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#### Abstract

In this paper, we characterize the bi-Amalgamations of small weak global dimension. The new results compare to previous works carried on various settings of duplications and amalgamations, and capitalize on recent results on bi-amalgamations.
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## 1. Introduction

Throughout, all rings considered are commutative with unity and all modules are unital. For a ring $R, \mathrm{w} \cdot \operatorname{dim}(R)$ will denote the weak global dimension of $R$. For an $R$-module $M$, the flat dimension of $M$ is denoted by $\mathrm{fd}_{R}(M)$.

The following diagram of ring homomorphisms

is called pullback (or fiber product) if the homomorphism $\iota_{2} \times \mu_{2}: R \rightarrow R_{1} \times R_{2}$ induces an isomorphism of $R$ onto the subring of $R_{1} \times R_{2}$ given by

$$
\mu_{1} \times \iota_{1}:=\left\{\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right) \mid \mu_{1}\left(r_{1}\right)=\iota_{1}\left(r_{2}\right)\right\}
$$

The weak global dimension of a fiber product has been studied previously. In 1992, S. Scrivanti [19] obtained the following upper bound on the weak global dimension of $R$, assuming that $\iota_{1}$ is surjective,

$$
\mathrm{w} \cdot \operatorname{dim}(R) \leq \max \left\{\mathrm{w} \cdot \operatorname{dim}\left(R_{1}\right)+\mathrm{fd}_{R}\left(R_{1}\right), \mathrm{w} \cdot \operatorname{dim}\left(R_{2}\right)+\mathrm{fd}_{R}\left(R_{2}\right)\right\}
$$

The aim of this paper is to study the weak global dimension of a subclass of pullbacks rings called bi-amalgamated algebras introduced in [13].

Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ and $g: A \rightarrow C$ be two ring homomorphisms and let $J$ and $J^{\prime}$ be two ideals of $B$ and $C$, respectively, such that $f^{-1}(J)=g^{-1}\left(J^{\prime}\right)$. The biamalgamation of $A$ with $(B, C)$ along $\left(J, J^{\prime}\right)$ with respect to $(f, g)$ is the subring of $B \times C$ given by

$$
A \bowtie^{f, g}\left(J, J^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\left(f(a)+j, g(a)+j^{\prime}\right) \mid a \in A,\left(j, j^{\prime}\right) \in J \times J^{\prime}\right\}
$$

This construction was introduced in [13] as a natural generalization of duplications $[5,6]$ and amalgamations $[7,8]$. Given a ring homomorphism $f: A \rightarrow B$ and an ideal $J$ of $B$, the bi-amalgamation $A \bowtie^{\iota, f}\left(f^{-1}(J), J\right)$ coincides with the amalgamated algebra introduced in 2009 by D'Anna, Finocchiaro, and Fontana ( $[7,8]$ ) as the following subring of $A \times B$ :

$$
A \bowtie^{f} J=\{(a, f(a)+j) \mid a \in A, j \in J\} .
$$

When $A=B$ and $f=\operatorname{id}_{A}$, the amalgamated $A \bowtie^{\mathrm{id}_{A}} I$ is called amalgamated duplication of a ring $A$ along the ideal $I$ and denoted $A \bowtie I$ (Introduced in 2007 by D'Anna and Fontana, [6]). This construction can be presented as a bi-amalgamated algebra as follows:

$$
A \bowtie I=A \bowtie^{\mathrm{id}, \mathrm{id}}(I, I)
$$

In [13], the authors provide original examples of bi-amalgamations and, in particular, show that Boisen-Sheldon's CPI-extensions [3] can be viewed as bi-amalgamations. They also showed how these bi-amalgamations arise as pullbacks. Given $f: A \rightarrow B$ and $g: A \rightarrow C$ two ring homomorphisms and $J$ and $J^{\prime}$ be two ideals of $B$ and $C$, respectively, such that $f^{-1}(J)=g^{-1}\left(J^{\prime}\right):=I$, the bi-amalgamation is determined by the following pullback:

where $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$ are the surjection morphisms induced from the canonical surjections of $(f(A)+J) \times\left(g(A)+J^{\prime}\right)$ into $f(A)+J$ and $g(A)+J^{\prime}$, respectively, and $\alpha(f(a)+j)=\bar{a}$ and $\beta\left(g(a)+j^{\prime}\right)=\bar{a}$, for each $a \in A$ and $j, j^{\prime} \in J \times J^{\prime}$. That is

$$
A \bowtie^{f, g}\left(J, J^{\prime}\right)=\alpha \times_{\frac{A}{T}} \beta
$$

In this paper, we characterize the bi-amalgamations of small weak global dimension. All obtained results recover and compare to previous works carried on
various settings of duplications and amalgamations, and capitalize on recent results on bi-amalgamations $([1,4,14,18])$.

