MARINE SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY BULLETIN

Climate Change Impacts On Streamflow of Karamenderes River (Çanakkale, Turkey).

Semih Kale¹, Tuba Ejder², Olcay Hisar^{3*}, Fatih Mutlu⁴

¹Department of Fishing and Fish Processing Technology, Faculty of Marine Sciences and Technology, Çanakkale Onsekiz Mart University, 17100, Çanakkale, Turkey

²Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering, Faculty of Marine Sciences and Technology, Çanakkale Onsekiz Mart University, 17100, Çanakkale, Turkey

³Department of Basic Sciences, Faculty of Marine Sciences and Technology, Çanakkale Onsekiz Mart University, 17100, Çanakkale, Turkey

⁴Turkish State Meteorological Service, General Directorate of Meteorology, Ministry of Forestry and Water Affairs, Ankara, Turkey

ARTICLEINFO

Article history:

Received: 09.07.2016 Received in revised form: 30.11.2016 Accepted: 02.12.2016 Available online: 31.12.2016

Keywords:

Climate change Global warming Streamflow Trend analysis Pettitt Chang point analysis

ABSTRACT

The main objective of this study was to estimate the potential impacts of climate change due to the global warming on streamflow of Karamenderes River (Canakkale, Turkey). A 36-years dataset belongs to the streamflow of Karamenderes River and a 43years dataset belongs to the climatic parameters (temperature, precipitation, and evaporation) obtained from Çanakkale, Bozcaada, Gökçeada meteorological stations in Canakkale. Time series analysis was applied to the streamflow and climatic parameters. Pettitt change point analysis was performed to detect the change time and trend analysis was applied for estimating the trends. Kendall's tau and Spearman's rho correlation tests were applied to find out the relationship between streamflow and the climatic parameters. Pettitt change point analysis results indicated that the change year for streamflow was 1982. Trend analysis results showed that there were decreasing trends in the streamflow and precipitation, and increasing trends in temperature and evaporation. Correlation tests results pointed out that these changes were statistically insignificant. Increasing temperature and decreasing rainfall due to the climate change caused a decrease in the streamflow of the river. In conclusion, the climate change impacts on streamflow could be variable. Also, anthropogenic effects and agricultural activities may affect the responses of the rivers to the global warming and climate change impacts. It is suggested that dam building on the rivers might be beneficial to provide more water for irrigation or other purposes in sustainable way.

Introduction

Climate change have an important impact on agriculture (Beaulieu et al., 2012), river streamflow (Ejder et al., 2016a) and water resources. Management and planning of water resources require to include in the scope the impacts of climate change and global warming (Fu et al., 2007b). Several studies have been published to highlight the susceptibility of streamflow to climate changes worldwide (Arnell, 2014; Ejder et al., 2016a, b; Fu et al., 2007a; Fu and Liu, 1991). Hydro climatic changes and anthropological

*Corresponding author E-mail address: o_hisar@hotmail.com (O. Hisar) Tel:+: +90 286 218 00 18 fax: +90 286 218 05 43 activities may lead to a variation in the time series of a river streamflow. Detecting the trends in streamflow is an essential tool for determining alterations in hydrological systems (Chang, 2007). Using historical surveillance datasets may help to comprehend what will happen in the future (Blöschl and Montanari, 2010).

Several different methodologies can be used to expect climate change effects on river hydrology (Bozkurt and Sen, 2013; Chen et al., 2012; Guo et al., 2002; Huo et al., 2008; Islam et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2010; Xu et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2012). Numerous techniques have been recommended to overcome the problem comprising nonparametric regression (Bates et al., 2010), t-tests (Marengo and Camargo, 2008), cumulative sum analysis (Levin, 2011), linear and piecewise linear regression (Tomé and Miranda, 2004) and Mann-Whitney test and Pettitt change point analysis (Beaulieu et al., 2012; Fealy and Sweeney, 2005; Li et al., 2005; Salarijazi et al., 2012; Tomozeiu et al., 2000).

