

SOME RESULTS ON PSEUDO RICCI SYMMETRIC ALMOST α -COSYMPLECTIC *f*-MANIFOLDS

YAVUZ SELIM BALKAN AND NESIP AKTAN

ABSTRACT. In this study, we consider pseudo Ricci symmetric almost α -cosymplectic f-manifolds. We get some results on pseudo Ricci symmetric α -cosymplectic f-manifolds and almost α -cosymplectic f-manifolds verifying (κ, μ, ν)-nullity conditions.

1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of f-structure, which is a generalization of almost complex structures and almost contact structures, was firstly introduced by Yano in 1963 [1]. In 1971, Goldberg and Yano defined that globally framed f-structures are f-structures and globally framed manifolds are f pk-manifolds [2]. Later many authors studied f pk-manifolds. In 2006, Falcitelli and Pastore defined (2n + s)-dimensional almost Kenmotsu f-manifolds [3]. Öztürk et. all defined almost α -cosymplectic f-manifolds [4].

The notion of an almost cosymplectic manifold was introduced by Goldberg and Yano in 1969 [9]. The simplest examples of such manifolds are those being the products (possibly local) of almost Kählerian manifolds and the real line \mathbb{R} or the circle S^1 . In particular, cosymplectic manifolds in the sense of Blair [10] are of this type. However the class of almost cosymplectic manifolds is much more wider. There are already many known examples (among others, compact, homogeneous) of such manifolds which are not products (even locally). See Cordero et al. [11] Chinea and Gonzalez [12] and Olszak ([13],[14]).

The topology of cosymplectic manifolds was studied by Blair and Goldberg [15], Chinea et al. ([16], [17]) and others. Most of the results of Libermann [18], Lichnerowicz [19], Fujimoto and Muto [20] also have applications in characterizing of topological and analytical properties of almost cosymplectic manifolds (these authors have used a different terminology).

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 53D10, 53C15, 53C25, 53C35.

Key words and phrases. Cosymplectic manifolds, almost α -cosymplectic manifolds, f-manifolds, weakly symmetric manifolds.

Curvature properties of almost cosymplectic manifolds were studied mainly by Golberg and Yano [9], Olszak ([13], [14]), Kirichenko [21] and Endo [22]. We relate some of them in a historical order.

As a generalization of Chaki's pseudosymmetric and pseudo Ricci symmetric manifolds ([28] and [29]), the notion of weakly symmetric and weakly Ricci-symmetric manifolds were introduced by Tamassy and Binh ([30] and [31]). These type manifolds were studied with different structures by several authors ([30], [32] and [33]). Recently in [34], Özgür studied weakly symmetric Kenmotsu manifolds. The notion of special weakly Ricci symmetric manifolds was introduced and studied by Singh, and Khan in [35]. Aktan studied special weakly symmetric Kenmotsu manifolds [36].

In this paper, we have studied some geometric properties of pseudo Ricci-symmetric almost α -cosymplectic f-manifold.

2. Preliminaries

Let M be a real (2n + s)-dimensional smooth manifolds. M admits an fstructure [1] if there exists a non-null smooth (1, 1) tensor field φ , of the tangent bundle TM, satisfying $\varphi^3 + \varphi = 0$, $rank\varphi = 2n$. An f-structure is a generalization of almost complex (s = 0) and almost contact (s = 1) structure. In the latter case, M is orientable [25]. Corresponding to two complementary projection operators P and Q applied to TM, defined by $P = -\varphi^2$ and $Q = \varphi^2 + I$, where I is the identity operator, there exist two complementary distributions \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{D}^{\perp} such that $\dim(\mathcal{D}) = 2n$ and $\dim(\mathcal{D}) = s$. The following relations hold

(2.1)
$$\varphi P = P\varphi = \varphi, \quad \varphi Q = Q\varphi = 0, \quad \varphi^2 P = -P, \quad \varphi^2 Q = 0.$$

Thus, we have an almost complex distribution $(\mathcal{D}, J = \varphi_{|_{\mathcal{D}}}, J^2 = -I)$ and φ acts on \mathcal{D}^{\perp} as a null operator. It follows that

(2.2)
$$TM = \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{\perp}, \quad \mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{D}^{\perp} = \{0\}.$$

Assume that \mathcal{D}_p^{\perp} is spanned by *s* globally defined orthonormal vectors $\{\xi_i\}$ at each point $p \in M$, $(1 \leq i, j, \dots \leq s)$, with its dual set $\{\eta_i\}$. Then one obtains

