

Current Perspectives on Medicinal and Aromatic Plants



An International Journal ISSN: 2619-9645 | e-ISSN: 2667-5722

Qualitative and Quantitative Phytochemical Screening and Free Radical Scavenging Activity of Different Parts of *Rubus ellipticus* Sm.



¹ School of Health and Allied Science, Pokhara University P.O. Box 427, Pokhara-30, Kaski, Nepal;
 ² Department of Pharmacy, Kyungpook National University Daegu, South Korea
 ³ Department of Business Development, Kaplan Business School, 28 Bridge Street, Sydney, Australia
 *Corresponding author: aagyan2018@knu.ac.kr, ORCID ID: 0000-0003-1053-202X
 nirmalajk@hotmail.com, ORCID ID: 0000-0003-2239-0612

http://doi.org/1038093/cupmap.1194739

Received: 26/10/2022 Accepted: 29/12/2022

Abstract

Free radicals are molecules with reactive unpaired electrons which are produced during cell metabolism and create the oxidative stress inside tissue resulting in tissue damage. The aim of the present study was qualitative and quantitative phytochemical screening, determination of total phenolic and total flavonoid content, and free radical scavenging activity of different parts of *Rubus ellipticus* Sm. plant. Root, stem, and leaves of *Rubus ellipticus* Sm. were collected from Annapurna Rural Municipality, Kaski, Western Nepal. Ethyl acetate and ethanol extracts of the plant parts were obtained by subsequent maceration process. The phytochemical screening of most of the extract showed the presence of phenols, carbohydrates, flavonoids, and glycosides. The ethanolic extract of stem showed the higher phenolic content with the value of 343.75 \pm 2.21 µg GAE/mg. Ethanolic extract of stem had the highest amount of flavonoid content (1563.17 \pm 10.79 µg QE/mg of extract), whereas all the ethyl acetate extracts of root, leaves and stem showed comparable flavonoid content. Ethanolic extracts of leaves showed potent DPPH free radical scavenging activity with IC50 value of 5.03µg/ml while ethyl acetate extract of stem showed the maximum free radical scavenging properties. The result depicted that the ethanolic extract of *Rubus ellipticus* Sm. showed the potent antioxidant activity by scavenging free radicals.

Keywords: Antioxidant, Ethnomedicine, Free Radical Scavenging, Phytochemicals, Rubus ellipticus Sm.

© CUPMAP. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Free radicals are molecules with one or more unpaired electrons (superoxide, hydroxyl, peroxyl) that are produced during cell metabolism are very reactive (Halliwell. 1995). Among different free radicals generated inside the cell during metabolic process, Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) are most profound oxygen free radicals (Deweirdt et al., 2017; Valko et al., 2007). Because free radicals have a strong oxidizing functional group (Baliyan et al., 2022), they can create the oxidative stress inside tissue resulting in tissue damage (Erdemoglu et al., 2006). ROS are mainly generated into the mitochondria, endoplasmic reticulum, cytosol and plasma membrane of the cell (Polidori & Mecocci. 2022; Sies & Cadenas. 1985). Meanwhile there are some exogenous factors inducing ROS production such as; exposure to radiations, xenobiotic, tobacco etc. (Brown & Borutaite. 2012; Deweirdt et al., 2017). These ROS can cause oxidative damage of lipids, proteins, RNA, DNA, and many small molecules in cells (Halliwell & Gutteridge. 2015). Although the human body produces natural antioxidants to combat those free radicals, but oxidative stress and aging upsurge the production of free radicals in a way necessitating the use of exogenous antioxidants (Indradi et al., 2017).

Antioxidants are the compounds the protect the cells from ROS by donating electrons (Choudhary. 2015; Surabhi & Leelavathi. 2010), prevent the formation of free radical, scavenge, suppress or forms chelates with free radical, repair and eliminate damaged molecules (Cesquini et al., 2003; Gutteridge. 1994; Maxwell. 1995; Raj et al.). Antioxidants produced by the body are crucial for the preservation of healthy cellular processes. The three most effective enzymatic are superoxide dismutase, antioxidants catalase, and glutathione peroxidase. Nonenzymatic antioxidants encompass vitamins E and C. thiol. melatonin. carotenoids. natural flavonoids. and other substances. The standard antioxidant compounds derived from plant sources include vitamin C, vitamin E, carotene, quercetin, and tocopherol. plant-derived antioxidants Various are effective free radical scavengers that are utilized as a nutraceuticals in combination to treat a variety of diseases (Indradi et al., 2017; Raj et al.)

