GU J Sci 30(1): 355-362 (2017) Gazi University

FORMAL OF SCIENCE

**Journal of Science** 



http://dergipark.gov.tr/gujs

Fixed points theorem in relatively two intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces is obtained by

# **Common Fixed Point Theorems in Relatively Intuitionistic Fuzzy Metric Spaces**

## Taieb HAMAIZIA<sup>1,\*</sup>, P. P. MURTHY<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Larbi Ben M'hidi University, Oum Elbouaghi, Algeria

<sup>2</sup>Department of Pure Applied Mathematics, Guru Ghasidas Vishwavidyalaya (A Central University), Bilaspur(CG), 495 009, INDIA

generalizing a theorem of [6] in fuzzy metric space.

#### Article Info

#### Abstract

Received: 02/10/2016 Accepted: 18/11/2016

## Keywords

Intuitionistic fuzzy metric space Common fixed point Cauchy sequence

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

The concept of fuzzy sets was introduced initially by Zadeh [12] in 1965. George and Veeramani [7] slightly modified the concept of fuzzy metric space introduced by Kramosil and Michalek [9]. In 1986, Atanassov [3] introduced and studied the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy sets by generalizing fuzzy sets. In 2004, Park [8] defined the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy metric space with the help of continuous t-norms and continuous t-conorms. Recently, in 2006, Alaca et al. [1] defined the concept of fuzzy metric space with the help of continuous t–norms and continuous t-conorms as a generalization of fuzzy metric space which is introduced by Kramosil and Michalek [7]. The aim of this paper is to obtain a common fixed point theorem for a pair of maps intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. Our theorem extend and generalize a theorem of Hamaizia and Aliouche [6].

# 2. PRELIMINARIES

First of all we recall the following basic properties of fuzzy metric space:

**Definition 1**. [8]. A binary operation  $*: [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  is called a continuous t-norm if it satisfies the following conditions:

- 1) \* is associative and commutative,
- 2) \* is continuous,

3) a \* 1 = 1 for all  $a \in [0,1]$ ,

4)  $a * b \le c * d$  whenever  $a \le c$  and  $b \le d$ , for each  $a, b, c \in [0,1]$ .

Two typical examples of a continuous t-norm are a \* b = ab and min{a, b}.

**Definition 2.** [8]. A binary operation  $\diamond: [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  is called a continuous t-norm if it satisfies the following conditions:

1)  $\Diamond$  is associative and commutative,

- 2)  $\Diamond$  is continuous,
- 3)  $a \diamond 0 = 0$  for all  $a \in [0,1]$ ,

4)  $a \diamond b \leq c \diamond d$  whenever  $a \leq c$  and  $b \leq d$ , for each  $a, b, c \in [0,1]$ .

Alaca et al. [1] introduced the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy metric space which follows:

**Definition 3**. [1]. A 5-tuple (X,M,N,\*, $\delta$ ) is called an intuitionistic fuzzy metric

space if X is an arbitrary (non-empty) set, \* is a continuous t-norm, ◊ is a continuous

t-conorm and M,N are a fuzzy sets on  $X^2 \times (0,1)$  satisfying the following conditions :

(1)  $M(x, y, t) + N(x, y, t) \le 1$  for all  $x, y \in X$  and t > 0;

- (2) M(x, y, 0) = 0 for all  $x, y \in X$ ;
- (3) M(x, y, t) = 1 for all  $x, y \in X$  and t > 0 if and only if x = y;
- (4) M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t) for all  $x, y \in X$  and t > 0;
- (5)  $M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s) \le M(x, z, t + s)$  for each x, y,  $z \in X$  and t, s > 0;
- (6) For all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $M(x, y, .) : (0,1) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is continuous;
- (7)  $\lim_{n \to \infty} M(x, y, t) = 1 \text{ for all } x, y \in X \text{ and } t > 0;$
- (8) N(x, y, 0) = 1 for all  $x, y \in X$ ;

(3) N(x, y, t) = 0 for all  $x, y \in X$  and t > 0 if and only if x = y;

(9) N(x, y, t) = N(y, x, t) for all  $x, y \in X$  and t > 0;

(10) N(x, y, t)  $\delta$ N(y, z, s)  $\geq$  N(x, z, t + s), for each x, y, z  $\in$  X and t, s > 0;

(11) For all N(x, y, .) :  $(0,1) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is continuous;

(12)  $\lim_{n \to \infty} N(x, y, t) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in X \text{ and } t > 0.$ 

Then (M,N) is called an intuitionistic fuzzy metric on X. The functions

M(x, y, t) and N(x, y, t) respectively denote the degree of nearness and

degree of nonnearness between x and y with respect to t.

