CONSTRUCTIVE MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS
6 (2023), No. 1, pp. 1-5
http://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/cma
ISSN 2651 - 2939

Research Article

The algebra of thin measurable operators is directly finite

AIRAT M. BIKCHENTAEV*

ABSTRACT. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a semifinite von Neumann algebra on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ equipped with a faithful normal semifinite trace τ, $S(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ be the *-algebra of all τ-measurable operators. Let $S_0(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ be the *-algebra of all τ-compact operators and $T(\mathcal{M},\tau)=S_0(\mathcal{M},\tau)+\mathbb{C}I$ be the *-algebra of all operators $X=A+\lambda I$ with $A\in S_0(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ and $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}$. It is proved that every operator of $T(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ that is left-invertible in $T(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ is in fact invertible in $T(\mathcal{M},\tau)$. It is a generalization of Sterling Berberian theorem (1982) on the subalgebra of thin operators in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. For the singular value function $\mu(t;Q)$ of $Q=Q^2\in S(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, the inclusion $\mu(t;Q)\in\{0\}\bigcup[1,+\infty)$ holds for all $t>0$. It gives the positive answer to the question posed by Daniyar Mushtari in 2010.

Keywords: Hilbert space, von Neumann algebra, semifinite trace, τ-measurable operator, τ-compact operator, singular value function, idempotent.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16E50, 46L51.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we extend the Sterling Berberian's result [2] (see also [12]) on direct finiteness of the algebra of thin operators on an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space to the Irving Segal's non-commutative integration setting [16]. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a semifinite von Neumann algebra on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ equipped with a faithful normal semifinite trace τ, $S(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ be the *-algebra of all τ-measurable operators. Let $S_0(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ be the *-algebra of all τ-compact operators and $T(\mathcal{M},\tau)=S_0(\mathcal{M},\tau)+\mathbb{C}I$ be the *-algebra of all operators $X=A+\lambda I$ with $A\in S_0(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ and a complex number λ. We prove that every operator of $T(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ left-invertible in $T(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ is actually invertible in $T(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ (Theorem 3.1). Assume that $A\in S(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ and $B\in T(\mathcal{M},\tau)$. We have $AB\in T(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ if and only if $BA\in T(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ (Theorem 3.2). For the singular value function $\mu(t;Q)$ of $Q=Q^2\in S(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, we have $\mu(t;Q)\in\{0\}\bigcup[1,+\infty)$ for all $t>0$ (Theorem 3.3). It is the positive answer to the question by Daniyar Mushtari of year 2010.

The author sincerely thank Vladimir Chilin for useful discussions of the results presented in this paper.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra of operators on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, let $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$ be the lattice of projections in $\mathcal{M}$, I be the unit of $\mathcal{M}$. Also $\mathcal{M}^+$ denotes the cone of positive elements in $\mathcal{M}$. A mapping $\varphi:\mathcal{M}^+\to[0,+\infty]$ is called *a trace*, if $\varphi(X+Y)=\varphi(X)+\varphi(Y)$, $\varphi(\lambda X)=\lambda\varphi(X)$ for all $X,Y\in\mathcal{M}^+$, $\lambda\geq 0$ (moreover, $0\cdot(+\infty)\equiv 0$); $\varphi(Z^*Z)=\varphi(ZZ^*)$ for all $Z\in\mathcal{M}$. A trace φ is called *faithful*, if $\varphi(X)>0$ for all $X\in\mathcal{M}^+$, $X\neq 0$; *normal*, if $X_i\uparrow X$ $(X_i,X\in\mathcal{M}^+)\Rightarrow$

Received: 28.09.2022; Accepted: 10.01.2023; Published Online: 12.01.2023
*Corresponding author: Airat M. Bikchentaev; airat.bikchentaev@kpfu.ru
DOI: 10.33205/cma.1181495

$\varphi(X) = \sup \varphi(X_i)$; *semifinite*, if $\varphi(X) = \sup\{\varphi(Y) : \ Y \in \mathcal{M}^+, \ Y \leq X, \ \varphi(Y) < +\infty\}$ for every $X \in \mathcal{M}^+$.