(2.3)
$$\varphi^2 = -I + \sum_{i=1}^s \eta^i \otimes \xi_i.$$

In above case, M is called a globally framed manifold (or simply an *f*-manifold) ([1], [26] and [27]) and we denote its framed structure by $M(\varphi, \xi_i)$. From the conditions one has

(2.4)
$$\varphi \xi_i = 0, \quad \eta^i \circ \varphi = 0, \quad \eta^i \left(\xi_j\right) = \delta_i^j$$

Now, we consider compatible Riemannian metric g on M with an f-structure such that

(2.5)
$$g(\phi X, Y) + g(X, \phi Y) = 0,$$
$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \sum_{i=1}^{s} \eta^{i}(X) \eta^{i}(Y),$$
$$g(X, \xi_{i}) = \eta^{i}(X).$$

In the above case, we say that M is a metric f-manifold and its associated structure will be denoted by $M(\phi, \xi_i, \eta^i, g)$.

58

A framed structure $M(\phi, \xi_i)$ is said to be normal [27] if the torsion tensor N_{ϕ} of ϕ is zero i.e., if

(2.6)
$$N_{\phi} = N + 2\sum_{i=1}^{s} d\eta^{i} \otimes \xi_{i} = 0,$$

where N denotes the Nijenhuis tensor field of ϕ .

Define a 2-form Φ on M by $\Phi(X, Y) = g(X, \phi Y)$, for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$. The Levi-Civita connection ∇ of a metric f-manifold satisfies the following formula [26]:

(2.7)
$$2g((\nabla_X \phi) Y, Z) = 3d\Phi(X, \phi Y, \phi Z) - 3d\Phi(X, Y, Z) +g(N(Y, Z), \phi X) + N_j^2(Y, Z)\eta^j(X) +2d\eta^j(\phi Y, X)\eta^j(Z) - 2d\eta^j(\phi Z, X)\eta^j(Y),$$

where the tensor field N_j^2 is defined by $N_j^2(X,Y) = (L_{\phi X}\eta^j)Y - (L_{\phi Y}\eta^j)X = 2d\eta^j(\phi X,Y) - 2d\eta^j(\phi Y,X)$, for each $j \in \{1,...,s\}$. Throughout this paper we denote by $\overline{\eta} = \eta^1 + \eta^2 + ... + \eta^s$, $\overline{\xi} = \xi^1 + \xi^2 + ... + \xi^s$

Throughout this paper we denote by $\overline{\eta} = \eta^1 + \eta^2 + \ldots + \eta^s$, $\xi = \xi^1 + \xi^2 + \ldots + \xi^s$ and $\overline{\delta}_i^j = \delta_i^1 + \delta_i^2 + \ldots + \delta_i^s$.

Definition 2.1. [4] Let $M(\varphi, \xi_i, \eta^i, g)$ be (2n + s)-dimensional a metric f-manifold. For each η^i , $(1 \le i \le s)$ 1-forms and each Φ 2-forms, if $d\eta^i = 0$ and $d\Phi = 2\alpha \overline{\eta} \wedge \Phi$ satisfy, then M is called almost α -cosymplectic f-manifold.

The manifold is called generalized almost Kenmotsu *f*-manifold for $\alpha = 1$ [4].

Let M be an almost α -cosymplectic f-manifold. Since the distribution \mathcal{D} is integrable, we have $L_{\xi_i}\eta^j = 0$, $[\xi_i, \xi_j] \in \mathcal{D}$ and $[X, \xi_j] \in \mathcal{D}$ for any $X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$. Then the Levi-Civita connection is given by: (2.8)

$$2g\left(\left(\nabla_X\varphi\right)Y,Z\right) = 2\alpha\left(\sum_{j=1}^s \left(g\left(\varphi X,Y\right)\xi_j - \eta^j\left(Y\right)\varphi X\right),Z\right) + g\left(N\left(Y,Z\right),\varphi X\right),$$

for any $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TM)$. Putting $X = \xi_i$ we obtain $\nabla_{\xi_i} \varphi = 0$ which implies $\nabla_{\xi_i} \xi_j \in \mathcal{D}^{\perp}$ and then $\nabla_{\xi_i} \xi_j = \nabla_{\xi_j} \xi_i$, since $[\xi_i, \xi_j] = 0$.

We put $A_i X = -\nabla_X \xi_i$ and $h_i = \frac{1}{2} (L_{\xi_i} \varphi)$, where L denotes the Lie derivative operator.

Proposition 2.1. [4] For any $i \in \{1, ..., s\}$ the tensor field A_i is a symmetric operator such that

- 1) $A_i(\xi_j) = 0$, for any $j \in \{1, ..., s\}$.
- 2) $A_i \circ \varphi + \varphi \circ A_i = -2\alpha \varphi.$
- 3) $tr(A_i) = -2\alpha n$.