Remark 1. [2]Every fuzzy metric space (X,M, \*) is an intuitionistic fuzzy metric

space of the form  $(X,M, 1 - M, *, \delta)$  such that t-norm \* and t-conorm  $\delta$ , are

associated as  $x \diamond y = 1 - ((1 - x) * (1 - y))$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

**Remark 2**. [2]In the intuitionistic fuzzy metric space (X,M,N,  $*, \emptyset$ ), M(x, y,  $\cdot$ ) is nondecreasing and N(x, y,  $\cdot$ ) is non-increasing for all x, y  $\in X$ .

**Definition 4.** [1]. Let  $(X,M, 1 - M, *, \delta)$  be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. Then

(a) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X is said to be convergent to a point x in X if and only if

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} M(x_n, x, t) = 1 \text{ and } \lim_{n \to \infty} N(x_n, x, t) = 0 \text{ for each } t > 0.$ 

(b) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X is called Cauchy sequence if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} M(x_{n+p}, x, t) = 1 \text{ and } \lim_{n\to\infty} N(x_{n+p}, x, t) = 0 \text{ for each } p > 0 \text{ and } t > 0.$$

**Definition 5.** [1] An intuitionistic fuzzy metric space  $(X,M,N, *, \delta)$  is said to be complete if and only if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent.

**Lemma 1.** [2] Let  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in a intuitionistic fuzzy metric space

 $(X,M,N, *, \emptyset)$ . If there exists a constant  $k \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$M(x_{n+1}, x_n, k t) \ge M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)$$
  
 
$$N(x_{n+1}, x_n, k t) \le N(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)$$

$$\Pi(x_{n+1},x_n,\kappa) \leq \Pi(x_{n-1},x_n,\kappa)$$

Then  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in X.

**Lemma 2.** [2]Let  $(X,M,N, *, \emptyset)$  be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space and for all

x, y in X, t > 0 and if there exists a number  $k \in (0, 1)$ 

 $M(x, y, k t) \ge M(x, y, t)$  and  $N(x, y, k t) \le N(x, y, t)$ 

then x = y.

In the interest of our main result we shall recall a theorem proved by Hamaizia and A. Aliouche [6]:

**Theorem 1**. Let  $(X, M_1, \theta_1)$  and  $(Y, M_2, \theta_2)$  be complete fuzzy metric spaces with  $M_1(x, x', t) \rightarrow 1$  as  $t \rightarrow 1$  for all  $x, x' \in X$  and  $M_2(y, y', t) \rightarrow 1$  as  $t \rightarrow 1$  for all  $y, y' \in Y$ . Let  $T : X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $S : Y \rightarrow X$  be mappings satisfying:

 $M_1(STx, STx', kt) \ge \min \{M_1(x, x', t), M_1(x, STx, t), M_1(x', STx', t), M_2(Tx, Tx', t)\}$ 

 $M_2(TSy, TSy', kt) \ge \min \{M_2(y, y', t), M_2(y, TSy, t), M_2(y', TSy', t), M_1(Sy, Sy', t)\}$ 

for all x,  $x' \in X$ , y,  $y' \in Y$  and for all t > 0, where 0 < k < 1. Then ST has a unique fixed point z in X and TS has a unique fixed point w in Y. Further, Tz = w and Sw = z.

