# The Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on the Practice of Pathology: Analysis of Specimen Volumes in the Only Pathology Laboratory in the City

COVID-19 PANDEMİSİNİN PATOLOJİ PRATİĞİ ÜZERİNE ETKİSİ: ŞEHRİN TEK PATOLOJİ LABORATUVARINDAKİ NUMUNE SAYILARININ ANALİZİ <sup>®</sup>Sultan Deniz ALTINDAĞ

Bandırma Eğitim ve Araştırma Hastanesi, Patoloji Bölümü, Balıkesir, Türkiye

## ABSTRACT

**Objective:** One of the measures taken against the COVID-19 pandemic has been the postponement of all non-emergency medical procedures and surgeries in pandemic hospitals. The aim of this study is to examine the effect of pandemic measures on the workload of a city's only pathology laboratory.

**Materials and Methods:** Data on monthly numbers of the histological, cytological samples, immunohistochemistry and histochemistry stainings belonging to the pathology department were obtained from the laboratory information management system. The monthly specimen volumes were compared with the same month of 2019.

**Results:** The total number of samples received in our laboratory in March, April and May 2020 decreased by 59.1%, 92.1% and 87.6%, respectively, compared to 2019. Overall specimen volume dropped rapidly in March 2020 and bottomed out in April at 7.8% of our volume in 2019. No lung biopsy was received in the first three months of the pandemic. The second and third sections with the highest decrease were cervical cytology and non-gynaecologic cytology samples (90.7%, 87.6% respectively).

**Conclusions**: It has been observed that the COVID-19 pandemic has had a major impact on the pathology laboratory. Postponement of the nonemergency surgeries, which is one of the first measures taken by hospitals in the face of changes in the number of COVID-19 cases, was reflected in daily pathology practice as a serious decrease in the number of samples. Future studies will show the changes in the diagnostic profile caused by the decrease in the number of elective procedures during the pandemic period.

Keywords: Pathology, cytology, COVID-19, SARS-CoV-2, specimen volumes, workload

## Sultan Deniz ALTINDAĞ

Bandırma Eğitim ve Araştırma Hastanesi, Patoloji Bölümü, Balıkesir, Türkiye E-posta: altindagdeniz@hotmail.com https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7167-2432

DEU Tıp Derg 2022;36(2): 151 – 157 J DEU Med 2022;36(2): 151 - 157 doi: 10.18614/deutip.1174530 Gönderim tarihi / Submitted: 15.01.2022 Kabul tarihi / Accepted: 10.05.2022

## ÖZ

**Amaç:** COVID-19 pandemisine karşı alınan önlemlerden birisi de pandemi hastanelerindeki tüm acil olmayan medikal işlem ve ameliyatları durdurmak olmuştur. Bu çalışmanın amacı pandemi önlemlerinin bir şehrin tek patoloji laboratuvarının iş yüküne olan etkisini incelemektir.

**Gereç ve Yöntem:** Patoloji bölümüne ait Mart-Kasım 2020 arası aylık histolojik ve sitolojik numune sayıları, immünohistokimya ve histokimya boyamalarına ilişkin veriler laboratuvar bilgi yönetim sisteminden elde edildi. Aylık numune miktarı aynı ayın 2019 sayısal verileriyle kıyaslandı.

**Bulgular:** Mart, Nisan ve Mayıs 2020'de laboratuvarımıza kabul edilen toplam numune sayısı 2019 sayılarına göre sırasıyla %59,1, %92,1 ve %87,6 azaldı. Toplam numune hacmi Mart 2020'de hızla düştü ve Nisan ayında 2019 yılındaki numune hacminin %7,8'i ile dibe vurdu. Pandeminin ilk üç ayında laboratuvara akciğer biyopsisi gelmedi. En yüksek düşüşün olduğu ikinci ve üçüncü bölümler sırasıyla %90,7, %87,6 ile servikal sitoloji ve jinekolojik olmayan sitoloji örnekleriydi.

