) (\Xi(t) - \Xi(s))^{\zeta_1-1} \{ \mathcal{L}(\Xi(s) - \Xi(0))^{1-\gamma} D_{[-\tau, 0]}[w_s, \widehat{0}] \} ds \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} D_0[w_0, \widehat{0}] \\
& + \mathcal{L}(\Xi(b) - \Xi(0))^{1-\gamma} \left\{ D_{[-\tau, 0]}[\chi, \widehat{0}] \frac{1}{\Gamma(\zeta_1)} \int_0^t \Xi'(s) (\Xi(t) - \Xi(s))^{\zeta_1-1} (\Xi(s) - \Xi(0))^{1-\gamma} ds \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\zeta_1)} \int_0^t \Xi'(s) (\Xi(t) - \Xi(s))^{\zeta_1-1} \sup_{r \in [0, \xi]} D_0[(\Xi(s) - \Xi(0))^{1-\gamma} y_s, \widehat{0}] \right\} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} D_0[w_0, \widehat{0}] \\
& + \frac{\mathcal{L}}{\Gamma(\zeta_1)} (\Xi(b) - \Xi(0))^{\zeta_1+2-2\gamma} B(2-\gamma, \zeta_1) D_{[-\tau, 0]}[\chi, \widehat{0}] \\
& + \frac{\mathcal{L}}{\Gamma(\zeta_1)} (\Xi(b) - \Xi(0))^{1-\gamma} \int_0^t \Xi'(s) (\Xi(t) - \Xi(s))^{\zeta_1-1} \sup_{r \in [0, \xi]} D_0[(\Xi(s) - \Xi(0))^{1-\gamma} y_s, \widehat{0}] ds.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from the generalized Gronwall inequality gives that,

$$N(t) \leq M^* \mathbb{E}_{\zeta_1}(\mathcal{L}(\Xi(b) - \Xi(0))^{1-\gamma} (\Xi(t) - \Xi(0))^{\zeta_1}) = \eta,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
N(t) &= \sup_{r \in [0, \xi]} D_0[(\Xi(s) - \Xi(0))^{1-\gamma} y_s, \widehat{0}], \\
M^* &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} D_0[w_0, \widehat{0}] + \frac{\mathcal{L}}{\Gamma(\zeta_1)} (\Xi(b) - \Xi(0))^{\zeta_1+2-2\gamma} B(2-\gamma, \zeta_1) D_{[-\tau, 0]}[\chi, \widehat{0}].
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that, there exists a constant $\eta > 0$ such that $D_{[-\tau, b]}[w, \widehat{0}] \leq \eta$. \square

Theorem 3.3. *Let $g : [0, b] \times C([-\tau, 0], E_c) \rightarrow E_c$ be a continuous fuzzy function, $\chi \in C([-\tau, 0], E_c)$. Assume that (A1) is satisfied. Then the fuzzy problem (1.1) has at least one solution $w \in C([-\tau, b], E_c) \cap C_\gamma(\mathfrak{J}, E_c)$.*

Proof. Let us define the operator $\Theta : C([-\tau, b], E_c) \rightarrow C([-\tau, b], E_c) \cap C_\gamma(\mathfrak{J}, E_c)$ is given by

$$(\Theta w)(t) = \begin{cases} (Tw)(t) \ominus_{gH} \frac{(\Xi(t) - \Xi(0))^{\gamma-1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} w_0 = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\zeta_1)} \int_0^t \Xi'(s) (\Xi(t) - \Xi(s))^{\zeta_1-1} g(s, w_s) ds, \\ t \in \mathfrak{J}, \\ w(t) = \chi(t), \quad t \in [-\tau, 0], \end{cases}$$

where $T : C_\gamma(\mathfrak{J}, E_c) \rightarrow C_\gamma(\mathfrak{J}, E_c)$, let us assume $w \in C([- \tau, 0], E_c)$. Because $w(t) = \chi(t)$, $t \in [- \tau, 0]$.

Step 1. $T(B_{\eta_1}) \subseteq B_{\eta_1}$.