## 2. Bi-amalgamation of small weak global dimension

Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ and $g: A \rightarrow C$ be two ring homomorphisms and let $J$ and $J^{\prime}$ be two proper ideals of $B$ and $C$, respectively, such that $I:=f^{-1}(J)=g^{-1}\left(J^{\prime}\right)$. Throughout this paper, $A \bowtie^{f, g}\left(J, J^{\prime}\right)$ will denote the bi-amalgamation of $A$ with $(B, C)$ along $\left(J, J^{\prime}\right)$ with respect to $(f, g)$. Unless another statement, the ideals $J$ and $J^{\prime}$ are seen as ideals of $f(A)+J$ and $g(A)+J^{\prime}$, respectively.

Notice that in the presence of the equality $f^{-1}(J)=g^{-1}\left(J^{\prime}\right), J=B$ if and only if $J^{\prime}=C$; and in this case $A \bowtie^{f, g}\left(J, J^{\prime}\right)=B \times C$. Therefore, in this paper, we will omit this trivial case (i.e., $J$ and $J^{\prime}$ will always be proper) since $\mathrm{w} \cdot \operatorname{dim}(B \times C)=\max \{\mathrm{w} \cdot \operatorname{dim}(B), \mathrm{w} \cdot \operatorname{dim}(C)\}$.

This section characterizes the bi-amalgamations of weak global dimension smaller or equal to one.

Rings with weak global dimension zero are those for which all modules over $R$ are flat. These are exactly the von Neumann regular rings (also called absolutely flat rings). The following characterizations of von Neumann regular rings can be found in $[10,16]$. Let $R$ be a ring. The following conditions are equivalent:
(1) $R$ is von Neumann regular.
(2) For every $x \in R$, there exists $y \in R$ such that $x^{2} y=x$.
(3) $R$ has Krull dimension 0 and is reduced.

The first main result establishes necessary and sufficient conditions for a biamalgamation to have weak global dimension zero. To this purpose, we need the following lemma. For a given ring $R$, let $\operatorname{dim}(R)$ denote the Krull dimension of $R$.

Lemma 2.1. $\operatorname{dim}\left(A \bowtie^{f, g}\left(J, J^{\prime}\right)\right)=\max \left\{\operatorname{dim}(f(A)+J), \operatorname{dim}\left(g(A)+J^{\prime}\right)\right\}$.
Proof. Let $\left(f(a)+j, g(b)+j^{\prime}\right) \in(f(A)+J) \times\left(g(A)+J^{\prime}\right)$. It is immediately checked that it is a root of the monic polynomial

$$
g(X)=\left(X-(f(a)+j, g(a))\left(X-\left(f(b), g(b)+j^{\prime}\right)\right)\right.
$$

It is easy to see the $g(X) \in A \bowtie^{f, g}\left(J, J^{\prime}\right)[X]$. Hence, the ring $(f(A)+J) \times(g(A)+$ $\left.J^{\prime}\right)$ is integral over $A \bowtie^{f, g}\left(J, J^{\prime}\right)$. More precisely, every element of $(f(A)+J) \times$ $\left(g(A)+J^{\prime}\right)$ has degree at most two over $A \bowtie^{f, g}\left(J, J^{\prime}\right)$. By [15, Theorem 48], it follows immediately that

$$
\left.\operatorname{dim}\left(A \bowtie^{f, g}\left(J, J^{\prime}\right)\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left((f(A)+J) \times\left(g(A)+J^{\prime}\right)\right)\right\}
$$

Thus, the conclusion is an easy consequence of the fact that $\operatorname{Spec}((f(A)+J) \times$ $\left.\left(g(A)+J^{\prime}\right)\right)$ is canonically homeomorphic to the disjoint union of $\operatorname{Spec}(f(A)+J)$ and $\operatorname{Spec}\left(g(A)+J^{\prime}\right)$.

