



SOME PROPERTIES OF FINITE $\{0,1\}$ -GRAPHS

İ. GÜNALTI*^{*}, A. ULUKAN AND Ş. OLGUN

ABSTRACT. Let $G=(V,E)$ be a connected graph, X be a subset of V , A be a finite subset of non-negative integers and $n(x,y)$ be the total number of neighbours of any two vertices x,y of X . The set X is called A -semiset if $n(x,y) \in A$ for any two vertices x and y of X . If X is a A -semiset, but not B -semiset for any subset B of A , the set X is called A -set. The graph $G=(V,E)$ is a A -semigraph and A -graph if V is the A -semiset and A -set, respectively. Mulder [2] observed that $\{0,\lambda\}$ -semigraphs (these graphs are called $(0,\lambda)$ -graphs by Mulder [2]), $(\lambda \geq 2)$, are regular. Furthermore a lower bound for the degree of $\{0,\lambda\}$ -semigraphs with diameter at least four was derived by Mulder [2].

In this paper, we determined basic properties of finite bigraphs with at least one $\{0,1\}$ -part.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let us first recall some definitions and results. For more details, (see [1]). To facilitate the general definition of a graph, we first introduce the concept of the unordered product of a set V with itself. Recall that the ordered product or cartesian product of a set V with itself, denoted by $V \times V$, is defined to be the set of all ordered pairs (s,t) , where $s \in V$ and $t \in V$. The symbol $\{s,t\}$ will denote an unordered pair.

A graph $G=(V,E)$ consists of a finite nonempty set V of v vertices together with a prescribed set E of e unordered pairs of distinct vertices of V . If a pair $u=\{x,y\}$ is an edge of G , u is said to join x and y . We write $u = xy$ and say that vertices x and y are adjacent vertices; the vertex x and the edge u are incident with each other, If two distinct edges u and v are incident with a common vertex, then they are adjacent edges. A vertex z which is adjacent to two distinct vertices x and y is called neighbour of x and y . The neighborhood of a vertex x is the set $N(x)$ consists of all vertices which are adjacent to x . The set $N_i(x)$ is the set of vertices at distance i from x . $G[W]$ is the subgraph of G induced by the vertex or edge set W . The degree of a vertex p is the number $d(p)$ of edges which are incident with

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53D10, 53C15, 53C25, 53C35.

Key words and phrases. graph, bipartite graph, A -semiset, convex graph.

*corresponding author.

it. Let X be a subset of V . The integer n , where $n + 1 = \max \{d(p) : p \in X\}$, is called the order of the set X . The minimum degree among the vertices of $G=(V,E)$ is denoted by $\delta(G)$. If $G=(V,E)$ contains a cycle, the girth of $G=(V,E)$ denoted $g(G)$ is the length of its shortest cycle.

Definition 1.1. Let $G=(V,E)$ be a connected graph, X be a subset of V , A be a finite subset of non-negative integers and $n(x,y)$ be the total number of neighbours of any two different x,y of X . The set X is called A -semiset if $n(x,y) \in A$ for any two vertices x and y of X . If X is a A -semiset, but not B -semiset for any subset B of A , the set X is called A -set. The graph $G=(V,E)$ is a A -semigraph and A -graph if V is the A -semiset and A -set, respectively. If the set X does not contain edge will be called edge-free.

Mulder [2] observed that $\{0,\lambda\}$ -semigraphs (these graphs are called $(0,\lambda)$ -graphs by Mulder [2]), ($\lambda \geq 2$), are regular. Furthermore a lower bound for the degree of $\{0,\lambda\}$ -semigraphs with diameter at least four was derived by Mulder [2].

Definition 1.2. A bigraph (or bipartite graph) $G=(P \cup L,E)$ is a graph whose vertex set $P \cup L$ can be partitioned into subsets P and L in which a way that each edge of E joins a vertex in P to a vertex in L . It's clear that the parts P and L are edge-free.