Proposition 2.2. [5] For any $i \in \{1, ..., s\}$ the tensor field h_i is a symmetric operator and satisfies

- *i*) $h_i \xi_j = 0$, for any $j \in \{1, ..., s\}$.
- $ii) h_i \circ \varphi + \varphi \circ h_i = 0.$
- *iii*) $trh_i = 0$.
- iv) $tr\varphi h_i = 0.$

Proposition 2.3. [4] ∇_{φ} satisfies the following relation: (2.9)

$$(\nabla_{X\varphi})Y + (\nabla_{\varphi X}\varphi)\varphi Y = \sum_{i=1}^{s} \left[-\alpha \left(\eta^{i}(Y)\varphi X + 2g(X,\varphi Y)\xi_{i}\right) - \eta^{i}(Y)h_{i}X\right].$$

Definition 2.2. [4] Let M be an almost α -cosymplectic f-manifold, κ, μ and ν are real constants. We say that M verifies the (κ, μ, ν) -nullity condition if and only if for each $i \in \{1, ..., s\}$, $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ the following identity holds

(2.10)

$$R(X,Y)\xi_{i} = \kappa \left(\overline{\eta}(X)\varphi^{2}Y - \overline{\eta}(Y)\varphi^{2}X\right) + \mu \left(\overline{\eta}(Y)h_{i}X - \overline{\eta}(X)h_{i}Y\right) + \nu \left(\overline{\eta}(Y)\varphi h_{i}X - \overline{\eta}(X)\varphi h_{i}Y\right).$$

Lemma 2.1. [4] Let M be an almost α -cosymplectic f-manifold verifying (κ, μ, ν) nullity condition. Then we have

(i)
$$h_i \circ h_j = h_j \circ h_i$$
 for each $i, j \in \{1, 2, ..., s\}$

(*ii*)
$$\kappa \leq -\alpha^2$$

(iii) If $\kappa < -\alpha^2$ then, for each $i \in \{1, 2, ..., s\}$, h_i has eigen values $0, \pm \sqrt{-(\kappa + \alpha^2)}$.

Proposition 2.4. [4] Let M be an almost α -cosymplectic f-manifold verifying (κ, μ, ν) -nullity condition. Then

(2.11)
$$h_1 = \dots = h_s.$$

Remark 2.1. [4] Throughout all this paper whenever (2.10) holds we put $h := h_1 = \dots = h_s$. Then (2.10) becomes

(2.12)

$$R(X,Y)\xi_{i} = \kappa \left(\overline{\eta}(X)\varphi^{2}Y - \overline{\eta}(Y)\varphi^{2}X\right) + \mu \left(\overline{\eta}(Y)hX - \overline{\eta}(X)hY\right) + \nu \left(\overline{\eta}(Y)\varphi hX - \overline{\eta}(X)\varphi hY\right).$$

Furthermore, using (2.12), the symmetry properties of the curvature tensor and the symmetry of φ^2 and h, we get

(2.13)

$$R(\xi_{i}, X)Y = \kappa \left(\overline{\eta}(Y)\varphi^{2}X - g\left(X, \varphi^{2}Y\right)\overline{\xi}\right) + \mu \left(g\left(X, hY\right)\overline{\xi} - \overline{\eta}(Y)hX\right) + \nu \left(g\left(\varphi hX, Y\right)\overline{\xi} - \overline{\eta}(Y)\varphi hX\right)$$

Remark 2.2. Let M be an almost α -cosymplectic f-manifold verifying (κ, μ, ν) nullity condition, with $\kappa \neq -\alpha^2$. We denote by \mathcal{D}_+ and \mathcal{D}_- the *n*-dimensional distributions of the eigenspaces of $\lambda = \sqrt{-(\kappa + \alpha^2)}$ and $-\lambda$, respectively. We have that \mathcal{D}_+ and \mathcal{D}_- are mutually orthogonal. Moreover, since φ anticommutes with h, we have $\varphi(\mathcal{D}_+) = \mathcal{D}_-$ and $\varphi(\mathcal{D}_-) = \mathcal{D}_+$. In other words, \mathcal{D}_+ is a Legendrian distribution and \mathcal{D}_- is the conjugate Legendrian distribution of \mathcal{D}_+ .

60

3. Curvature Properties

Let $M(\varphi, \xi_i, \eta^i, g)$ be a (2n+s)-dimensional almost Kenmotsu *f*-manifold. We consider the (1, 1)-tensor fields defined by

$$l_{ij}\left(X\right) = R_{X\xi_i}\xi_j$$

for each $X \in \Gamma(TM)$, $i, j \in \{1, ..., s\}$ and put $l_i = l_{ii}$.

