

Altay COŞKUN*

The Stephanophorate of Apollo and the Historical Context of the Milesian Decree for Queen Apama (I.Didyma 480)

ABSTRACT: Soon after the Battle of Ipsos (301 BCE), the Milesians honoured king Seleukos I, his son Antiochos I, and his wife, queen Apama, in gratitude for promising to rebuild the Apollo temple at Didyma and erecting a *stoa*. The decrees for Antiochos (I.Didyma 479) and Apama (I.Didyma 480) are largely extant, and the latter provides the chronological anchor for all three honours. It mentions Apollo as *stephanophoros*, under whom the financial officials (*anataktaī*) were to procure the funds for the queen's statue. In the standard edition of the text, Albert Rehm identified this stephanophorate with the year 299/8 BCE, but failed to see that it rather constitutes a *terminus ante quem* for the decree. The exceptional financial strain on the Milesians may already have resulted in postponing the order of the equestrian statue of Antiochos from perhaps fall 300 BCE to spring 299 BCE. This slightly adjusted timeline invites us to reconsider other aspects of dynastic history under the Diadochs. Contrary to the common opinion, the wedding of Seleukos and Stratonike, the daughter of Demetrios I, in 300 BCE does not constitute a *terminus ante quem* for honouring Apama, the first wife at a polygamous court, but rather a *terminus a quo*, since it sealed the reconciliation with Demetrios after the Battle of Ipsos. The Seleukid benefaction should be viewed within the context of a joint peace initiative that Demetrios and Seleukos started from Rhodos. It is also reflected in the mission of Nikagoras of Rhodes to Ephesos (I.Ephesos V 1453). In this context, the Milesian embassy was invited to the Seleukid court, offered the benefactions, and had their fellow citizens respond with three honorific decrees.

KEYWORDS: Miletos, stephanophorate of Apollo, Seleukos I, Apama, Antiochos I.

As the host of the famous sanctuary of Apollo (and Artemis) at Didyma, Miletos began to play a prominent role (again) during the campaign of Alexander ‘the Great’.¹ Its importance increased especially under Seleukos I Nikator (320/312–281 BCE), who boasted close links with the city’s patron god. Under the sole rule of his son Antiochos I Soter (281–261 BCE), this special relation culminated in the claim that Seleukos was Apollo’s son.² One of the prime documents attesting to the early relations between the royal family and the famous oracle site is an honorific decree for queen Apama, Seleukos’ wife and Antiochos’ mother. The present study revisits the date of the decree that has been ascribed most authoritatively to 299/98 BCE by Albert Rehm. While the timeframe here proposed is similar, the winter of (300/) 299 BCE, the argument and historical implications differ significantly: if we accept the previous

* Prof. Dr. Altay Coşkun, University of Waterloo, Department of Classical Studies, ML 228, Waterloo ON, N2L 3G1, Canada | acoskun@uwaterloo.ca | ORCID: 0000-0002-4672-6195.

I am deeply indebted to Mustafa Adak and Riet van Bremen for their critical feedback that allowed me to improve this paper significantly. I am also grateful to Thomas Corsten, Deirdre Klokow, Julien Monerie, and Ben Scolnic for their helpful or encouraging feedback to earlier drafts or important arguments presented here.

¹ Strabo 17.1.43 = Kallisthenes F 14a; cf. Meeus 2020, 297f. See the next note for further references.

² Milesian oracles for Seleukos: App. Syr. 56.283 (on 334 BCE, a posthumous fiction) and Diod. 19.90 (on 313/12 BCE); cf. Lib. Or. 11.99. Didyma as the background of the dynastic sanctuary of Apollo at Daphne: Justin 15.4.7f. and Lib. Or. 11.94–100. Cf. Ogden 2017, 56–58, 64, 138–140, 271–280; also de la Nuez Pérez 2008, 334–337; Errington 2008, 133–135; Erickson 2011; 2014; forthcoming; Widmer 2016, 29f. Note that Nawotka 2019 argues for the creation of the myth around 300 BCE, while the general trend now converges to a date after 281 BCE.

