

# ON CONTACT PSEUDO-SLANT SUBMANIFOLDS IN (LCS)n-MANIFOLDS

### \*1Süleyman Dirik , <sup>2</sup>Ümit Çelik

 \*1.Department of Mathematics University of Amasya 05100, Amasya, TURKEY suleyman.dirik@amasya.edu.tr
 \*2Department of Mathematics University of Amasya 05100, Amasya, TURKEY umit.celik@batman.edu.tr

Research Type: Original Research Article

Received: 13/12/2022 Accepted: 27/12/2022

\*Corresponding author: <u>suleyman.dirik@amasya.edu.tr</u>

#### Abstract

In this study, we investigate the differential geometry of contact pseudo-slant submanifolds of a (LCS)n -manifold. The necessary and sufficient conditions for contact pseudo-slant submanifolds of a (LCS)n-manifold are given.

**Key Words:** (*LCS*)*<sup><i>n*</sup>-manifold, slant submanifold, contact pseudo-slant submanifold.

### Özet

Bu çalışmada, bir (LCS)n -manifoldunun kontak pseudo-slant altmanifoldlarının diferansiyel

geometrisini araştırıyoruz. Bir (LCS)n-manifoldunun kontak pseudo-slant altmanifoldları için

gerekli ve yeterli koşullar verilmiştir.

Anahtar Kelimeler: (LCS)n-manifold, slant altmanifold, kontak pseudo-slant altmanifold

#### 1.Introduction

Chen [5],[6], first studied slant immersion in 1990 as a generalisation of both invariant and anti-invariant submanifolds in almost Hermitian manifolds. Later, Lotta[13], extended the concept of slant immersion into almost contact metric manifolds. After that such submanifolds of a Sasakian manifold were studied by Cabrerizo et al. [3], [4],

Papagiuc [16], introduced the concept of semi-slant submanifolds of an almost Hermitian manifold. Cabrerizo et al. investigated and characterised slant submanifolds of Sasakian manifolds and K-contact, providing several examples. Cabrerizo et al. [3], [4], defined and studied bi-slant submanifolds in an almost contact metric manifold and simultaneously gave the notion of pseudo-slant submanifolds. Khan et al. [12] have also investigated pseudo-slant submanifolds of a trans-Sasakian manifold. Recently, in [2]; Dirik, et al. [1], [8], [9], [10] studied slant and pseudo-slant submanifolds.

Shaikh [17], [18], [19], recently introduced the concept of Lorentzian concircular structure manifolds (abbreviated (LCS)n-manifolds). giving an example which generalizes the notion of Lorentzian para Sasakian manifolds introduced by Matsumoto [14] and also by Mihai and Chen [15]. Then, Shaikh and Baishya [18] looked into how (LCS)n -manifolds could be used in general theory of relativity and cosmology. Also, the  $(LCS)_n$  -manifolds are also studied by Shaikh, Kim and Hui [19].

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, Fundamental formulas and definitions for (LCS)n -manifolds and their submanifolds are reviewed.In Section 3we investigate the geometry of a (LCS)n - manifold's contact pseudo-slant submanifolds. In a (LCS)n -manifold, necessary and sufficient conditions are given for a submanifold to be a contact pseudo-slant submanifold.

#### 2. Preliminaries

An *n*-dimensional Lorentzian manifold  $\widetilde{M}$  is a smooth connected paracompact Hausdorff manifold with a Lorentzian metric g, that is, M admits a smooth symmetric tensor field g of type (0, 2) such that for all point  $x \in \widetilde{M}$ , the tensor  $g_x : T_x \widetilde{M} \times T_x \widetilde{M} \to \mathbb{R}$  is a non-degenerate inner product of signature (-, +,..., +), here  $T_x \widetilde{M}$  denotes the tangenttial vector space of  $\widetilde{M}$  at x and  $\mathbb{R}$  is the real number space. A non-zero vector  $p \in T_x \widetilde{M}$  is said to be timelike (resp., non-spacelike, null, spacelike) if it satisfies  $g_x(p, p) < 0$  (resp.,  $\leq 0, = 0, > 0$ ).

