



SUBORDINATION THEOREMS FOR A CLASS RELATED TO Q-FRACTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL OPERATOR

Mohamed Ahmed MOWAFY¹, Adela Othman MOSTAFA² and Samer Mohamed MADIAN³

^{1,2}Mathematics Department, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Mansoura 35516, EGYPT

³Basic Science Department, Higher Institute for Engineering and Technology, New Damietta, EGYPT

ABSTRACT. By using the definition of q-difference operator, we defined the new q-Al-Oboudi-Al-Amoudi operator, which generalize modified Al-Oboudi-Al-Amoudi operator. Using the new operator, we defined a new class of uniformly functions and obtained subordination result for functions in it. Our results not only generalize previous results but also modified some previous results.

1. INTRODUCTION

The class of univalent analytic functions

$$F(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, (a_k \geq 0), z \in \mathcal{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}, \quad (1)$$

is denoted by \mathcal{S} .

The class of convex functions \mathcal{K} satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{zF''(z)}{F'(z)} \right\} > 0.$$

If F, g are analytic in \mathcal{D} , then F is subordinate to g , written $F \prec g$ if there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$ analytic in \mathcal{D} with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in \mathcal{D}$, such that $F(z) = g(w(z))$. (see [14, 16])

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30C45.

Keywords. Coefficient estimate, subordination factor sequence, q-difference and fractional operator.

¹✉ mohamed1976224@gmail.com-Corresponding author; 0000-0002-2308-6826

²✉ adelaeg254@yahoo.com; 0000-0002-3911-0990

³✉ samar_math@yahoo.com; 0000-0001-7490-9901.

For F given by (1) and g given by

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k, \quad (2)$$

the Hadamard product (or convolution) is

$$(F * g)(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k = (g * F)(z).$$

For $F \in \mathcal{S}$, $0 < q < 1$, the q -derivative operator ∇_q is given by (Jackson [15]) and many authors studied it for example see ([1], [4–6], [9], [16, 17] and [22, 23]).

$$\nabla_q F(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{F(z) - F(qz)}{(1-q)z} & , z \neq 0 \\ F'(0) & , z = 0 \end{cases}$$

that is

$$\nabla_q F(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q a_k z^{k-1}, \quad (3)$$

where

$$[k]_q = \frac{1 - q^k}{1 - q}, \quad [0]_q = 0. \quad (4)$$

The fractional q -derivative operator of order α for analytic function F defined in a simply connected domain, contains zero is defined by [5],

$$D_{q,z}^{\alpha} F(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(1-\alpha)} \int_0^z \frac{F(t)}{(z-t)^{\alpha}} d_q t, \quad 0 \leq \alpha < 1,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_q^{\alpha} F(z) &= \Gamma_q(2-\alpha) z^{\alpha} D_{q,z}^{\alpha} F(z), \\ &= z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma_q(k+1) \Gamma_q(2-\alpha)}{\Gamma_q(k+1-\alpha)} a_k z^k \quad (0 < q < 1, \quad 0 \leq \alpha < 1), \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where multiplicity of $(z-t)^{-\alpha}$ is removed by requiring $\log(z-t)$, to be real when $z-t > 0$ (for $q \rightarrow 1^-$ see [19], [20]).

Definition 1. For $\lambda \geq 0$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $0 < q < 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ and F is given by (1) we defined new q -fractional derivative operator as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\lambda,q}^{0,0} F(z) &= F(z), \\ D_{\lambda,q}^{1,\alpha} F(z) &= (1-\lambda) \Omega_q^{\alpha} F(z) + \lambda z D_q (\Omega_q^{\alpha} F(z)) = D_{\lambda,q}^{\alpha} F(z), \\ D_{\lambda,q}^{2,\alpha} F(z) &= D_{\lambda,q}^{\alpha} (D_{\lambda,q}^{\alpha} F(z)), \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_{\lambda,q}^{n,\alpha} F(z) &= D_{\lambda,q}^\alpha \left(D_{\lambda,q}^{n-1,\alpha} F(z) \right), \\
 &= z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Psi_{k,n,q}(\alpha, \lambda) a_k z^k,
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Psi_{k,n,q}(\alpha, \lambda) = \frac{\Gamma_q(k+1)\Gamma_q(2-\alpha)}{\Gamma_q(k+1-\alpha)} \left[1 + \lambda([k]_q - 1) \right]^n. \tag{7}$$