**Sonuç:** COVID-19 pandemisinin patoloji laboratuvarı üzerinde büyük bir etkisi olduğu görülmüştür. COVID-19 vaka sayısında görülen değişiklikler karşısında hastanelerin aldığı ilk önlemlerden biri olan acil olmayan ameliyatların durdurulması günlük patoloji pratiğine numune sayılarında ciddi azalma olarak yansımıştır. Pandemi dönemindeki elektif vaka sayısındaki azalmanın, tanı profilinde sebep olduğu değişiklikleri gelecekteki çalışmalar gösterecektir.

Anahtar kelimeler: Patoloji, sitoloji, COVID-19, SARS-CoV-2, numune hacmi, iş yükü

The starting of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), started in Wuhan, China, Hubei Province in December 2019 and rapidly spread over the world (1). The World Health Organization (WHO) declared that COVID-19 as a pandemic on 11 March 2020. The first COVID-19 case of Turkey was hospitalized on 9 March 2020 and the first death case related to COVID-19 occurred on 17 March 2020 (2). One of the measures taken against the pandemic is the postponement of nonemergency surgeries and non-emergency dentistry practices in order to prevent disease transmission and to keep health institutions at sufficient capacity. Despite the decrease in the number of non-emergency surgeries, our pathology laboratory continued to operate at full capacity. This study aimed to examine the effect of pandemic measures on the workload of an only pathology laboratory of the city for histopathology and cytopathology, therefore, to identify which sections were most severely affected by postponed care.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was planned in the only pathology laboratory in the city that belongs to the 500-bed capacity state hospital, which is one of the city's two public hospitals with a population of 300,000. After the ethical approval was obtained, the study was performed in the department of pathology. Data on monthly numbers of the histological, cytological samples, immunohistochemistry and histochemistry stainings between March-November 2020 and March-November 2019 were obtained from the laboratory information management system. The monthly sample amount of 2019 and 2020 years was compared with numerical data of the same month. The following samples were selected: colon biopsies, gastric biopsies, gynaecologic biopsy/curettage, breast biopsies, lung biopsies, skin punch biopsies, skin excisional biopsies, prostate and bladder biopsies, excision of soft tissue masses, appendectomy and cholecystectomy specimens, cervical cytology, and nongynaecologic cytology. This selection was based on the frequency with which samples were received in the laboratory. All immunohistochemical and histochemical stains were selected. All data were collected with Microsoft Excel (Redmond, WA, USA).

## RESULTS

It was calculated that the total number of samples coming to our laboratory in March, April, and May 2020

decreased by 59.1%, 92.1%, and 87.6% compared to the same period in 2019, respectively. Overall specimen volume dropped rapidly in March 2020 and bottomed out in April at 7.8% of our volume in 2019 (Figure 1).

**Figure 1.** Comparison of the monthly total number of samples reaching the laboratory in the 9-month time period of 2019 and 2020 years.



After the controlled social life process that started at the end of May, the decreases in June, July, and August 2020 were measured as 28.8%, 26.1%, and 27.2%. September, October, and November 2020 data showed that the decrease in the number of monthly samples were 73.3%, 67.3% and 48.7%, respectively.

No lung biopsy was received in the first three months of the pandemic. The second and third sections with the highest decrease were cervical cytology and non-gynaecologic cytology samples (90.7%, 87.6%, respectively). The total numbers of specimen volume per section in the 9-month time period of 2019 and 2020 years was given in Table 1.