In this paper, we determined basic properties of finite $(0,1)$ -graphs.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Corollary 2.1. *Every subgraph of a $\{0,1\}$ -semigraph is a $\{0,1\}$ -semigraph.*

Proof. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a $\{0,1\}$ -semigraph and let $G' = (V', E')$ be subgraph of G . Since G is a $(0,1)$ -graph and $V' \subset V$ for all $x, y \in V'$, $|N(x) \cap N(y)| \leq 1$. Therefore G' is a $(0,1)$ -graph.

Corollary 2.2. *G is a $\{0,1\}$ -semigraph if and only if G is C_4 -free.*

Proof. Let G be a $(0,1)$ -graph. Suppose that, G isn't C_4 -free. Then, G contains at least one C_4 in which $u_1 - u_2 - u_3 - u_4 - u_1$ for $u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4 \in V$. Therefore, $N(u_1) \cap N(u_3) = \{u_2\}$ and $N(u_1) \cap N(u_3) = \{u_4\}$. In this case, $|N(u_1) \cap N(u_3)| = 2$. This case contradicts with being a $(0,1)$ -graph of G . So, G is C_4 -free.

Conversely, let G be C_4 -free. If G isn't a $(0,1)$ -graph, then there are at least two different $u_1, u_2 \in V$ such that $|N(u_1) \cap N(u_2)| \geq 2$. Let v_1 and v_2 be two different vertices in the set $N(u_1) \cap N(u_2)$. Then $u_1 - v_2 - u_1 - v_1 - u_1$ is cycle of four length in G . This contradicts with being C_4 -free of G . So, G is a $(0,1)$ -graph.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a $\{0,1\}$ -semigraph, $gird(G) \geq 8$ and $v \in V$. If $P = N_0(v) \cup N_2(v)$ and $L = N_1(v) \cup N_3(v)$, then $G' = [(P \cup L)]$ is bipartite subgraph of G .*

Proof. Let G be a $\{0,1\}$ -semigraph. Since G' is subgraph of G , G' is a $(0,1)$ -graph by proposition 2.1. By the definition of P and L , $P \cap L = \text{nothing}$. In this case, we show that $G' = [(P \cup L)]$ is a bipartite $\{0,1\}$ -semigraph with parts P and L .

(i) Suppose, there are at least two vertices x and y in P such that $\{x, y\} \in E$. If $x = v$, then $y \in N_2(v)$. Thus, there is a u vertex in L which $N(x) \cap N(y) = N(v) \cap N(y) = \{u\}$. Then, $x - u - y - x$ is cycle of three-length which contradicts $g(G) \geq 8$. The same contradict occurs for $y = v$, thus $x \neq v \neq y$ and $x, y \in N_2(v)$.

Let $P_1 : x - u - v$ and $P_2 : v - t - y$ be two paths. In this case, if $u \neq t$, $P_1 \cup P_2$ is a cycle of length 5. This contradicts $g(G) \geq 8$. Therefore $u = t$. In this case, P contains a cycle of length 3, contradict $g(G) \geq 8$. So, P is edge-free.

(ii) Suppose, there are at least two different x and y in L for which $\{x, y\} \in E$. There are three cases for x and y .

Case 1: If $x, y \in N_1(v)$ then, $x - y - v - x$ is a cycle of length 3. This contradicts with $g(G) \geq 8$.

Case 2: If $x, y \in N_3(v)$, let us consider minimum paths

$$P_1 : v - x_1 - x_2 - x \text{ and } P_2 : v - y_1 - y_2 - y$$

For $\forall i, j : 1, 2$, if $x_i \neq y_j$, then seven length $v - x_1 - x_2 - x - y - y_2 - y_1 - v$ cycle is obtained. This contradicts $g(G) \geq 8$. Then, there is at least one pair (i, j) for which $x_i = y_j$. Thus G contains cycle of length 5 or 3.

If $x_i = y_j$, then G consist at least one $x - x_2 - x_1 - y_2 - y - x$ five-length cycle, contradicts $g(G) \geq 8$. Similar contradiction is obtained in all other cases.