Many studies put forward that hydrological time series of streamflow show a significant change point or trend by the reason of the impacts of climate change or anthropological activities on water resources. Walling and Fang (2003) pointed out that approximately 22% of the rivers worldwide displayed significant decreasing trend and approximately 9% displayed significant increasing trend. Several authors reported that anthropological activities might play an important role in decrease of streamflow (Gao et al., 2011; Jackson et al., 2011; Salarijazi et al., 2012; Zhou et al., 2015). Yang and Saito (2003) specified that human activities such as water consumption, flow diversion and dam building were causes of the decreasing trends in the annual streamflow. Villarini et al. (2011) recommended that change point analysis must be applied on hydrological time series before assessing trends.

Fu et al. (2007b) indicated that streamflow was responsive to temperature and precipitation besides the climate variability. Many studies have documented that changes in temperature and precipitation might affect river streamflow. Zhang et al. (2012) studied climate change effects on the streamflow of Dongliao river basin and indicated that the streamflow showed a significant decreasing trend associated with precipitation. Bozkurt and Sen (2013) studied climate change effects on the streamflow of Firat and Dicle river basins and found a significantly decreasing trends. Herawati et al. (2015) investigated climate change effects on the streamflow of Kapuas River and claimed that streamflow showed a decreasing trend. Zhou et al. (2015) studied impacts of the anthropological activities and climate change on the streamflow of Huangfuchuan river basin and stated that streamflow showed a decreasing trend. Pumo et al. (2016) investigated climate change effects on streamflow and stated that streamflow showed a decreasing trend associated with precipitation. Ejder et al. (2016a) studied the effects of climate change on Kocabaş streamflow and pointed out that streamflow showed a decreasing trend. Ejder et al. (2016b) investigated the effects of climate change on Sarıçay streamflow and reported that streamflow showed a decreasing trend.

The main purpose of this study is to investigate potential impacts of climate change on streamflow of Karamenderes River (Çanakkale, Turkey). This study have documented the impacts of climate change on streamflow by indicating the interactions between hydro climatic factors. Change points or trends in streamflow and climatic data were also explored. Change points were determined and the tendency of trends was predicted.

Material and methods

Study Area

Karamenderes River is 109 km in length and maximum flow rate of the river is 1530 $m^3 s^{-1}$ (Anonymous, 2014).

The ancient name of the river is Scamender. The river arises from Ağı and Ida Mountains and run through ancient Troy city and flows into Çanakkale Strait. Its annual water potential is about 460 hm³ (Ağırgöl Kayacan, 2008). There are two reservoirs constructed on the river which are Pınarbaşı and Bayramiç. These reservoirs were built to supply water for irrigation to people. Water pollution sources of the Karamenderes River were reported as domestic solid waste, domestic wastewater, industrial wastewater, industrial solid waste, agricultural waste, mine dumps and waste from livestock raising by Anonymous (2014). The river has the only permanent current in the basin (Ağırgöl Kayacan, 2008).



Figure 1. The locations of meteorological observation stations and Karamenderes River.

Climatic dataset for temperature, precipitation and evaporation between the years of 1970 and 2012 were obtained from Çanakkale, Gökçeada and Bozcaada (Figure 1) meteorological observation stations which belong to Turkish State Meteorological Service of General Directorate of Meteorology. Hydrological data for Karamenderes River streamflow were utilized by kind permission of the General Directorate of State Hydraulic Works (DSI).