We note that:

- (i) $D_{1,q}^{n,0} F(z) = D_q^n F(z)$ [8, 18].
- (ii) $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} D_{\lambda,q}^{n,\alpha} F(z) = D_\lambda^{n,\alpha} F(z)$, where this operator modified the operator of [3, 7],
- (iii) $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} D_{\lambda,q}^{0,\alpha} F(z) = D_z^\alpha F(z)$ (see [19, 20]),
- (iv) $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} D_{1,q}^{n,0} F(z) = D^n F(z)$ (see [21]),
- (v) $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} D_{\lambda,q}^{n,0} F(z) = D_\lambda^n F(z)$ (see [2]),

Definition 2. For $\lambda, \mu \geq 0, \gamma \geq 1, 0 \leq \alpha, \beta < 1, 0 \leq \delta \leq 1, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, a function $F \in \mathcal{S}$ is in the class $S_{\lambda,q}^{n,\alpha}(\delta, \gamma, \mu, \beta)$, if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\gamma z \nabla_q G(z)}{G(z)} - (\gamma - 1) \right\} > \mu \left| \frac{\gamma z \nabla_q G(z)}{G(z)} - \gamma \right| + \beta, \tag{8}$$

where

$$G(z) = (1 - \delta) D_{\lambda,q}^{n,\alpha} F(z) + \delta z \left(\nabla_q D_{\lambda,q}^{n,\alpha} F(z) \right). \tag{9}$$

We note that as $q \rightarrow 1^- : S_{\lambda,q}^{n,\alpha}(0, 1, \mu, \beta) = SP_{\alpha,\lambda}^n(\mu, \beta)$ and $S_{\lambda,q}^{n,\alpha}(1, 1, \mu, \beta) = UCV_{\alpha,\lambda}^n(\mu, \beta)$ [3, 7, with $\Psi_{k,n,q}(\alpha, \lambda)$ of the form (1.7)]. For different values of $n, \alpha, \lambda, \delta, \gamma, \mu$ and β , we get the classes defined by [3], [8], [10 – 13], and [17].

2. MAIN RESULTS

Unless indicated, let $0 \leq \alpha, \beta < 1, \lambda, \mu \geq 0, \gamma \geq 1, 0 \leq \delta \leq 1, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, 0 < q < 1$ and $\Psi_{k,n,q}(\alpha, \lambda)$ as (7). The following definition and lemma are needed.

Definition 3. [24]. A sequence $\{b_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ of complex numbers is called a subordinating factor sequence if, whenever $F(z) \in \mathcal{K}$ then,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k \prec F(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{D}; a_1 = 1).$$

Lemma 1. [24]. *The sequence $\{b_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a subordinating factor sequence if and only if*

$$\Re \left\{ 1 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k \right\} > 0 \quad (z \in \mathcal{D}).$$

Theorem 1. *If $F \in \mathcal{S}$, satisfies*

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[1 - \beta + \gamma \left([k]_q - 1 \right) (1 + \mu) \right] \left[1 + \left([k]_q - 1 \right) \delta \right] \Psi_{k,n,q}(\alpha, \lambda) |a_k| \leq 1 - \beta, \quad (10)$$

then, $F \in S_{\lambda,q}^{n,\alpha}(\delta, \gamma, \mu, \beta)$.

