



Existence of Positive Periodic Solutions of First Order Neutral Differential Equations

Tuncay Candan¹

¹College of Engineering and Technology, American University of the Middle East, Kuwait

Abstract

In this paper, we consider two classes of first order neutral nonlinear differential equations and we give some new sufficient conditions for the existence of positive periodic solutions of these equations by using the Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem. An illustrative example is provided to support the theory developed in this study.

Keywords: Fixed point, Neutral equations, Positive periodic solution, First-order.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 34C25.

1. Introduction

In the present work, we provide new sufficient conditions for the existence of positive ω -periodic solutions of the following first-order neutral differential equations

$$\left[r(t) \left[x(t) - \sum_{i=1}^m c_i(t) x(t - \tau_i(t)) \right] \right]' = -q(t)x(t) + \sum_{j=1}^n f_j(t, x(t - \sigma_j(t))) \quad (1.1)$$

and

$$\left[r(t) \left[x(t) - \sum_{i=1}^m c_i(t) \int_{-\infty}^0 P(\xi) x(t + h_i(\xi)) d\xi \right] \right]' = -q(t)x(t) + \sum_{j=1}^n b_j(t) \int_{-\infty}^0 P(\xi) f_j(t, x(t + g_j(\xi))) d\xi, \quad (1.2)$$

where $c_i, \tau_i, b_j, \sigma_j \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ are ω -periodic functions ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m; j = 1, 2, \dots, n$), $r \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ with $r(t) > 0$, $q \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ with $q(t) > 0$, r, q are ω -periodic functions, $f_j \in C(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, f_j is ω -periodic in t . In addition, $h_i, g_j \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m; j = 1, 2, \dots, n$), $P \in C(\mathbb{R}, [0, \infty))$ with $\int_{-\infty}^0 P(\xi) d\xi = 1$.

In recent years, there has been a rapid growth of interest in the existence of positive periodic solutions of first-order neutral differential equations which appear in the control models, blood cell production models and population models. We also refer the reader to the papers [5, 7, 8] for applications of nonlinear neutral differential equations in mathematical, theoretical, and chemical physics. Existence of positive periodic solutions of the following neutral differential equations

$$\frac{d}{dt} [x(t) - cx(t - \tau(t))] = -a(t)x(t) + f(t, x(t - \tau(t))) \quad (1.3)$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[x(t) - c \int_{-\infty}^0 Q(r)x(t+r)dr \right] = -a(t)x(t) + b(t) \int_{-\infty}^0 Q(r)f(t, x(t+r))dr, \quad (1.4)$$

where $0 \leq c < 1$ and $-1 < c < 0$, and

$$[g(t)(x(t) + c(t)x(t - \tau(t)))]' = -a(t)x(t) + f(t, x(t - \tau(t))), \quad (1.5)$$

and

$$\left[g(t)(x(t) + c(t) \int_{-\infty}^0 Q(r)x(t+h(r))dr) \right]' = -a(t)x(t) + b(t) \int_{-\infty}^0 Q(r)f(t, x(t+h(r)))dr, \quad (1.6)$$

where $-c_1 \leq c(t) \leq c_2$ for $c_1, c_2 \geq 0$ with $c_1 + c_2 < 1$, were investigated in [11] and [10], respectively. As we see from the above, equations (1.3) and (1.5) are special forms of equation (1.1), and equations (1.4) and (1.6) are special forms of equation (1.2). Consequently, the results presented in this paper generalize the main results in [11] and [10]. We refer to [2, 3, 4, 6, 9, 12, 13] and references therein for recent studies of positive periodic solutions of neutral differential equations.

The following fixed point theorem will be used in proofs.

Lemma 1.1. (Krasnoselskii's Fixed Point Theorem [1] p.8). *Let X be a Banach space, let Ω be a bounded closed and convex subset of X and, let T, S be maps of Ω into X such that $Tx + Sy \in \Omega$ for every pair $x, y \in \Omega$. If T is a contractive and S is completely continuous, then the equation*

$$Tx + Sx = x$$

has a solution in Ω .

2. Main Results

Let $\Phi = \{x(t) : x(t) \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), x(t + \omega) = x(t), t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ with the sup norm $\|x\| = \sup_{t \in [0, \omega]} |x(t)|$. It is clear that Φ is a Banach space. Let $r_0 = \min_{t \in [0, \omega]} r(t)$ and $r_1 = \max_{t \in [0, \omega]} r(t)$.