Oxidative stress is caused by an imbalance between antioxidants and reactive oxygen species, which leads to cellular damage, cancer, aging, ischemic injury, inflammation, and neurodegenerative diseases (Erdemoglu et al., 2006; Surabhi & Leelavathi. 2010). Due to the low toxicity and side effect, most of the plants has been using as a natural antioxidant to cure different diseases condition (Baral et al., 2021). The revival of interest in plant derived drugs is mainly due to the current widespread belief that "green medicine" is safe and more dependable than the synthetic drugs, many of which have adverse side effects (Jigna et al., 2005). Plants are used medicinally in various countries and are the source of numerous potent and powerful drugs (Srivastava et al., 1996). A wide variety of medicinal plant parts such as root, stem, flower, fruit, and some other parts are being used as raw drugs having varied medicinal properties. Some community and folk healers collect a small quantity of plants for local use, while others collect a large amount and supply to the herbal industries (Atanassova et al., 2011; Sharma and Kumar. 2011; Unival et al., 2006). Plants produce diverse types of bioactive molecules making them a rich source of different types of medicines. This revival of worldwide interest in medicinal plants reflects recognition of the validity of many traditional claims regarding the value of natural products in health care (Bagewadi et al., 2014). The most important bioactive component of the plants are alkaloids, tannins, flavonoids, and phenolic compound. About 85% of the rural population of Nepal are said to use herbal remedies which is mainly due to the indigenous beliefs and lack of alternatives in rural areas. Acquaintance with different ethnic groups contributes to the development and research on natural products which as a result increases the knowledge about the close relationship between the chemical structure of a compound and its biological properties (Atanassova et al., 2011; Kunwar & Bussmann. 2008).

Natural species of the Rubus genus are not only a source of food, but they are also used as medicine (Sharma & Kumar. 2011). Rubus species are said to be the world's best-known infusion herbarium (Rojas-Vera et al., 2002). According to ethnomedicine, the leaves and fruit of *R. ellipticus* are used to treat bronchitis, nausea, ulcer, antimicrobial, diabetes, and as a carminative and tonic (Subba et al., 2019; Vadivelan et al., 2009). The fruit extract of *R. ellipticus* has been shown not to be cytotoxic to normal cells, but rather to have a stimulatory effect on their proliferation, with cervical cancerous cells being particularly sensitive (Saini et al., 2014).

R. ellipticus root paste has been reported to be used as a poultice for bone fracture, severe headache, colic pain (Castleman. 1991; Patel et al., 2004). The root bark is also used in diarrhea, dysentery, as abortifacient, emmenagogue and in fractured bones (Kirtikar et al., 2001). It has been reported that its shoot was chewed to relieve stomach upset and that a root decoction was given to warm the stomach (Bhakuni et al., 1987; Yadav et al., 2011). In traditional Tibetan medicine, the inner bark of the *R. ellipticus* plant is prized for its therapeutic properties, which include uses as an anti-diuretic and renal tonic (Pfoze et al., 2012) . The juice of *R. ellipticus*, which has an appealing color and flavor, can be maintained in its natural form and is also useful for preparing squash and edible jam (Vadivelan et al., 2009).

This study was conducted to discover the scientific evidence of *R. ellipticus* using ethnomedicinal uses as a guide. The primary goal of this study is to perform and compare the phytochemical screening, total phenolic and total flavonoid content, and free radical scavenging activity of different parts of *R. ellipticus* Sm.

Scientific Name	Family	Local Name	Parts Used	Crude Drugs Voucher Number	Sample Number
			Root	PUCD-2020-CR16	CR16
<i>Rubus ellipticus</i> Sm.	Rosaceae	Ainselu (Himalayan Raspberry)	Stem	PUCD-2020-CR17	CR17
			Leaves	PUCD-2020-CR18	CR18

Table 1. List of the parts of plant with their voucher number

Material and Methods Chemicals and Reagents

1,1 Diphenyl-2 Picryl Hydrazyl Radical (DPPH) was purchased from Tokyo Chemical Industry Co. Ltd., Japan. Benedict's reagent, sodium hydroxide, sodium nitrite, sodium carbonate, ethanol, lead acetate, ammonium hvdroxide. sodium hydroxide pellets. mercuric chloride, ferric chloride, and hydrochloric acid were supplied from Thermo Fisher Scientific, Pvt. Ltd. India. Lascorbic acid was purchased from Himedia Laboratory, India. Qalinges Fine Chemicals supplied the sulphuric acid while Ethyl acetate, copper sulphate pentahydrate pure, sodium anhydrous pure, and 1-napthol were purchased from Merck Specialties Pvt. Ltd., Germany.

2.2. Collection and Identification of Plant Material

The experimental plant parts (listed in Table 1) were collected (July 2019) from the Annapurna Rural Municipality in Kaski, Western Nepal, at an elevation of 4528 feet above sea level. Plant parts were collected and identified at the National Herbarium Kathmandu, (voucher specimen no. PUH-2022-08) while crude samples were stored at the Pharmacognosy Laboratory of Pokhara University's School of Health and Allied Sciences. The collected parts of the plant were chopped properly and subjected to shed drying. Hot air oven (40°C) was used to remove the moisture in the sample which was regularly monitored by weight variation test at various time interval. After complete

drying, it was finely powdered using a grinder.