An operator on $\mathcal{H}$ (not necessarily bounded or densely defined) is said to be *affiliated to the von Neumann algebra* $\mathcal{M}$ if it commutes with any unitary operator from the commutant $\mathcal{M}'$ of the algebra $\mathcal{M}$. Let τ be a faithful normal semifinite trace on $\mathcal{M}$. A closed operator X, affiliated to $\mathcal{M}$ and possesing a domain $\mathfrak{D}(X)$ everywhere dense in $\mathcal{H}$ is said to be *τ-measurable* if, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$ such that $P\mathcal{H} \subset \mathfrak{D}(X)$ and $\tau(I - P) < \varepsilon$. The set $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ of all τ-measurable operators is a *-algebra under passage to the adjoint operator, multiplication by a scalar, and operations of strong addition and multiplication resulting from the closure of the ordinary operations [16], [14]. Let $\mathcal{L}^+$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{h}}$ denote the positive and Hermitian parts of a family $\mathcal{L} \subset S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, respectively. We denote by $\leq$ the partial order in $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)^{\mathrm{h}}$ generated by its proper cone $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)^+$. If $X \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, then $|X| = \sqrt{X^*X} \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)^+$. The generalized singular value function $\mu(X) : t \to \mu(t; X)$ of the operator X is defined by setting

$$\mu(s; X) = \inf\{\|XP\| : \ P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M}) \text{ and } \tau(I - P) \leq s\}.$$

Lemma 2.1. (see [10]) *We have $\mu(s + t; XY) \leq \mu(s; X)\mu(t; Y)$ for all $X, Y \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $s, t > 0$.*

The sets $U(\varepsilon, \delta) = \{X \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau) : \ (\|XP\| \leq \varepsilon \text{ and } \tau(I - P) \leq \delta \text{ for some } P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M}))\}$, where $\varepsilon > 0$, $\delta > 0$, form a base at 0 for a metrizable vector topology t_τ on $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, called *the measure topology* [14]. Equipped with this topology, $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is a complete metrizable topological *-algebra in which $\mathcal{M}$ is dense. We will write $X_n \xrightarrow{\tau} X$ if a sequence $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to $X \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ in the measure topology on $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.

The set of τ-compact operators $S_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = \{X \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau) : \lim\limits_{t\to\infty} \mu(t; X) = 0\}$ is an ideal in $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. For any closed and densely defined linear operator $X : \mathfrak{D}(X) \to \mathcal{H}$, the *null projection* $\mathrm{n}(X) = \mathrm{n}(|X|)$ is the projection onto its kernel $\mathrm{Ker}(X)$, the *range projection* $\mathrm{r}(X)$ is the projection onto the closure of its range $\mathrm{Ran}(X)$ and the *support projection* $\mathrm{supp}(X)$ of X is defined by $\mathrm{supp}(X) = I - \mathrm{n}(X)$.

The two-sided ideal $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ in $\mathcal{M}$ consisting of all elements of τ-finite range is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = \{X \in \mathcal{M} : \ \tau(\mathrm{r}(X)) < \infty\} = \{X \in \mathcal{M} : \ \tau(\mathrm{supp}(X)) < \infty\}.$$

Equivalently, $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = \{X \in \mathcal{M} : \ \mu(t; X) = 0 \text{ for some } t > 0\}$. Clearly, $S_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is the closure of $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ with respect to the measure topology [9].

3. Main results

Throughout the sequel, let $\mathcal{M}$ be an arbitrary semifinite von Neumann algebra, with some distinguished faithful normal semifinite trace τ.

Lemma 3.2. *We have $|X| \in T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ for every $X \in T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.*

Proof. The ideal $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is a C^*-subalgebra in $\mathcal{M}$. Hence $F(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}, \tau) + \mathbb{C}I$ is an unital C^*-subalgebra in $\mathcal{M}$ and if $X \in F(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, then $|X| \in F(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Assume that $X \in T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, i.e., $X = A + \lambda I$ with $A \in S_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Since $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is t_τ-dense in $S_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, there exists a sequence $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ such that $A_n \xrightarrow{\tau} A$ as $n \to \infty$. Then the sequence $X_n = A_n + \lambda I$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, lies in $F(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and t_τ-converges to the operator X as $n \to \infty$. According to the results given above, $|X_n| = B_n + |\lambda| I$ with some $B_n \in F(\mathcal{M}, \tau)^{\mathrm{h}}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $X_n \xrightarrow{\tau} X$ as $n \to \infty$, we have $X_n^* \xrightarrow{\tau} X^*$ as $n \to \infty$ by t_τ-continuity of the involution in $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Then via joint t_τ-continuity of the multiplication in $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, we have $X_n^* X_n \xrightarrow{\tau} X^* X$ as $n \to \infty$. Therefore, we obtain $|X_n| \xrightarrow{\tau} |X|$ as $n \to \infty$ by t_τ-continuity of the real function $f(t) = \sqrt{t}$, $t \geq 0$ [18]. Thus the sequence $\{B_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ t_τ-converges to a some operator $B \in S_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)^{\mathrm{h}}$ and $|X| = B + |\lambda| I$. $\square$