Proposition 3.1. [4] Let M be an almost α -cosymplectic f-manifold. Then we have

$$(3.1) \qquad R(X,Y)\xi_{i} = \alpha^{2}\sum_{k=1}^{s} \left[\eta^{k}(Y)\varphi^{2}X - \eta^{k}(X)\varphi^{2}Y\right] \\ -\alpha\sum_{k=1}^{s} \left[\eta^{k}(X)\left(\varphi\circ h_{k}\right)Y - \eta^{k}(Y)\left(\varphi\circ h_{k}\right)X\right] \\ + \left(\nabla_{Y}\left(\varphi\circ h_{i}\right)\right)X - \left(\nabla_{X}\left(\varphi\circ h_{i}\right)\right)Y,$$

for each $X \in \Gamma(TM)$.

Lemma 3.1. [4] For an almost α -cosymplectic f-manifold with the f-structure $(\varphi, \xi_i, \eta^i, g)$, the following relations hold (3.2)

$$l_{ji}\left(X\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{s} \delta_{j}^{k} \left[\alpha^{2} \varphi^{2} X + \alpha \left(\varphi \circ h_{k}\right) X\right] + \alpha \left(\varphi \circ h_{i}\right) X - \left(h_{i} \circ h_{j}\right) X + \varphi \left(\nabla_{\xi_{j}} h_{i}\right) X,$$

(3.3)
$$R(\xi_j, X)\xi_i - \varphi R(\xi_j, \varphi X)\xi_i = 2\left[-\alpha^2 \varphi^2 X + (h_i \circ h_j)X\right],$$

(3.4)
$$\left(\nabla_{\xi_j} h_i\right) X = -\varphi l_{ji} \left(X\right) - \alpha^2 \varphi X - \alpha h_i X - \alpha h_j X - \left(\varphi \circ h_i \circ h_j\right) X,$$

(3.5)
$$S(X,\xi_i) = -2n\alpha^2 \sum_{k=1}^s \eta^k (X) - (\operatorname{div} (\varphi \circ h_i)) X,$$

(3.6)
$$S\left(\xi_{i},\xi_{j}\right) = -2n\alpha^{2} - tr\left(h_{j}\circ h_{i}\right),$$

(3.7)
$$(\nabla_{\xi_i} h_i) X = -\varphi l_{ii} (X) - \alpha^2 \varphi X - 2\alpha h_i X - (\varphi \circ h_i^2) X$$

for each $X \in \Gamma(TM)$.

Remark 3.1. Let M be an almost α -cosymplectic f-manifold verifying (κ, μ, ν) -nullity condition. Then for each $i, j \in \{1, ..., s\}$ we have

(3.8)
$$l_{ji} = -\kappa \varphi^2 + \mu h + \nu \varphi h.$$

It follows that all the l_{ji} 's coincide. We put $l = l_{ji}$.

Lemma 3.2. Let M be an almost α -cosymplectic f-manifold verifying (κ, μ, ν) nullity condition. Then for each $i \in \{1, ..., s\}$ and $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ we have

(3.9)
$$(\nabla_{\xi_i} h) X = -\mu \varphi h X + \nu h X - 2\alpha h X,$$

$$(3.10) l\varphi - \varphi l = 2\mu h\varphi + 2\nu h,$$

$$(3.11) l\varphi + \varphi l = 2\kappa\varphi$$

Proof. From (3.7), using (3.8) and (??) we get (3.9). (3.10) and (3.11) follow directly from (3.8) using $h \circ \varphi = -\varphi \circ h$. For the proof of (3.12) we fix $x \in M$ and $\{E_1, ..., E_{2n+s}\}$ a local φ -basis around x with $E_{2n+1} = \xi_1, ..., E_{2n+s} = \xi_s$. Then using (2.13) and trace (h) = 0 and trace $(\varphi h) = 0$ we get $Q\xi_i = \sum_{j=1}^{2n} R_{\xi_i E_j} E_j = \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \kappa g \left(\varphi^2 E_j, E_j\right) \overline{\xi} = \kappa \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \delta_{jj} \overline{\xi}$.

4. On Pseudo Ricci-Symmetric Almost $\alpha\text{-}\mathrm{Cosymplectic}\ f\text{-}\mathrm{Manifolds}$

Definition 4.1. A (2n + s)-dimensional almost α -cosymplectic *f*-manifold (M, g) is called a pseudo Ricci-symmetric manifold $(SWRS)_{(2n+s)}$ if

(4.1)
$$(\nabla_X S)(Y,Z) = 2\beta(X)S(Y,Z) + \beta(Y)S(X,Z) + \beta(Z)S(Y,X),$$

for any $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TM)$, where β is a 1-form and is defined by

(4.2)
$$\beta(X) = g(X, \rho),$$

where ρ is associated vector field. This notion was introduced by Chaki [29].