2.3. Preparation of Plant Extract

The crude drug was extracted using successive maceration, as described by Pandey and Tripathi (2014) with minor modifications. Ethyl acetate and ethanol were selected as an extracting solvent was based on their polarity and availability of the solvent in the laboratory. 50-100 gm of the crude extract were macerated for 48 hours with enough (1:5 w/v) ethyl acetate to extract the plant sample. Following 48 hours, the filtrate was collected and concentrated using vacuum evaporator. The residue was then again macerated for 48 hours with enough ethanol (1:5 w/v ratio), and the final filtrate was collected and concentrated using vacuum evaporator again.

2.4. Phytochemical Screening

Phytochemical screening was measured according to the previous report explained by Yadav and Agarwala (2011) and Okoduwa, Umar et al. (2016) for qualitative determination of phytochemical compounds with slight modification.

2.5. Total Phenolic Content

The total phenolic content of the extract was determined using the Folin Ciocalteu Method, as described by Fattahi et al., (2014) and Kaur and Kapoor (2002) with minor modifications. 1mL of crude extract (1 mg/mL) was combined with 5 mL of distilled water and 1mL of the Folin-Ciocalteu reagent. After 5 minutes, 1mL of distilled water and 1mL of 10% (W/V) carbonate were mixed sodium and thoroughly shaken. Following 60 minutes interval, the absorbance at 725 nm was measured. Gallic acid is used as a positive control for phenolic compound as a standard. Gallic acid at concentrations ranging (15.63 mg/mL, 31.25 mg/mL, 62.5

mg/mL, 125 mg/mL, 250 mg/mL, and 500 mg/mL) were prepared in ethanol. The calibration curve was plotted using gallic acid as the standard as shown in **Figure 1**. The calibration curve was then used to calculate the total phenolic content of *R*. *ellipticus* (Different parts), and the results were presented as mg of gallic acid equivalent per gram dry extract weight.

2.6. Determination of Total Flavonoids Content

The flavonoid content in the extract was determined using the aluminum chloride colorimetric method given by Chang et al., (2002) and Chun et al., (2003) with slightly modification. In ethanol, different concentrations of quercetin (15.63 mg/mL, 31.35 mg/mL, 62.6 mg/mL, 125 mg/mL, 250 mg/mL, and 500 mg/mL) were prepared as a standard. 1 mL of each extract solution (1 mg/mL) was combined with 4 mL of distilled water and 0.3 mL of 5% sodium nitrite. After 5 minutes, 1.3mL of 20% aluminum chloride was added and left for another 6 minutes. The absorbance at 510 nm was immediately measured using a UV spectrophotometer after addition of 2 mL of 1 M sodium hvdroxide. Total flavonoid content was determined with the help of calibration curve (Figure 3) and results were expressed as mg quercetin equivalent per gram dry extract weight as shown in Table 5.

2.7. DPPH free radical scavenging method

The free radical scavenging activity of different parts of the R. ellipticus extract was determined using the diphenylpicrylhydrazyl (DPPH) assay, as described by Akter et al., (2010) and Jabbari and Jabbari (2016). The stock solution (1 mg/mL) was diluted with methanol to a dilution series (50 g - 1000 g/ml). An aliquot of each dilution (2 mL) was mixed with a methanolic solution of DPPH (0.06 nM) and

109

shaken at room temperature for 30 minutes. Followingly, a control containing a methanolic solution of DPPH (2 mL, 0.06 mM) and ethanol (2 mL) was run. The absorbance was measured at 512 nm against a blank of methanol. Ascorbic acid was used as a standard of comparison. Equation 1 was used to calculate the percentage of free radical scavenging. DPPH radical scavenging activity (%) = (Ao-

Lamichhane et al.

A1)/Ao*100Equation 1 [Where Ao = Control Absorbance (Ascorbic acid), A1 = Absorbance when a test sample is present].

Results and Discussion 3. 3.1. Yield Value Determination

The extraction yield value of R. ellipticus stem, root, and leaves in ethyl acetate and ethanol was calculated and listed in the Table 2.

Plant	Common name	Parts	% Yield (Ethyl Acetate extract)	% Yield (Ethanol extract)
<i>Rubus</i> ellipticus Sm.		Leaves	1.66	6.95
	Aiselu	Root	1.68	10.78
		Stem	0.86	3.83

Table 2. Yield value of diffrent plant parts in different sovent
--

rable 5. Phytochemical screening of ethyl acetate(EA) and ethanolic extract									
		Parts of Plants							
Compounds	Tact	Leaves		Root		Stem			
compounds	Test	EA	Ethanol	EA	Ethanol	EA	Ethanol		
Alkaloids	Mayer's Test	-	-	+	-	+	-		
Carbohydrate	Molish Test	+	+	+	+	-	-		
	Ferric Chloride test	+	+	+	+	+	+		
Phenol	Lead Acetate Test	+	+	-	-	+	+		
Flavonoid	Alkaline Test	+	-	-	-	-	-		
Glycoside	Legal's Test	+	+	+	+	+	-		

Table 2 Phytochemical screening of α thy lacotate (FA) and α than lie extract

3.2. Phytochemical screening

The phytochemical screening of different parts extracts of R. ellipticus in different solvents showed the presence of alkaloid, carbohydrate, phenol, flavonoid and glycoside which is mentioned in the Table 3.