Only this way, the pieces add up plausibly: the wedding sealed the reconciliation between Seleukos and Demetrios, enhanced the need to ascertain the role of his oldest son who had already been chosen to succeed his father, and further opened the path for diplomacy between Seleukos and Miletos, which was instrumental in propagating the dynastic arrangements. The new marriage cannot have taken place later than 300 BCE, as the sequence of events in Plutarch's *Life of Demetrios* implies. To this, we should add the chronological implication of the debt law of Ephesos, which Walser took as pointing to 299 BCE for the official end of the war, but which, according to his own logic, necessarily takes us to 300 BCE. It is hence most likely that the Seleukid benefactions in Miletos followed soon thereafter in summer or fall, and the expressions of gratitude by the citizens cannot be later than winter 299 BCE.⁴⁶

Let us finally return to the abovementioned ambassador Nikagoras, who had been sent to the Ephesians and all the Greeks to remind them of previous and ongoing goodwill. Quite spectacularly, Nikagoras had been sent out in the name of two kings, Demetrios and Seleukos, so that it is commonly accepted that his mission had started in Rhosos in 300 BCE, the location of the abovementioned dynastic wedding. Most likely, this same envoy visited Miletos as well and started the negotiation which then resulted in the benefactions of Seleukos, Antiochos and Apama. This embassy to the Greek cities reflects a much broader policy of appeasement, which is likewise reflected in the marriage alliance that Seleukos brought about for Demetrios with the daughter of Ptolemy I and the embassy of Demetrios' wife Phila to her brother Kassandros.⁴⁷

No reference to those major construction projects is made in the correspondence of 288/87 BCE, so that we should expect that they were finalized well before then. They may have been well advanced in 296/94 BCE when Seleukos elevated Antiochos to co-ruling kingship and handed over his youngest wife Stratonike to his son. The essence of the dynastic arrangement had been announced to the Greek world in Miletos as early as 300 BCE.⁴⁸

Bibliography

- | | |
|------------------------------|---|
| Ager 2018 | S. Ager, Building a Dynasty: The Families of Ptolemy I Soter, in: T. Howe (ed.), <i>Ptolemy Soter. A Self-Made Man</i> , Oxford, 38–59. |
| Almagor 2016 | E. Almagor, Seleukid Love and Power: Stratonike I, in: Coşkun and McAuley 2016, 67–86. |
| Alonso Troncoso – Anson 2013 | V. Alonso Troncoso – E.M. Anson (eds.), <i>After Alexander: the Time of the Diadochi</i> , Oxford 2013. |
| Austin ² | M. M. Austin, <i>The Hellenistic World from Alexander to the Roman Con-</i> |

⁴⁶ See n. 30 above on the wedding and the chronological implications of Plutarch's account. The chronological implications of the debt law (Syll.¹ 344 = Syll.³ 364 = Walser 2008, 26–35) are very uncertain. Walser suggests (94, 103f.) that the debt law seeks remediation for up to 25 months, namely from Posideon (1st month, Dec./Jan., probably 302/1 BCE) under the *prytaneia* of Demagoras (Il. 75–79, 83f., 98) through the *prytaneia* of Mantikrates (I. 98) to Lenaion (2nd month, Jan./Feb., probably 299 BCE) under Apollas (Il. 69–72, 98). None of the *prytaneiai* is known otherwise. Walser suggests that the beginning of this mitigation period was marked by the liberation of Ephesos under Demetrios, whereas the end date coincides with the reconciliation of Demetrios and Seleukos. But it makes little sense to exclude the devastation under Prepelaos from this timeframe. Demetrios hence arrived early in 301 BCE (month Posideon of Demagoras' year; similar Capdetrey 2022, 119, though without discussion), and the law was passed in (or even soon after) Feb. 299 BCE, a few months after Demetrios met with Seleukos at Rhosos. The operation of Prepelaos took place in 302/1 BCE (Diod. 20.107.1–4, with 20.106.1 for the Athenian *archon* date of Nikokles, 302/1 BCE).