Let us define a bounded, closed and convex set $B_{\eta_1} \in C_{1-\gamma}[0, b]$ as follows

$$B_{\eta_1} = \{y \in C_\gamma(\mathfrak{J}, E_c) | D_{[0, b]}^\gamma[y, \widehat{0}] \leq \eta_1\}.$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_1 \geq \max & \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} D_0[w_0, \widehat{0}] + \frac{\mathcal{L}}{\Gamma(\zeta_1)} (\Xi(b) - \Xi(0))^{\zeta_1 + 2 - 2\gamma} B(2 - \gamma, \zeta_1) D_{[-\tau, 0]}[\chi, \widehat{0}] \right) \right. \\ & \left. \times \frac{\Gamma(\zeta_1 + 1)}{\Gamma(\zeta_1 + 1) - \mathcal{L}(\Xi(b) - \Xi(0))^{1 + \zeta_1 - \gamma}}, \eta \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $w \in B_{\eta_1}$. Then, for $r \in [0, t]$, $t \in (0, b]$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} D_{[-\tau, 0]}[w_t, \widehat{0}] & \leq \sup_{r \in [-\tau, 0]} D_0[w_t(s), \widehat{0}] \\ & = \sup_{\xi \in [t - \tau, t]} D_0[w(\xi), \widehat{0}] \\ & \leq D_{[-\tau, 0]}[\chi, \widehat{0}] + D_{[0, b]}[w, \widehat{0}]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Therefore, for each $t \in (0, b]$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & D_0[(\Xi(t) - \Xi(0))^{1-\gamma}(Tw)(t), \widehat{0}] \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} D_0[w_0, \widehat{0}] + \frac{\mathcal{L}}{\Gamma(\zeta_1)} (\Xi(b) - \Xi(0))^{\zeta_1 + 2 - 2\gamma} B(2 - \gamma, \zeta_1) D_{[-\tau, 0]}[\chi, \widehat{0}] \\ & \quad + \frac{\mathcal{L}}{\Gamma(\zeta_1)} (\Xi(b) - \Xi(0))^{1-\gamma} \int_0^t \Xi'(s) (\Xi(t) - \Xi(s))^{\zeta_1 - 1} \sup_{r \in [0, \xi]} D_0[(\Xi(s) - \Xi(0))^{1-\gamma} y_s, \widehat{0}] ds \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} D_0[w_0, \widehat{0}] + \frac{\mathcal{L}}{\Gamma(\zeta_1)} (\Xi(b) - \Xi(0))^{\zeta_1 + 2 - 2\gamma} B(2 - \gamma, \zeta_1) + D_{[-\tau, 0]}[\chi, \widehat{0}] \\ & \quad + \frac{\kappa}{\Gamma(\zeta_1 + 1)} (\Xi(b) - \Xi(0))^{1 + \zeta_1 - \gamma} \sup_{t \in \mathfrak{J}} D_0[(\Xi(t) - \Xi(0))^{1-\gamma} w_t, \widehat{0}]. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that $T(B_{\eta_1}) \subseteq B_{\eta_1}$.

Step 2. T is continuous on B_{η_1} .

Let $\{w_n\}_{n \geq 1}^\infty$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) be a sequence in B_{η_1} such that $w_n \rightarrow w$ in $C([- \tau, 0], E_c)$.

Then, for each $t \in \mathfrak{J}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & D_0[(\Xi(t) - \Xi(0))^{1-\gamma}(Tw_n)(t), (\Xi(t) - \Xi(0))^{1-\gamma}(Tw)(t)] \\ & \leq D_0 \left[(\Xi(t) - \Xi(0))^{1-\gamma} \left((Tw_n)(t) \ominus_{gH} \frac{(\Xi(t) - \Xi(0))^{\gamma-1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} w_0 \right), \right. \\ & \quad \left. (\Xi(t) - \Xi(0))^{1-\gamma} \left((Tw)(t) \ominus_{gH} \frac{(\Xi(t) - \Xi(0))^{\gamma-1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} w_0 \right) \right] \\ & \leq (\Xi(b) - \Xi(0))^{1-\gamma} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\zeta_1)} \int_0^t \Xi'(s) (\Xi(t) - \Xi(s))^{\zeta_1 - 1} D_0[g(s, w_{ns}), g(s, w_s)] ds \\ & \leq (\Xi(b) - \Xi(0))^{1-\gamma} \frac{\mathcal{L}^*}{\Gamma(\zeta_1)} \int_0^t \Xi'(s) (\Xi(t) - \Xi(s))^{\zeta_1 - 1} D_{[-\tau, 0]}[w_{ns}, w_s] ds \\ & \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Now, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_n = w \in B_{\eta_1}$. Then, for each $t \in (0, b]$, we get