Ethyl acetate extract of R. ellipticus leaves contained phenol, glycosides, and flavonoids, while the root and steam contained carbohydrate and alkaloids as well. In the case of ethanolic extract, leaves contained carbohydrate, phenol, and glycoside, whereas root extract was negative in the lead acetate test. Meanwhile, the stem extract showed positive phytoconstituent result with phenol, flavonoid, and alkaloid.

3.3. Total Phenolic Content

The total phenolic content of all extracts was determined using the FC method with gallic acid as a standard. As shown in **Table 4**, all results were expressed as μg gallic acid equivalent per mg of extract. Among the extracts, ethanolic extract of *R. ellipticus*

(Stem) had the highest phenolic content ($342.75 \pm 2.21 \mu g$ GAE/mg of extract), while ethyl acetate extract had the lowest phenolic content ($40.92 \pm 4.25 \mu g$ GAE/mg of extract). depicts the calibration curve for gallic acid. All determinations were made in triplicate, and the results were expressed as mean \pm SD as shown in **Table 4** and **Figure 2**.



Figure 1. Standard gallic acid calibration curve for total phenolic calculation





S.N.	Parts of <i>Rubus</i> <i>ellipticus</i> Sm.	Ethyl Acetate (µg GAE/mg of extract)	Ethanol (µg GAE/mg of extract)
1	Leaves	40.92 ± 4.25	204.58 ± 9.82
2	Root	145.69 ± 4.1	204.38 ± 7.95
3	Stem	122.04 ± 7.34	343.75 ± 2.21

Table 4	Total	nhonolic	content ev	nresses as	uσ GΔF	/mg of	extract
Table 4.	IUtai	phenone	content ex	presses as	μg uhl	/ mg ui	exilati

3.4. Total Flavonoid Content

The flavonoids were quantified using the Aluminum Chloride colorimetric method, with quercetin as the reference standard. The results were expressed as quercetin equivalents per milligram of extract. Among the experimental extracts, the ethanolic extract of stem had the highest amount of flavonoid content ($1563.17\pm10.79 \mu g QE/mg$ of extract) compared to the others (Root and Leaves), whereas all the ethyl acetate extracts, i.e., leaves, root, and steam, had almost comparable amounts of flavonoid, as depicted in **Table 5**.

Table 5.	Total	flavonoid	content	of express	ed as ue	OE/m	of extract
Table J.	TUtar	navonoiu	content	01 exp1 ess	eu as me	5 QE/ mg	5 UI EALI ALL

Solvent	Leaves	Root	Stem
Ethyl Acetate (µg QE/mg of extract)	68.06 ± 36.39	66.20 ± 15.34	84.84 ± 16.80
Ethanol (μg QE/mg of extract)	529 ± 27.45**	648 ± 39.56**	1563 ± 10.79**

Note: ** represents that the original concentration of the extract falls out of the range so they were reduced to its half and final value was calculated equivalent to the original concentration.



Figure 3. Calibration curve of standard quercetin for the quantification of total flavonoid content

	Parts of		% DPPH scavenging activity							
S.N. 6	Rubus ellipticus	Solvents	0.1 μg/mL	1 μg/mL	10 μg/mL	100 μg/mL	IC ₅₀			
1	Leaves	Ethyl Acetate	1.97±0.15	0.71±3.3	18.90±2.40	81.01±1.29	58.61			
	Ethanol	7.84±4.5	16.87±5.92	91.60±0.75	92.33±0.29	5.03				
2	Root	Ethyl acetate	1.50±0.47	2.13±2.54	56.09±1.13	94.30±0.57	36.72			
	Ethanol	2.32±4.59	15.50±3.08	87.90±2.01	88.61±1.52	5.47				
3	Stem	Ethyl acetate	9.01±1.30	39.66±16.20	53.79±17.34	90.34±1.33	34.56			
	Ethanol	7.33±1.95	18.29±2.05	90.29±1.13	92.19±1.19	5.08				
4	Ascorbic Aci	d	13.26±0.5	44.28±0.55	95.37±0.21	96.47±0.21	3.562			

 Table 6. Percentage scavenging activity of extract and ascorbic acid

4. Discussion

Plants contain a wide range of natural compounds with different molecular families which show various medicinal properties. Plants are the important source of potentially useful structures for the development of new chemotherapeutics agents (Mukherjee et al., 2001). In this study, we collected the ethnomedicinally used *Rubus ellipticus* Sm. and compared phytochemicals content, free radical scavenging effect, total phenolic, and total flavonoid content of different parts (leaves, stem, root).

The phenolic compounds are one of the largest and most prevalent groups of plants metabolites. They have therapeutic benefits in part because of their antioxidant capabilities, which include metal chelation, scavenging and suppression of reactive oxygen species, and scavenging of electrophiles. Phenolic compounds have been linked to antioxidative effects on living things because they scavenge singlet oxygen and free radicals (Barros et al., 2007).