Change Point Analysis

Several approaches can be applied to detect the change points in a time series (Beaulieu et al., 2012; Chen and Gupta, 2012; Fealy and Sweeney, 2005; Li et al., 2005; Radziejewski et al., 2000; Salarijazi et al., 2012; Tomozeiu et al., 2000). In this study, we used non-parametric changepoint analysis to detect existence of the abrupt change developed by Pettitt (1979). This statistical analysis is a rank based and distribution-free test to determine a significant change in a time series. Pettitt change point analysis has been commonly applied to determine the changes in observed hydrological and climatic time series (Bates et al., 2012; Ejder et al., 2016a, b; Gao et al., 2011; Mu et al., 2007; Salarijazi et al., 2012; Tomozeiu et al., 2000).

Trend Analysis

Trend analysis was used to determine the tendency of variations in climatic and hydrological time series. Box-Jenkins technique (Box and Jenkins, 1976) and ARIMA

model (1, 0, 1) was applied in trend analyses. To calculate reliability of the results of trend analyses autocorrelation analyses were implemented.

Mann-Kendall Test

A non-parametric Mann-Kendall test (Mann, 1945; Kendall, 1955) can be used to detect the trend in a time series. Mann (1945) initially used this test and then Kendall (1955) formed the test statistic distribution. Mann-Kendall test has been suggested extensively by the World Meteorological Organization (WMO) (Mitchell et al., 1966). Moreover, numerous authors used this test to assess the trend of water resources data (Ejder et al., 2016a, b; Kahya and Kalaycı, 2004; Salarijazi et al., 2012). Consequently, this test has been found to be an important tool to determine the trend.

Results

Pettitt change point analysis results indicated that the change point for temperature, evaporation and precipitation was 1997, 1993 and 1993, respectively (Table 1). Trend analysis results pointed out that temperature and evaporation have an upward trend and that precipitation has a downward trend (Figure 2).

In accordance to the trend analyses temperature and evaporation are expected to reach 16.1491 °C and 210.905 mm, respectively, while precipitation is projected to reach 47.9798 mm in 2020 (Table 2).







Figure 2. The results of trend analyses for climatic parameters (*a: Temperature; b: Evaporation; c: Precipitation*).

The change year for Karamenderes river streamflow was detected as 1982 in accordance to Pettitt change point analysis. Trend analysis results pointed out that streamflow has a downward trend (Figure 3). The streamflow is expected to reach 1.93515 m³ s⁻¹ in 2020 (Table 2).

Results of the non-parametric tests, Mann-Kendall test, change point and trend analysis, on the streamflow of Karamenderes river showed statistically insignificant (P>0.05) correlation between streamflow and climatic parameters.

Table 1. Results of Pettitt change-point analysis, Kendall's tau and Spearman's rho tests for climate parameters. ^a

| | Pettitt Change Year | Mann-Kendall | | | | Spearman | | | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|--------------|-------|--------------|-------|-------------|--------|--------------|-------|
| Climatic Parameters | | First Stage | | Second Stage | | First Stage | | Second Stage | |
| | | tau | р | tau | р | rho | р | rho | р |
| Karamenderes | 1982 | -0.189 | 0.243 | -0.100 | 0.589 | -0.308 | 0.186 | -0.109 | 0.688 |
| Temperature | 1997 | -0.071 | 0.602 | 0.300 | 0.105 | -0.107 | 0.596 | 0.113 | 0.412 |
| Evaporation | 1993 | 0.286 | 0.070 | 0.232 | 0.153 | 0.406 | 0.067 | 0.307 | 0.188 |
| Precipitation | 1993 | -0.502 | 0.001 | -0.074 | 0.650 | -0.705 | 0.0002 | -0.108 | 0.650 |

^a First Stage is from 1962 to the change year and Second Stage is from the change year to 1997 for annual streamflow of Karamenderes River. For climatic parameters, First Stage is from 1970 to the change year and Second Stage is from the change year to 2012. tau and rho are test statistics. p is significance level.