⁴⁷ On Ptolemais, see n. 29 above; on Phila's embassy, see Plut. Demetr. 32.3. For a full treatment, see Coşkun in preparation.

⁴⁸ On the remarriage of Stratonike, see especially Almagor 2016; also Widmer 2019; Coşkun forthcoming 3. More problematic are Engels and Erickson 2016; Hämmelerling 2019, 121–139; Wheatley and Dunn 2020, 295f., since they put this second wedding in a context of hostility between Demetrios and Seleukos, although Plutarch (Demetr. 38.1) reports this under good news for Demetrios.

- quest. A Selection of Ancient Sources in Translation. Second Edition, Cambridge 2006.
- Bielman Sánchez 2003 A. Bielman Sánchez, Régner au féminin. Réflexions sur les reines attalides et séleucides, in: F. Prost (ed.), L’Orient méditerranéen de la mort d’Alexandre aux campagnes de Pompée, Rennes 2003, 41–64.
- Billows 1990 R.A. Billows, Antigonos the One-Eyed and the Creation of the Hellenistic State, Berkeley 1990, repr. 1997.
- Bringmann – von Steuben 1995 K. Bringmann – H. von Steuben, Schenkungen hellenistischer Herrscher an griechische Städte und Heiligtümer. Teil I: Zeugnisse und Kommentare, Berlin 1995.
- Capdetrey 2022 L. Capdetrey, L’Asie Mineure après Alexandre (vers 323–vers 270 av. J.-C.). L’invention du monde hellénistique, Rennes 2022.
- Carlsson 2010 S. Carlsson, Hellenistic Democracies. Freedom, Independence and Political Procedure in Some East Greek City-States, Stuttgart 2010.
- Carney 1991 E. Carney, „What’s in a Name?” The Emergence of a Title for Royal Women in the Hellenistic Period, in: S. B. Pomeroy (ed.), Women’s History and Ancient History, Chapel Hill 1991, 154–172.
- Carney – Müller 2021 E. Carney – S. Müller, The Routledge Companion to Women and Monarchy in the Ancient Mediterranean World, London 2021.
- Cobet 2006 J. Cobet, Miletos [2] – I. History – E. Classical and Hellenistic Period, BNP Online.
- Cohen 2006 G.M. Cohen, The Hellenistic Settlements in Syria, the Red Sea Basin, and North Africa, Berkeley 2006.
- Coloru 2009 O. Coloru, Da Alessandro a Menandro: Il regno greco di Battriana, Pisa 2009.
- Coşkun 2016 A. Coşkun, Ptolemaioi as Commanders in 3rd-Century Asia Minor and Some Glimpses on Ephesos and Mylasa during the Second and Third Syrian Wars, in: B. Takmer – E. Akdoğu Arca – N. Gökalp Özdiç (eds.), Vir doctus anatolicus. Studies in Memory of Sencer Şahin – Sencer Şahin Anısına Yazıtlar, İstanbul 2016, 211–233.
- Coşkun 2021 A. Coşkun, The Regnal Years of Antigonos Gonatas, in: Karanos 4, 2021, 49–58.
- Coşkun 2022a A. Coşkun, Berenike Phernophoros and Other Virgin Queens in Early-Ptolemaic Egypt, Klio 104.1, 2022, 1–43.
- Coşkun 2022b A. Coşkun (ed.), Galatian Victories and Other Studies into the Agency and Identity of the Galatians in the Hellenistic and Early-Roman Periods (Colloquia Antiqua 33), Leuven 2022.
- Coşkun 2022c A. Coşkun, Ideological Layers in the Apameia Foundation Mosaics. Seleukid Lecture Series III.2, 16 March 2022. URL: <https://youtu.be/bSsRVnhxIdQ>.
- Coşkun, forthcoming 1 A. Coşkun, Polygamy and Queenship under Antiochos II The King’s Wife Laodike I and the Basilissa Title (or the Lack thereof), in: E. Almagor – B. Antela-Bernárdez – M. Mendoza (eds.), Cherchez la femme. Women in Hellenistic History, Historiography and Reception.
- Coşkun, forthcoming 2 A. Coşkun, The First Seleukid Benefactions in Miletos and the Creation of a Dynastic Ideology, in: A. Coşkun – R. Wenghofer (eds.), Seleukid Ideology – Creation, Reception and Response (Seleukid Perspectives 1), Stuttgart.
- Coşkun, forthcoming 3 A. Coşkun, A New Book and Further Recent Scholarship on Seleukid Royal Women, forthcoming in Karanos 5, 2022.
- Coşkun, in preparation A. Coşkun, Seleukos and the Peace after Ipsos, in preparation for C. Feyel, L. Graslin-Thomé, and L. Martinez-Sèze (eds.), Crises, effondrements et rétablissements de l’autorité séleucide (Actes de la conférence, Athènes, 19–21