Among all the extract of *R. ellipticus*, ethanolic root extract have maximum yield value of 10.78% followed by the ethanolic extract of the leaves and stem, whereas all the three extract of ethyl acetate have a minimum yield value compared to ethanolic extract as depicted in **Table 2**, which indicates that the plants parts contain more polar compound so that they were extracted in polar ethanol (Majidaee et al., 2020; Raman et al., 2005). The order of different parts of *R. ellipticus* based on the quantity of yielded extraction was root>leaves>stem.

In the qualitative phytochemical study, extract of *R. ellipticus* leaves, stem and root showed the presence of alkaloids, phenols and flavonoids which is even supported by the previously reported study (Sharma &

To assess antioxidant activity, the DPPH free radical scavenging activities of various parts *of R. ellipticus* were measured and shown in

Table 6. The leaves ethanolic extract demonstrated the most potent free radical scavenging activity, with an IC_{50} of 5.03 g, which is comparable to the IC_{50} of standard ascorbic acid, as shown in **Figure 4**.



Figure 4. Graphical representation of IC₅₀ values of all the extracts along with the standard ascorbic acid

Kumar. 2011; Subba et al., 2019) . In our study, during qualitative phytochemical screening to determine the phenolic compounds, all the extract shows the positive ferric chloride test while only the root extracts show negative lead acetate test, that may be due to the absence of sulfur containing amino acids (Sulfhydryl/thiol group) on the root of *R. ellipticus* (Anup. 2020/04/16).

Based on Table 4, the ethanolic and ethyl acetate extract of the stem had the highest amount of phenolic content, followed by the root and leaves, which is supported by Öztürk, M., et al (2004), (Öztürk et al., 2007). According to the previous reported study of Saini, R., et al. 2014 (Saini et al., 2014), the extraction process of fruits of *R. ellipticus*, showed that the highest amount of phenols were content in an polar solvent compare to that of other less- polar solvent which is parallel to the results of our study as all ethanolic extract shows higher phenol content compared to ethyl acetate extract. Ethanolic extracts of all *R. ellipticus* samples contained more flavonoids than ethyl acetate extracts as per Table 5.

The stem of *R. ellipticus* has the highest flavonoid content in ethanolic as well as ethyl acetate extract which are comparable to each other as shown in **Table 5.** Thus, we can conclude that the flavonoid concentrations are highest in the harder portion of *R. ellipticus*. These above findings clearly showed that ethanol recovered more total phenolics and flavonoids than ethyl acetate extracts, indicating that ethanol is a better solvent solution for the most effective extraction of polyphenols from *R. ellipticus*.

Based on the free radical scavenging activity, all the ethanolic extract of the plant showed comparable radical scavenging properties to each other and showed the IC50 value almost similar to the standard ascorbic acid. Also, among the ethyl acetate extract, stem shows the maximum inhibition of the free radical followed by the leaves and root. According to Subba et al., (2019) half-maximal inhibitory concentration value of methanol extract of leaves of *R. ellipticus* was 31 ± 0.2641 mg/mL depicting more potency as compared to our study.

In our study, the potent IC50 value of ethanolic extract compared to ethyl acetate extract could be attributed to the presence of more phenolic and flavonoid compounds in ethanolic extract, which is mentioned in previous study as well (Duh et al., 1999; Öztürk et al., 2007; Raman et al., 2005; Saxena et al., 2013). Mostly DPPH assay scavenge neutral and cation free radicals which are extracted in higher proportion in a polar solvent (Saini et al., 2014). According to Badhani et al., (2015) and Karuppusamy et al., (2011), there are various types of bioactive polyphenolic flavonoid and components found in different parts of R. ellipticus like Anthocyanin, Ascorbic acid, Chlorogenic acid, Gallic acid, Catechin etc. which are known for their antioxidant properties (Badhani et al., (2015);Karuppusamy et al., (2011); Saini et al., (2014); Schulz et al., (2019); Shikha & Kashyap. (2020)). May be because of the presence of such bioactive components, most of the ethanolic extracts showed the potent free radical scavenging effect.

5. Conclusion

The result revealed the presence of medicinally important bioactive constituents in the different parts of *R. ellipticus* with the leaves>stem>roots. potency order of Eventually, this study might also set a support and milestone to give the scientifically evidence of using R. ellipticus for free radical scavenging properties. Moreover, isolation of the active hit and lead molecules. further evidence-based determination other pharmacological properties could benefit the mankind and assist in the discovery of various novel compounds.