Theorem 2.1. *Assume that $c_i(t) \geq 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \sum_{i=1}^m c_i(t) \leq c < 1$ and there exist positive constants M_1 and M_2 with $0 < M_1 < M_2$ such that*

$$r_1 M_1 \leq r(t) \left[\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n f_j(t, x_j)}{q(t)} - \sum_{i=1}^m c_i(t) y_i \right] \leq r_0 (1 - c) M_2, \quad (2.1)$$

$\forall t \in [0, \omega]$ and $\forall x_j, y_i \in [M_1, M_2], (j = 1, 2, \dots, n; i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$. Then (1.1) has at least one positive ω -periodic solution $x(t) \in [M_1, M_2]$.

Proof. We note that finding an ω -periodic solution of (1.1) is equivalent to finding an ω -periodic solution of the integral equation

$$x(t) = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i(t) x(t - \tau_i(t)) + \frac{1}{r(t)} \int_t^{t+\omega} G(t, s) \left[\sum_{j=1}^n f_j(s, x(s - \sigma_j(s))) - q(s) \sum_{i=1}^m c_i(s) x(s - \tau_i(s)) \right] ds,$$

where

$$G(t, s) = \frac{\exp(\int_t^s \frac{q(u)}{r(u)} du)}{\exp(\int_0^\omega \frac{q(u)}{r(u)} du) - 1}.$$

Let $\Omega = \{x \in \Phi : M_1 \leq x(t) \leq M_2, t \in [0, \omega]\}$. It can be seen that Ω is a bounded, closed and convex subset of Φ . Define two operators $T, S : \Omega \rightarrow \Phi$ as follows

$$(Tx)(t) = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i(t) x(t - \tau_i(t)) \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$(Sx)(t) = \frac{1}{r(t)} \int_t^{t+\omega} G(t, s) \left[\sum_{j=1}^n f_j(s, x(s - \sigma_j(s))) - q(s) \sum_{i=1}^m c_i(s) x(s - \tau_i(s)) \right] ds. \quad (2.3)$$

For any $x \in \Omega$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, it follows from (2.2) and (2.3) that

$$(Tx)(t + \omega) = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i(t + \omega) x(t + \omega - \tau_i(t + \omega)) = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i(t) x(t - \tau_i(t)) = (Tx)(t)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (Sx)(t + \omega) &= \frac{1}{r(t + \omega)} \int_{t+\omega}^{t+2\omega} G(t + \omega, s) \left[\sum_{j=1}^n f_j(s, x(s - \sigma_j(s))) - q(s) \sum_{i=1}^m c_i(s) x(s - \tau_i(s)) \right] ds \\ &= \frac{1}{r(t + \omega)} \int_t^{t+\omega} G(t + \omega, v + \omega) \left[\sum_{j=1}^n f_j(v + \omega, x(v + \omega - \sigma_j(v + \omega))) - q(v + \omega) \sum_{i=1}^m c_i(v + \omega) x(v + \omega - \tau_i(v + \omega)) \right] dv \\ &= \frac{1}{r(t)} \int_t^{t+\omega} G(t, v) \left[\sum_{j=1}^n f_j(v, x(v - \sigma_j(v))) - q(v) \sum_{i=1}^m c_i(v) x(v - \tau_i(v)) \right] dv \\ &= (Sx)(t) \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $T(\Omega) \subset \Phi$ and $S(\Omega) \subset \Phi$. Now, we show that $Tx + Sy \in \Omega$ for all $x, y \in \Omega$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. By using (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (Tx)(t) + (Sy)(t) &= \sum_{i=1}^m c_i(t)x(t - \tau_i(t)) + \frac{1}{r(t)} \int_t^{t+\omega} G(t,s) \left[\sum_{j=1}^n f_j(s,y(s - \sigma_j(s))) - q(s) \sum_{i=1}^m c_i(s)y(s - \tau_i(s)) \right] ds \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m c_i(t)M_2 + \frac{1}{r_0} \int_t^{t+\omega} G(t,s)q(s) \left[\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n f_j(s,y(s - \sigma_j(s)))}{q(s)} - \sum_{i=1}^m c_i(s)y(s - \tau_i(s)) \right] ds \\ &\leq cM_2 + (1 - c)M_2 \int_t^{t+\omega} G(t,s) \frac{q(s)}{r(s)} ds = M_2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (Tx)(t) + (Sy)(t) &= \sum_{i=1}^m c_i(t)x(t - \tau_i(t)) + \frac{1}{r(t)} \int_t^{t+\omega} G(t,s) \left[\sum_{j=1}^n f_j(s,y(s - \sigma_j(s))) - q(s) \sum_{i=1}^m c_i(s)y(s - \tau_i(s)) \right] ds \\ &\geq \frac{1}{r_1} \int_t^{t+\omega} G(t,s)q(s) \left[\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n f_j(s,y(s - \sigma_j(s)))}{q(s)} - \sum_{i=1}^m c_i(s)y(s - \tau_i(s)) \right] ds \\ &\geq M_1 \int_t^{t+\omega} G(t,s) \frac{q(s)}{r(s)} ds = M_1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $Tx + Sy \in \Omega$, for all $x, y \in \Omega$.