- Oct. 2022).
- Coşkun – McAuley 2016
- D’Agostini 2009
- de la Nuez Pérez 2009
- Engels 2009
- Engels – Erickson 2016
- Erickson 2011
- Erickson 2014
- Erickson 2018
- Erickson, forthcoming
- Errington 2008
- Grainger 1997
- Grainger 2010
- Grainger 2014
- Grieb 2008
- Günther 1971
- Günther 2017
- Hackl 2020
- Harders 2016
- Haussoullier 1902
- Hämmerling 2019
- Holton 2018
- I.Didyma
- I.Ephesos V
- Kosmin 2014
- A. Coşkun – A. McAuley (eds.), *Seleukid Royal Women. Creation, Representation and Distortion of Hellenistic Queenship in the Seleukid Empire*, Stuttgart 2016.
- M. D’Agostini, *Da Fila a Ftia di Macedonia. Riflessioni sulla regalità femminile degli Antigonidi*, Aevum 94, 2020, 75–89.
- M. E. de la Nuez Pérez, *El oráculo de Dídima: un ejemplo de las relaciones diplomáticas en época helenística (The Oracle of Didyma: an Example of Diplomatic Relations in the Hellenistic Period)*, Espacio, Tiempo y Forma, Serie II, Historia Antigua 22, 2009, 333–340.
- D. Engels, *Benefactors, Kings, Rulers. Studies on the Seleukid Empire between East and West*, Leuven 2017.
- D. Engels – K. Erickson, *Apama and Stratonike – Marriage and Legitimacy*, in: Coşkun and McAuley 2016, 39–65.
- K. Erickson, ‘Apollo-Nabû: the Babylonian Policy of Antiochus I’, in: K. Erickson – G. Ramsay (eds.), *Seleucid Dissolution: the Sinking of the Anchor*, Wiesbaden 2011, 51–65.
- K. Erickson, *Zeus to Apollo and back again: Shifts in Seleucid Policy and Iconography*, in: S. Krznicek – N. Baylor (eds.), *Art in the Round*, Tübingen 2014, 97–108.
- K. Erickson (ed.), *The Seleukid Empire, 281–222 BC. War within the Family*, Swansea 2018.
- K. Erickson, *The Creation of Royal Status and Charismatic Legitimacy under Seleukos I*, in: A. Coşkun – R. Wenghofer (eds.), *Seleukid Ideology – Creation, Reception and Response*.
- R.M. Errington, *A History of the Hellenistic World (323-30 BC)*, Oxford 2008.
- J.D. Grainger, *A Seleukid Prosopography and Gazetteer*, Leiden 1997.
- J.D. Grainger, *The Syrian Wars*, Leiden 2010.
- J.D. Grainger, *The Rise of the Seleukid Empire*, Barnsley 2014.
- V. Grieb, *Hellenistische Demokratie Politische Organisation und Struktur in freien griechischen Poleis nach Alexander dem Großen*, Stuttgart 2008.
- W. Günther, *Das Orakel von Didyma in hellenistischer Zeit. Eine Interpretation von Stein-Urkunden*, Tübingen 1971.
- W. Günther, *Inscriptions von Milet. Teil 4: Eine Prosopographie (Milet 6.4.)*, Berlin 2017.
- J. Hackl, *Bemerkungen zur Chronologie der Seleukidenzeit: Die Koregentschaft von Seleukos I. Nikator und Antiochos (I. Soter)*, Klio 102.2, 2020, 560–578.
- A.-C. Harders, *The Making of a Queen – Seleukos Nikator and His Wives*, in: Coşkun and McAuley 2016, 25–38.
- B. Haussoullier, *Études sur l’histoire de Milet et du Didymeion*, Paris 1902.
- R. Hämerling, *Zwischen dynastischem Selbstbild und literarischem Stereotyp. Königinnen der Seleukiden und der Mittelmächte Kleinasiens*, Rahden in Westfalen 2019.
- J. R. Holton, *The Ideology of Seleukid Joint Kingship: the Case of Seleukos, Son of Antiochos I*, in: Erickson 2018, 101–128.
- Didyma. Zweiter Teil: *Die Inschriften. Von A. Rehm, ed. by R. Harder (=Th. Wiegand, Didyma. Zweiter Teil: Die Inschriften)*, Berlin 1958.
- C. Börker – R. Merkelbach (eds.), *Die Inschriften von Ephesos. Teil V: Nr. 1446–2000 (Repertorium)*, Bonn 1980 (IK 15).
- P. J. Kosmin, *The Land of the Elephant Kings: Space, Territory, and Ideology*