154

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			March	April	May	June	Jul y	Augus t	September	October	November
2020         14         1         3         15         18         17         7         5         22           Gastric biopsy         2019         159         150         168         103         131         108         126         134         145           Goynaecologic biopsy/curettage         2019         222         173         133         116         158         128         109         152         187           Gynaecologic biopsy/curettage         2019         222         173         133         116         158         128         109         152         187           Breast biopsy         2019         6         2         4         4         4         4         8         1           Q100         11         2         1         7         4         2         1         3         3           Lung biopsy         2019         5         10         3         5         3         2         2         3         5           Skin punch biopsy         2019         15         9         12         14         14         13         9         16         13           Skin spinchiopsy         2019	Colon biopsy	2019	49	19	48	25	31	34	39	15	18
Gastric biopsy2019159150168103131108126134145202081315967169193970Gynaecologic biopsy/curettage20192221731331161581281091521872020561725109185854746107Breast biopsy20196244448120201121742113Lung biopsy20195103532235202000030000000Skin punch biopsy2019591214141391613Skin punch biopsy2019736763573221613Skin excisional biopsy20197367635710881456671Soft tissue mass excision20201532151795233Soft tissue mass excision2019871470789240597088Annendectorum2019242727224010112		2020	14	1	3	15	18	17	7	5	22
2020 $81$ $3$ $15$ $96$ $71$ $69$ $19$ $39$ $70$ Gynaecologic biopsy/curettage $2019$ $222$ $173$ $133$ $116$ $158$ $128$ $109$ $152$ $187$ $2020$ $56$ $17$ $25$ $109$ $185$ $85$ $47$ $46$ $107$ Breast biopsy $2019$ $6$ $2$ $4$ $4$ $4$ $4$ $4$ $4$ $8$ $1$ $2020$ $11$ $2$ $1$ $7$ $4$ $2$ $1$ $1$ $3$ Lung biopsy $2019$ $5$ $10$ $3$ $5$ $3$ $2$ $2$ $3$ $5$ $2020$ $11$ $2$ $1$ $7$ $4$ $2$ $1$ $1$ $3$ Lung biopsy $2019$ $5$ $10$ $3$ $5$ $3$ $2$ $2$ $3$ $5$ $2020$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $3$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ Skin punch biopsy $2019$ $15$ $9$ $12$ $14$ $14$ $13$ $9$ $16$ $13$ Skin excisional biopsy $2019$ $73$ $67$ $63$ $57$ $108$ $81$ $45$ $66$ $71$ Prostate and bladder biopsy $2019$ $15$ $3$ $2$ $15$ $17$ $9$ $5$ $2$ $3$ $3$ Soft tissue mass excision $2019$ $87$ $114$ $70$ $78$ $92$ $40$ $59$	Gastric biopsy	2019	159	150	168	103	131	108	126	134	145
Gynaecologic biopsy/curettage         2019         222         173         133         116         158         128         109         152         187           2020         56         17         25         109         185         85         47         46         107           Breast biopsy         2019         6         2         4         4         4         4         8         1           2020         11         2         1         7         4         2         1         1         3           Lung biopsy         2019         5         10         3         5         3         2         2         3         5           2020         0         0         0         3         0         10         13         13         14         14         14         14         14		2020	81	3	15	96	71	69	19	39	70
biologsyndianting         2020         56         17         25         109         185         85         47         46         107           Breast biopsy         2019         6         2         4         4         4         4         8         1           2020         11         2         1         7         4         2         1         1         3           Lung biopsy         2019         5         10         3         5         3         2         2         3         5           2020         0         0         0         3         0 <td< td=""><td>Gynaecologic</td><td>2019</td><td>222</td><td>173</td><td>133</td><td>116</td><td>158</td><td>128</td><td>109</td><td>152</td><td>187</td></td<>	Gynaecologic	2019	222	173	133	116	158	128	109	152	187
Breast biopsy         2019         6         2         4         4         4         4         4         8         1           2020         11         2         1         7         4         2         1         1         3           Lung biopsy         2019         5         10         3         5         3         2         2         3         5           2020         0         0         0         3         5         3         2         2         3         5           2020         0         0         0         3         0	biopsy/culeitage	2020	56	17	25	109	185	85	47	46	107
2020 $11$ $2$ $1$ $7$ $4$ $2$ $1$ $1$ $3$ Lung biopsy $2019$ $5$ $10$ $3$ $5$ $3$ $2$ $2$ $3$ $5$ $2020$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $3$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ Skin punch biopsy $2019$ $15$ $9$ $12$ $14$ $14$ $13$ $9$ $16$ $13$ Skin excisional biopsy $2019$ $73$ $67$ $63$ $57$ $108$ $81$ $45$ $66$ $71$ Skin excisional biopsy $2019$ $73$ $67$ $63$ $57$ $108$ $81$ $45$ $66$ $71$ Prostate and bladder biopsy $2019$ $15$ $3$ $2$ $15$ $17$ $9$ $5$ $2$ $3$ Soft tissue mass excision $2019$ $87$ $114$ $70$ $78$ $92$ $40$ $59$ $70$ $88$ Armendectormy $2019$ $24$ $22$ $27$ $28$ $17$ $21$ $23$ $26$ $15$	Breast biopsy	2019	6	2	4	4	4	4	4	8	1
Lung biopsy $2019$ $5$ $10$ $3$ $5$ $3$ $2$ $2$ $3$ $5$ $2020$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $3$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ Skin punch biopsy $2019$ $15$ $9$ $12$ $14$ $14$ $13$ $9$ $16$ $13$ Skin excisional biopsy $2019$ $73$ $67$ $63$ $57$ $108$ $81$ $45$ $66$ $71$ Skin excisional biopsy $2019$ $73$ $67$ $63$ $57$ $108$ $81$ $45$ $66$ $71$ Prostate and bladder biopsy $2019$ $19$ $30$ $14$ $17$ $12$ $11$ $15$ $10$ $18$ Soft tissue mass excision $2019$ $87$ $114$ $70$ $78$ $92$ $40$ $59$ $70$ $88$ Armendectomy $2020$ $46$ $2$ $0$ $49$ $45$ $42$ $10$ $11$ $28$		2020	11	2	1	7	4	2	1	1	3