Theorem 4.1. If a pseudo Ricci-symmetric almost α -cosymplectic f-manifold admits a cyclic parallel Ricci tensor then the 1-form β must be vanish.

Proof. Let (4.1) and (4.2) be satisfied in an almost α -cosymplectic *f*-manifold. Taking the cyclic sum in (4.1) we get,

(4.3)
$$(\nabla_X S) (Y, Z) + (\nabla_Y S) (Z, X) + (\nabla_Z S) (X, Y) = 4 (\beta (X) S (Y, Z) + \beta (Y) S (X, Z) + \beta (Z) S (X, Y))$$

Let M admit a cyclic Ricci tensor. Then (4.3) reduces to

(4.4)
$$(\beta(X) S(Y,Z) + \beta(Y) S(X,Z) + \beta(Z) S(X,Y)) = 0.$$

Taking $Z = \xi_i$ in (4.4) and using (3.5) and (4.2), we obtain

(4.5)
$$\beta(X) \left[-2n\alpha^2 \sum_{k=1}^{s} \eta^k (Y) - (div (\varphi \circ h_i)) Y \right] +\beta(Y) \left[-2n\alpha^2 \sum_{k=1}^{s} \eta^k (X) - (div (\varphi \circ h_i)) X \right] +\eta_i (\rho) S(X, Y) = 0.$$

Now putting $Y = \xi_i$ in (4.5) and using (2.4), (3.5) (4.2) and $(div (\varphi \circ h_i)) \xi_i$, we get

(4.6)
$$\beta(X) \begin{bmatrix} -2n\alpha^2 \end{bmatrix} \\ +\eta_i(\rho) \begin{bmatrix} -2n\alpha^2 \sum_{k=1}^s \eta^k(X) - (div(\varphi \circ h_i))X \\ +\eta_i(\rho) \begin{bmatrix} -2n\alpha^2 \sum_{k=1}^s \eta^k(X) - (div(\varphi \circ h_i))X \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

Taking $X = \xi_i$ (4.6) and using (2.4), (3.5) (4.2) and $(div (\varphi \circ h_i)) \xi_i$, we have

(4.7)
$$\eta_i(\rho) = 0$$

So by use of (4.7) in (4.6), since $\alpha \neq 0$, we get $\beta(X) = 0$, for any vector field X on M. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 4.2. If a pseudo Ricci-symmetric almost α -cosymplectic f-manifold verifying (κ, μ, ν) -nullity condition, admits a cyclic parallel Ricci tensor then the 1-form β must be vanish.

Proof. Let (4.1) and (4.2) be satisfied in an almost α -cosymplectic *f*-manifold verifying (κ, μ, ν) -nullity condition, . Taking the cyclic sum in (4.1) we get,

(4.8)
$$(\nabla_X S) (Y, Z) + (\nabla_Y S) (Z, X) + (\nabla_Z S) (X, Y) = 4 (\beta (X) S (Y, Z) + \beta (Y) S (X, Z) + \beta (Z) S (X, Y))$$

SOME RESULTS ON PSEUDO RICCI SYMMETRIC ALMOST α -COSYMPLECTIC f-MANIFOLIOS

Let M admit a cyclic Ricci tensor. Then (4.8) reduces to

(4.9)
$$(\beta(X) S(Y,Z) + \beta(Y) S(X,Z) + \beta(Z) S(X,Y)) = 0.$$

Taking $Z = \xi_i$ in (4.9) and using (3.12) and (4.2), we obtain

(4.10) $\beta(X) [2n\kappa\overline{\eta}(Y)] + \beta(Y) [2n\kappa\overline{\eta}(X)] + \eta_i(\rho) S(X,Y) = 0.$

Now putting $Y = \xi_i$ in (4.10) and using (2.4), (3.12) and (4.2), we get

(4.11)
$$\beta(X) [2n\kappa] + \eta_i(\rho) [2n\kappa\overline{\eta}(X)] + \eta_i(\rho) [2n\kappa\overline{\eta}(X)] = 0$$

Taking $X = \xi_i$ (4.11) and using (2.4), (3.12) and (4.2), we have

(4.12)
$$\eta_i(\rho) = 0$$

So by use of (4.12) in (4.11), we get $\beta(X) = 0$, for any vector field X on M. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 4.3. A pseudo Ricci-symmetric almost α -cosymplectic f-manifold can not be an Einstein manifold if the 1-form $\beta = 0$.