Proof. Assume that (10) holds. Since for real β and complex number w , □

$$\Re(w) \geq \beta \Leftrightarrow |w + (1 - \beta)| - |w - (1 + \beta)| \geq 0, \quad (11)$$

then by Definition 2 it is sufficient to show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\gamma z \nabla_q G(z)}{G(z)} - (\gamma - 1) - \mu \left| \frac{\gamma z \nabla_q G(z)}{G(z)} - \gamma \right| - (1 + \beta) \right| \leq \\ & \left| \frac{\gamma z \nabla_q G(z)}{G(z)} - (\gamma - 1) - \mu \left| \frac{\gamma z \nabla_q G(z)}{G(z)} - \gamma \right| + (1 - \beta) \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

For the right-hand side of (12)

$$\begin{aligned} R & : = \left| \frac{\gamma z \nabla_q G(z)}{G(z)} - (\gamma - 1) - \mu \left| \frac{\gamma z \nabla_q G(z)}{G(z)} - \gamma \right| + (1 - \beta) \right| \\ & = \frac{1}{|G(z)|} \left| \gamma z \nabla_q G(z) + (2 - \beta - \gamma) G(z) - \mu e^{i\theta} |\gamma z \nabla_q G(z) - \gamma G(z)| \right| \\ & > \frac{|z|}{|G(z)|} \left\{ 2 - \beta - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[2 - \beta + \gamma \left([k]_q - 1 \right) (1 + \mu) \right] \right. \\ & \quad \times \left. \left[1 + \left([k]_q - 1 \right) \delta \right] \Psi_{k,n,q}(\alpha, \lambda) |a_k| \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the left

$$\begin{aligned} L & : = \left| \frac{\gamma z \nabla_q G(z)}{G(z)} - (\gamma - 1) - \mu \left| \frac{\gamma z \nabla_q G(z)}{G(z)} - \gamma \right| - (1 + \beta) \right| \\ & = \frac{1}{|G(z)|} \left| \gamma z \nabla_q G(z) - (\gamma - 1) G(z) - \mu e^{i\theta} |\gamma z \nabla_q G(z) - \gamma G(z)| - (1 + \beta) G(z) \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$< \frac{|z|}{|G(z)|} \left\{ \beta + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[\gamma \left([k]_q - 1 \right) (1 + \mu) - \beta \right] \left[1 + \left([k]_q - 1 \right) \delta \right] \Psi_{k,n,q}(\alpha, \lambda) |a_k| \right\}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} R - L &> \frac{|z|}{|G(z)|} \left\{ 2(1 - \beta) - 2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[1 - \beta + \gamma \left([k]_q - 1 \right) (1 + \mu) \right] \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \left[1 + \left([k]_q - 1 \right) \delta \right] \Psi_{k,n,q}(\alpha, \lambda) |a_k| \right\} \\ &\geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

then (12) is satisfied, so $F \in S_{\lambda,q}^{n,\alpha}(\delta, \gamma, \mu, \beta)$.

Let $\acute{S}_{\lambda,q}^{n,\alpha}(\delta, \gamma, \mu, \beta)$ be the class of functions satisfy (10) so $\acute{S}_{\lambda,q}^{n,\alpha}(\delta, \gamma, \mu, \beta) \subset$

$S_{\lambda,q}^{n,\alpha}(\delta, \gamma, \mu, \beta)$.