Authors' contributions

Ananda Lamichhane, Susmita Khatri, Mamata Dhungana, Bijaya Tripathi and Namrata Bhattrai collected the plant, prepared the samples, performed all the experiments, and analyzed the data. Ananda Lamichhane wrote the manuscript. Rishiram Baral, and Dr. Nirmala Jamarkattel reviewed the manuscript. The authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgment

The School of Health and Allied Sciences, Faculty of Health Science, Pokhara University, Pokhara-30, Nepal, provided support for this study. We would like to thank the National Herbarium and Plant Laboratory in Godawari, Kathmandu, Nepal, for their assistance in plant identification for research purposes.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Akter, M. S., Ahmed, M., & Eun, J. B., (2010). Solvent effects on antioxidant properties of persimmon (Diospyros kaki L. cv. Daebong) seeds. *International Journal of Food Science & Technology*, 45(11), 2258-2264. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2621.2010.02400.x
- Anup, B. (2020/04/16). Lead acetate test (Lead sulfide test): Principle, Reaction, Reagents, Procedure and Result Interpretation. Biocheminfo online library. Retrieved 2022/10/17 from http://biocheminfo.com/2020/04/16/leadacetate-test-lead-sulfide-test-principle-reactionreagents-procedure-and-result-interpretation/
- 3. Atanassova, M., Georgieva, S., & Ivancheva, K., (2011). Total phenolic and total flavonoid contents, antioxidant capacity and biological contaminants in medicinal herbs. *Journal of the University of Chemical Technology & Metallurgy*, 46(1), 81-88.
- Badhani, A., Rawat, S., Bhatt, I. D., & Rawal, R. S., (2015). Variation in Chemical Constituents and Antioxidant Activity in Y ellow H imalayan (R ubus ellipticus S mith) and Hill Raspberry (R ubus niveus T hunb.). *Journal of Food Biochemistry*, *39*(6), 663-672. https://doi.org/10.1111/jfbc.12172

5. Bagewadi, Z. K., Siddanagouda, R., & Baligar, P. G., (2014). Phytoconstituents investigation by LC-MS and evaluation of anti-microbial and anti-pyretic properties of cynodon dactylon. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical sciences and research*, 5(7),

2874. http://dx.doi.org/10.13040/IJPSR.0975-8232.5(7).2874-89

- 6. Baliyan, S., Mukherjee, R., Priyadarshini, A., Vibhuti, A., Gupta, A., et al. (2022). Determination of Antioxidants by DPPH Radical Scavenging Activity and Quantitative Phytochemical Analysis of Ficus religiosa. *Molecules*, *27*(4), 1326. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules27041326
- Baral, R., Subedi, L., Gurung, M., Ojha, S., Shrestha, B., et al., (2021). Phytochemical screening, free radical scavenging activity, In-vitro Alphaamylase enzyme and glucose diffusion inhibition activity of ethyl acetate and water extracts of selected medicinal plants of Nepal. *International journal of Herbal medicine*, 9(3), 18-21. https://doi.org/10.38093/cupmap.1122429
- Barros, L., Ferreira, M.-J., Queiros, B., Ferreira, I. C., & Baptista, P., (2007). Total phenols, ascorbic acid, β-carotene and lycopene in Portuguese wild edible mushrooms and their antioxidant activities. *Food chemistry*, *103*(2), 413-419. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2006.07.03 8
- 9. Bhakuni, R., Shukla, Y., & Thakur, R.,(1987). Chemical examination of the roots of Rubus ellipticus. *Indian Drugs*, *24*, 272.
- 10. Brown, G. C., & Borutaite, V., (2012). There is no evidence that mitochondria are the main source of reactive oxygen species in mammalian cells. *Mitochondrion*, 12(1), 1-4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mito.2011.02.001
- 11. Castleman, M., (1991). The Healing Herb: The Ultimate Guide to the Curative Power of Nature's Medicines. *Pensylvania: G. and C. Merriam*, 128-135.
- Cesquini, M., Torsoni, M., Stoppa, G. t.-., & Ogo, S. t.,. (2003). t-BOOH-induced oxidative damage in sickle red blood cells and the role of flavonoids. *Biomedicine & pharmacotherapy*, 57(3-4), 124-129. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0753-3322(03)00018-0
- Chang, C.-C., Yang, M.-H., Wen, H.-M., & Chern, J.-C., (2002). Estimation of total flavonoid content in propolis by two complementary colorimetric methods. *Journal of food and drug analysis*, 10(3). https://doi.org/10.38212/2224-6614.2748
- 14. Choudhary, G., (2015). Free radical scavenging properties of the ethanol extract of Cynodon dactylon. *Asian Journal of Plant Science and Research*, 5(6), 88-90.
- 15. Chun, O. K., Kim, D.-O., & Lee, C. Y., (2003). Superoxide radical scavenging activity of the major polyphenols in fresh plums. *Journal of*

NSCI

agricultural and food chemistry, *51*(27), 8067-8072. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf034740d