- Kunst 2021
 Landucci Gattinoni 2013
 Ma 2013
 McAuley 2022
 Macurdy 1932
 Meeus 2020
 Meijering 2014/15
 Mehl 1986
 Milet I 3
 Monerie 2014
 Müller 1976
 Müller 2013
 Nawotka 2014
 Nawotka 2019
 Ogden 1999
 Ogden 2017
 OGIS
 Olbrycht 2013
 Olbrycht 2021
 Olszewski – Saad 2018
 Olszewski 2022
- in the Seleucid Empire, Cambridge, MA 2014.
 C. Kunst, *Basilissa – Die Königin im Hellenismus*. Vol. 1: Darstellung. Vol. 2: Quellen, Rahden/Westfalen.
 F. Landucci Gattinoni, *Seleucus vs. Antigonus: A Study on the Sources*, in: Alonso Troncoso and Anson 2013, 30–42.
 J. Ma, *Statues and Cities. Honorific Portraits and Civic Identity in the Hellenistic World*, Oxford 2013.
 A. McAuley, *The Seleucid Royal Family as a Reigning Triad*, in: E. Anagnostou-Laoutides – S. Pfeiffer (eds.), *Culture and Ideology under the Seleucids*, Berlin 2022, 23–40.
 G.H. Macurdy, *Hellenistic Queens. A Study of Woman-Power in Macedonia, Seleucid Syria, and Ptolemaic Egypt*, Baltimore 1932.
 A. Meeus, *The Strategies of Legitimation of Alexander and the Diadochoi: Continuities and Discontinuities*, in: K. Trampedach – A. Meeus (eds.), *The Legitimation of Conquest. Monarchical Representation and the Art of Government in the Empire of Alexander the Great*, Stuttgart 2020, 291–317.
 R. Meijering, *Religious Support and Political Gain: The Seleucids, Miletus, and Didyma, 301–281 BC*, *Talanta* 46/47, 2014/15, 237–249.
 A. Mehl, *Seleukos Nikator und sein Reich*, Leuven 1986.
 Das Delphinion von Milet. Von G. Kawerau und A. Rehm (=Milet. Ergebnisse der Ausgrabungen und Untersuchungen seit dem Jahre 1899; vol. 1, instalment 3), Berlin 1914.
 J. Monerie, *D'Alexandre à Zoilos. Dictionnaire prosopographique des porteurs de nom grec dans les sources cunéiformes*, Stuttgart 2014.
 H. Müller, *Milesische Volksbeschlüsse. Eine Untersuchungsgeschichte der Stadt Milet in hellenistischer Zeit*, Göttingen 1976.
 S. Müller, *The Female Element of the Political Self-Fashioning of the Diadochi: Ptolemy, Seleucus, Lysimachus, and their Iranian Wives*, in: Alonso Troncoso and Anson 2013, 199–214.
 K. Nawotka, *Boule and Demos in Miletus and Its Pontic Colonies*, 2nd ed. Wiesbaden 2014.
 K. Nawotka, *Apollo, the Tutelary God of the Seleucids, and Demodamas of Miletus*, in: Z. Archibald – J. Haywood (eds.), *The Power of Individual and Community in Ancient Athens and Beyond: Essays in Honour of John K. Davies*, Swansea 2019, 261–284.
 D. Ogden, *Polygamy, Prostitutes and Death. The Hellenistic Dynasties*, London 1999.
 D. Ogden, *The Legend of Seleucus: Kingship, Narrative and Mythmaking in the Ancient World*, Cambridge 2017.
 W. Dittenberger, *Orientis Graeci Inscriptiones Selectae. Supplementum Sylloges Inscriptionum Graecarum*, vols. I–II, Leipzig 1903/5, repr. Hildesheim 1970.
 M. Olbrycht, *Iranians in the Diadochi Period*, in: Alonso Troncoso and Anson 2013, 159–182.
 M. Olbrycht, *Seleukid Women*, in: Carney and Müller 2021, 173–185.
 M.T. Olszewski – H. Saad, *Pella et Apamée en Syrie et ses héros fondateurs à la lumière d'une source historique inconnue une mosaïque d'Apamée*, in: M.P. Castiglioni – R. Carboni – M. Giuman – H. Bernier-Farella (eds.), *Héros fondateurs et identités communautaires dans l'Antiquité, entre mythe, rite et politique*, Perugia 2018, 365–416.
 M.T. Olszewski, *Memory and Ideology of the First Successor of Alexander the Great as Inscribed on Roman Mosaics from Apameia of Syria*, in: Anagnostou-Laoutides and Pfeiffer 2022, 97–127.