| Table 2. | Forecasted values from | trend analysis for a | innual streamflow | of Karamenderes | River and an | inual temperature, | evaporation, |
|-----------|------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------|
| precipita | ition. | | | | | | |

| Years | Karamenderes River (m ³ s ⁻¹) | Temperature (°C) | Evaporation (mm) | Precipitation (mm) |
|-------|---|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| 2016 | 2.86526 | 16.0341 | 205.128 | 48.3797 |
| 2017 | 2.63273 | 16.0629 | 206.572 | 48.2797 |
| 2018 | 2.40020 | 16.0916 | 208.016 | 48.1797 |
| 2019 | 2.16768 | 16.1204 | 209.461 | 48.0798 |
| 2020 | 1.93515 | 16.1491 | 210.905 | 47.9798 |



Figure 3. Trend analysis results for annual streamflow of Karamenderes River.

Discussion

It is important to study the climate change impacts and to consider the outcomes of different climate change projects on the streamflow. Investigation of fluctuations in the streamflow is essential for management and planning of water resources. Durdu (2010) prevised that availability of the natural water resources will reduce in connection with the climate change and fluctuations in precipitation regimes in Turkey.

It is reported that the global warming might cause to increase in the temperature and evaporation by Chen and Xu (2005). Several authors documented decreasing trends in the river streamflow. Zhou et al. (2015) stated that there was a permanent reduce in Huangfuchuan river streamflow. Herawati et al. (2015) claimed that there was a decreasing trend in streamflow in the rivers of Indonesia. Pumo et al. (2016) pointed out that the streamflow and precipitation presented a significantly decrease in the rivers of Italy. Ozkul et al. (2008) and Ozkul (2009) found that there were downward trends in the streamflow of Büyük Menderes River and Gediz River. Türkeş and Acar Deniz (2011) reported that there was a decreasing trend in the streamflow of the rivers in the southern Marmara.

Many authors reported that climate change effects might lead to decreasing trends in the streamflow of rivers

(Bahadir, 2011; Durdu, 2010; Kahya and Kalaycı, 2004; Koçman and Sütgibi, 2012). It is expected that there were increase in the temperature and evaporation and decrease in precipitation and streamflow of Karamenderes River. The trends in the streamflow might not attributed to the precipitation variations at all times (Bates et al. (2008). Divergently, several authors pointed out that hydraulic structures (Ozkul et al., 2008), anthropogenic activities (Gao et al., 2011; Jackson et al., 2011; Salarijazi et al., 2012; Zhou et al., 2015) and agricultural activities (Durdu, 2010; Dügel and Kazanci, 2004; Kaçan et al., 2007; Yercan et al., 2004) could have effects on the streamflow of the river.

Alterations of hydro climatic environments and human activities would change the characteristics of the water resources of the Karamenderes River. Pinarbaşı dam and Bayramic dam were constructed on the Karamenderes River in 1991 and 1996, respectively. Growth of population of the river basin is high. There have been agricultural and anthropological activities were realized to preservation or exploitation of water resources such as building dam and consuming water resources for irrigation or agricultural activities. The detected data presented that the temperature and evaporation have an increasing trend while precipitation and annual streamflow have a decreasing trend. Non-parametric methodologies based on ranks, for instance the change point analysis developed by Pettitt (1979), are advantageous in spotting changes in time series without parametric features. The incidents of change points and the trend pattern in streamflow and precipitation are relatively similar. Therefore, it can be concluded that the effects of hydro climatic circumstances are more important than the effects of anthropological activities such as dam building and actions for preservation or exploitation of water resources.