Theorem 2. *Let $F \in \acute{S}_{\lambda,q}^{n,\alpha}(\delta, \gamma, \mu, \beta)$ and $g \in \mathcal{K}$, then*

$$\left(\frac{[1 - \beta + \gamma q(1 + \mu)](1 + \delta q) \Psi_{2,n,q}(\alpha, \lambda)}{2 \{ [1 - \beta + \gamma q(1 + \mu)](1 + \delta q) \Psi_{2,n,q}(\alpha, \lambda) + 1 - \beta \}} \right) (F * g)(z) \prec g(z) \quad (13)$$

and

$$\Re \{ F(z) \} > - \frac{\{ [1 - \beta + \gamma q(1 + \mu)](1 + \delta q) \Psi_{2,n,q}(\alpha, \lambda) + 1 - \beta \}}{[1 - \beta + \gamma q(1 + \mu)](1 + \delta q) \Psi_{2,n,q}(\alpha, \lambda)}. \quad (14)$$

The constant factor $\frac{[1 - \beta + \gamma q(1 + \mu)](1 + \delta q) \Psi_{2,n,q}(\alpha, \lambda)}{2 \{ [1 - \beta + \gamma q(1 + \mu)](1 + \delta q) \Psi_{2,n,q}(\alpha, \lambda) + 1 - \beta \}}$ in (13) cannot be replaced by a larger one.

Proof. Let $F \in \acute{S}_{\lambda,q}^{n,\alpha}(\delta, \gamma, \mu, \beta)$ and $g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k \in \mathcal{K}$, then □

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\frac{[1 - \beta + \gamma q(1 + \mu)](1 + \delta q) \Psi_{2,n,q}(\alpha, \lambda)}{2 \{ [1 - \beta + \gamma q(1 + \mu)](1 + \delta q) \Psi_{2,n,q}(\alpha, \lambda) + 1 - \beta \}} \right) (F * g)(z) \\ &= \left(\frac{[1 - \beta + \gamma q(1 + \mu)](1 + \delta q) \Psi_{2,n,q}(\alpha, \lambda)}{2 \{ [1 - \beta + \gamma q(1 + \mu)](1 + \delta q) \Psi_{2,n,q}(\alpha, \lambda) + 1 - \beta \}} \right) \left(z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k \right). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Thus, by Definition 3, (13) will be true if

$$\left\{ \frac{[1 - \beta + \gamma q(1 + \mu)](1 + \delta q) \Psi_{2,n,q}(\alpha, \lambda)}{2 \{ [1 - \beta + \gamma q(1 + \mu)](1 + \delta q) \Psi_{2,n,q}(\alpha, \lambda) + 1 - \beta \}} a_k \right\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \quad (16)$$

is a subordinating factor sequence, with $a_1 = 1$. In view of Lemma 1, this is equivalent to

$$\Re \left\{ 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[1 - \beta + \gamma q(1 + \mu)](1 + \delta q) \Psi_{2,n,q}(\alpha, \lambda)}{\{ [1 - \beta + \gamma q(1 + \mu)](1 + \delta q) \Psi_{2,n,q}(\alpha, \lambda) + 1 - \beta \}} a_k z^k \right\} > 0, \quad (17)$$

where

$$\Theta(k) = [1 - \beta + \gamma([k]_q - 1)(1 + \mu)] [1 + ([k]_q - 1)\delta] \Psi_{k,n,q}(\alpha, \lambda) \quad (k \geq 2),$$

is an increasing function of k ($k \geq 2$), when $|z| = r < 1$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} & \Re \left\{ 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Theta(2)}{\Theta(2) + 1 - \beta} a_k z^k \right\} \\ &= \Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{\Theta(2)}{\Theta(2) + 1 - \beta} z + \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Theta(2)}{\Theta(2) + 1 - \beta} a_k z^k \right\} \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{\Theta(2)}{\Theta(2) + 1 - \beta} r - \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Theta(k)|a_k|}{\Theta(2) + 1 - \beta} r^k \\ &> 1 - \frac{\Theta(2)}{\Theta(2) + 1 - \beta} r - \frac{1 - \beta}{\Theta(2) + 1 - \beta} r \\ &= 1 - r > 0 \quad (|z| = r < 1). \end{aligned}$$