- Orth 1977 W. Orth, Königlicher Machtanspruch und städtische Freiheit. Untersuchungen zu den politischen Beziehungen zwischen den ersten Seleukidenherrschern (Seleukos I., Antiochos I., Antiochos II.) und den Städten des westlichen Kleinasien, Munich 1977.
- Plischke 2014 S. Plischke, Die Seleukiden und Iran. Die seleukidische Herrschaftspolitik in den östlichen Satrapien, Wiesbaden 2014.
- Primo 2009 A. Primo, La storiografia sui Seleucidi da Megastene a Eusebio di Cesarea, Pisa 2009.
- Ramsey 2016 G. Ramsey, The Diplomacy of Seleukid Women: Apama and Stratonike, in: Coşkun and McAuley 2016, 87–104.
- Ramsey 2021 G. Ramsey, Apama and Stratonike, in: Carney and Müller 2021, 186–197.
- Reda 2014 S. Reda, Interregnum: Queen Regency in the Seleucid Empire. MA thesis, Waterloo, ON. 2014 URL: <http://hdl.handle.net.proxy.lib.uwaterloo.ca/10012/8762>.
- Robert 1984 L. Robert, Documents d'Asie Mineure: Pline, VI, 49, Démodamas de Milet et la reine Apamè, BCH 108, 1984, 467–472.
- Seibert 1967 J. Seibert, Historische Beiträge zu den dynastischen Verbindungen in hellenistischer Zeit, Wiesbaden 1967.
- Seibert 1971 J. Seibert, Ptolemaios I. und Milet, Chiron 1, 1971, 159–166.
- Sherk 1992 R.K. Sherk, The Eponymous Officials of Greek Cities IV, ZPE 93, 1992, 223–272.
- Strootman 2021 R. Strootman, To be Magnanimous and Grateful. The Entanglement of Cities and Empires in the Hellenistic Aegean', in: M. Domingo-Gygax – G. Zuiderhoek (eds.), Benefactors and the Polis: Origins and Development of the Public Gift in the Greek Cities. From the Homeric World to Late Antiquity, Cambridge 2021, 137–178.
- van Oppen de Ruiter 2015 B. van Oppen de Ruiter, The Susa Marriages: A Historiographical Note, AncSoc 44, 2015, 25–41.
- Visscher 2020 M. Visscher, Beyond Alexandria. Literature and Empire in the Seleucid World, Oxford 2020.
- Welles RC C.B. Welles, Royal Correspondence in the Hellenistic World. A Study in Greek Epigraphy, London 1934, repr. Chicago 1974.
- Widmer 2016 M. Widmer, Apamè. Une reine au cœur de la construction d'un royaume, in: A. Bielman Sánchez, I. Cogitore and A. Kolb (eds.), Femmes influentes dans le monde hellénistique et à Rome: IIIe siècle avant J.C. – Ier siècle après J.C., Grenoble 2016, 17–33.
- Widmer 2019 M. Widmer, Looking for the Seleucid Couple, in: A. Bielman Sánchez (ed.), Power Couples in Antiquity: Transversal Perspectives, London 2019, 32–41.