In a Lorentzian manifold ( $\widetilde{M}_{,g}$ ), a vector field K is said to be concircular[20], if the (1, 1)– tensor field A by defined by

$$g(Y,K) = A(Y), \tag{2.1}$$

for all  $Y \in \Gamma(T\widetilde{M})$ . It is satisfies

$$(\widetilde{\nabla}_{\mathbf{Y}} A)X = \alpha \{g(X,Y) + \omega(X)A(Y)\},$$
(2.2)

where  $\alpha \neq 0$  and  $\omega$  is a closed 1-form and  $\tilde{\nabla}$  denotes the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to the Lorentzian metric g.

Let  $\widetilde{M}$  be an *n*-dimensional Lorentzian manifold admitting a unit timelike concircular vector field  $\xi$ , called the characteristic vector field of the manifold. Then we obtain

$$g(\xi,\xi) = -1.$$
 (2.3)

Since  $\xi$  is a unit concircular vector field, it follows that there exists a non-zero 1–form  $\eta$  such that

$$g(X,\xi) = \eta(X). \tag{2.4}$$

In an (*LCS*)<sub>n</sub>-manifold, we obtain

$$(\widetilde{\nabla}_X \eta)Y = \alpha \{g(X,Y) + \eta(Y) \eta(X)\}, \ (\alpha \neq 0), \tag{2.5}$$

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_{X} \xi = \alpha \{ X + \eta (X) \xi \} (\alpha \neq 0)$$
(2.6)

for all vector fields X, Y, where  $\tilde{\nabla}$  denotes the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to the Lorentzian metric g and  $\alpha$  is a non-zero scalar function satisfying

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_{X} \alpha = X (\alpha) = d\alpha(X) = \rho \eta(X), \qquad (2.7)$$

 $\rho$  being a certain scalar function given by  $\rho = -(\xi \alpha)$ . Let us take

Süleyman Dirik, Ümit Çelik, (2022), On contact pseudo-slant submanifolds in (lcs)n-manifolds, Journal of Amasya University the Institute of Sciences and Technology, 3(2)

$$\varphi X = \frac{1}{\alpha} \widetilde{\nabla}_X \xi. \tag{2.8}$$

Then from (2.6) and (2.8) we have following equations

$$\varphi X = X + \eta(X)\xi \tag{2.9}$$

$$g(\varphi X, Y) = g(X, \varphi Y) \tag{2.10}$$

from which it follows that  $\varphi$  is a symmetric (1, 1)-tensor and is called the structure tensor of the manifold. So, the Lorentzian manifold  $\widetilde{M}$  together with the unit timelike concircular vector field  $\xi$ , its associated 1–form  $\eta$  and a (1, 1)–tensor field  $\varphi$  is said to be a Lorentzian concircular structure manifold (shortly, (*LCS*)<sub>n</sub>-manifold) [17]. Particularly, if we take  $\alpha = 1$ , then we can obtain the Lorentzian para-Sasakian structure of Matsumoto (Matsumoto and Mihai, 1988). The following relationships hold in the (LCS)n-manifold (n > 2).

from which it follows that  $\varphi$  is a symmetric (1, 1)-tensor and is called the structure tensor of the manifold. So, the Lorentzian manifold  $\widetilde{M}$  together with the unit timelike concircular vector field  $\xi$ , its associated 1–form  $\eta$  and a (1, 1)–tensor field  $\varphi$  is said to be a Lorentzian concircular structure manifold (shortly, (*LCS*)<sub>n</sub>-manifold) (Shaikh, 2003). Particularly, if we take  $\alpha = 1$ , then we can obtain the Lorentzian para-Sasakian structure of Matsumoto [14]. The following relationships hold in the (LCS)n-manifold (n > 2).