Proof. For an Einstein manifold $(\nabla_X S)(Y, Z)$ and S(Y, Z) = kg(Y, Z) $(k \in \mathbb{R})$ then (4.1) gives

$$(4.13) 2\beta(X) S(Y,Z) + \beta(Y) S(X,Z) + \beta(Z) S(Y,X) = 0.$$

Taking $Z = \xi_i$ in (4.13) and using (3.5) and (4.2), we obtain

(4.14)
$$2\beta(X) \left[-2n\alpha^2 \sum_{k=1}^{s} \eta^k(Y) - (div(\varphi \circ h_i))Y\right] \\ +\beta(Y) \left[-2n\alpha^2 \sum_{k=1}^{s} \eta^k(X) - (div(\varphi \circ h_i))X\right] \\ +\eta_i(\rho) S(Y,X) = 0.$$

Now putting $Y = \xi_i$ in (4.14) and using (2.4), (3.5) (4.2) and $(div (\varphi \circ h_i)) \xi_i$, we get

(4.15)
$$2\beta(X) \begin{bmatrix} -2n\alpha^2 \end{bmatrix} \\ +\eta_i(\rho) \begin{bmatrix} -2n\alpha^2 \sum_{k=1}^s \eta^k(X) - (div(\varphi \circ h_i))X \\ +\eta_i(\rho) \begin{bmatrix} -2n\alpha^2 \sum_{k=1}^s \eta^k(X) - (div(\varphi \circ h_i))X \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

Taking $X = \xi_i$ in (4.15) and using (2.4), (3.5) (4.2) and $(div (\varphi \circ h_i)) \xi_i$, we have (4.16) $\eta_i (\rho) = 0.$

So by use of (4.16) in (4.15), since $\alpha \neq 0$, we get $\beta(X) = 0$, for any vector field X on M. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 4.4. A pseudo Ricci-symmetric almost α -cosymplectic f-manifold verifying (κ, μ, ν) -nullity condition, can not be an Einstein manifold if the 1-form $\beta \neq 0$.

Proof. From the previous theorem, taking $Z = \xi_i$ in (4.13) and using (3.5) and (4.2), we obtain

(4.17)
$$2\beta(X)\left[2n\kappa\overline{\eta}(Y)\right] + \beta(Y)\left[2n\kappa\overline{\eta}(X)\right] + \eta_i(\rho)S(Y,X) = 0.$$

Now putting $Y = \xi_i$ in (4.17) and using (2.4), (3.12) and (4.2), we get

(4.18) $2\beta(X)[2n\kappa] + \eta_i(\rho)[2n\kappa\overline{\eta}(X)] + \eta_i(\rho)[2n\kappa\overline{\eta}(X)] = 0$

Taking $X = \xi_i$ in (4.18) and using (2.4), (3.12) and (4.2), we have

(4.19)
$$\eta_i\left(\rho\right) = 0$$

So by use of (4.19) in (4.18), we get $\beta(X) = 0$, for any vector field X on M. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 4.5. The Ricci tensor of a pseudo Ricci-symmetric almost α -cosymplectic *f*-manifold is parallel.

Proof. Taking $Z = \xi_i$ in (4.1), we get

$$(4.20) \qquad (\nabla_X S)(Y,\xi_i) = 2\beta(X) S(Y,\xi_i) + \beta(Y) S(X,\xi_i) + \beta(\xi_i) S(Y,X).$$

The left-hand side can be written in the form

(4.21)
$$(\nabla_X S) (Y, \xi_i) = \nabla_X S (Y, \xi_i) - S (\nabla_X Y, \xi_i) - S (Y, \nabla_X \xi_i).$$

Then, in view of (3.5), (4.2) and (4.21), equation (4.20) becomes

(4.22)
$$\nabla_X S\left(Y,\xi_i\right) - S\left(\nabla_X Y,\xi_i\right) - S\left(Y,\nabla_X \xi_i\right) = 2\beta\left(X\right) \left[-2n\alpha^2 \sum_{k=1}^s \eta^k\left(Y\right) - \left(div\left(\varphi \circ h_i\right)\right)Y\right] \\ +\beta\left(Y\right) \left[-2n\alpha^2 \sum_{k=1}^s \eta^k\left(X\right) - \left(div\left(\varphi \circ h_i\right)\right)X\right] \\ +\eta_i\left(\rho\right)S\left(Y,X\right).$$