Apollon'un *Stephanephorosluğu* ve Kraliçe Apama'ya İlişkin Miletos Kararnamesi: I.Didyma 480'in Tarihi Çerçeveesi

Öz: Ipsos Savaşı'ndan (MÖ 301) kısa bir süre sonra Miletoslular, Didyma'daki Apollon tapınağını onarma ve orada bir stoa inşa etme sözü verdikleri için kral I. Seleukos, oğlu Antiokhos ve eşi kraliçe Apama'yı bir şükran nişanesi olarak onurlandırmışlardır. Antiokhos ve Apama'nın onuruna alınan kararnameler (I.Didyma 479–480) büyük oranda korunmuştur. Kraliçeyi onurlandıran yazıt her üç onurlandırmayı tarihi çerçeveye oturtmak için kilit rolü konumundadır. Burada kraliçenin heykeli için gerekli para miktarının kentin mali yetkilileri (*anataktaī*) tarafından Apollon'un *stephanephorosluğu* zamanında temin edilmesi gereği belirtilmektedir. Yazıtın kabul gören edisyonunda Albert Rehm bu *stephanephorosluğu* MÖ 299/8 yılına tarihlemiş, ancak bunun kararname için bir *terminus ante quem* oluştuğunu görememiştir. Miletoslular'un yaşadıkları istisnai mali dar boğaz, Antiokhos'un atlı heykeli-

nin yapımının muhtemelen MÖ 300 sonbaharından MÖ 299 baharına ertelenmesine yol açmış olmalıdır. Zaman çizelgesinde yapılan bu küçük düzeltme bizi Diadokhlar dönemindeki hanedanlık tarihinin diğer yönlerini yeniden gözden geçirmeye davet etmektedir. Seleukos'un I. Demetrios'un kızı Stratonike ile MÖ 300 yılında gerçekleşen evliliği, çok eşli bir sarayda ilk eş (haseki) olan Apama'nın Miletos-lular tarafından onurlandırılması hususunda yaygın görüşün aksine bir *terminus ante quem* değil, daha çok bir *terminus a quo* oluşturmaktadır. Çünkü bu evlilik Ipsos Savaşı'nın ardından Demetrios ile Seleukos arasındaki mutabakatı mühürlemiştir. Seleukos'un Miletos'a vaatettiği hayırseverlik kralın Demetrios ile Rhosos'ta başlattığı ortak barış girişimi bağlamında görülmelidir. Bu durum Rodoslu Nikagoras'in iki kral adına Ephesos'a yaptığı elçilikte de yanık bulmaktadır (I.Ephesos V 1453). Bu bağlamda Miletoslu elçiler Seleukos sarayına davet edilmiş, orada hayır vaatlerinde bulunmuş ve hemşehrilerinin girişe bahsi geçen onurlandırmalarla karşılık vermelerini sağlamıştır.

ANAHTAR SÖZCÜKLER: Miletos, Apollon'un *stephanephorosluğu*, I. Seleukos, Apama, I. Antiokhos