By taking the convex function $g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z} = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} z^k$. To prove the sharpness of $\frac{\Theta(2)}{2[\Theta(2)+1-\beta]}$, the function $F_0(z) \in \mathcal{S}_{\lambda,q}^{n,\alpha}(\delta, \gamma, \mu, \beta)$ given by

$$F_0(z) = z - \frac{1 - \beta}{[1 - \beta + \gamma q(1 + \mu)](1 + \delta q) \Psi_{2,n,q}(\alpha, \lambda)} z^2. \quad (18)$$

Thus from (14), we have

$$\frac{[1 - \beta + \gamma q(1 + \mu)](1 + \delta q) \Psi_{2,n,q}(\alpha, \lambda)}{2 \{ [1 - \beta + \gamma q(1 + \mu)](1 + \delta q) \Psi_{2,n,q}(\alpha, \lambda) + 1 - \beta \}} F_0(z) \prec \frac{z}{1 - z}.$$

Moreover, it can easily to verify for $F_0(z)$ given by (18) that

$$\min_{|z| \leq r} \left\{ \Re \frac{[1 - \beta + \gamma q(1 + \mu)](1 + \delta q) \Psi_{2,n,q}(\alpha, \lambda)}{2 \{ [1 - \beta + \gamma q(1 + \mu)](1 + \delta q) \Psi_{2,n,q}(\alpha, \lambda) + 1 - \beta \}} F_0(z) \right\} = -\frac{1}{2} \quad (19)$$

This shows that the $\frac{[1 - \beta + \gamma q(1 + \mu)](1 + \delta q) \Psi_{2,n,q}(\alpha, \lambda)}{2 \{ [1 - \beta + \gamma q(1 + \mu)](1 + \delta q) \Psi_{2,n,q}(\alpha, \lambda) + 1 - \beta \}}$ is the best possible .

Taking $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-}$ in Theorem 2, we have

Corollary 1. Let $F \in \acute{S}_{\lambda}^{n,\alpha}(\delta, \gamma, \mu, \beta)$ whose coefficients satisfy (10) when $q \rightarrow 1^-$

and $g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k \in \mathcal{K}$, then

$$\left(\frac{[1 - \beta + \gamma(1 + \mu)](1 + \delta) \Psi_{2,n}(\alpha, \lambda)}{2\{[1 - \beta + \gamma(1 + \mu)](1 + \delta) \Psi_{2,n}(\alpha, \lambda) + 1 - \beta\}} \right) (F * g)(z) \prec g(z) \quad (20)$$

and

$$\Re \{F(z)\} > - \frac{\{[1 - \beta + \gamma(1 + \mu)](1 + \delta) \Psi_{2,n}(\alpha, \lambda) + 1 - \beta\}}{[1 - \beta + \gamma(1 + \mu)](1 + \delta) \Psi_{2,n}(\alpha, \lambda)}.$$

The factor $\frac{[1 - \beta + \gamma(1 + \mu)](1 + \delta) \Psi_{2,n}(\alpha, \lambda)}{2\{[1 - \beta + \gamma(1 + \mu)](1 + \delta) \Psi_{2,n}(\alpha, \lambda) + 1 - \beta\}}$ in (2.11) cannot be replaced by a larger one.

Remark 1. Note that for $\gamma = 1$ and $\delta = 0, 1$ respectively in Corollary 1 modified Theorems 2.4 and 2.8 of [7].

Taking $\gamma = 0$ in Theorem 2, we have

Corollary 2. Let $F \in \acute{S}_{\lambda,q}^{n,\alpha}(\delta, 0, \mu, \beta)$ whose coefficients satisfy (10) when $\gamma = 0$

and $g \in \mathcal{K}$, then

$$\left(\frac{(1 - \beta)(1 + \delta q) \Psi_{2,n,q}(\alpha, \lambda)}{2\{[(1 - \beta)(1 + \delta q) \Psi_{2,n,q}(\alpha, \lambda) + 1 - \beta]\}} \right) (F * g)(z) \prec g(z) \quad (21)$$

and

$$\Re \{F(z)\} > - \frac{[(1 - \beta)(1 + \delta q) \Psi_{2,n,q}(\alpha, \lambda) + 1 - \beta]}{(1 - \beta)(1 + \delta q) \Psi_{2,n,q}(\alpha, \lambda)}.$$

The factor $\frac{(1 - \beta)(1 + \delta) \Psi_{2,n}(\alpha, \lambda)}{2\{[(1 - \beta)(1 + \delta) \Psi_{2,n}(\alpha, \lambda) + 1 - \beta]\}}$ in (2.12) cannot be replaced by a larger one.