Now putting $Y = \xi_i$ in (4.22) and using (2.3), (2.4) (??) (3.5), (4.2) and $(div (\varphi \circ h_i)) \xi_i$, we get

(4.23)
$$0 = 2\beta \left(X\right) \left[-2n\alpha^{2}\right] + \eta_{i}\left(\rho\right) \left[-2n\alpha^{2}\sum_{k=1}^{s}\eta^{k}\left(X\right) - \left(div\left(\varphi \circ h_{i}\right)\right)X\right] + \eta_{i}\left(\rho\right) \left[-2n\alpha^{2}\sum_{k=1}^{s}\eta^{k}\left(X\right) - \left(div\left(\varphi \circ h_{i}\right)\right)X\right].$$

Taking $X = \xi_i$ in (4.23)

(4.24)
$$\eta_i\left(\rho\right) = 0.$$

Using (4.24) in (4.23)

$$(4.25)\qquad\qquad \beta\left(X\right)=0$$

for any vector field X on M. Hence in view of (4.25) in (4.1), we obtain $\nabla_X S = 0$, which is proves the result.

Theorem 4.6. The Ricci tensor of a pseudo Ricci-symmetric almost α -cosymplectic *f*-manifold is parallel.

Proof. Taking $Z = \xi_i$ in (4.1), we get

$$(4.26) \qquad (\nabla_X S)(Y,\xi_i) = 2\beta(X) S(Y,\xi_i) + \beta(Y) S(X,\xi_i) + \beta(\xi_i) S(Y,X).$$

The left-hand side can be written in the form

$$(4.27) \qquad (\nabla_X S)(Y,\xi_i) = \nabla_X S(Y,\xi_i) - S(\nabla_X Y,\xi_i) - S(Y,\nabla_X \xi_i).$$

Then, in view of (3.12), (4.2) and (4.27), equation (4.26) becomes

(4.28)
$$\nabla_X S (Y, \xi_i) - S (\nabla_X Y, \xi_i) - S (Y, \nabla_X \xi_i) = 2\beta (X) [2n\kappa\overline{\eta} (Y)] + \beta (Y) [2n\kappa\overline{\eta} (X)] + \eta_i (\rho) S (Y, X).$$

Now putting $Y = \xi_i$ in (4.28) and using (2.3), (2.4) (??) (3.12) and (4.2) we get (4.29) $0 = 2\beta(X)[2n\kappa] + \eta_i(\rho)[2n\kappa\overline{\eta}(X)] + \eta_i(\rho)[2n\kappa\overline{\eta}(X)].$

0.

Taking $X = \xi_i$ in (4.29)

$$(4.30) \qquad \qquad \eta_i\left(\rho\right) =$$

Using (4.30) in (4.29)

$$(4.31) \qquad \qquad \beta\left(X\right) = 0$$

for any vector field X on M. Hence in view of (4.31) in (4.1), we obtain $\nabla_X S = 0$, which is proves the result.