$$\varphi\xi = 0, \eta(\xi) = -1, \eta(\varphi Y) = 0, g(\varphi Y, \varphi X) = g(Y, X) + \eta(Y) \eta(X), \quad (2.11)$$

$$\varphi^2 X = X + \eta (X)\xi, \qquad (2.12)$$

and

$$S(Y,\xi) = (n-1)(\alpha^2 - \rho) \eta(Y), \qquad (2.13)$$

$$R(X,Y)\xi = (\alpha^2 - \rho)[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y], \qquad (2.14)$$

$$R(\xi, Y)Z = (\alpha^2 - \rho) [g(Y, Z)\xi - \eta(Z)Y], \qquad (2.15)$$

$$(\widetilde{\nabla}_X \varphi)Y = \alpha \{g(X,Y)\xi + \eta (Y)X + 2\eta(Y) \eta(X)\xi\},$$
(2.16)

$$(X\rho) = d\rho(X) = \beta\eta(X), \qquad (2.17)$$

$$R(X,Y)Z = \varphi R(X,Y)Z + (\alpha^2 - \rho) \{g(Y,Z) \eta(X) - g(X,Z) \eta(Y)\} \xi,$$
(2.18)

for any vector field X, Y and Z on  $\widetilde{M}$  and  $\beta = -(\xi \rho)$  is a scalar function, where S and R are, respectively, the Ricci tensor and the curvature tensor of the manifold.

Let *M* be a submanifold of an (*LCS*)<sub>*n*</sub>-manifold  $\widetilde{M}$  with the induced metric *g*. Then the Gauss and Weingarten formulas are given by

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_{Y}X = \nabla_{Y}X + h(Y,X) \tag{2.19}$$

and

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_{Y}V = -A_{V}Y + \nabla_{Y}^{\perp}V, \qquad (2.20)$$

respectively, where  $\nabla$  and  $\nabla^{\perp}$  be the induced connections on the tangential bundle *TM* and the normal bundle *T*<sup> $\perp$ </sup>*M* of *M*, where *h* and *A<sub>V</sub>* are, respectively, the second fundamental form and the shape operator (corresponding to the normal vector field *V*) for the submanifold of *M* into  $\widetilde{M}$ . The second fundamental form *h* and shape operator *A<sub>V</sub>* are related by

$$g(A_V Y, X) = g(h(Y, X), V),$$
 (2.21)

for any  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$  and  $V \in \Gamma(T^{\perp}M)$ . If h(Y,X)=0, for any  $Y,X \in \Gamma(TM)$ , then M is said to be a totally geodesic submanifold.

The mean curvature vector H of M is given by

$$H = \frac{tr(h)}{r}$$

where r is the dimension of M . A submanifold is said to be totally umbilical if it is completely umbilical.

$$h(X, Y) = g(X, Y)H$$

- If h(X, Y) = 0, a submanifold is said to be totally geodesic, where for all  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ .
- If H = 0, a submanifold is said to be minimal.

Now, let *M* be a submanifold of an (*LCS*)<sub>n</sub>-manifold  $\widetilde{M}$ , then for any  $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ , we may write

$$\varphi X = TX + NX, \tag{2.22}$$

where *TX* is the tangent component and *NX* is the normal component of  $\varphi X$ . Also, for any  $V \in \Gamma(T^{\perp}M)$ , we have

$$\varphi V = tV + nV, \tag{2.23}$$

where *tV* and *nV* are called tangent and normal parts of  $\varphi V$ . Thus, by using (2.12), (2.22) and (2.23), we obtain

$$T^{2} + tN = I + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad NT + nN = 0$$
(2.24)

and

$$n^2 = I - Nt, Tt + tn = 0. (2.25)$$

Moreover, the covariant derivatives of the tensor fields *T*, *N*, *t* and *n* are, respectively, defined by

$$(\nabla_X T)Y = \nabla_X TY - T\nabla_X Y, \qquad (2.26)$$

$$(\nabla_X N)Y = \nabla_X^{\perp} NY - N\nabla_X Y, \qquad (2.27)$$

$$(\nabla_X t)V = \nabla_X tV - t\nabla_X^{\perp} V$$
(2.28)

and

$$(\nabla_{\mathbf{X}}n)V = \nabla_{\mathbf{X}}^{\perp} nV - n\nabla_{\mathbf{X}}^{\perp} V.$$
(2.29)

The covariant derivative of  $\varphi$ ,  $\nabla \varphi$  can be defined by

$$(\widetilde{\nabla}_{\mathbf{X}} \,\varphi) Y = \widetilde{\nabla}_{\mathbf{X}} \,\varphi Y - \,\varphi \widetilde{\nabla}_{\mathbf{X}} Y \tag{2.30}$$

for any X,  $Y \in \Gamma(TM)$  and  $\widetilde{\nabla}$  is the Riemannian connection on  $\widetilde{M}$ .