Proposition 2.2. The ring $A \bowtie^{f, g}\left(J, J^{\prime}\right)$ is von Neumann regular if and only if $f(A)+J$ and $g(A)+J^{\prime}$ are von Neumann regular.

Proof. $(\Rightarrow)$ Let $f(a)+j \in f(A)+J$. Since $A \bowtie^{f, g}\left(J, J^{\prime}\right)$ is von Neumann regular, there exists $\left(f(b)+j_{1}, g(b)+j_{1}^{\prime}\right) \in A \bowtie^{f, g}\left(J, J^{\prime}\right)$ such that $(f(a)+j, g(a))^{2}(f(b)+$ $\left.j_{1}, g(b)+j_{1}^{\prime}\right)=(f(a)+j, g(a))$. Thus, $(f(a)+j)^{2}\left(f(b)+j_{1}\right)=f(a)+j$. Hence, $f(A)+J$ is von Neumann regular. Similarly, we prove that $g(A)+J^{\prime}$ is von Neumann regular.
$(\Leftarrow)$ Since $f(A)+J$ and $g(A)+J^{\prime}$ are von Neumann regular, they are reduced and have Krull dimension zero. Thus, using [13, Remark 4.8] and Lemma 2.1, $A \bowtie^{f, g}\left(J, J^{\prime}\right)$ is reduced and of Krull dimension zero. Consequently, $A \bowtie^{f, g}\left(J, J^{\prime}\right)$ is von Neumann regular.

Corollary 2.3. The ring $A \bowtie^{f} J$ is von Neumann regular if and only if $A$ and $f(A)+J$ are von Neumann regular.

Example 2.4. Let $n$ and $k$ be two positive integers with $0<k<n$ and let $R$ be the subring of $(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{2}$ defined by

$$
R:=\left\{(\bar{a}, \bar{b}) \in(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{2} \mid k \text { divides } a-b\right\}
$$

Then, the global dimension of $R$ is 0 when $n$ is a square-free, and $\infty$ otherwise.

Proof. Consider the canonical surjection of rings $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}$ and set $J=(\bar{k})$. It is easily seen that

$$
\mathbb{Z} \bowtie^{f, f}(J, J)=\left\{(\overline{a+k c}, \overline{a+k d}) \in(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{2} \mid a,, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}=R .
$$

Note also that $R$ is Noetherian since $f(A)+J=\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}$ is Noetherian ([13, Proposition 4.2]), and so the weak global dimension coincides with the global dimension. If $\operatorname{gldim}(R)<\infty$, then $R$ is a regular ring. Thus, by [11, Corollary 8.5], $\operatorname{gldim}(R)=$ $\operatorname{dim}(R)$ (the Krull dimension). On the other hand, by using Lemma 2.1, $\operatorname{dim}(R)=$ $\operatorname{dim}(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})=0$. Hence, $\operatorname{gldim}(R)$ is 0 . Moreover, by Proposition $2.2, \operatorname{gldim}(R)=0$ if and only if gldim $(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})=0$. On the other hand, it is known that gldim $(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})=$ 0 when $n$ is square-free, and $\infty$ otherwise ([17, Corollary 5.19]). Thus, the global dimension of $R$ is 0 if and only if $n$ is a square-free, and $\infty$ otherwise.

Set $\operatorname{Max}(A, I):=\operatorname{Max}(A) \cap \mathrm{V}(I)=\{\mathfrak{m} \in \operatorname{Max}(A) \mid I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}\}$. For any $\mathfrak{m} \in$ $\operatorname{Max}(A, I)$, consider the multiplicative subsets
$S_{\mathfrak{m}}:=(f(A)+J)-(f(\mathfrak{m})+J)=f(A-\mathfrak{m})+J, \quad S_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\prime}:=\left(g(A)+J^{\prime}\right)-\left(g(\mathfrak{m})+J^{\prime}\right)=g(A-\mathfrak{m})+J^{\prime}$
of $B$ and $C$, respectively. One can easily check $J_{f(\mathfrak{m})+J}=J_{S_{\mathfrak{m}}}$ (resp. $J_{g(\mathfrak{m})+J^{\prime}}^{\prime}=$ $J_{S_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ ) where $J_{f(\mathfrak{m})+J}$ (resp. $J_{g(\mathfrak{m})+J^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ ) is the localization of $J$ (resp. $J^{\prime}$ ) as an ideal of $f(A)+J$ (resp. $g(A)+J^{\prime}$ ), and $J_{S_{\mathrm{m}}}$ (resp. $J_{S_{\mathrm{m}}^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ ) is the localization of $J$ (resp. $\left.J^{\prime}\right)$ as an ideal of $B$ (resp. $C$ ). All along the rest of this paper, $J$ (resp. $J^{\prime}$ ) is seen as an ideal of $f(A)+J$ (resp. $g(A)+J^{\prime}$ ).