## **3. MAIN RESULT**

We prove our main theorem (2) which is an extension of Theorem(1) of fuzzy metric space in to intuitionistic fuzzy metric space.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $(X, M_1, N_1, *, \emptyset)$  and  $(Y, M_2, N_2, *, \emptyset)$  be complete intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces with  $M_1(x, x', t) \rightarrow 1$  as  $t \rightarrow 1$  for all  $x, x' \in X$  and  $M_2(y, y', t) \rightarrow 1$  as  $t \rightarrow 1$  for all  $y, y' \in Y$ . Let  $T : X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $S : Y \rightarrow X$  be mappings satisfying:

(3.1)  $M_1(STx, STx', kt) \ge \min \{M_1(x, x', t), M_1(x, STx, t), M_1(x', STx', t), M_2(Tx, Tx', t)\}$ 

$$(3.2) N_1(STx, STx', kt) \le \max\{N_1(x, x', t), N_1(x, ST x, t), N_1(x', ST x', t), N_2(T x, T x', t)\}$$

(3.3)  $M_2(TSy, TSy', kt) \ge \min \{M_2(y, y', t), M_2(y, TSy, t), M_2(y', TSy', t), M_1(Sy, Sy', t)\}$ 

(3.4) 
$$N_2(TSy, TSy', kt) \le \max \{N_2(y, y', t), N_2(y, TSy, t), N_2(y', TSy', t), N_1(Sy, Sy', t)\}$$

for all x,  $x' \in X$ , y,  $y' \in Y$  and for all t > 0, where 0 < k < 1. Then ST has a unique fixed point z in X and TS has a unique fixed point w in Y. Indeed Tz = w and Sw = z, whenever T is continuous.

Proof. Let x be an arbitrary point in X. We define the sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  in X and Y respectively by:

$$\mathbf{S}\mathbf{y}_{n} = \mathbf{x}_{n}, \ \mathbf{T}\mathbf{x}_{n-1} = \mathbf{y}_{n},$$

for n=1, 2, ... Putting  $x = x_n$  and  $y = y_n$  for all n. Applying inequality (3.1),(3.2) we get

$$(3.5) \quad M_1(x_{n+1}, x_n, kt) \geq \min \{M_1(x_n, x_{n-1}, t), M_1(x_n, x_{n+1}, t), M_1(x_{n-1}, x_n, t), M_2(y_{n+1}, y_n, t)\},\$$

$$(3.6) \quad N_1(x_{n+1}, x_n, kt)) \le \max \{N_1(x_n, x_{n-1}, t), N_1(x_n, x_{n+1}, t), N_1(x_{n-1}, x_n, t), N_2(y_{n+1}, y_n, t)\},\$$

Using inequalities (3.3),(3.4) we have

 $(3.7) \quad M_2(y_{n+1}, y_n, kt) ) \ge \min \{M_2(y_n, y_{n-1}, t), M_2(y_n, y_{n+1}, t), M_2(y_{n-1}, y_n, t), M_1(x_n, x_{n-1}, t)\},\$ 

 $(3.8) \quad N_2(y_{n+1}, y_n, kt)) \le \max\{N_2(y_n, y_{n-1}, t), N_2(y_n, y_{n+1}, t), N_2(y_{n-1}, y_n, t), N_1(x_n, x_{n-1}, t)\},\$ 

involve, respectively

(3.9) 
$$M_1(x_{n+1}, x_n, kt) \ge \min \{M_1(x_n, x_{n-1}, t), M_2(y_{n+1}, y_n, t)\},\$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} (3.10) & N_1(x_{n+1},x_n,kt) \ ) \leq \max \left\{ N_1(x_n,x_{n-1},t), N_2(y_{n+1},y_n,t) \right\}, \\ \mbox{And} \\ (3.11) & M_2(y_{n+1},y_n,kt) \ ) \geq \min \left\{ M_2(y_n,y_{n-1},t), M_1(x_n,x_{n-1},t) \right\}, \\ (3.12) & N_2(y_{n+1},y_n,kt) \ ) \leq \max \left\{ N_2(y_n,y_{n-1},t), N_1(x_n,x_{n-1},t) \right\}, \\ \mbox{using inequalities} & (3.1), (3.2) \ again, it follows that \\ (3.13) & M_1(x_{n+1},x_n,kt) \ ) \geq \min \left\{ M_1(x_n,x_{n-1},t), M_2(y_{n+1},y_n,t) \right\}, \\ \mbox{(3.14)} & N_1(x_{n+1},x_n,kt) \ ) \leq \max \left\{ N_1(x_n,x_{n-1},t), N_2(y_{n+1},y_n,t) \right\}, \\ \mbox{In the similar way, using inequality} & (3.3), (3.4) \ we \ get \\ \mbox{(3.15)} & M_2(y_{n+1},y_n,kt) \ ) \geq \min \left\{ M_2(y_n,y_{n-1},t), M_1(x_n,x_{n-1},t) \right\}, \\ \mbox{(3.16)} & M_2(y_n,y_{n-1},kt) \ ) \geq \min \left\{ M_2(y_n,y_{n-1},t), N_1(x_n,x_{n-1},t) \right\}, \\ \mbox{(3.17)} & N_2(y_{n+1},y_n,kt) \ ) \leq \max \left\{ N_2(y_{n-1},y_{n-2},t), N_1(x_{n-1},x_{n-2},t) \right\}, \\ \mbox{(3.18)} & N_2(y_n,y_{n-1},kt) \ ) \leq \max \left\{ N_2(y_{n-1},y_{n-2},t), N_1(x_{n-1},x_{n-2},t) \right\}, \\ \mbox{Using inequalities} & (3.9), (3.15) \ and (3.10), (3.17), \ we \ have \\ \mbox{(3.19)} & M_1(x_{n+1},x_n,kt) \ ) \geq \min \left\{ M_1(x_n,x_{n-1},t), M_2(y_n,y_{n-1},t) \right\}, \\ \end{array}$$