Furthermore, for any  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ , we have g(TX, Y) = g(X, TY) and for  $V, W \in \Gamma(T \perp M)$ , we get g(U, nW) = g(nU, W). These show that T and n are also symmetric tensor fields. Moreover, for any  $Y \in \Gamma(TM)$  and  $V \in \Gamma(T^{\perp}M)$ , we can write

$$g(NY,V) = g(Y,tV), \qquad (2.31)$$

which is the relation between *N* and *t*.

A submanifold *M* is said to be invariant if *N* is identically zero, that is,  $\varphi Y \in \Gamma(TM)$  for all  $Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ . On the other hand, *M* is said to be anti-invariant if *T* is identically zero, that is,  $\varphi W \in \Gamma(T^{\perp}M)$  for all  $W \in \Gamma(TM)$ .

The Gauss and Weingarten formulas together with (2.16), (2.22), (2.23) and (2.30) yield

$$(\nabla_X T)Y = A_{NY}X + th(X,Y) + \alpha \{g(X,Y)\xi + \eta(Y)X + 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi\}$$
(2.32)

and

$$(\nabla_X N)Y = nh(X,Y) - h(X,TY). \tag{2.33}$$

for any  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ . Similarly, we obtain

$$(\nabla_X t)V = A_{nV}X - TA_VX \tag{2.34}$$

and

$$(\nabla_X n)V = -h(tV,X) - NA_V X. \tag{2.35}$$

for any  $V \in \Gamma(T^{\perp}M)$  and  $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ .

The canonical structures *T*, *N*, *t* and *n* on a submanifold M are said to be parallel if  $\nabla T = 0$ ,  $\nabla N = 0$ ,  $\nabla t = 0$  and  $\nabla n = 0$ , respectively.

Since *M* is tangent to  $\xi$ , making use of  $\varphi X = \frac{1}{\alpha} \quad \widetilde{\nabla}_X \xi$ , (2.19), (2.20), (2.21) and (2.22), we obtain

$$\nabla_X \xi = \alpha TX, \ h(X,\xi) = \alpha NX, \ A_V \xi = \alpha tV, \tag{2.36}$$

for all  $V \in \Gamma(T^{\perp}M)$  and  $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ .

From (2,24), (2.32) and (2.33), we obtain

$$(\nabla_X T)\xi = -\alpha \left\{ -tNX + X + \eta \left( X \right) \xi \right\}$$
(2.37)

and

$$(\nabla_X N)\xi = -\alpha NTX \tag{2.38}$$

for any  $X, \xi \in \Gamma(TM)$ .

Similarly, we get

$$(\nabla_{\xi} t)V = 2\alpha tnV \tag{2.39}$$

and

$$(\nabla_{\xi} n)V = -2 \alpha NtV. \tag{2.40}$$

for any  $V \in \Gamma(T^{\perp}M)$  and  $\xi \in \Gamma(TM)$ .

Now, we put  $Q = T^2$ , Then the covariant derivative of Q,  $\nabla Q$  can be defined by

$$(\nabla_X Q)Y = \nabla_X QY - Q\nabla_X Y \tag{2.41}$$

for any  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ .

A. Lotta introduced slant submanifolds in contact geometry as follows: [13]

**Definition 2.1.** Let *M* be a submanifold of an almost contact metric manifold  $\widetilde{M}(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ . Then *M* is said to be a contact slant submanifold if the angle  $\theta(X)$  between  $\varphi X$  and  $T_x(M)$  is constant at any point  $x \in M$  for any *X* linearly independent with  $\xi$ . Thus the totally real and totally real submanifolds are special classes of slant submanifolds with slant angles  $\theta = 0$  and  $\theta$   $=\frac{\pi}{2}$ , respectively. If the slant angle  $\theta$  is neither zero nor  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ , then the slant submanifold is said to be a proper contact slant submanifold.

The following theorem is well known for the slant submanifolds of an almost contact metric manifold [13].

**Theorem 2.1.** Let *M* be a submanifold of an  $(LCS)_n$ -manifold  $\widetilde{M}$ , such that  $\xi$  is tangent to *M*. Then *M* is a slant submanifold if and only if there exists a constant  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  such that

$$T^2 = \lambda (I + \eta \otimes \xi). \tag{2.42}$$

Moreover, if  $\theta$  is the slant angle of *M*, then it satisfies  $\lambda = \cos^2 \theta$ [13].

**Corollary 2.2.** Let *M* be a slant submanifold of an  $(LCS)_n$ -manifold  $\widetilde{M}$  with slant angle  $\theta$ . Then for any *X*,  $Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ , we have

$$g(TX,TY) = \cos^2\theta \left\{ g(X,Y) + \eta(X) \eta(Y) \right\}$$
(2.43)

and

$$g(NX, NY) = \sin^2 \theta \left\{ g(X, Y) + \eta(X) \eta(Y) \right\}.$$
(2.44)

#### 3 Contact pseudo-slant submanifolds in an (LCS)n-manifold

In this section, In a (LCS)n-manifold, necessary and sufficient conditions are given for a submanifold to be a contact pseudo-slant submanifold.

**Definition 3.1.** [12]Let *M* be a submanifold of an (*LCS*)<sub>n</sub>-manifold  $\widetilde{M}(\varphi, \zeta, \eta, g)$ . We say that *M* is a contact pseudo-slant submanifold if there exists a pair of orthogonal distributions  $D^{\perp}$  and  $D_{\theta}$  on *M* such that

- (i) The distribution  $D^{\perp}$  is a anti-invariant, i.e.,  $\varphi(D^{\perp}) \subseteq T^{\perp}M$ ,
- (ii) The distribution  $D_{\theta}$  is a slant with slant angle  $\theta$ ,
- (iii) The tangent space TM admits the orthogonal direct decomposition

# $TM = D^{\perp} \bigoplus D_{\theta}, \xi \in \Gamma(D_{\theta}).$

Let  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  be the dimensions of  $D^{\perp}$  and  $D_{\theta}$ , respectively, Thus if

- (i)  $d_2 = 0$ , then *M* is a anti-invariant submanifold.
- (ii)  $d_1 = 0$  and  $\theta = 0$ , then *M* is a invariant submanifold.

(iii)  $d_1 = 0$  and  $0 < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2}$ , then *M* is a proper contact slant submanifold.

(iv)  $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ , then *M* is a anti-invariant submanifold.

(v)  $d_2 d_1 \neq 0$  ve  $0 < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2}$ , then *M* is a proper contact pseudo-slant submanifold.

If we denote the projections on  $D^{\perp}$  and  $D_{\theta}$  by  $\varpi_1$  and  $\varpi_2$ , respectively, then for any  $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ , we have

$$X = \varpi_1 X + \varpi_2 X + \eta(X) \xi.$$

If  $\mu$  is the invariant subspace of the normal bundle  $T^{\perp}M$ , then in the case of a contact pseudoslant submanifold, the normal bundle  $T^{\perp}M$  can be decomposed as follows

## $T^{\perp}M \;=\; \varphi \; (D^{\perp}) \oplus N(D_{\theta}) \oplus \; \mu, \; \varphi \; (D^{\perp}) \perp N(D_{\theta}).$

**Theorem 3.1.** Let *M* proper contact pseudo-slant submanifold of a  $(LCS)_n$ -manifold  $\widetilde{M}$ . If *t* is parallel, then *M* is either mixed geodesic or anti-invariant submanifold.