Recall that a ring $R$ is arithmetical if every finitely generated ideal is locally principal $[9,12]$. In [14], the authors proved that if w.dim $(f(A)+J) \leq 1$, w.dim $(g(A)+$ $\left.J^{\prime}\right) \leq 1, J \cap \operatorname{Nil}(B)=(0), J^{\prime} \cap \operatorname{Nil}(C)=(0)$, and for each $\mathfrak{m} \in \operatorname{Max}(A, I)$, $J_{f(\mathfrak{m})+J}=(0)$ or $J_{g(\mathfrak{m})+J^{\prime}}^{\prime}=(0)$, then w.dim $\left(A \bowtie^{f, g}\left(J, J^{\prime}\right)\right) \leq 1$. The converse holds if $I$ is radical.

In our second main result of this section, we give a complete characterization for a bi-amalgamation to have weak global dimension at most 1. Before that, we give necessary and sufficient conditions for a bi-amalgamation to be reduced.

Proposition 2.5. The ring $A \bowtie^{f, g}\left(J, J^{\prime}\right)$ is reduced if and only if
(1) $J \cap \operatorname{Nil}(B)=(0)$ and $J^{\prime} \cap \operatorname{Nil}(C)=(0)$.
(2) $f^{-1}(\operatorname{Nil}(B)+J) \cap g^{-1}\left(\operatorname{Nil}(C)+J^{\prime}\right)=I$.

Proof. $(\Rightarrow)$ Following [13, Proposition 4.7], (1) is satisfied. Moreover, it is easily seen that $I \subseteq f^{-1}(\operatorname{Nil}(B)+J) \cap g^{-1}\left(\operatorname{Nil}(C)+J^{\prime}\right)$. Now, let $x \in f^{-1}(\operatorname{Nil}(B)+J) \cap$ $g^{-1}\left(\operatorname{Nil}(C)+J^{\prime}\right)$. Then, there exits $j \in J$ and $j^{\prime} \in J$ such that $f(x)+j \in$ $\operatorname{Nil}(B)$ and $f(x)+j^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Nil}(C)$. Hence, there exists a positive integer $n$ such that $(f(x)+j)^{n}=0$ and $\left(g(x)+j^{\prime}\right)^{n}=0$. Then, $\left(f(x)+j, g(x)+j^{\prime}\right)^{n}=(0,0)$, and so $\left(f(x)+j, g(x)+j^{\prime}\right)=(0,0)$ since $A \bowtie^{f, g}\left(J, J^{\prime}\right)$ is reduced. Hence, $x \in I$. Consequently, (2) is satisfied.
$(\Leftarrow)$ Let $\left(f(x)+j, g(x)+j^{\prime}\right) \in A \bowtie^{f, g}\left(J, J^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\left(f(x)+j, g(x)+j^{\prime}\right)^{n}=(0,0)$ for some positive integer $n$. Then, $(f(x)+j)^{n}=0$ and $\left(g(x)+j^{\prime}\right)^{n}=0$. Then, $f(x)+$ $j \in \operatorname{Nil}(B)$ and $g(x)+j^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Nil}(C)$. Thus, $x \in f^{-1}(\operatorname{Nil}(B)+J) \cap g^{-1}\left(\operatorname{Nil}(C)+J^{\prime}\right)=$ I. Accordingly, $f(x)+j \in J \cap \operatorname{Nil}(B)=(0)$ and $g(x)+j^{\prime} \in J^{\prime} \cap \operatorname{Nil}(C)=(0)$. Consequently, $A \bowtie^{f, g}\left(J, J^{\prime}\right)$ is reduced.