Next, we show that T is a contraction mapping. For $x, y \in \Omega$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(Tx)(t) - (Ty)(t)| &= \left| \sum_{i=1}^m c_i(t)x(t - \tau_i(t)) - \sum_{i=1}^m c_i(t)y(t - \tau_i(t)) \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{i=1}^m c_i(t) \left[x(t - \tau_i(t)) - y(t - \tau_i(t)) \right] \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m c_i(t) \left| x(t - \tau_i(t)) - y(t - \tau_i(t)) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

By taking the sup norm of both sides, we see that

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq c\|x - y\|.$$

Since $c < 1$, T is a contraction mapping.

Finally, we show that S is completely continuous. First, we shall show that S is continuous. Let $\{x_k\} \in \Omega$ be a convergent sequence of functions such that $x_k(t) \rightarrow x(t)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Since Ω is closed, $x \in \Omega$. For $t \in [0, \omega]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(Sx_k)(t) - (Sx)(t)| &= \left| \frac{1}{r(t)} \int_t^{t+\omega} G(t,s) \left[\sum_{j=1}^n f_j(s,x_k(s - \sigma_j(s))) - q(s) \sum_{i=1}^m c_i(s)x_k(s - \tau_i(s)) \right] ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{r(t)} \int_t^{t+\omega} G(t,s) \left[\sum_{j=1}^n f_j(s,x(s - \sigma_j(s))) - q(s) \sum_{i=1}^m c_i(s)x(s - \tau_i(s)) \right] ds \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{r_0} \int_t^{t+\omega} G(t,s) \sum_{j=1}^n |f_j(s,x_k(s - \sigma_j(s))) - f_j(s,x(s - \sigma_j(s)))| ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{r_0} \int_t^{t+\omega} G(t,s)q(s) \sum_{i=1}^m \left| c_i(s) |x_k(s - \tau_i(s)) - x(s - \tau_i(s)) \right| ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|f_j(t, x_k(t - \sigma_j(t))) - f_j(t, x(t - \sigma_j(t)))| \rightarrow 0, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and $|x_k(t - \tau_i(t)) - x(t - \tau_i(t))| \rightarrow 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$, it follows from the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|(Sx_k) - (Sx)\| = 0$$

and therefore S is continuous. Second, we prove that $S\Omega$ is relatively compact. It suffices to show that the family of functions $\{Sx : x \in \Omega\}$ is uniformly bounded and equicontinuous on $[0, \omega]$. From (2.3), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} |(Sx)(t)| &= \left| \frac{1}{r(t)} \int_t^{t+\omega} G(t,s) \left[\sum_{j=1}^n f_j(s,x(s - \sigma_j(s))) - q(s) \sum_{i=1}^m c_i(s)x(s - \tau_i(s)) \right] ds \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{r_0} \int_t^{t+\omega} G(t,s)q(s) \left[\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n f_j(s,x(s - \sigma_j(s)))}{q(s)} - \sum_{i=1}^m c_i(s)x(s - \tau_i(s)) \right] ds \\ &\leq (1 - c)M_2 \int_t^{t+\omega} G(t,s) \frac{q(s)}{r(s)} ds = (1 - c)M_2 \end{aligned}$$