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\Xi(t) - \Xi(0))^{1-\gamma} w_n = (\Xi(t) - \Xi(0))^{1-\gamma} w$. Furthermore, for each $t \in [- \tau, 0]$,

due to $\chi \in C([-\tau, 0], E_c)$ that is, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_n(t) = \chi(t) = g_1(w)(t) = w(t)$. Moreover, one has $\|w_n\|_{C_{1-\zeta_1}} \leq \eta_1$ and $\|w\|_{C_{1-\zeta_1}} \leq \eta_1$. Hence, for $r \in [0, t]$, $t \in (0, b]$, we get $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_{ns} = w_s$, $D_{[-\tau, 0]}[w_{ns}, \widehat{0}] \leq \eta_1 + D_{[-\tau, 0]}[\chi, \widehat{0}]$, $D_{[-\tau, 0]}[w_s, \widehat{0}] \leq \eta_1 + D_{[-\tau, 0]}[\chi, \widehat{0}]$. This implies that, it follows from (A1) (A2), for $r \in (0, t)$, $t \in (0, b]$, one's get

$$\begin{aligned} D_0[g(s, w_{ns}), g(s, w_s)] &\leq D_0[g(s, w_{ns}), \widehat{0}] + D_0[g(s, w_s), \widehat{0}] \\ &\leq 2\mathcal{L}((\Xi(b) - \Xi(0))D_{[-\tau, 0]}[\chi, \widehat{0}] + \kappa\eta_1). \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account the fact that T is continuous, that is, $D_0[g(s, w_{ns}), g(s, w_s)] \rightarrow 0$ as $w_n \rightarrow w$, which gives $\|w_{ns} - w_s\|_0 \rightarrow 0$ as $w_n \rightarrow w$,

where $\sup_{t \in \mathfrak{J}} D_0[(Tw_n)(t), (Tw)(t)] \leq \|Tw_n - Tw\|_0$. Thus T is continuous

Step 3. T is compact in B_{η_1}

First, we have to prove T maps bounded sets into equicontinuous sets in B_{η_1} .

For any $t_1, t_2 \in (0, b]$, $t_1 < t_2$ and $w \in B_{\eta_1}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &D_0[(\Xi(t_2) - \Xi(0))^{1-\gamma}(Tw)(t_2), (\Xi(t_1) - \Xi(0))^{1-\gamma}(Tw)(t_1)] \\ &\leq D_0 \left[(\Xi(t_2) - \Xi(0))^{1-\gamma} \left((Tw)(t_2) \ominus_{gH} \frac{(\Xi(t_2) - \Xi(0))^{\gamma-1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} w_0 \right), \right. \\ & \left. (\Xi(t_1) - \Xi(0))^{1-\gamma} \left((Tw)(t_1) \ominus_{gH} \frac{(\Xi(t_1) - \Xi(0))^{\gamma-1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} w_0 \right) \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\zeta_1)} (\Xi(t_2) - \Xi(0))^{1-\gamma} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \Xi'(s) (\Xi(t_2) - \Xi(s))^{\zeta_1-1} D_0[g(s, w_s), \widehat{0}] ds \\ &+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\zeta_1)} \int_0^{t_1} \Xi'(s) [(\Xi(t_2) - \Xi(0))^{1-\gamma} (\Xi(t_2) - \Xi(s))^{\zeta_1-1} - (\Xi(t_1) \\ &- \Xi(0))^{1-\gamma} (\Xi(t_1) - \Xi(s))^{\zeta_1-1}] D_0[g(s, w_s), \widehat{0}] ds \\ &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t_2 \rightarrow t_1. \end{aligned}$$