Proposition 2.5 recovers the special case of amalgamated algebras, as recorded in the next corollary.

Corollary 2.6. [7, Proposition 5.4] $A \bowtie^{f} J$ is reduced if and only if $A$ is reduced and $J \cap \operatorname{Nil}(B)=(0)$.

Proof. Recall that $A \bowtie^{f} J=A \bowtie^{\iota, f}\left(f^{-1}(J), J\right)$. Thus, using Proposition 2.5, $A \bowtie^{f} J$ is reduced if and only if
(1) $f^{-1}(J) \cap \operatorname{Nil}(A)=(0)$ and $J \cap \operatorname{Nil}(B)=(0)$.
(2) $\left(\operatorname{Nil}(A)+f^{-1}(J)\right) \cap f^{-1}(\operatorname{Nil}(B)+J)=f^{-1}(J)$.

But $\operatorname{Nil}(A)+f^{-1}(J) \subseteq f^{-1}(\operatorname{Nil}(B)+J)$. Hence, the condition $(2)$ becomes $\operatorname{Nil}(A)+$ $f^{-1}(J)=f^{-1}(J)$, or equivalently $\operatorname{Nil}(A) \subseteq f^{-1}(J)$. Hence, $A \bowtie^{f} J$ is reduced if and only if $\operatorname{Nil}(A)=(0)$ and $J \cap \operatorname{Nil}(B)=(0)$. Thus, we have the desired result.

Proposition 2.7. w.dim $\left(A \bowtie^{f, g}\left(J, J^{\prime}\right)\right) \leq 1$ if and only if
(1) $f(A)+J$ and $g(A)+J^{\prime}$ are both arithmetical and, for every $\mathfrak{m} \in \operatorname{Max}(A, I)$, $J_{f(\mathfrak{m})+J}=(0)$ or $J_{g(\mathfrak{m})+J^{\prime}}^{\prime}=(0)$.
(2) $J \cap \operatorname{Nil}(B)=(0)$ and $J^{\prime} \cap \operatorname{Nil}(C)=(0)$.
(3) $f^{-1}(\operatorname{Nil}(B)+J) \cap g^{-1}\left(\operatorname{Nil}(C)+J^{\prime}\right)=I$.

Proof. Recall that a ring $R$ has weak global dimension at most 1 if and only if $R$ is arithmetical and reduced ([2, Theorem 3.5]). A combination of this fact with Proposition 2.5 and [14, Theorem 2.1] leads to the desired conclusion.

For the special case of amalgamations, we get the following result.
Corollary 2.8. ([14, Corollary 2.9]) w.dim $\left(A \bowtie^{f} J\right) \leq 1$ if and only if $\mathrm{w} \cdot \operatorname{dim}(A) \leq$ $1, f(A)+J$ is arithmetical, $J \cap \operatorname{Nil}(B)=(0)$, and for every $\mathfrak{m} \in \operatorname{Max}(A, I), I_{\mathfrak{m}}=(0)$ or $J_{f(\mathfrak{m})+J}=(0)$.

Proof. As in the proof of Corollary 2.6, the conditions (2) and (3) of Proposition 2.7 means, in the case of amalgamated algebras, that $A$ is reduced and $J \cap \mathrm{Nil}(B)=(0)$. Combining this with the fact that w. $\operatorname{dim}(R) \leq 1$ if and only if $R$ is reduced and arithmetical, we get the desired result.

Remark 2.9. Recall that an ideal $I$ is called pure if $R / I$ is a flat $R$-module. If $A$ is local and $I \neq(0)$, then, w.dim $\left(A \bowtie^{f} J\right) \leq 1$ implies that $J$ is a pure ideal of $f(A)+J$. Indeed, if $\mathfrak{m}$ is the unique maximal ideal of $A$, then from Corollary 2.8, $J_{f(\mathfrak{m})+J}=(0)$ since $I \neq(0)$ (and so $I_{\mathfrak{m}} \neq(0)$ ). Note that $f(\mathfrak{m})+J$ is the unique maximal ideal of $f(A)+J$ which contains $J$. Indeed, if $P$ is a maximal ideal of $f(A)+J$ which contains $J$ and $f(x)+j \in P$, then $f(x) \in P$, and so $x \in f^{-1}(P) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$. Thus, for each $L \in \operatorname{Spec}(f(A)+J) \backslash\{f(\mathfrak{m})+J\}, J \nsubseteq L$, and so $J_{L}=(f(A)+J)_{L}$. Hence, using [10, Theorem 1.2.15], $J$ is a pure ideal.