$$(3.20) N_1(x_{n+1}, x_n, kt)) \le \max \{N_1(x_n, x_{n-1}, t), N_2(y_n, y_{n-1}, t)\},\$$

In a similar way by using inequalities (3.13),(3.16) and (3.14),(3.18), we get

$$(3.21) M_1(x_{n+1}, x_n, kt)) \ge \min \{M_1(x_n, x_{n-1}, t), M_2(y_n, y_{n-1}, t)\},\$$

$$(3.22) N_1(x_{n+1}, x_n, kt) ) \le \max \{N_1(x_n, x_{n-1}, t), N_2(y_n, y_{n-1}, t)\},\$$

It now follows inequalities (3.15),(3.16),(3.19),(3.21) and (3.17),(3.18),(3.20),(3.22) that

(3.23) 
$$M_1(x_{n+1}, x_n, kt) ) \ge M_2(y_n, y_{n-1}, t)$$
,

(3.24) 
$$M_2(y_{n+1}, y_n, kt) ) \ge M_1(x_n, x_{n-1}, t)$$
,

and

(3.25) 
$$N_1(x_{n+1}, x_n, kt) \ge N_2(y_n, y_{n-1}, t)$$

(3.26) 
$$N_2(y_{n+1}, y_n, kt) ) \ge N_1(x_n, x_{n-1}, t)$$

Using (3.23),(3.24) and(3.25),(3.26) we have for n=1, 2,

$$\begin{split} &M_{1}(x_{n+1}, x_{n}, t)) \geq M_{2}\left(y_{n}, y_{n-1}, \frac{t}{k^{2}}\right), \\ &M_{2}(y_{n+1}, y_{n}, t)) \geq M_{1}\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}, \frac{t}{k^{2}}\right), \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} N_{1}(x_{n+1}, x_{n}, t) ) &\geq N_{2}\left(y_{n}, y_{n-1}, \frac{t}{k^{2}}\right), \\ N_{2}(y_{n+1}, y_{n}, kt) ) &\geq N_{1}\left(x_{n}, x_{n-1}, \frac{t}{k^{2}}\right). \end{split}$$

From lemma 2, it follows that  $x_n$  and  $y_n$  are cauchy sequences in X and Y respec-tively. Hence  $x_n$  converges to z in X and  $y_n$  converges to w in Y. Now, suppose that T is continuous, then

$$\lim Tx_{n-1} = Tz = \lim y_n = w$$

and so Tz = w. Applying inequalities (3.1) and (3.2), we have

$$\begin{split} M_1(\text{STz}, \text{STx}_{n-1}, \text{kt}) &\geq \min \{ M_1(z, x_{n-1}, t), M_1(z, \text{ST } z, t), M_1(x_{n-1}, \text{ST } x_{n-1}, t), M_2(\text{T } z, \text{T } x_{n-1}, t) \}, \\ N_1(\text{STz}, \text{STx}_{n-1}, \text{kt}) &\leq \max \{ N_1(z, x_{n-1}, t), N_1(z, \text{ST } z, t), N_1(x_{n-1}, \text{ST } x_{n-1}, t), N_2(\text{T } z, \text{T } x_{n-1}, t) \}, \\ \text{letting n tend to infinity, we have} \end{split}$$