References

- [1] Yano, K., On a structure defined by a tensor field of type (1, 1) satisfying $f^3 + f = 0$, Tensor N. S., 14, 99-109, (1963).
- [2] Golberg, S, and Yano, K., Globally framed f-manifolds, Illinois J. Math. 15, 456-474, (1971).
- [3] Falcitelli, M. and Pastore, A. M., f-structure of Kenmotsu Type, Mediterr. J. Math. 3 549-564, (2006).
- [4] Öztürk H., Aktan N., Murathan C., Vanlı Turgut A., Almost α-cosymplectic f-manifolds, Annals of the Alexandru Ioan Cuza University-Mathematics, in press.
- [5] Blair D., Kouforgiorgos T. and Papantoniou B. J., Contact metric manifolds satisfying a nullity condition, Israel J. Math., 91 (1995), 189-214.
- [6] Blair D. E., Riemannian geometry of contact and symplectic manifolds, Progress in Mathematics, 203. Birkhâuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2002.
- [7] Olszak Z., Locally conformal almost cosymplectic manifolds, Coll. Math., 57 (1989), 73–87.
- [8] Blair D. E. and Goldberg S. I., Topology of almost contact manifolds, J. Differential geometry, 1(1967), 347-354.
- [9] Goldberg S.I. and Yano K. Integrability of almost cosymplectic structures, Pasific J. Math., 31 (1969), 373-382.
- [10] Blair D. E., The theory of quasi-Sasakian structures, J. Diff. Geometry, 1 (1967), 331-345.
- [11] Cordero L. A., Fernandez M. and De Leon M., Examples of compact almost contact manifolds admitting neither Sasakian nor cosymplectic structures, Atti Sem. Mat. Univ. Modena, 34 (1985-86), 43-54.
- [12] Chinea D. and Gonzalez C., An example of almost cosymplectic homogeneous manifold, in: Lect. Notes Math. Vol. 1209, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heildelberg-New York, (1986), 133-142.
- [13] Olszak Z., On almost cosymplectic manifolds, Kodai Math. J., 4 (1981), 239-250.
- [14] Olszak Z., Almost cosymplectic manifolds with Kählerian leaves, Tensor N. S., 46 (1987), 117-124.
- [15] Blair D. E., Goldberg S. I., Topology of almost contact manifolds, J. Diff. Geometry, 1 (1967), 347-354.
- [16] Chinea D., De Leon M., Marrero J. C., Topology of cosymplectic manifolds, J. Math. Pures Appl., 72 (1993), 567-591.
- [17] Chinea D., De Leon M., Marrero J. C., Coeffective cohomology on almost cosymplectic manifolds, Bull. Sci. Math., 119 (1995), 3-20.
- [18] Libermann M. P., Sur les automorphismes infinitesimaux des structures symplectiques et des structures de contact, in: Colloque de Geometrie Differentielle Globale (Bruxelles, 1958), Centre Belge de Recherche Mathematiques Louvain, (1959), 37-59.
- [19] Lichnerowicz A., Theoremes de reductivite sur des algebres d'automorphismes, Rend. Mat., 22 (1963), 197-244.
- [20] Fujimoto A. and Muto H., On cosymplectic manifolds, Tensor N. S., 28 (1974), 43-52.
- [21] Kirichenko V. F., Almost cosymplectic manifolds satisfying the axiom of Φ-holomorphic planes (in Russian), Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 273 (1983), 280-284.
- [22] Endo H., On Ricci curvatures of almost cosymplectic manifolds, An. Ştiint. Univ. "Al. I. Cuza" Iaşi, Mat., 40 (1994), 75-83.
- [23] Boeckx E., A full classification of contact metric (κ, μ)-spaces, Illinois J. Math., 44 (1) (2000), 212-219.
- [24] Koufogiorgos Th.and Tsichlias C., On the existence of a new class of contact metric manifolds, Canad. Math. Bull., 43 (2000), 440-447.
- [25] Yano, K. and Kon, M., Structures on Manifolds, Series in Pure Math, Vol 3, World Sci, 1984.
- [26] Blair, D.E., Geometry of manifolds with structural group $U(n) \times O(s)$, J. Diff . Geom., 4(1970), 155-167.
- [27] Goldberg, S.I. and Yano, K., On normal globally framed *f*-manifolds, Tohoku Math. J., 22(1970), 362-370.
- [28] Chaki M. C., On pseudo symmetric manifolds, An. Stiint. Univ. Al. I. Cuza Iasi Sect. I a Mat., 33 (1) (1987), 53-58.
- [29] Chaki M. C., On pseudo Ricci symmetric manifolds, Bulgar. J. Phys., 15 (6) (1988), 526-531.

- [30] Tamassy L. and Binh T. Q., On weak symmetries of Einstein and Sasakian manifolds, International Conference on Differential Geometry and its Applications (Bucharest, 1992). Tensor (N.S.), 53 (1993), Commemoration Volume I, 140-148.
- [31] Tamassy L. and Binh T. Q., On weakly symmetric and weakly projective symmetric Riemannian manifolds, Differential geometry and its applications (Eger, 1989), 663-670, Colloq. Math. Soc. Janos Bolyai, 56, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1992.
- [32] De U. C., Shaikh A. A. and Biswas S., On weakly symmetric contact metric manifolds, Tensor (N.S.), 64 (2) (2003), 170-175.
- [33] Özgür C., On weak symmetries of Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifolds, Rad. Mat., 11 (2) (2002/03), 263-270.
- [34] Özgür C., On weakly symmetric Kenmotsu manifolds, Differ. Geom. Dyn. Syst., 8 (2006), 204-209.
- [35] Singh H. and Khan Q., On special weakly symmetric Riemannian manifolds, Publ. Math. Debrecen, Hungary 58 (2001), 523-536.
- [36] Aktan N., Görgülü A. and Özüsağlam E., On Special Weakly Symmetric Kenmotsu Manifolds, Sarajevo Journal of Mathematics, Vol.3 (15) (2007), 93-97.

(Yavuz Selim Balkan) DUZCE UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF ART AND SCIENCES, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DUZCE/TURKEY

E-mail address: y.selimbalkan@gmail.com

(Nesip Aktan) Duzce University, Faculty of Art and Sciences, Department of Mathematics, Duzce/TURKEY

E-mail address: nesipaktan@gmail.com