In the local case, the bi-amalgamations of weak dimension $\leq 1$ have a simple characterization. Recall that, from [13, Proposition 5.4], the ring $A \bowtie^{f, g}\left(J, J^{\prime}\right)$ is local if and only if $J \neq B$ and $f(A)+J$ and $g(A)+J^{\prime}$ are local.

Corollary 2.10. If $A \bowtie^{f, g}\left(J, J^{\prime}\right)$ is local, then $\mathrm{w} \cdot \operatorname{dim}\left(A \bowtie^{f, g}\left(J, J^{\prime}\right)\right) \leq 1$ if and only if " $J=0$ and $\mathrm{w} \cdot \operatorname{dim}\left(g(A)+J^{\prime}\right) \leq 1$ " or " $J^{\prime}=0$ and $\mathrm{w} \cdot \operatorname{dim}(f(A)+J) \leq 1$ ".

Proof. From [13, Proposition 5.4], there is a unique maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of $A$ containing $I$. Thus, the unique maximal ideal of $f(A)+J$ (resp. $g(A)+J^{\prime}$ ) is $f(\mathfrak{m})+J$ (resp. $\left.g(\mathfrak{m})+J^{\prime}\right)$. If $\mathrm{w} \cdot \operatorname{dim}\left(A \bowtie^{f, g}\left(J, J^{\prime}\right)\right) \leq 1$ then, by using Proposition 2.7 , we have $J_{f(\mathfrak{m})+J}=(0)$ or $J_{g(\mathfrak{m})+J^{\prime}}^{\prime}=(0)$. In the first case, $J=0$ since $f(A)+J$ is local, and similarly in the second case $J^{\prime}=0$. The rest of the proof is easily deduced from [13, Proposition 4.1]. Indeed, if $J=0$ (resp. $J^{\prime}=0$ ) then $A \bowtie^{f, g}\left(J, J^{\prime}\right) \cong g(A)+J^{\prime}$ $\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.A \bowtie^{f, g}\left(J, J^{\prime}\right) \cong f(A)+J\right)$.

Corollary 2.11. w.dim $\left(A \bowtie^{f, f}(J, J)\right) \leq 1$ if and only if $\mathrm{w} \cdot \operatorname{dim}(f(A)+J) \leq 1$ and $J$ is a pure ideal of $f(A)+J$.

Proof. Following Proposition 2.7, w. $\operatorname{dim}\left(A \bowtie^{f, f}(J, J)\right) \leq 1$ if and only if
(1) $f(A)+J$ is an arithmetical ring.
(2) for every $\mathfrak{m} \in \operatorname{Max}(A, I), J_{f(\mathfrak{m})+J}=(0)$.
(3) $J \cap \operatorname{Nil}(B)=(0)$.
(4) $f^{-1}(\operatorname{Nil}(B)+J)=I$.

On the other hand, it is clear that for each $L \in \operatorname{Max}(f(A)+J)$ such that $J \nsubseteq L$, $J_{L}=(f(A)+J)_{L}$. Thus, condition (2) is equivalent to that $J_{L}=(0)$ or $J_{L}=$ $(f(A)+J)_{L}$ for each $L \in \operatorname{Max}(f(A)+J)$, which is also equivalent to that $J$ is a pure ideal of $f(A)+J$ (by [10, Theorem 1.2.15]).
If (3) and (4) holds, then for each $f(x)+j \in \operatorname{Nil}(f(A)+J)$, we have $f(x) \in$ $\operatorname{Nil}(f(A)+J)+J$. Hence, $x \in f^{-1}(\operatorname{Nil}(B)+J)=I$. Then, $f(x)+j \in J \cap$ $\operatorname{Nil}(f(A)+J) \subseteq J \cap \operatorname{Nil}(B)=(0)$. Consequently $f(A)+J$ is reduced. Conversely, if $f(A)+J$ is reduced then (3) holds since $J \cap \operatorname{Nil}(B) \subseteq J \cap \operatorname{Nil}(f(A)+J)=(0)$. Moreover, for each $x \in f^{-1}(\operatorname{Nil}(B)+J), f(x) \in \operatorname{Nil}(B)+J$. Then, there exists $j \in J$ such that $f(x)+j \in \operatorname{Nil}(B) \cap(f(A)+J)=\operatorname{Nil}(f(A)+J)=(0)$. Thus, $x \in I$. Trivially, $I \subseteq f^{-1}(\operatorname{Nil}(B)+J)$. Consequently, (4) holds immediately. Accordingly, by [2, Theorem 3.5], we have the desired result.