Taking $\mu = 0$ in Theorem 2, we have

Corollary 3. Let $F \in \acute{S}_{\lambda,q}^{n,\alpha}(\delta, \gamma, 0, \beta)$ whose coefficients satisfy (10) with $\mu = 0$

and $g \in \mathcal{K}$. Then

$$\left(\frac{(1 - \beta + \gamma q)(1 + \delta q) \Psi_{2,n,q}(\alpha, \lambda)}{2\{[(1 - \beta + \gamma q)(1 + \delta q) \Psi_{2,n,q}(\alpha, \lambda) + 1 - \beta]\}} \right) (F * g)(z) \prec g(z) \quad (22)$$

and

$$\Re \{F(z)\} > - \frac{[(1 - \beta + \gamma q)(1 + \delta q) \Psi_{2,n,q}(\alpha, \lambda) + 1 - \beta]}{(1 - \beta + \gamma q)(1 + \delta q) \Psi_{2,n,q}(\alpha, \lambda)}.$$

The factor $\frac{(1 - \beta + \gamma q)(1 + \delta q) \Psi_{2,n,q}(\alpha, \lambda)}{2\{[(1 - \beta + \gamma q)(1 + \delta q) \Psi_{2,n,q}(\alpha, \lambda) + 1 - \beta]\}}$ in (22) cannot be replaced by a larger one.

3. CONCLUSIONS

Throughout the paper, first by using the definition of q -difference operator we defined new q - Al-Oboudi - Al-Amoudi operator and which modified Al-Oboudi - Al-Amoudi operator. After that, we used the new operator to introduce new class $S_{\lambda,q}^{n,\alpha}(\delta, \gamma, \mu, \beta)$ which generalized a class of uniformly univalent functions. Finally, we obtained some subordination factor sequence results for this class and its subclasses. Our results modified previous results.

Author Contribution Statements All authors jointly worked on the results, and they read and approved the final manuscript.

Declaration of Competing Interests The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Acknowledgements The authors would like to thank Prof. Dr. M. K. Aouf for his helpful in preparing the paper and the referees for their valuable comments and helpful suggest.

REFERENCES

- [1] Risha, M.A., Annaby, M.H., Mansour, Z.S., Ismail, M.E., Linear q -difference equations, *Zeitschrift für Analysis und ihre Anwendungen*, 26(4) (2007), 481-494. doi 10.4171/zaa/1338
- [2] Al-Oboudi, F.M., On univalent functions defined by a generalized Sălăgean operator, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, 2004(27) (2004), 1429-1436. <https://doi.org/10.1155/S0161171204108090>
- [3] Al-Oboudi, F.M., Al-Amoudi, K.A., On classes of analytic functions related to conic domains, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 339(1) (2008), 655-667. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2007.05.087>
- [4] Annaby, M.H., Mansour, Z.S., Fractional q -difference equations, *q-Fractional Calculus and Equations*, (2012), 223-270.
- [5] Aouf, M.K., Mostafa, A.O., Subordination results for analytic functions associated with fractional q -calculus operators with complex order, *Afrika Matematika*, 31(7) (2020), 1387-1396. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13370-020-00803-3>
- [6] Aouf, M.K., Mostafa, A.O., Some subordinating results for classes of functions defined by Salagean type q derivative operator, *Filomat*, 34(7) (2020), 2283-2292. <https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL2007283A>
- [7] Aouf, M.K., Mostafa, A.O., Some subordination results for classes of analytic functions defined by the Al-Oboudi–Al-Amoudi operator, *Archiv der Mathematik*, 92(3) (2009), 279-286. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00013-009-2984-x>
- [8] Aouf, M.K., Mostafa, A.O., Al-Quhali, F.Y., A class of β - uniformly univalent functions defined by Salagean type q - difference operator, *Acta Univ. Apulensis*, 60 (2019), 19-35.
- [9] Aouf, M.K., Mostafa, A.O., Elmorsy, R.E, Certain subclasses of analytic functions with varying arguments associated with q -difference operator, *Afrika Matematika*, 32(3) (2021), 621-630. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13370-020-00849-3>
- [10] Aouf, M.K., Mostafa, A.O., Hussain, A.A., Properties of certain class of uniformly Starlike and Convex functions defined by convolution, *Int. J. Open Problems Complex Analysis*, 7(2) (2015), 1-15.