Case 3: Let $x \in N_1(v)$ and $y \in N_3(v)$. Suppose $P_1 : v - y_1 - y_2 - y$ is three length path. If $x \in P$, then $x - y_2 - y - x$ is a cycle of three-length in G , contradiction. Therefore, $x \notin P_1$, $x \neq y_1$ and $x \neq y_2$. Thus $v - x - y - y_2 - y_1 - v$ is a cycle of length 5 in G . This contradicts with $g(G) \geq 8$. So, L is edge-free.

Theorem 2.2. Let $G=(P \cup L, E)$ be a connected bigraph with parts P and L . If the part P is a $\{0, 1\}$ -semiset, the part L is $\{0, 1\}$ -semiset and $G=(P \cup L, E)$ is $\{0, 1\}$ -semibigraph.

Proof. Let $G=(P \cup L, E)$ be a bigraph with parts P and L and let the part P be a $\{0, 1\}$ -semiset. Assume that the part L does not $\{0, 1\}$ -semiset. Then the part L has at least two distinct vertices u and w having at least two distinct common neighbours x and y in the part P . This contradict to choosen of the part P . Thus the part L is $\{0, 1\}$ -semiset and $G=(P \cup L, E)$ is $\{0, 1\}$ -semibigraph.

Let $G=(P \cup L, E)$ be a $\{0, 1\}$ -semibigraph with parts P and L . and $|P| = v$, $|L| = b$, the vertices of P will be labelled p_1, p_2, \dots, p_v . Similary, the vertices of L will be labelled l_1, l_2, \dots, l_b . To make our notation even more concise we define,

$$r_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{If } p_i l_j \notin E \\ 1, & \text{If } p_i l_j \in E \end{cases}$$

we see that the (i, j) th entry of the matrix $A = [r_{ij}]_{n \times m}$ is just the number r_{ij} . The matrix A is called incidence matrix of G . Where, $n = |V(G)|$, $m = |E|$. The matrix $A' = [r_{ij}]_{v \times b}$ is called blok matrix of G

Theorem 2.3. Let $A = [r_{ij}]_{v \times b}$ be the blok matrix of a $\{0, 1\}$ -semibigraph G , then the following equations valid.

- (i) $\sum_{i=1}^v r_{ij} = v_j$, $\sum_{j=1}^b r_{ij} = b_i$ and
- (ii) $\sum_{j=1}^b v_j = \sum_{j=1}^b (\sum_{i=1}^v r_{ij}) = \sum_{i=1}^v (\sum_{j=1}^b r_{ij}) = \sum_{i=1}^v b_i$.

Proof. If we add the 1's in each column, column by column, we get $\sum_{i=1}^v v_i$. If we add the 1's in each row, row by row, we get $\sum_{i=1}^v b_i$. But obviously we are just counting the same number of 1's in two different ways so we have the equations

- (i) $\sum_{i=1}^v r_{ij} = v_j$, $\sum_{j=1}^b r_{ij} = b_i$ and
- (ii) $\sum_{j=1}^b v_j = \sum_{j=1}^b (\sum_{i=1}^v r_{ij}) = \sum_{i=1}^v (\sum_{j=1}^b r_{ij}) = \sum_{i=1}^v b_i$

Theorem 2.4. *If $r_{ij} = 0$ then the number adjacent vertices to p_i and dont have common neighbour to l_j is $d(p_i) - p_{ij}$.*

Proof. *Since $d(p_i)$ is the total number of vertices which are adjacent with p_i and by definition p_{ij} the result is immediate.*

Theorem 2.5. *If $G=(P \cup L,E)$ be a $\{0,1\}$ -semibigraph with parts P and L and the part P be a $\{0,1\}$ -semiset and $p_{ij} = d(l_j)$ for every vertex p_i of P and vertex l_j of L such that $r_{ij} = 0$ then P is a $\{1\}$ -set.*