Corollary 2.11 recovers a known result for duplications.
Corollary 2.12. ([4, Theorem $4.1(1)]) \mathrm{w} \cdot \operatorname{dim}(A \bowtie I) \leq 1$ if and only if $\mathrm{w} \cdot \operatorname{dim}(A) \leq$ 1 and $I$ is a pure ideal of $A$.

The intervention of the ring $A / I$ (with the meaning of the below proposition) gives a more simple characterization of bi-amalgamations of weak global dimension at most 1 .

Proposition 2.13. The following conditions are equivalent:
(1) $\sup \left\{\mathrm{w} \cdot \operatorname{dim}(A / I), \mathrm{w} \cdot \operatorname{dim}\left(A \bowtie^{f, g}\left(J, J^{\prime}\right)\right)\right\} \leq 1$.
(2) $\sup \left\{\mathrm{w} \cdot \operatorname{dim}(f(A)+J)\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{w} \cdot \operatorname{dim}\left(g(A)+J^{\prime}\right)\right\} \leq 1$ and for every $\mathfrak{m} \in \operatorname{Max}(A, I)$, $J_{f(\mathfrak{m})+J}=(0)$ or $J_{g(\mathfrak{m})+J^{\prime}}^{\prime}=(0)$.

Proof. $(\Rightarrow)$ Since w. $\operatorname{dim}(A / I) \leq 1, A / I$ is reduced, and so $I$ is radical. Thus, (2) follows immediately from [14, Corollary 2.8].
$(\Leftarrow)$ Since $\sup \left\{\mathrm{w} \cdot \operatorname{dim}(f(A)+J)\right.$, w. $\left.\operatorname{dim}\left(g(A)+J^{\prime}\right)\right\} \leq 1$, the rings $f(A)+J$ and $g(A)+J^{\prime}$ are both arithmetical and reduced. Then, by [13, Remark 4.8] and [14, Theorem 2.1], $A \bowtie^{f, g}\left(J, J^{\prime}\right)$ is a reduced arithmetical ring, and so w.dim $\left(A \bowtie^{f, g}\left(J, J^{\prime}\right)\right) \leq 1$. Now, let $\mathfrak{m} \in \operatorname{Max}(A, I)$ and consider the following isomorphism of rings $\psi: \frac{A}{I} \rightarrow \frac{f(A)+J}{J}, \bar{a} \mapsto \overline{f(a)}$. We have $\psi\left(\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{I}\right)=\frac{f(\mathfrak{m})+J}{J}$. Thus, $\psi$ induces an isomorphism between $\left(\frac{A}{I}\right)_{\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{I}}$ and $\left(\frac{f(A)+J}{J}\right)_{\frac{f(\mathfrak{m})+J}{J}}$. Then, we have the following isomorphism of rings

$$
\left(\frac{A}{I}\right)_{\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{I}} \cong \frac{(f(A)+J)_{f(\mathfrak{m})+J}}{J_{f(\mathfrak{m})+J}}
$$

Similarly, we have the following isomorphism of rings

$$
\left(\frac{A}{I}\right)_{\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{I}} \cong \frac{\left(g(A)+J^{\prime}\right)_{g(\mathfrak{m})+J^{\prime}}}{J_{g(\mathfrak{m})+J^{\prime}}^{\prime}}
$$

Since for every $\mathfrak{m} \in \operatorname{Max}(A, I), J_{f(\mathfrak{m})+J}=(0)$ or $J_{g(\mathfrak{m})+J^{\prime}}^{\prime}=(0)$, every localization of $\frac{A}{I}$ by its maximal ideals is isomorphic or to a localization of $f(A)+J$ or to a localization of $g(A)+J^{\prime}$. Then, using [10, Theorem 1.3.14], w. $\operatorname{dim}(A / I) \leq 1$.
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