The right hand sides of the above equation tends to zero independently of $w \in B_{\eta_1}$ as $t_2 \rightarrow t_1$, which means that $D_0[(Tw)(t_2), (Tw)(t_1)] \rightarrow 0$. Thus, it follows from the Arzela-Ascoli theorem gives that the operator T is completely continuous. Consequently, by using the Schauder's fixed point theorem gives that the operator T has at least one fixed point. Hence Eqn.(1.1) has at least one solution on \mathfrak{J} . This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.4. Assume that $g : [0, b] \times C([-\tau, 0], E_c) \rightarrow E_c$ be a continuous fuzzy function, $\chi \in C([-\tau, 0], E_c)$. Assume that (A1)-(A2) is satisfied, then the Eqn.(1.1) is finite-time stable with respect to $\{0, [-\tau, b], \tau, \sigma, \epsilon\}$, $0 < \sigma < \epsilon$, $\sigma, \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^c$.

If $M_1^* \mathbb{E}_{\zeta_1} (\mathcal{L}(\Xi(b) - \Xi(0))^{1-\gamma} (\Xi(b) - \Xi(0))^{\zeta_1}) < 1$, $t \in \mathfrak{J}$ where

$$M_1^* = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} + \frac{\mathcal{L}}{\Gamma(\zeta_1)} (\Xi(b) - \Xi(0))^{\zeta_1+2-2\gamma} B(2-\gamma, \zeta_1).$$

Proof. According to the similar proof (??) and by Definition 2.10, we have $D_0[(\Xi(t) - \Xi(0))^{1-\gamma}w(t), \widehat{0}]$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq D_0 \left[(\Xi(t) - \Xi(0))^{1-\gamma} \left(\frac{(\Xi(t) - \Xi(0))^{\gamma-1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} w_0 \right), \widehat{0} \right] \\ &+ D_0 \left[(\Xi(t) - \Xi(0))^{1-\gamma} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\zeta_1)} \int_0^t \Xi'(s) (\Xi(t) - \Xi(s))^{\zeta_1-1} g(s, w_s) ds, \widehat{0} \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} D_0[w_0, \widehat{0}] + \frac{\mathcal{L}}{\Gamma(\zeta_1)} (\Xi(b) - \Xi(0))^{\zeta_1+2-2\gamma} B(2-\gamma, \zeta_1) D_{[-\tau, 0]}[\chi, \widehat{0}] \\ &+ \frac{\mathcal{L}}{\Gamma(\zeta_1)} (\Xi(b) - \Xi(0))^{1-\gamma} \int_0^t \Xi'(s) (\Xi(t) - \Xi(s))^{\zeta_1-1} \sup_{r \in [0, \xi]} D_0[(\Xi(s) - \Xi(0))^{1-\gamma} y(s), \widehat{0}] ds, \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \sigma + \frac{\mathcal{L}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} (\Xi(b) - \Xi(0))^{\zeta_1+2-2\gamma} B(2-\gamma, \zeta_1) \sigma + \frac{\mathcal{L}}{\Gamma(\zeta_1)} (\Xi(b) - \Xi(0))^{1-\gamma} \\ &\times \int_0^t \Xi'(s) (\Xi(t) - \Xi(s))^{\zeta_1-1} \sup_{r \in [0, \xi]} D_0[(\Xi(s) - \Xi(0))^{1-\gamma} y(s), \widehat{0}] ds \end{aligned}$$

Now, we put

$$N(t) = \sup_{r \in [0, t]} D_0[(\Xi(s) - \Xi(0))^{1-\gamma} y(s), \widehat{0}],$$

$$M_1^* = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} + \frac{\mathcal{L}}{\Gamma(\zeta_1)} (\Xi(b) - \Xi(0))^{\zeta_1+2-2\zeta_1} B(2-\gamma, \zeta_1).$$

It follows from the generalized Gronwall inequality gives that, we have

$$N(t) = D_{[0, b]}^\gamma[w, \widehat{0}] \leq \sigma M_1^* \mathbb{E}_{\zeta_1}(\mathcal{L}(\Xi(b) - \Xi(0))^{1-\gamma} (\Xi(t) - \Xi(0))^{\zeta_1}) < \sigma < \epsilon.$$

Therefore, Eqn.(1.1) is finite-time stable. This completes the proof. \square

Availability of data and material. Authors have not used any scientific data to be determined for this research.