Proof. For any  $X \in \Gamma(D_{\theta})$ ,  $Y \in \Gamma(D^{\perp})$ , from (2,33) and (2,34) we have *t* parallel if and only if N parallel, so  $\nabla F$ =0.

This implies

$$Ch(X,Y) - h(X,TY) = 0.$$
 (3.1)

When we replace X in the above equation with TX, we get

$$nh(TX,Y) - h(TX,TY) = 0 \tag{3.2}$$

for  $Y \in \Gamma(D^{\perp})$ , TY=0, so

$$nh(TX,Y) = 0. \tag{3.3}$$

We get by replacing X in the above equation with TX.

$$nh(T^{2}X,Y) = -n\cos^{2}\theta h(X,Y) = 0.$$
 (3.4)

As a result, we have  $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$  (*M* is anti-invariant) or *h*=0 (*M* is mixed geodesic).

**Theorem 3.2.** Let *M* be a contact pseudo-slant submanifold of a (*LCS*)<sub>n</sub>-manifold  $\widetilde{M}$ . Then the covariant derivative of *T* is symetric.

Proof. For any  $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TM)$ , we have (2,32)

$$g((\nabla_X T)Y, Z) = g(A_{NY}X + th(X, Y) + \alpha \{g(X, Y)\xi + \eta(Y)X + 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi\}, Z).$$
(3,35)

If equation (3,35) is used, we obtain

$$\begin{split} g((\nabla_X T)Y,Z) &= g(h(X,Z),NY) + g(t h(X,Y),Z) \\ &+ \alpha \left\{ g(X,Y) \eta(Z) + \eta(Y) g(X,Z) + 2 \eta(X) \eta(Y) \eta(Z) \right\} \\ &= g(t h(X,Z),Y) + g(h(X,Y),NZ) \\ &+ \alpha \left\{ g(\eta(Z)X + g(X,Z)\xi + 2 \eta(X)\eta(Z)\xi,Y) \right\} \\ &= g(A_{NZ}X + th(X,Z) + \alpha \left\{ g(X,Z)\xi + \eta(Z)X \\ &+ 2 \eta(X) \eta(Z)\xi,Y \right) \\ &= g((\nabla_X T)Z,Y). \end{split}$$

Which supports our claim.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let *M* be a proper pseudo-slant submanifold of a (*LCS*)<sub>n</sub>-manifold  $\widetilde{M}$ . The tensor field N is parallel if and only if shape operatory  $A_V$  satisfies

$$A_{nv}TY = \cos^2\theta (A_v Y + \eta(Y)\xi)$$
(3.5)

for any  $Y \in \Gamma(TM)$  and  $V \in \Gamma(T^{\perp}M)$ .

Proof. If N is parallel, we get from (2,33)

$$nh(X,Y) - h(X,TY) = 0$$
 (3.6)

for any X,  $Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ , This implies

$$nh(X,TY) - h(X,T^{2}Y) = 0$$
 (3.7)

S0,

$$nh(X,TY) = \cos^2 \theta h(X,Y + \eta(Y)\xi).$$
(3.8)

As a result, we have

$$g(nh(X,TY),V) = \cos^2\theta g(h(X,Y + \eta(Y)\xi),V)$$
  

$$g(h(X,TY),nV) = \cos^2\theta g(A_VX,Y + \eta(Y)\xi)$$
  

$$g(A_{NV}TY,X) = \cos^2\theta g(A_VY + \eta(Y)\xi,X)$$

for any  $V \in \Gamma(TM^{\perp})$ . This equivalent to

$$A_{nv}TY = \cos^2 \theta (A_v Y + A_v \eta(Y)\xi).$$
(3.9)

The proof is now complete.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let *M* be a proper contact pseudo-slant submanifold of a  $(LCS)_n$ -manifold  $\widetilde{M}$ . Then *n* is parallel if and only if the shape operator  $A_V$  of *M* satisfies the condition  $A_U tV = -A_V tU$  for all  $U, V \in \Gamma(T^{\perp}M)$ .