In conclusion, it is expected that there were increase in the temperature and evaporation and decrease in precipitation and streamflow of Karamenderes River. Climate change effects could have led to water stress or reduction of water resources. Therefore, management and planning of water resources should require to include in the scope the impacts of climate change and global warming. The present water management and planning policy should be reviewed and the most appropriate evaluation models should be implemented. It is suggested that dam building on the rivers might be beneficial to provide more water for irrigation or other purposes in sustainable way.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank The General Directorate of State Hydraulic Works (DSI) and Turkish State Meteorological Service (MGM) for providing hydrological and climatic data

References

- Ağırgöl Kayacan, Y. 2008. Evaluation of Coastal Wetlands on the Peninsula of Biga-Çanakkale in Point of Human-Environment Interaction. MSc. thesis. Çanakkale Onsekiz Mart University.
- Anonymous, 2014. The Environmental Status Report of Çanakkale for 2013. Çanakkale Provincial Directorate of Environment and Urbanization, Ministry of Environment and Urbanization of Republic of Turkey, Çanakkale, Turkey, 175p.
- Arnell, N. 2014. Hydrology and Global Environmental Change. Routledge, London and New York.
- Bahadir, M. 2011. A Statistical Analysis of the Flow Changes of Kızılırmak River. Turkish Studies - International Periodical for the Languages, Literature and History of Turkish or Turkic, 6: 1339-1356.
- Bates, B.C., Chandler, R.E. and A.W. Bowman. 2012. Trend estimation and change point detection in individual climatic series using flexible regression methods. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, **117 (D16106)**: 1-9.
- Bates, B.C., Chandler, R.E., Charles, S.P. and E.P. Campbell. 2010. Assessment of apparent nonstationarity in time series of annual inflow, daily precipitation, and atmospheric circulation indices: A case study from southwest Western Australia. Water Resources Research, 46 (WO0H02): 1-15.
- Bates, B.C., Kundzewicz, Z.W., Wu, S. and J.P. Palutikof. 2008. Climate Change and Water. 6th Technical Paper of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. IPCC Secretariat, Geneva. pp. 210.
- Beaulieu, C., Chen, J. and J.L. Sarmiento. 2012. Change-point analysis as a tool to detect abrupt climate variations. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, **370**: 1228-1249.
- Blöschl, G. and A. Montanari. 2010. Climate change impactsthrowing the dice? Hydrological Processes, 24: 374-381.
- Box, G.E.P. and G. Jenkins. 1976. Time Series Analysis: Forecasting and Control. Holden Day, San Francisco.
- Bozkurt, D. and O.L. Sen. 2013. Climate change impacts in the Euphrates-Tigris Basin based on different model and scenario simulations. Journal of Hydrology, **480**: 149-161.
- Chang, H. 2007. Comparative streamflow characteristics in urbanizing basins in the Portland Metropolitan Area, Oregon, USA. Hydrological Processes, **21**: 211-222.
- Chen, H., Xiang, T., Zhou, X. and C.-Y. Xu. 2012. Impacts of climate change on the Qingjiang Watershed's runoff change trend in China. Stochastic environmental research and risk assessment, **26**: 847-858.
- Chen, J. and A.K. Gupta. 2012. Parametric Statistical Change Point Analysis: With Applications to Genetics, Medicine, and Finance. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, Massachusetts, USA.
- Chen, Y. and Z. Xu. 2005. Plausible impact of global climate change on water resources in the Tarim River Basin.

Science in China Series D: Earth Sciences, 48: 65-73.