2020         0         0         0         3         0         0         0         0         0           Skin punch biopsy         2019         15         9         12         14         14         13         9         16         13           Skin punch biopsy         2020         6         3         0         9         12         10         6         9         13           Skin excisional biopsy         2019         73         67         63         57         108         81         45         66         71           2020         30         0         4         37         72         42         14         32         30           Prostate and bladder biopsy         2019         19         30         14         17         12         11         15         10         18           Soft tissue mass excision         2019         87         114         70         78         92         40         59         70         88           Annendectomy         2019         24         2         0         49         45         42         10         11         28 <td>Lung biopsy</td> <td>2019</td> <td>5</td> <td>10</td> <td>3</td> <td>5</td> <td>3</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> <td>5</td>	Lung biopsy	2019	5	10	3	5	3	2	2	3	5
Skin punch biopsy         2019         15         9         12         14         14         13         9         16         13           2020         6         3         0         9         12         10         6         9         13           Skin excisional biopsy         2019         73         67         63         57         108         81         45         66         71           Skin excisional biopsy         2019         73         67         63         57         108         81         45         66         71           Skin excisional biopsy         2019         73         67         63         57         108         81         45         66         71           Prostate and bladder biopsy         2019         19         30         14         17         12         11         15         10         18           Soft tissue mass excision         2019         87         114         70         78         92         40         59         70         88           Quad African         2020         46         2         0         49         45         42         10         11         28		2020	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
2020       6       3       0       9       12       10       6       9       13         Skin excisional biopsy       2019       73       67       63       57       108       81       45       66       71         2020       30       0       4       37       72       42       14       32       30         Prostate and bladder biopsy       2019       19       30       14       17       12       11       15       10       18         Soft tissue mass excision       2019       87       114       70       78       92       40       59       70       88         Appendectomy       2020       46       2       0       49       45       42       10       11       28	Skin punch biopsy	2019	15	9	12	14	14	13	9	16	13
Skin excisional biopsy       2019       73       67       63       57       108       81       45       66       71         2020       30       0       4       37       72       42       14       32       30         Prostate and bladder biopsy       2019       19       30       14       17       12       11       15       10       18         Soft tissue mass excision       2019       87       114       70       78       92       40       59       70       88         Annendectomy       2019       24       22       27       28       17       21       33       26       15		2020	6	3	0	9	12	10	6	9	13
2020       30       0       4       37       72       42       14       32       30         Prostate and bladder biopsy       2019       19       30       14       17       12       11       15       10       18         Z020       15       3       2       15       17       9       5       2       3         Soft tissue mass excision       2019       87       114       70       78       92       40       59       70       88         2020       46       2       0       49       45       42       10       11       28	Skin excisional biopsy	2019	73	67	63	57	108	81	45	66	71
Prostate and bladder biopsy       2019       19       30       14       17       12       11       15       10       18         2020       15       3       2       15       17       9       5       2       3         Soft tissue mass excision       2019       87       114       70       78       92       40       59       70       88         2020       46       2       0       49       45       42       10       11       28		2020	30	0	4	37	72	42	14	32	30
Diopsy       2020       15       3       2       15       17       9       5       2       3         Soft tissue mass excision       2019       87       114       70       78       92       40       59       70       88         2020       46       2       0       49       45       42       10       11       28	Prostate and bladder biopsy	2019	19	30	14	17	12	11	15	10	18
Soft tissue mass excision       2019       87       114       70       78       92       40       59       70       88         2020       46       2       0       49       45       42       10       11       28         Appendectomy       2019       24       22       27       28       17       21       33       26       15		2020	15	3	2	15	17	9	5	2	3
Appendectomy     2019     24     22     27     28     17     21     33     26     15	Soft tissue mass	2019	87	114	70	78	92	40	59	70	88
Appendectomy 2019 24 22 27 28 17 21 22 26 15		2020	46	2	0	49	45	42	10	11	28
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Appendectomy	2019	24	22	27	28	17	21	33	26	15
2020     21     21     17     18     24     12     17     20     25		2020	21	21	17	18	24	12	17	20	25
Cholecystectomy         2019         50         42         39         29         50         27         48         47         55	Cholecystectomy	2019	50	42	39	29	50	27	48	47	55
2020     54     1     7     46     50     28     9     21     34		2020	54	1	7	46	50	28	9	21	34
Cervical cytology         2019         466         383         227         363         361         229         231         313         310	Cervical cytology	2019	466	383	227	363	361	229	231	313	310