Proof. Let *n* be parallel. Then from (2,35), we have

$$g((\nabla_{X}n)V, U) = g(-h(X, tV) - N A_{V}X, U) = 0$$
  
=  $-g(A_{U}tV, X) - g(A_{V}X, tU) = 0$   
=  $-g(A_{U}tV + A_{V}tU, X) = 0.$ 

Hence we get  $A_U tV = -A_V tU$  for  $X \in \Gamma(TM)$  and  $U, V \in \Gamma(T \perp M)$ . which proves our assertion.

**Theorem3.5:** Let be M be a contact pseudo-slant submanifold of a (LCS)<sub>n</sub> – manifold  $\widetilde{M}$ . Then, we get

$$\cos^2 \theta g([X,Y],Z) = g(TA_{NZ}X - A_{NZ}TX,Y)$$

for any  $X, Y \in \Gamma(D_{\theta})$  and  $Z \in (D^{\perp})$ .

Proof: for any  $X, Y \in \Gamma(D_{\theta})$  and  $Z \in (D^{\perp})$ , by direct calculation using (2,32) and (2,33) we obtain

$$+A_{NZ}X + th(X,Z) = (\nabla_X T)Z = -T\nabla_X Z$$

and

$$(\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}N)Z = nh(X,Z).$$

Also by using (2,27) and (3,40), we conclude that

$$\begin{split} g([X,Y],Z) &= g(A_{NZ}X,TY) - g(A_{NZ}Y,TX) + g(\nabla_Y^{\perp}NZ,NX) - g(\nabla_X^{\perp}NZ,NY) \\ &= g(TA_{NZ}X,Y) - g(A_{NZ}TX,Y) + g((\nabla_YN)Z + N\nabla_YZ,NX) \\ &- g((\nabla_XN)Z + N\nabla_XZ,NY) \\ &= g(TA_{NZ}X - A_{NZ}TX,Y) + g(\nabla_YZ,NX) - g(N\nabla_XZ,NY) \\ &= g(TA_{NZ}X - A_{NZ}TX,Y) + sin^2\theta\{g(\nabla_XY,Z) - g(\nabla_YX,Z)\} \\ &= g(TA_{NZ}X - A_{NZ}TX,Y) + sin^2\theta\{g([X,Y],Z)\}, \end{split}$$

thus, we concdude

 $\cos^2 \theta g([X,Y],Z) = g(TA_{NZ}X - A_{NZ}TX,Y).$ 

**Theorem 3.6.** Let *M* be a totally umbilical submanifold of an  $(LCS)_n$ -manifold  $\widetilde{M}$ . Then at least one of the following satements is true.

(i). M is proper (LCS)n. (ii).  $H \in \Gamma(\nu)$ . (iii).Dim  $(D^{\perp}) = 1$ . Proof: Let  $X \in \Gamma(D^{\perp})$  and using (2.6), we obtain

$$(\widetilde{\nabla}_X \varphi) X = \alpha g(X, X) \xi.$$

On applying (2.19), (2.20), (2.22) and (2.23), we get

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_{X}NX - \varphi(\nabla_{X}X + h(X,X)) - \alpha g(X,X)\xi = 0.$$
  
$$-A_{NX}X + \nabla_{X}^{\perp}NX - N\nabla_{X}X - th(X,X) - nh(X,X) - \alpha g(X,X)\xi = 0.$$

The tangential components are compared

$$A_{NX}X + th(X, X) + \alpha g(X, X)\xi = 0.$$

Taking the product by  $W \in \Gamma(\mathbf{D}^{\perp})$ , we obtain

$$g(A_{NX}X,W) + g(th(X,X),W) = 0.$$

Since M is totally umbilical submanifold, we obtain

$$g(A_{NX}W, X) + (th(X, X), W) = 0$$
$$g(h(W, X), NX) - g(h(X, X), NW) = 0$$
$$g(W, X)g(H, NX) - g(X, X)g(H, NW) = 0$$
$$-g(X, X)g(tH, W) + g(X, X)g(tH, X) = 0$$

that is

$$g(tH, X)W - g(tH, W)X = 0$$

Here tH is either zero or X and W are linearly dependent vector fields. If tH  $\neq$  0, than dim  $(D^{\perp}) = 1$ . Othervise  $H \in \Gamma(\mu)$ . Since  $D_{\theta} \neq 0$  M is (lcs). Since  $\theta \neq 0$  and  $d_1d_2 \neq 0$  proper (LCS)n.