Lemma 3.3. (see [4, Corollary 2.4]) *If $X \in T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $XX^* \le X^*X$, then $XX^* = X^*X$.*

Lemma 3.4. *The idempotents of $T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ are the operators P, $I - P$, where P runs over the idempotent operators of $S_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.*

Proof. Assume that $X = A + \lambda I \in T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $X^2 = X$. Then $A^2 + 2\lambda A + \lambda^2 I = A + \lambda I$, i.e., $\lambda \in \{0, 1\}$. If $\lambda = 0$, then $A^2 = A$ and $A \in S_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is an idempotent operator. Then $I - A \in T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and is also an idempotent. If $\lambda = 1$, then $A^2 = -A = (-A)^2$ and $-A \in S_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is an idempotent operator. Then $I - (-A) \in T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and is also an idempotent. $\square$

Consider $F_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = \{A \in S_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau) : \ \tau(\mathrm{r}(A)) < +\infty\}$ and $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = F_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau) + \mathbb{C}I$. Then $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is a *-subalgebra of $T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.

Lemma 3.5. *$\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ contains every idempotent of $T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.*

Proof. Let Q be an idempotent operator of $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Then

$$(Q + Q^* - I)^2 = I + (Q - Q^*)(Q - Q^*)^*$$

and by [6, Theorem 2.21] there exists a unique "range" projection $Q^\sharp \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$, defined by the formula $Q^\sharp = Q(Q + Q^* - I)^{-1}$ with $(Q + Q^* - I)^{-1} \in \mathcal{M}$ and subject to the condition $Q^\sharp \cdot S(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = Q \cdot S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. By [6, Theorem 2.23], there exists a unique decomposition $Q = P + Z$, where $P = Q^\sharp \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$ and $Z \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is a nilpotent so that $Z^2 = 0$ and $ZP = 0$, $PZ = Z$. Thus $QP = P$ and $PQ = Q$. Assume that $Q \in S_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Since $QP = P$, we have $P \in S_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Since the singular function $\mu(t; P) = \chi_{(0, \tau(P)]}(t)$ for all $t > 0$, we conclude that $P \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Then by equality $PQ = Q$, we have $Q \in F_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and apply Lemma 3.4. $\square$

Lemma 3.6. *$F_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is a regular ring.*

Proof. We show that for every operator $A \in F_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ the equation $AXA = A$ possesses a solution in $F_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. For $A \in F_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, the range projection $\mathrm{r}(A)$ and the support projection $\mathrm{supp}(A)$ lie in $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Consider the projection $P = \mathrm{r}(A) \bigvee \mathrm{supp}(A)$ in $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and the reduced von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}_P = P\mathcal{M}P$, the reduced faithful normal finite trace τ_P with $\tau_P(X) = \tau(PXP)$, $X \in \mathcal{M}_P^+$. The algebra $\mathcal{M}_P$ is finite, therefore $S(\mathcal{M}_P, \tau_P)$ is a regular ring by [15, Theorem 4.3]. Since $A \in S(\mathcal{M}_P, \tau_P)$, the equation $AXA = A$ admits a solution in $S(\mathcal{M}_P, \tau_P) \subset F_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. $\square$

Idempotents P, Q of a ring $\mathcal{R}$ are said to be *equivalent* (in $\mathcal{R}$), written $P \sim Q$, if there exist elements $X, Y \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $XY = P$ and $YX = Q$ (replacing X, Y by PXQ, QYP, one can suppose that $X \in P\mathcal{R}Q$, $Y \in Q\mathcal{R}P$ [13, p. 22]). Projections (=self-adjoint idempotents) P, Q of a ring with involutions are said to be **-equivalent* if there exists an element X such that $XX^* = P$ and $X^*X = Q$.

Theorem 3.1. *If $X, Y \in T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ such that $XY = I$, then $YX = I$.*

Proof. In the terms of ring theory, we assert that the ring $T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is "directly finite" [11, p. 49]. Since $F_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ (by Lemma 3.6) and $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)/F_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \cong \mathbb{C}$ are both regular rings, $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is a regular ring [11, p. 2, Lemma 1.3]; since, moreover, the involution of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is proper ($AA^* = 0$ implies $A = 0$), the algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is *-regular in the sense of von Neumann [1, p. 229].