Declaration of competing interest. The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Funding. There does not exist any funding source.

Authors contributions. All authors contributed equally and significantly in writing this article. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank reviewers and editor for useful discussions and helpful comments that improved the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- [1] O.P. Agrawal, Some generalized fractional calculus operators and their applications in integral equations, *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.*, 15(2012), 700-711.
- [2] B. Ahmad, J.J. Nieto, Riemann-Liouville fractional differential equations with fractional boundary conditions, *Fixed Point Theory*, 13(2013), 329-336.
- [3] K. Balachandran, S. Kiruthika, J. Trujillo, Existence of solutions of nonlinear fractional pantograph equations, *Acta Mathematica Scientia.*, 3(33)(2013), 712-720.
- [4] X.K. Cao, J.R. Wang, Finite-time stability of a class of oscillating systems with two delays, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 41(13)(2018), 4943-4954.

- [5] F.F. Du, J.G. Lu, Finite-time stability of fractional-order fuzzy cellular neural networks with time-delays, *Fuzzy Set. Syst.*, 438(2022), 107-120.
- [6] O.S. Fard, M. Salehi, A survey on fuzzy fractional variational problems, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 271(2014), 71-82.
- [7] K.M. Furati, N.D. Kassim, N.E. Tatar, Existence and uniqueness for a problem involving Hilfer fractional derivative, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 64(2012), 1616-1626.
- [8] A. Granas, J. Dugundji, Fixed Point Theory, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003.
- [9] R. Hilfer, Applications of Fractional Calculus in Physics, Singapore: World Scientific, 2000.
- [10] N.V. Hoa, H. Vu, T.M. Duc, Fuzzy fractional differential equations under Caputo-Katugampola fractional derivative approach, *Fuzzy Set. Syst.*, 375(2019), 70-99.
- [11] Y. Jiang, J. Qiu, F. Meng, Existence and finite-time stability results of fuzzy Hilfer-Katugampola fractional delay differential equations 1, *J. Intell. Fuzzy Syst.*, 2022, 1-10.
- [12] K. Kanagarajan, R. Vivek, D. Vivek, E.M. Elsayed, Existence Results for Fuzzy Differential Equations with ψ -Hilfer Fractional Derivative, *Ann. Commun. Math.*, 5(1)(2022), 38-54.
- [13] U.N. Katugampola, A new approach to generalized fractional derivatives, *Bull. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 6(4)(2014), 1-15.
- [14] A.A. Kilbas, H.M. Srivastava, J.J. Trujillo, Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations. North-Holland Mathematics Studies, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 207, 2006.
- [15] K. Kotsamran, W. Sudsutad, C. Thaiprayoon, J. Kongson, Analysis of a nonlinear ψ -Hilfer fractional integrodifferential equation describing cantilever beam model with nonlinear boundary conditions, *Fractal. Fract.*, 5(177), 2021.
- [16] M.M. Li, J.R. Wang, Finite-time stability and relative controllability of Riemann-Liouville fractional delay differential equations, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 42(18)(2019), 6607-6623.
- [17] Q. Li, D.F. Luo, Z.G. Luo, Q.X. Zhu, On the novel finite-time stability results for uncertain fractional delay differential equations involving noninstantaneous impulses, *Math. Probl. Eng.*, 9097135(2019), 2019.
- [18] H.V. Long, N.T.K. Son, N.V. Hoa, Fuzzy fractional partial differential equations in partially ordered metric spaces, *Iran. J. Fuzzy Syst.*, 14(2017), 107-126.
- [19] H.V. Long, N.P. Dong, An extension of Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem and its application to nonlocal problems for implicit fractional differential systems with uncertainty, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 20(2018), 37.
- [20] D.F. Luo, Z.G. Luo, Existence and Hyers-Ulam stability results for a class of fractional order delay differential equations with non-instantaneous impulses, *Math. Slovaca.*, 70(5)(2020), 1231-48.
- [21] S.K. Ntouyas, A survey on existence results for boundary value problems of Hilfer fractional differential equations and inclusions, *Foundations.*, 1(2021), 63-98.
- [22] E.C. Oliveira, J.A.T. Machado, A Riew of Definitions for Fractional Derivatives and Integral, *Math. Probl. Eng.*, 2014(238)(459), 2014.
- [23] D.S. Oliveira, E.C. Oliveira, Hilfer-Katugampola fractional derivative, *Comput. Appl. Math.*, 37(2018), 3672-3690.
- [24] I. Podlubny, Fractional differential equations, Academic Press, San Diego, 1999.
- [25] C. Promsakon, S.K. Ntouyas, J. Tariboon, Hilfer-Hadamard nonlocal integro-multipoint fractional boundary value problems, *J. Funct. Spaces.*, 2021(9)(8031524), 2021.
- [26] S.G. Samko, A.A. Kilbas, O.I. Marichev, Fractional Integrals and Derivatives Theory and Applications, New York: Gordon and Breach, 1993.
- [27] K. Shah, A. Ali, S. Bushnaq, Hyers-Ulam stability analysis to implicit Cauchy problem of fractional differential equations with impulsive conditions, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 41(17)(2018), 8329-8343.
- [28] L. Stefanini, B. Bede, Generalized Hukuhara differentiability of interval-valued functions and interval differential equations, *Nonlinear Anal. Theor.*, 71(2009), 1311-1328.
- [29] J.V.C. Sousa, E.C. Oliveira, On the ψ -Hilfer fractional derivative, *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.*, 60(2018), 72-91.
- [30] J.V.C. Sousa, E.C. Oliveira, On the Ulam-Hyers-Rassias stability for nonlinear fractional differential equations using the ψ -Hilfer operator, *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 20(2018), 1-21.
- [31] D. Vivek, K. Kanagarajan, E.M. Elsayed, Some existence and stability results for Hilfer-fractional implicit differential equations with nonlocal conditions, *Mediterr. J. Math.*, 15(2018), 1-15.