- [11] Aouf, M.K., Mostafa, A.O., Shahin, A.M., Madian, S.M., Subordination theorem for analytic function defined by convolution, *Indian J. Math.*, 54(1) (2012), 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL2007283A>
- [12] Aouf, M.K., Shamandy, A., Mostafa, A.O., El-Emam, F., Subordination results associated with β -uniformly convex and starlike functions, *Proc. Pakistan Acad. Sci.*, 46(2) (2009), 97-101.
- [13] Aouf, M.K., Shamandy, A., Mostafa, A.O., Madian, S.M., Subordination theorem for analytic function defined by convolution, *Proc. Pakistan Acad. Sci.*, 96(4) (2009), 227 – 232.
- [14] Bulboacă, T., Differential subordinations and subordination: Recent results, *Casa Cărtii de Știință* (2005).
- [15] Jackson, F.H., On q-functions and a certain difference operator, *Trans. R. Soc. Edinb.*, 46 (1908), 64–72.
- [16] Miller, S.S., Mocanu, P.T., Differential Subordinations: Theory and Applications, CRC Press, 2000.
- [17] Mostafa, A.O., Saleh, Z.M., On a class of uniformly analytic functions with q-analogue, *Int. J. Open Problems Complex Analysis*, 13(2) (2021), 1-13.
- [18] Murugusundaramoorthy, G., Vijaya, K., Sub classes of bi-univalent functions defined by Salagean type q-difference operator, arXiv preprint arXiv:1710.00143, 1 (2017), 1-10.
- [19] Owa, S., On the distortion theorems I, *Kyungpook Mathematical Journal*, 18(1) (1978), 53-59.
- [20] Owa, S., Srivastava, H.M., Univalent and starlike generalized hypergeometric functions, *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, 39(5) (1987), 1057-1077. <https://doi.org/10.4153/CJM-1987-054-3>
- [21] Salagean, G.S., Subclasses of univalent functions, *In complex analysis-fifth Romanian-Finnish seminar*, part-1 (Bucharest, 1981) of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 1013 (1983), 362-372.
- [22] Srivastava, H.M., Operators of basic (or q-) calculus and fractional q-calculus and their applications in geometric function theory of complex analysis, *Iranian Journal of Science and Technology, Transactions A: Science*, 44(1) (2020), 327-344. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40995-019-00815-0>
- [23] Srivastava, H.M., Mostafa, A.O., Aouf, M.K., Zayed, H.M., Basic and fractional q-calculus and associated Fekete-Szegő problem for p-valently q-starlike functions and p-valently q-convex functions of complex order, *Miskolc Mathematical Notes*, 20(1) (2019), 489-509. doi: 10.18514/mmn.2019.2405
- [24] Wilf, H.S., Subordinating factor sequences for convex maps of the unit circle, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 12(5) (1961), 689-693.