and it follows that

$$\|Sx\| \leq (1 - c)M_2.$$

On the other hand, using (2.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |(Sx)'(t)| &= \left| \frac{-q(t)x(t) + \sum_{j=1}^n f_j(t, x(t - \sigma_j(t))) - r'(t)(Sx)(t)}{r(t)} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{r_0} \left[|q(t)||x(t)| + \sum_{j=1}^n |f_j(t, x(t - \sigma_j(t)))| + |r'(t)||Sx(t)| \right] \\ &\leq \frac{M_2}{r_0} \left[2\|q\| + (1-c)\|r'\| \right], \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $\{Sx : x \in \Omega\}$ is uniformly bounded and equicontinuous on $[0, \omega]$. Therefore $S\Omega$ is relatively compact. By Lemma 1.1, there is an $x \in \Omega$ such that $Tx + Sx = x$. Hence, $x(t)$ is a positive ω -periodic solution of (1.1). This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 2.2. Assume that $c_i(t) \leq 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, $-1 < c \leq \sum_{i=1}^m c_i(t)$, $-r_1c < r_0$ and there exist positive constants M_1 and M_2 with $0 < M_1 < M_2$ such that

$$r_1(M_1 - cM_2) \leq r(t) \left[\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n f_j(t, x_j)}{q(t)} - \sum_{i=1}^m c_i(t)y_i \right] \leq r_0M_2,$$

$\forall t \in [0, \omega]$ and $\forall x_j, y_i \in [M_1, M_2]$, ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n; i = 1, 2, \dots, m$). Then (1.1) has at least one positive ω -periodic solution $x(t) \in [M_1, M_2]$.

Theorem 2.3. Assume that $c_i(t) \geq 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, $\sum_{i=1}^m c_i(t) \leq c < 1$ and there exist positive constants M_1 and M_2 with $0 < M_1 < M_2$ such that

$$r_1M_1 \leq r(t) \left[\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n b_j(t)f_j(t, x_j)}{q(t)} - \sum_{i=1}^m c_i(t)y_i \right] \leq r_0(1-c)M_2,$$

$\forall t \in [0, \omega]$ and $\forall x_j, y_i \in [M_1, M_2]$, ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n; i = 1, 2, \dots, m$). Then (1.2) has at least one positive ω -periodic solution $x(t) \in [M_1, M_2]$.

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 2.1, it is clear that finding an ω -periodic solution of (1.2) is equivalent to finding an ω -periodic solution of the integral equation

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= \sum_{i=1}^m c_i(t) \int_{-\infty}^0 P(\xi)x(t + h_i(\xi))d\xi \\ &+ \frac{1}{r(t)} \int_t^{t+\omega} G(t, s) \left[\sum_{j=1}^n b_j(s) \int_{-\infty}^0 P(\xi)f_j(s, x(s + g_j(\xi)))d\xi - q(s) \sum_{i=1}^m c_i(s) \int_{-\infty}^0 P(\xi)x(s + h_i(\xi))d\xi \right] ds. \end{aligned}$$

We define Ω as in the proof of Theorem 2.1 and T , S and $G(t, s)$ as in the following, respectively,

$$(Tx)(t) = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i(t) \int_{-\infty}^0 P(\xi)x(t + h_i(\xi))d\xi$$

and

$$(Sx)(t) = \frac{1}{r(t)} \int_t^{t+\omega} G(t, s) \left[\sum_{j=1}^n b_j(s) \int_{-\infty}^0 P(\xi)f_j(s, x(s + g_j(\xi)))d\xi - q(s) \sum_{i=1}^m c_i(s) \int_{-\infty}^0 P(\xi)x(s + h_i(\xi))d\xi \right] ds,$$

where

$$G(t, s) = \frac{\exp(\int_t^s \frac{q(u)}{r(u)} du)}{\exp(\int_0^\omega \frac{q(u)}{r(u)} du) - 1}.$$

The remaining part of the proof follows the same lines as in the proof of Theorem 2.1. \square

Theorem 2.4. Assume that $c_i(t) \leq 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, $-1 < c \leq \sum_{i=1}^m c_i(t)$, $-r_1c < r_0$ and there exist positive constants M_1 and M_2 with $0 < M_1 < M_2$ such that

$$r_1(M_1 - cM_2) \leq r(t) \left[\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n b_j(t)f_j(t, x_j)}{q(t)} - \sum_{i=1}^m c_i(t)y_i \right] \leq r_0M_2,$$

$\forall t \in [0, \omega]$ and $\forall x_j, y_i \in [M_1, M_2]$, ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n; i = 1, 2, \dots, m$). Then (1.2) has at least one positive ω -periodic solution $x(t) \in [M_1, M_2]$.