- [32] D. Vivek, K. Kanagarajan, S. Sivasundaram, Dynamics and stability of pantograph equations via hilfer fractional derivative, *Nonlinear Studies.*, 23(4)(2016), 685-698.
- [33] R. Vivek, E.M. Elsayed, K. Kanagarajan, D. Vivek, Qualitative Analysis of Quaternion Fuzzy Fractional Differential Equations with Ξ -Hilfer Fractional Derivative, *Pure. Appl. Anal.*, 6(2022), 2022.
- [34] H. Vu, N.V. Hoa, Hyers-ulam stability of fuzzy fractional volterra integral equations with the kernal ψ -functions via successive approximation method, *Fuzzy set syst.*, 419(2021), 67-98.
- [35] J. Wang, Y. Zhang, Nonlocal initial value problems for differential equations with Hilfer fractional derivative, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 2015(266), 850-859.

R. VIVEK,

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SRI RAMAKRISHNA MISSION VIDYALAYA COLLEGE OF ARTS & SCIENCE, COIMBATORE-641020, INDIA. ORCID: 0000-0002-1451-0875

Email address: vivekmaths1997@gmail.com

D. VIVEK,

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PSG COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE, COIMBATORE-641014, INDIA. ORCID: 0000-0003-0951-8060

Email address: peppyvivek@gmail.com

K. KANAGARAJAN,

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SRI RAMAKRISHNA MISSION VIDYALAYA COLLEGE OF ARTS & SCIENCE, COIMBATORE-641020, INDIA. ORCID: 0000-0001-5556-2658

Email address: kanagarajank@gmail.com

E. M. ELSAYED,

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, MANSOURA UNIVERSITY, MANSOURA 35516, EGYPT. ORCID: 0000-0003-0894-8472

Email address: emmelsayed@yahoo.com