Table 1. The total numbers of specimen volume per section in the 9-month time period of 2019 and 2020 years

	2020	89	5	6	138	171	130	63	86	128
Non-gynaecologic cytology	2019	93	88	46	46	13	47	49	58	22
	2020	20	5	3	14	30	8	0	2	4
Immunohistochemistr y	2019	63	121	117	112	83	64	90	97	54
	2020	53	9	23	110	98	109	39	40	48
Histochemistry	2019	258	237	248	168	161	168	181	203	181
	2020	109	8	25	138	146	160	31	75	111

For each section except breast biopsies, a decrease was noted in the first three months of the pandemic. The most decreases in the total number of samples were seen in colon biopsy, skin excisional biopsy, soft tissue excision, gynaecologic biopsy/curettage, and gastric biopsy. For breast biopsies, an increase of 16.6% and 8.3% were observed compared to the same period of 2019, in the first and second three months of the pandemic. And followed by a 61.5% decrease was noted in the third three months of the pandemic.

Considering the total 9-month numerical data between March and November 2020, the most decrease in the number of samples was again in lung biopsy, nongynaecologic cytology and cervical cytology samples (92.1%, 81.3% and 71.6%, respectively). The least decrease in the total number of samples between March and November 2020 was seen in breast biopsy, appendectomy, and cholecystectomy specimens (13.5%,17.8% and 35.4%, respectively).

## DISCUSSION

After the international spread of the COVID-19, Ministry of Health established a Scientific Board in Turkey and taken measures in the country simultaneously with the recommendations of WHO (2). One of these measures is to determine pandemic hospitals in each province. Possible and confirmed cases were isolated and treated at pandemic hospitals. Our hospital has been assessed as a pandemic hospital in the city. Many clinical services within the hospital have temporarily disappeared as patient rooms have been converted to COVID-19 patients' rooms. Because of this and the postponement of non-emergency surgeries, the number of surgeries performed has dropped significantly. The comparison between the 2020 and 2019 numbers of samples highlights the challenges faced during the COVID-19 pandemic. In this report, there was an absolute decrease in the number of samples coming to the pathology laboratory.

The workload reduction is most evident in April. Considering the dramatic decrease in the number of colon biopsy, cervical cytology, lung biopsy and prostate biopsies, it can be said that the cancer screening related samples were seriously affected by the measures taken. A similar reduction in cervical cytology and biopsy specimens was also observed in articles from Belgian and Italy (3-5). Another reason for the decrease in these numbers is thought to be people who were in isolation at home, either voluntary or mandatory. It is thought that the decrease in hospital admissions and the decrease in the number of the cancer screening related samples cause a delay in the diagnosis of possible malignancies. Therefore, it would not be wrong to predict that there will be a relative increase in the number of malignancy diagnoses in the post-pandemic period. There are articles discussing the changes that need to be made for the organization, safety, and patient management in interventional oncology (6, 7).