Proof. *Since $|L| = b \geq 1$, there is a vertex l_k of L , say. We must show that the set P is $\{1\}$ -set, that is, for any distinct two vertices p_i and p_j of P , $n(p_i, p_j) = 1$. Let p_i, p_j be two distinct vertices of P . If $r_{ik} = r_{jk} = 1$, $n(p_i, p_j) = 1$. If $r_{ik} = 0$ and $r_{jk} = 1$ then by assumption $p_{ik} = d(l_k)$ so that p_i has a common neighbour with vertex which is adjacent to l_k . In particular, p_i and p_j have common neighbour. Thus $n(p_i, p_j) = 1$. Finally, if $r_{ik} = r_{jk} = 0$, using the hypothesis once again, for a vertex q which is adjacent with l_k $n(p_i, q) = 1$. If the vertex p_j is adjacent with common neighbour of vertices p_i and q , $n(p_i, p_j) = 1$ and otherwise, by the hypothesis one last time to get a common neighbour of vertices p_i and p_j . Therefore $n(p_i, p_j) = 1$, that is, P is $\{1\}$ -set.*

Theorem 2.6. *Let $G=(P \cup L,E)$ be a $\{0,1\}$ -semibigraph and let $|P| = v$, $|L| = b$, $\delta(L) \geq 2$. P is a $\{1\}$ -set if and only if*

$$\sum_{j=1}^b d(l_j)(d(l_j) - 1) \geq v(v - 1)$$

Proof. *Suppose that P is $\{1\}$ -set. Counting the number of pairs of vertices of P in two different ways. First of all, there are $\binom{v}{2}$ pairs of vertices of P (counting $\{p_i, p_j\}$ to be same pair as $\{p_j, p_i\}$). Second way, since P is $\{1\}$ -set, there is a unique l vertex of L which $l \in N(p_i) \cap N(p_j)$. Thus, the total number of pairs of vertices of P is the total number of pairs of vertices of $N(l)$, for each $l \in L$. Summed over all vertices of L , that is $\sum_{j=1}^b d(l_j)(d(l_j) - 1)/2$. So,*

$$\sum_{j=1}^b d(l_j)(d(l_j) - 1) = v(v - 1)$$

Suppose, convercely, that

$$\sum_{j=1}^b d(l_j)(d(l_j) - 1) \geq v(v - 1) \dots *$$

We prove that P is $\{1\}$ -set by induction on v . Since $\delta(L) \geq 2$, v is at least two and $b = 1$. In the case, P is $\{1\}$ -set. If $v = 3$ there are exactly three possibilities, for $b = 1, 2$ or 3 . Of these, only the case $b = 1$, $p_1 = v = 3$ and $b = 3$, $p_1 = p_2 = p_3 = 2$ satisfy inequality. In both of these case, P is $\{1\}$ -set.

Suppose then that if the inequality holds for a partial adjacent bigraph G' with part P' and L' which P' is a part with fewer than v vertices then P' is $\{1\}$ -set. We may assume $\sum_{j=1}^b d(l_j)(d(l_j) - 1) \geq v(v - 1)$ in G , where $v \geq 4$. Let $p \in P$ be and consider the partial adjacent bigraph G' with part P' and L' which is the restriction of G to $P \setminus \{p\}$. So $P' = P - \{p\}$ and $L' = \{l \in L \mid \{p, l\} \notin E \text{ and } d(l) \geq 3\}$. As $|P'| = v - 1$, we attempt to prove that P is $\{1\}$ -set by showing that appropriate inequality above holds. Its right hand side becomes $(v - 1)(v - 2)$.