#### 4.References

[1] Atçeken, M. and Dirik, S. 2014. On the geometry of pseudo-slant submanifolds of a kenmotsu manifold, *Gulf Journal of Mathematics* **2**: 51–66.

[2] Atçeken, M. and Hui, S. K. 2013. Slant and pseudo-slant submanifolds in (ICS)n-manifolds, *Czechoslovak M.J.* **63**: 177–190.

[3] Cabrerizo, J. L., Carriazo, A., M., F. L. and Fernandez, M. 1999. Slant submanifolds in sasakian manifolds, *Geomeatriae Dedicata* **78**: 183–199.

[4] Cabrerizo, J. L., Carriazo, A., M., F. L. and Fernandez, M. 2000. Slant submanifolds in sasakian manifolds, *Glasgow Math*, J. **42**: 125–138.

[5] Chen, B. 1990a. Geometry of slant submanifolds, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Leuven, Belgium, View at Zentralblatt Math.

[6] Chen, B. 1990b. Slant immersions, Austral. Math. Soc. 41: 135–147.

[7] De, U. C. and Sarkar, A. 2004. On pseudo-slant submanifolds of trans sasakian manifolds slant submanifolds, *Proceedings of the Estonian* A.S **60**: 1–11.

[8] Dirik, S., Atçeken, M. and Yıldırım, U. 2017. Contact pseude-slant submanifold of a normal paracontact metric manifolds, *International Journal of Applied Mathemaatics and Statistics* **56**: 33–41.

[9] Dirik, S., Atçeken, M. and Yıldırım, U. 2018. On the geometry of contact pseudo-slant submanifolds in an (ICS)n-manifold, *International Journal of Applied Mathematics and Statistics* **2**: 96–109.

[10] Dirik, S., Yıldırım, U. 2022. Characterization of contact pseudo-slant submanifolds of a para Kenmotsu manifold, Journal of Amasya University the Institute of Sciences and Technology **3**: 49–59.

[11] Hui, S., Atçeken, M. and Pal, T. 2017. Warped product pseudo-slant submanifolds of (ICS)nmanifolds, *New Trens in Math. Sciences* **5**: 204–212.

[12] Khan, V. A. and Khan, M. A. 2007. Pseudo-slant submanifolds of a sasakian manifold, Indian

J. prue appl. Math. 38: 31-42.

[13] Lotta, A. 1996. Slant submanifolds in contact geometry, *Bulletin Mathematical Society Roumanie* **39**: 183–198.

[14] Matsumoto, K. and Mihai, I. 1988. On a cartein transformation in a lorentzian para sasakian manifold, *Tensor, New Ser.* **47**: 189–197.

[15] Mihai, I. and Cheen, B. 2009. classificiation of a quasi-minimal slant surfaces in lorentzian complex space forms, *Acta Math. Hung.* **122**: 307–328.

[16] Papaghuic 2009. Semi-slant submanifolds of a kaehlarian manifold, *An. St. Univ. Al. I. Cuza. Univ.* **40**: 55–61.

[17] Shaikh, A. A. 2003. On lorentzian almost paracontact manifolds with a structure of the concircular type, *Kyungpook Math.* J. **43**: 305–314.

[18] Shaikh, A. A. and Bahishya, K. 2005. On concircular structure spacetimes, J. *Math. Stat.* **1**: 129–132.

[19] Shaikh, Kim, H. and Hui, S. 2011. On lorentzian quasi-einstein manifolds, J. Korean Math. Soc. **48**: 669–689.

[20] Yano, K. 1940. Concircular geometry. 1. concircular transformations., *Proc. Tmp. Acad. Jop.* **16**: 195–200.