- Durdu, Ö.F. 2010. Effects of climate change on water resources of the Büyük Menderes river basin, western Turkey. Turkish Journal of Agriculture and Forestry, **34**: 319-332.
- Dügel, M. and N. Kazanci. 2004. Assessment of water quality of the Büyük Menderes River (Turkey) by using ordination and classification of macroinvertebrates and environmental variables. Journal of Freshwater Ecology, **19**: 605-612.
- Ejder, T., Kale, S., Acar, S., Hisar, O. and F. Mutlu. 2016a. Effects of Climate Change on Annual Streamflow of Kocabaş Stream (Çanakkale, Turkey). Journal of Scientific Research and Reports, **11**: 1-11.
- Ejder, T., Kale, S., Acar, S., Hisar, O. and F. Mutlu. 2016b. Restricted effects of climate change on annual streamflow of Sarıçay stream (Çanakkale, Turkey). Marine Science and Technology Bulletin, 5(1): 7-11.
- Fealy, R. and J. Sweeney. 2005. Detection of a possible change point in atmospheric variability in the North Atlantic and its effect on Scandinavian glacier mass balance. International Journal of Climatology, 25: 1819-1833.
- Fu, G., Barber, M.E. and S. Chen. 2007a. Impacts of Climate Change on Regional Hydrological Regimes in the Spokane River Watershed. Journal of Hydrologic Engineering, 12: 452-461.
- Fu, G., Charles, S.P. and F.H. Chiew. 2007b. A two-parameter climate elasticity of streamflow index to assess climate change effects on annual streamflow. Water Resources Research, 43 (W11419): 1-12.
- Fu, G. and C. Liu. 1991. Impacts of Global Warming on Regional Hydrological Regime—a Case Study on Wanquanhe Basin. ACTA Geographical Sinica, 46: 277-289.
- Gao, P., Mu, X.M., Wang, F. and R. Li. 2011. Changes in streamflow and sediment discharge and the response to human activities in the middle reaches of the Yellow River. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 15: 1-10.
- Guo, S., Wang, J., Xiong, L., Ying, A. and D. Li. 2002. A macroscale and semi-distributed monthly water balance model to predict climate change impacts in China. Journal of Hydrology, 268: 1-15.
- Herawati, H., Suripin and Suharyanto. 2015. Impact of Climate Change on Streamflow in the Tropical Lowland of Kapuas River, West Borneo, Indonesia. Procedia Engineering, 125: 185-192.
- Huo, Z., Feng, S., Kang, S., Li, W. and S. Chen. 2008. Effect of climate changes and water-related human activities on annual stream flows of the Shiyang River basin in arid north-west China. Hydrological Processes, 22: 3155-3167.
- Islam, A., Sikka, A.K., Saha, B. and A. Singh. 2012. Streamflow Response to Climate Change in the Brahmani River Basin, India. Water Resources Management, 26: 1409-1424.
- Jackson, C.R., Meister, R. and C. Prudhomme. 2011. Modelling the effects of climate change and its uncertainty on UK Chalk groundwater resources from an ensemble of global climate model projections. Journal of Hydrology, **399**: 12-28.
- Kaçan, E., Ülkü, G. and F. Turan. 2007. Total pollution load discharged to creeks and River Buyuk Menderes near Denizli City. International Congress on River Basin Management, 2007 Antalya, TURKEY. General Directorate of State Hydraulic Works, pp. 54-63.
- Kahya, E. and S. Kalaycı. 2004. Trend analysis of streamflow in Turkey. Journal of Hydrology, 289: 128-144.
- Kendall, M.G. 1955. Rank Correlation Methods. Hafner Publishing Co., New York.
- Koçman, A. and S. Sütgibi. 2012. Hydrograpic/hydrologic

characteristics of Gediz river basin in the context of the environmental components - problems and suggestions. Eastern Geographical Review, **28**: 155-174.