If X, Y are elements of $T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ such that $XY = I$, then $P = YX$ is an idempotent of $T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ such that $P \sim I$ in $T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. By Lemma 3.5, we have $P \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$; since $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is *-regular, there exists a projection $Q \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ such that $Q \cdot \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = P \cdot \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ [1, p. 229, Proposition 3]. Then $P \sim Q$ in $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ [13, p. 21, Theorem 14], a fortiori $P \sim Q$ in $T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$; already $P \sim I$ in $T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, so $Q \sim I$ in $T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ by transitivity. Since $T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$

satisfies the “square root” axiom (SR) and contains square roots of its positive elements (see Lemma 3.2 and [13, p. 90]), it follows that the projections P, I are *-equivalent in $T(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ [13, p. 35, Theorem 27], say $X \in T(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ with $XX^* = P$, $X^*X = I$. By Lemma 3.3, $P = I$; then $Q \cdot \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{M},\tau) = P \cdot \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{M},\tau) = \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ shows that $P = I$, that is, $YX = I$. □

Theorem 3.1 can obviously be reformulated as follows: if $A, B \in S_0(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ and $A+B+AB = 0$, then $AB = BA$. On invertibility in $S(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, see [17], [7] and [8].

Theorem 3.2. *Assume that $A \in S(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ and $B \in T(\mathcal{M},\tau)$. Then $AB \in T(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ if and only if $BA \in T(\mathcal{M},\tau)$.*

Proof. "⇒". If $B \in S_0(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, then $BA \in S_0(\mathcal{M},\tau) \subset T(\mathcal{M},\tau)$. Assume that $B \notin S_0(\mathcal{M},\tau)$. Then $B = \lambda I + K$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and $K \in S_0(\mathcal{M},\tau)$. Hence,

$$AB = \lambda A + AK = \mu I + K_1 \tag{3.1}$$

for some $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ and $K_1 \in S_0(\mathcal{M},\tau)$.

Case 1: $\mu = 0$. Then we have $A \in S_0(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ by (3.1); hence $BA \in S_0(\mathcal{M},\tau) \subset T(\mathcal{M},\tau)$.

Case 2: $\mu \neq 0$. Then by (3.1), we have $\lambda A = \mu I + K_2$ with $K_2 = K_1 - AK \in S_0(\mathcal{M},\tau)$. Therefore, $A = \frac{\mu}{\lambda} I + \frac{1}{\lambda} K_2$ and

$$BA = (\lambda I + K)\Big(\frac{\mu}{\lambda} I + \frac{1}{\lambda} K_2\Big) = I + K_3$$

with $K_3 = K_1 - AK + \frac{\mu}{\lambda} K + \frac{1}{\lambda} KK_1 - \frac{1}{\lambda} KAK \in S_0(\mathcal{M},\tau)$. Thus $BA \in T(\mathcal{M},\tau)$.

"⇐". We know that $X \in T(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ if and only if $X^* \in T(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, and apply the proof given above to the pair $\{A^*, B^*\}$. □

Corollary 3.1. *If $A \in S(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ and $B \in T(\mathcal{M},\tau) \setminus S_0(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) *$AB \in T(\mathcal{M},\tau)$;*

(ii) *$BA \in T(\mathcal{M},\tau)$;*

(iii) *$A \in T(\mathcal{M},\tau)$.*

Proof. "(i)⇒(iii)". Let $B = \lambda I + K$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and $K \in S_0(\mathcal{M},\tau)$. Then $AB = \lambda A + AK = \mu I + K_1$ for some $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ and $K_1 \in S_0(\mathcal{M},\tau)$. Thus $\lambda A = \mu I + K_1 - AK$ and $A = \frac{\mu}{\lambda} I + \frac{1}{\lambda} K_1 - \frac{1}{\lambda} AK \in T(\mathcal{M},\tau)$. □

Theorem 3.3. *If $Q \in S(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ is such that $Q^2 = Q$, then $\mu(t;Q) \in \{0\} \bigcup [1,+\infty)$ for all $t > 0$. For the symmetry $U = 2Q - I$, we have $\mu(t;U) \geq 1$ for all $t > 0$.*

Proof. For $Q = Q^2 \notin S_0(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, we have $\mu(t;Q) \geq 1$ for all $t > 0$, see [5, Lemma 3.8]. Let $Q = Q^2 \in S_0(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ and P be “the range” projection of the idempotent Q, see the proof of Lemma 3.5. Since $QP = P$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M}) \bigcap \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M},\tau)$, by Lemma 2.1 we have

$$1 = \mu(s+t;P) = \chi_{(0,\tau(P)]}(s+t) = \mu(s+t;QP) \leq \mu(s;P)\mu(t;Q) = \mu(t;Q)$$

for all $s,t > 0$ with $s+t \leq \tau(P)$. By tending s to $0+$, we obtain $\mu(t;Q) \geq 1$ for all $0 < t < \tau(P)$. By the right continuity of the function $\mu(t;\cdot)$, we have $\mu(\tau(P);Q) \geq 1$. If $t > \tau(P)$ then $\mu(t;P) = 0$; by the equality $PQ = Q$ and by Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$0 \leq \mu(t;Q) = \mu(t;PQ) \leq \mu(t-\varepsilon;P)\mu(\varepsilon;Q) = 0$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$ with $t - \varepsilon > \tau(P)$.