In G' ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{l'_j} d(l'_j)(d(l'_j) - 1) &= \sum_{l_j \notin N(p)} d(l_j)(d(l_j) - 1) + \sum_{l_j \in N(p), d(l_j) \geq 3} d(l'_j)(d(l'_j) - 1) \\
&= \sum_{l_j \notin N(p)} d(l_j)(d(l_j) - 1) + \sum_{l_j \in N(p), d(l_j) \geq 3} (d(l_j) - 1)(d(l_j) - 2) \\
&= \sum_{l_j \notin N(p)} d(l_j)(d(l_j) - 1) + \sum_{l_j \in N(p), d(l_j) \geq 3} d(l_j)(d(l_j) - 1) \\
&\quad - 2 \left(\sum_{l_j \in N(p), d(l_j) \geq 3} (d(l_j) - 1) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

In G ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{l_j} d(l_j)(d(l_j) - 1) &= \sum_{l_j \notin N(p)} d(l_j)(d(l_j) - 1) + \sum_{l_j \in N(p), d(l_j) \geq 3} d(l_j)(d(l_j) - 1) \\
&\quad + \sum_{l_j \in N(p), d(l_j) = 2} d(l_j)(d(l_j) - 1) \\
\sum_{l_j \notin N(p)} d(l_j)(d(l_j) - 1) &= \sum_{l_j} d(l_j)(d(l_j) - 1) - \sum_{l_j \in N(p), d(l_j) \geq 3} d(l_j)(d(l_j) - 1) \\
&\quad - \sum_{l_j \in N(p), d(l_j) = 2} d(l_j)(d(l_j) - 1)
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting in the above, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{l'_j} d(l'_j)(d(l'_j) - 1) &= \sum_{l_j} d(l_j)(d(l_j) - 1) - 2 \sum_{l_j \in N(p), d(l_j) \geq 3} (d(l_j) - 1) \\
&\quad - \sum_{l_j \in N(p), d(l_j) = 2} d(l_j)(d(l_j) - 1) \\
&= \sum_{l_j} d(l_j)(d(l_j) - 1) - 2 \left(\sum_{l_j \in N(p)} (d(l_j) - 1) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

By hypothesis, $\sum_{l_j} d(l_j)(d(l_j) - 1) \geq v(v - 1)$. By counting total degree of the vertices of L which adjacent to p , it becomes evident that $\sum_{l_j \in N(p)} (d(l_j) - 1) \leq v - 1$ and so $-2 \left(\sum_{l_j \in N(p)} (d(l_j) - 1) \right) \geq -2(v - 1)$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{l'_j} d(l'_j)(d(l'_j) - 1) \geq v(v - 1) - 2(v - 1) = (v - 1)(v - 2)$$

as desired. By our induction hypothesis, $P' = P - \{p\}$ is $\{1\}$ -set in G' . In the case, show that, for each p' of P' , there is a exactly one common vertex of p and p' . Let p'' be arbitrarily vertex of P which $p'' \neq p$ and $p'' \neq p'$. $P'' = P - \{p''\}$ is a restriction of P with $v - 1$ vertices and the and the argument used above shows that $P'' = P - \{p''\}$ is $\{1\}$ -set. Hence there is exactly one common vertex of p and p' .

3. REFERENCES

- [1] A.S. Asratian, T.M.J. Denley and R. Höggkvist, Bipartite graphs and their applications, Cambridge Uni. Press, United Kingdom, (1998).
- [2] M. Mulder, $(0, \lambda)$ -graph and n -cubes, Discrete mathematics 28 (1979) 179-188.
- [3] P. Hall, On Representation of Subset, J. London Mth. Soc. 10 (1935) 26-30
- [4] J. Plesnik, A note on the complexity of finding regular subgraphs, Discrete Math., 49 (1984), 161-67
- [5] F. Harary, D. Hsu and Z. Miller, The biparticity of a graph, J. Graph Theory 1 (1977) 131-133.
- [6] A. Ulukan, On the Finite Adjacent Bipartite Graphs, PHD thesis.
- [7] İ. Günaltılı, Finite regular bigraphs with at least one $\{1\}$ -part , (preprint).

ESKIŞEHİR OSMANGAZI UNIVERSITY DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCES,
ESKIŞEHİR-TURKEY

E-mail address: `igunalti@ogu.edu.tr`

E-mail address: `aulukan@anadolu.edu.tr`

E-mail address: `solgun@ogu.edu.tr`