- Deweirdt, J., Quignard, J., Crobeddu, B., Baeza-Squiban, A., Sciare, J., et al., (2017). Involvement of oxidative stress and calcium signaling in airborne particulate matter-induced damages in human pulmonary artery endothelial cells. *Toxicology in Vitro*, 45(3), 340-350. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tiv.2017.07.001
- Duh, P.-D., Tu, Y.-Y., & Yen, G.-C., (1999). Antioxidant activity of water extract of Harng Jyur (Chrysanthemum morifolium Ramat). *LWT-Food Science and Technology*, 32(5), 269-277. https://doi.org/10.1006/fstl.1999.0548
- Erdemoglu, N., Turan, N. N., Cakõcõ, I., Sener, B., & Aydõn, A., (2006). Antioxidant activities of some Lamiaceae plant extracts. *Phytotherapy Research: An International Journal Devoted to Pharmacological and Toxicological Evaluation of Natural Product Derivatives, 20*(1), 9-13. https://doi.org/10.1002/ptr.1816
- 19. Fattahi, S., Zabihi, E., Abedian, Z., Pourbagher, R., Ardekani, A. M., et al., (2014). Total phenolic and flavonoid contents of aqueous extract of stinging nettle and in vitro antiproliferative effect on hela and BT-474 Cell lines. *International journal of molecular and cellular medicine*, *3*(2), 102.
- Gutteridge, J. M., (1994). Biological origin of free radicals, and mechanisms of antioxidant protection. *Chemico-biological interactions*, 91(2-3), 133-140. https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-2797(94)90033-7
- 21. Halliwell, B., (1995). How to characterize an antioxidant: an update. *Biochemical Society Symposia*, 61(1), 73-101. https://doi.org/10.1042/bss0610073
- 22. Halliwell, B., & Gutteridge, J. M., (2015). *Free radicals in biology and medicine*. Oxford university press, USA.
- 23. Indradi, R. B., Fidrianny, I., & Wirasutisna, K. R., (2017). DPPH scavenging activities and phytochemical content of four Asteraceae plants. *International Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemical Research*, 9(6), 755-759. https://doi.org/10.25258/phyto.v9i6.8173
- Jabbari, M., & Jabbari, A., (2016). Antioxidant potential and DPPH radical scavenging kinetics of water-insoluble flavonoid naringenin in aqueous solution of micelles. *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects, 489,* 392-399.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2015.11.022

- 25. Jigna, P., Rathish, N., & Sumitra, C., (2005). Preliminary screening of some folklore medicinal plants from western India for potential antimicrobial activity. *Indian journal of pharmacology*, *37*(6), 408-409.
- 26. Karuppusamy, S., Muthuraja, G., & Rajasekaran, K., (2011). Antioxidant activity of selected lesser

 Kaur, C., & Kapoor, H. C., (2002). Anti-oxidant activity and total phenolic content of some Asian vegetables. *International Journal of Food Science & Technology*, 37(2), 153-161. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2621.2002.00552.x

known edible fruits from Western Ghats of India.

- 28. Kirtikar, K., Basu, B., & CS, I.,(2001). Indian medicinal plants, oriental enterprises. *Dehradun*, *6*, 2029-2035.
- 29. Kunwar, R. M., & Bussmann, R. W., (2008). Ethnobotany in the nepal himalaya. *Journal of ethnobiology and ethnomedicine*, 4(1), 1-8. https://doi.org/10.1186/1746-4269-4-24
- 30. Majidaee, E., Hosseyni Talei, S. R., Gholamnezhad, S., & Ebrahimzadeh, M. A., (2020). Comparing the Effect of Different Extraction Methods and the Role of Solvent Polarity on Total Phenolic and Flavonoid Contents and Antioxidant Activities of Ferula persica. *Journal of Mazandaran University* of Medical Sciences, 30(188), 26-39.
- 31. Maxwell, S. R., (1995). Prospects for the use of antioxidant therapies. *Drugs*, 49(3), 345-361. https://doi.org/10.2165/00003495-199549030-00003
- Mukherjee, A. K., Basu, S., Sarkar, N., & Ghosh, A. C., (2001). Advances in cancer therapy with plant based natural products. *Current medicinal chemistry*, 8(12), 1467-1486. https://doi.org/10.2174/0929867013372094
- Okoduwa, S. I. R., Umar, I. A., James, D. B., Inuwa, H. M., & Habila, J. D., (2016). Evaluation of extraction protocols for anti-diabetic phytochemical substances from medicinal plants. *World journal* of diabetes, 7(20), 605. https://doi.org/10.4239%2Fwjd.v7.i20.605
- 34. Öztürk, M., Aydoğmuş-Öztürk, F., Duru, M. E., & Topçu, G., (2007). Antioxidant activity of stem and root extracts of Rhubarb (Rheum ribes): An edible medicinal plant. *Food chemistry*, *103*(2), 623-630. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2006.09.00 5
- 35. Pandey, A., & Tripathi, S., (2014). Concept of standardization, extraction and pre phytochemical screening strategies for herbal drug. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 2(5), 115-119.
- 36. Patel, A., Rojas-Vera, J., & Dacke, C., (2004). Therapeutic constituents and actions of Rubus species. *Current medicinal chemistry*, *11*(11), 1501-1512. https://doi.org/10.2174/0020967042265142

https://doi.org/10.2174/0929867043365143

 Pfoze, N. L., Kumar, Y., & Myrboh, B., (2012). Survey and assessment of ethnomedicinal plants used in Senapati District of Manipur State, Northeast India. *Phytopharmacology*, 2(2), 285-311.