- Levin, N. 2011. Climate-driven changes in tropical cyclone intensity shape dune activity on Earth's largest sand island. Geomorphology, **125**: 239-252.
- Li, Y., Cai, W. and E. Campbell. 2005. Statistical modeling of extreme rainfall in southwest Western Australia. Journal of Climate, 18: 852-863.
- Liu, Z., Xu, Z., Huang, J., Charles, S.P. and G. Fu. 2010. Impacts of climate change on hydrological processes in the headwater catchment of the Tarim River basin, China. Hydrological Processes, 24: 196-208.
- Mann, H.B. 1945. Nonparametric Tests Against Trend. Econometrica, 13: 245-259.
- Marengo, J.A. and C.C. Camargo. 2008. Surface air temperature trends in Southern Brazil for 1960-2002. International Journal of Climatology, **28**: 893-904.
- Mitchell, J.M., Dzerdzeevskii, B., Flohn, H., Hofmeyr, W.L., Lamb, H.H., Rao, K.N. and C.C. Walléen. 1966. Climatic Change: Report of a working group of the Commission for Climatology. World Meteorological Organization. Commission for Climatology, WMO No. 195. Technical Note No. 79. 79p.
- Mu, X., Zhang, L., McVicar, T.R., Chille, B. and P. Gau. 2007. Analysis of the impact of conservation measures on stream flow regime in catchments of the Loess Plateau, China. Hydrological Processes, 21: 2124-2134.
- Ozkul, S. 2009. Assessment of climate change effects in Aegean river basins: the case of Gediz and Buyuk Menderes Basins. Climatic change, **97**: 253-283.
- Ozkul, S., Fistikoglu, O. and N. Harmancioglu. 2008. Evaluation of climate change effects on water resources in the case of Gediz and Büyük Menderes river basins. TMMOB 2. Su Politikaları Kongresi, 2008 Ankara, TURKEY. pp. 309-322.
- Pettitt, A.N. 1979. A Non-Parametric Approach to the Change-Point Problem. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series C (Applied Statistics), **28**: 126-135.
- Pumo, D., Caracciolo, D., Viola, F. and L.V. Noto. 2016. Climate change effects on the hydrological regime of small nonperennial river basins. Science of The Total Environment, 542, Part A: 76-92.
- Radziejewski, M., Bardossy, A. and Z.W. Kundzewicz. 2000. Detection of change in river flow using phase randomization. Hydrological sciences journal, **45**: 547-558.
- Salarijazi, M., Akhond-Ali, A.-M., Adib, A. and A. Daneshkhah. 2012. Trend and change-point detection for the annual stream-flow series of the Karun River at the Ahvaz hydrometric station. African Journal of Agricultural Research, 7: 4540-4552.
- Tomé, A. and P. Miranda. 2004. Piecewise linear fitting and trend changing points of climate parameters. Geophysical Research Letters, **31 (L02207)**: 1-4.
- Tomozeiu, R., Busuioc, A., Marletto, V., Zinoni, F. and C. Cacciamani. 2000. Detection of changes in the summer precipitation time series of the region Emilia-Romagna, Italy. Theoretical and Applied Climatology, 67: 193-200.
- Türkeş, M. and Z. Acar Deniz. 2011. Climatology of South Marmara Division (North West Anatolia) and observed variations and trends. International Journal of Human Sciences, 8: 1579-1600.
- Villarini, G., Smith, J.A., Serinaldi, F. and A.A. Ntelekos. 2011. Analyses of seasonal and annual maximum daily discharge

records for central Europe. Journal of Hydrology, **399**: 299-312.

- Walling, D. and D. Fang, 2003. Recent trends in the suspended sediment loads of the world's rivers. Global and Planetary Change, 39: 111-126.
- Xu, H., Taylor, R. and Y. Xu. 2011. Quantifying uncertainty in the impacts of climate change on river discharge in subcatchments of the Yangtze and Yellow River Basins, China. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 15: 333-344.
- Yang, Z. and Y. Saito. 2003. Response of the Huanghe (Yellow River) delta evolution to the human activities and precipitation changes. Geophysic Research Abstracts. pp. 9143.
- Yercan, M., Dorsan, F. and M. UI. 2004. Comparative analysis of performance criteria in irrigation schemes: a case study of Gediz river basin in Turkey. Agricultural water management, 66: 259-266.
- Zhang, L., Lu, W., Yang, Q., An, Y., Li, D. and L. Gong. 2012. Hydrological impacts of climate change on streamflow of Dongliao River watershed in Jilin Province, China. Chinese Geographical Science, 22: 522-530.
- Zhou, Y., Shi, C., Fan, X. and W. Shao. 2015. The influence of climate change and anthropogenic activities on annual runoff of Huangfuchuan basin in northwest China. Theoretical and Applied Climatology, **120**: 137-146.