Let $Q \in S(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ be such that $Q^2 = Q$. For the symmetry $U = 2Q - I$, we have $U^2 = I$ and by Lemma 2.1 obtain

$$1 = \mu(2t;I) = \mu(2t;U^2) \leq \mu(t;U)\mu(t;U) = \mu(t;U)^2$$

for all $t > 0$. □

Note that for $Q \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $Q^2 = Q$ the relation $\mu(t; Q) \in \{0\} \bigcup [1, \|Q\|]$ for all $t > 0$ was obtained by another way in [3, item 1) of Lemma 3.8]. Theorem 3.3 gives the positive answer to the question by Daniyar Mushtari of year 2010.

Acknowledgements. The work performed under the development program of Volga Region Mathematical Center (agreement no. 075-02-2022-882).

References

[1] S. K. Berberian: *Baer *-rings. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 195*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin (1972).

[2] S. K. Berberian: *The algebra of thin operators is directly finite*, Publ. Sec. Mat. Univ. Autònoma Barcelona, **26** (2) (1982), 5-7.

[3] A. M. Bikchentaev: *Local convergence in measure on semifinite von Neumann algebras*, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math., **255** (2006), 35-48.

[4] A. M. Bikchentaev: *On normal τ-measurable operators affiliated with semifinite von Neumann algebras*, Math. Notes, **96** (3-4) (2014), 332-341.

[5] A. M. Bikchentaev: *Concerning the theory of τ-measurable operators affiliated to a semifinite von Neumann algebra*, Math. Notes, **98** (3-4) (2015), 382-391.

[6] A. M. Bikchentaev: *On idempotent τ-measurable operators affiliated to a von Neumann algebra*, Math. Notes, **100** (3-4) (2016), 515-525.

[7] A. M. Bikchentaev: *On τ-essentially invertibility of τ-measurable operators*, Internat. J. Theoret. Phys., **60** (2) (2021), 567-575.

[8] A. M. Bikchentaev: *Essentially invertible measurable operators affiliated to a semifinite von Neumann algebra and commutators*, Sib. Math. J., **63** (2) (2022), 224-232.

[9] P. Dodds, B. de Pagter: *Normed Köthe spaces: A non-commutative viewpoint*, Indag. Math. (N.S.), **25** (2) (2014), 206-249.

[10] T. Fack, H. Kosaki: *Generalized s-numbers of τ-measurable operators*, Pacific J. Math., **123** (2) (1986), 269-300.

[11] K. R. Goodearl: *von Neumann regular rings, Monographs and Studies in Mathematics, vol. 4. Pitman (Advanced Publishing Program)*, Boston, Mass.-London (1979).

[12] I. Halperin: *On a theorem of Sterling Berberian*, C. R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada, **3** (1) (1981), 33-35.

[13] I. Kaplansky: *Rings of operators*, W.A. Benjamin, Inc., New York-Amsterdam (1968).

[14] E. Nelson: *Notes on non-commutative integration*, J. Funct. Anal., **15** (2) (1974), 103-116.

[15] K. Saitô: *On the algebra of measurable operators for a general AW^*-algebra. II*, Tohoku Math. J. (2), **23** (3) (1971), 525-534.

[16] I. E. Segal: *A non-commutative extension of abstract integration*, Ann. Math., **57** (3) (1953), 401-457.

[17] I. D. Tembo: *Invertibility in the algebra of τ-measurable operators, in: Operator algebras, operator theory and applications, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., vol. 195*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel (2010), 245-256.

[18] O. E. Tikhonov: *Continuity of operator functions in topologies connected with a trace on a von Neumann algebra*, Soviet Math. (Iz. VUZ), **31** (1) (1987), 110-114.

AIRAT M. BIKCHENTAEV
KAZAN FEDERAL UNIVERSITY
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND MECHANICS
KREMLYOVSKAYA STR., 18, 420008, KAZAN, RUSSIA
ORCID: 0000-0001-5992-3641
E-mail address: airat.bikchentaev@kpfu.ru