Example 2.5. Consider the first-order neutral differential equation

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[\left(1 + \frac{\cos t}{10} \right) \left[x(t) - \frac{e^{\cos t}}{100} x(t - e^{\sin t}) - \frac{e^{\sin t}}{100} x(t + e^{\cos t}) \right] \right]' = \\ &- \left(1 + \frac{\sin t}{10} \right) x(t) + 20 + e^{\sin t} + \sin(x(t - e^{\sin t})) + 10 + e^{\cos t} - \cos(x(t + e^{\cos t})). \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Note that (2.4) is of the form (1.1) with $m = n = 2$, $\omega = 2\pi$, $r(t) = 1 + \frac{\cos t}{10}$, $c_1(t) = \frac{e^{\cos t}}{100}$, $c_2(t) = \frac{e^{\sin t}}{100}$, $q(t) = 1 + \frac{\sin t}{10}$, $f_1(t, x) = 20 + e^{\sin t} + \sin(x)$, $f_2(t, x) = 10 + e^{\cos t} - \cos(x)$, $\tau_1(t) = \sigma_1(t) = e^{\sin t}$, $\tau_2(t) = \sigma_2(t) = -e^{\cos t}$. It is easy to verify that the conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied with $M_1 = 15$ and $M_2 = 60$. Thus (2.4) has at least one positive 2π -periodic solution.

Article Information

Acknowledgements: The authors would like to express their sincere thanks to the editor and the anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments and suggestions.

Author's contributions: All authors contributed equally to the writing of this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflict of Interest Disclosure: No potential conflict of interest was declared by the author.

Copyright Statement: Authors own the copyright of their work published in the journal and their work is published under the CC BY-NC 4.0 license.

Supporting/Supporting Organizations: No grants were received from any public, private or non-profit organizations for this research.

Ethical Approval and Participant Consent: It is declared that during the preparation process of this study, scientific and ethical principles were followed and all the studies benefited from are stated in the bibliography.

Plagiarism Statement: This article was scanned by the plagiarism program. No plagiarism detected.

Availability of data and materials: Not applicable.

References

- [1] R. P. Agarwal, M. Bohner and W.-T. Li, *Nonoscillation and Oscillation: Theory for Functional Differential Equations*, Marcel Dekker, 2004.
- [2] T. Candan, Existence of positive periodic solutions of first order neutral differential equations with variable coefficients, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 52 (2016), 142-148.
- [3] T. Candan, Existence of positive periodic solutions of first order neutral differential equations, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 40(1) (2017), 205-209.
- [4] T. Candan, Existence of positive periodic solution of second-order neutral differential equations, *Turkish J. Math.*, 42(3) (2018), 797-806.
- [5] J. Džurina, Said R. Grace, Irena Jadlovská and Tongxing Li, Oscillation criteria for second-order Emden-Fowler delay differential equations with a sublinear neutral term, *Math. Nachr.*, 293(5) (2020), 910-922.
- [6] J. R. Graef and L. Kong, Periodic solutions of first order functional differential equations, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 24 (2011), 1981-1985.
- [7] Tongxing Li and Yuriy V. Rogovchenko, Oscillation criteria for second-order superlinear Emden-Fowler neutral differential equations, *Monatsh. Math.*, 184(3) (2017), 489-500.
- [8] Tongxing Li and Yuriy V. Rogovchenko, On the asymptotic behavior of solutions to a class of third-order nonlinear neutral differential equations, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 105 (2020), Art. 106293.
- [9] Z. Li and X. Wang, Existence of positive periodic solutions for neutral functional differential equations, *Electron. J. Differential Equations.*, 34 (2006), 8 pp.
- [10] Z. Liu, X. Li, S. M. Kang and Y. C. Kwun, Positive periodic solutions for first-order neutral functional differential equations with periodic delays, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, (2012), 185692, 12 pp.
- [11] Y. Luo, W. Wang and J. Shen, Existence of positive periodic solutions for two kinds of neutral functional differential equations, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 21 (2008), 581-587.
- [12] M. B. Mesmouli, A. Ardjouni and A. Djoudi, Positive periodic solutions for first-order nonlinear neutral functional differential equations with periodic delay, *Transylv. J. Math. Mech.*, 6 (2014), 151-162.
- [13] L. Yao, Global Exponential Convergence of Neutral Type Shunting Inhibitory Cellular Neural Networks with D Operator, *Neural Processing Letters*, 45 (2017), 401-409.