Conversely, a study from the USA reported that one of the services least affected by the pandemic was

155

tumour profiling services (8). The reason for this relative persistence has been explained as oncology was often looking for nonsurgical therapies to offer patients at a time when minimal surgeries were taking place, after the initial diagnosis and request for molecular testing.

Our pathology laboratory also saw a decline in histochemistry and immunohistochemistry. The decrease of the special stains was expected due to the decrease in the total number of cases. Immunohistochemistry has increased by 22.3% only in July.

The surgical operation least affected by the pandemic was appendectomy in our hospital. Considering that only emergency surgeries were performed, this result was not surprising. Lung biopsy was the most affected procedure by the pandemic. It was observed that lung biopsy was taken only in June during the 9-month period from March to November 2020. As pulmonologists were most concerned with COVID-19 patients during this period and the bronchoscopy procedure was highly contagious for COVID-19 infection, this data was not unexpected (9). When the controlled social life process started at the end of May 2020, the overall number of samples arriving in the pathology laboratory was started to increase, after the first three months of the pandemic.

It is anticipated that this data analysis will help predict future effects on specimen volume and workload. The analysis of a single-centre study should be interpreted with caution and incorporated into and compared to larger datasets. Future studies will be needed to determine the changes in the diagnostic profile caused by the reduction in the number of non-urgent cases during the pandemic period. And these analyses can help to make a preparedness plan to reduce the impact of such crises on pathology laboratories.

## Acknowledgment

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or notfor-profit sectors.

## **Conflict of interests**

None.

#### REFERENCES

- Chen ZL, Zhang Q, Lu Y, Guo ZM, Zhang X, Zhang WJ, et al. Distribution of the COVID-19 epidemic and correlation with population emigration from Wuhan, China. Chin Med J (Engl). 2020;133(9):1044–50.
- Demirbilek Y, Pehlivantürk G, Özgüler ZÖ, Meşe EALP. Covid-19 outbreak control, example of ministry of health of turkey. Turkish J Med Sci. 2020;50(SI-1):489–94.
- De Pelsemaeker MC, Guiot Y, Vanderveken J, Galant C, Van Bockstal MR. The Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic and the Associated Belgian Governmental Measures on Cancer Screening, Surgical Pathology and Cytopathology. Pathobiology. 2021;88(1):46–55.
- Vigliar E, Iaccarino A, Bruzzese D, Malapelle U, Bellevicine C, Troncone G. Cytology in the time of coronavirus disease (COVID-19): An Italian perspective. J Clin Pathol. 2021;74(4):261–3.
- 5. Vissio E, Falco EC, Collemi G, Borella F, Papotti M, Scarmozzino A, et al. Impact of COVID-19 lockdown measures on oncological surgical activity: Analysis of the surgical pathology caseload of a tertiary referral hospital in Northwestern Italy. J Surg Oncol. 2021;123(1):24–31.
- Denys A, Guiu B, Chevallier P, Diklia A, Kerviler E de, Baere T de. Interventional oncology at the time of COVID-19 pandemic: Problems and solutions. Diagn Interv Imaging [Internet]. 2020;101(6):347–53. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32360351/
- Pesapane F, Ierardi AM, Arrichiello A, Carrafiello G. Providing optimal interventional oncology procedures at one of the COVID-19 referral center in Italy. Med Oncol [Internet]. 2020;37(9):1–2. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s12032-020-01405-6
- Sinard JH. An Analysis of the Effect of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Case Volumes in an Academic Subspecialty-Based Anatomic Pathology Practice. Acad Pathol. 2020;7.

 Chen CC, Chi CY. Biosafety in the preparation and processing of cytology specimens with potential coronavirus (COVID-19) infection: Perspectives from Taiwan. Cancer Cytopathol. 2020;128(5):309–16.