- Polidori, M. C., & Mecocci, P., (2022). Modeling the dynamics of energy imbalance: The free radical theory of aging and frailty revisited. *Free Radical Biology and Medicine*, 181(1), 235-240. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2022.0 2.009
- 39. Raj, S., Berthomier, M., Babu, M. A., Karthikeyan, S., Sivakumar, A., et al., (2013). Antioxidant, Antibacterial and Anti-Proliferative Activity and Phytochemical Analysis of Selected Medicinal Plants from Dasapushpam of Kerala. International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences Review and Research,23(1), 172-179. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.genrep.2022.101651
- 40. Raman, G., Cho, M., Brodbelt, J. S., & Patil, B. S., (2005). Isolation and purification of closely related Citrus limonoid glucosides by flash chromatography. *Phytochemical Analysis: An International Journal of Plant Chemical and Biochemical Techniques*, 16(3), 155-160. https://doi.org/10.1002/pca.835
- 41. Rojas-Vera, J., Patel, A. V., & Dacke, C. G., (2002). Relaxant activity of raspberry (Rubus idaeus) leaf extract in guinea-pig ileum in vitro. *Phytotherapy Research: An International Journal Devoted to Pharmacological and Toxicological Evaluation of Natural Product Derivatives*, 16(7), 665-668. https://doi.org/10.1002/ptr.1040
- 42. Saini, R., Dangwal, K., Singh, H., & Garg, V., (2014). Antioxidant and antiproliferative activities of phenolics isolated from fruits of Himalayan yellow raspberry (Rubus ellipticus). *Journal of food science and technology*, *51*(11), 3369-3375. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-012-0836-3
- Saxena, M., Saxena, J., Nema, R., Singh, D., & Gupta, A., (2013). Phytochemistry of medicinal plants. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 1(6), 168-182.
- 44. Schulz, M., Seraglio, S. K. T., Della Betta, F., Nehring, P., Valese, A. C., et al. (2019). Blackberry (Rubus ulmifolius Schott): Chemical composition, phenolic compounds and antioxidant capacity in two edible stages. *Food research international*, *122*, 627-634.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2019.01.034

- 45. Sharma, U. S., & Kumar, A., (2011). In vitro antioxidant activity of Rubus ellipticus fruits. *Journal of advanced pharmaceutical technology & research*, 2(1), 47. https://doi.org/10.4103%2F2231-4040.79805
- 46. Shikha, D., & Kashyap, P., (2020). Yellow Himalayan Berry. In Antioxidants in Fruits: Properties and Health Benefits, Springer, (67-81). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-7285-2_4
- Sies, H., & Cadenas, E., (1985). Oxidative stress: damage to intact cells and organs. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. B, Biological Sciences, 311*(1152), 617-631. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.1985.0168

- 48. Srivastava, J., Lambert, J., & Vietmeyer, N., (1996). Medicinal plants: An expanding role in development. World Bank Publications, (Vol. 320)
- 49. Subba, B., Gaire, S., & Sharma, K. R., (2019). Analysis of phyto-constituents, antioxidant, and alpha amylase inhibitory activities of persea americana Mill., Rhododendron arboretum Sm. Rubus ellipticus Sm. from Arghakhanchi district Nepal. Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research, 12(1), 301. http://dx.doi.org/10.22159/ajpcr.2019.v12i1.29 679
- 50. Surabhi, S., & Leelavathi, S., (2010). Anti-oxidant property of ethanolic extract of Catunaregam spinosa Thunb. *International Journal of Drug Development and Research*, *2*(1), 399-403.
- 51. Uniyal, S. K., Singh, K., Jamwal, P., & Lal, B., (2006). Traditional use of medicinal plants among the tribal communities of Chhota Bhangal, Western Himalaya. *Journal of ethnobiology and ethnomedicine*, 2(1), 1-8. https://doi.org/10.1186/1746-4269-2-14
- 52. Vadivelan, R., Bhadra, S., Ravi, A., Shanish, K. S. A., Elango, K.,et al. (2009). Evaluation of antiinflammatory and membrane stabilizing property of ethanol root extract of Rubus ellipticus Smith in Albino rats. *Journal of Natural Remedies*, 9(1), 74-78.
- 53. Valko, M., Leibfritz, D., Moncol, J., Cronin, M. T., Mazur, M., et al. (2007). Free radicals and antioxidants in normal physiological functions and human disease. *The international journal of biochemistry & cell biology*, 39(1), 44-84. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocel.2006.07.001
- 54. Yadav, N., Tyagi, G., Jangir, D. K., & Mehrotra, R., (2011). Rapid determination of polyphenol, vitamins, organic acids and sugars in Aegle marmelos using reverse phase-high performance liquid chromatography. *Journal of Pharmacy Research,* 4(3), 717-719.
- 55. Yadav, R., & Agarwala, M., (2011). Phytochemical analysis of some medicinal plants. *Journal of phytology*, *3*(12), 10-14.