 $M_1(Sw, z, kt) \ge \min \{1, M_1(z, Sw, t), 1\},\$  $N_1(Sw, z, kt) \le \max \{0, N_1(z, Sw, t), 0\},\$ 

so Sw = z. In the same manner we can show that T z = w. Finally we show that the fixed point is unique. Suppose that ST has a second fixed point z' in X Then, using inequalities (3.1) and (3.2), we have

$$\begin{split} M_1(z,z',kt) &\geq \min \{ M_1(z,z',t), M_2(T\,z,T\,z',t) \}, \\ N_1(z,z',kt) &\leq \max \{ N_1(z,z',t), N_2(T\,z,T\,z',t) \}, \end{split}$$

Again, using inequality (3.3) and (3.4) we have

$$(3.29) \qquad M_2(T z, T z', kt) \ge \min \{M_2(T z, T z', t), M_2(T z', T z', t), M_2(T z, T z, t), M_1(z, z', t)\}$$

$$(3.30) N_2(T z, T z', kt) \le \max\{N_2(T z, T z', t), N_2(T z', T z', t), N_2(T z, T z, t), N_1(z, z', t)\}$$

It now follows easily from inequalities (3.27), (3.28 and (3.29), (3.30) that

$$\begin{split} M_1(z,z',kt) &\geq M_2(T\,z,T\,z',t) \ , \\ N_1(z,z',kt) &\leq N_2(T\,z,T\,z',t), \end{split}$$

and

$$M_2(T z, T z', kt) \ge M_1(z, z', t)$$
  
 $N_2(T z, T z', kt) \le N_1(z, z', t).$ 

Thus, we see that,

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{M}_1(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{z}',\mathbf{kt}) &\geq \mathsf{M}_1\left(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{z}',\frac{\mathbf{t}}{\mathbf{k}^2}\right) \\ \mathsf{N}_1(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{z}',\mathbf{kt}) &\leq \mathsf{N}_1\left(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{z}',\frac{\mathbf{t}}{\mathbf{k}^2}\right), \end{split}$$

and so z = z'. The uniqueness of w follows in a similar manner.

Now we shall establish a theorem involving quadratic terms and proof the theorem is basically depends on Theorem(2) of this paper.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $(X,M_1,N_1, *, \emptyset)$  and  $(Y,M_2,N_2, *, \emptyset)$  be complete intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces with  $M_1(x, x', t) \rightarrow 1$  as  $t \rightarrow 1$  for all  $x, x' \in X$  and  $M_2(y, y', t) \rightarrow 1$  as  $t \rightarrow 1$  for all  $y, y' \in Y$ . Let  $T : X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $S : Y \rightarrow X$  be mappings satisfying:

 $(3.31) \qquad M_1^2(STx, STx', kt) \ge \min \{M_1^2(x, x', t), M_1(x, STx, t) * M_1(x', STx', t), M_2^2(Tx, Tx', t)\}$ 

$$(3.32) N_1^2(STx, STx', kt) \le \max\{N_1^2(x, x', t), N_1(x, ST x, t) \land N_1(x', ST x', t), N_2^2(T x, T x', t)\}$$

 $(3.33) \qquad M_1^2(TSy, TSy', kt) \ge \min \{M_2^2(y, y', t), M_2(y, TSy, t) * M_2(y', TSy', t), M_1^2(Sy, Sy', t)\}$ 

$$(3.34) N_1^2(TSy, TSy', kt) \le \max\{N_2^2(y, y', t), N_2(y, TSy, t) \land N_2(y', TSy', t), N_1^2(Sy, Sy', t)\}$$

for all x,  $x' \in X$ , y,  $y' \in Y$  and for all t > 0, where 0 < k < 1. Then ST has a unique fixed point z in X and TS has a unique fixed point w in Y. Indeed Tz = w and Sw = z, whenever T is continuous.

Proof. Let x be an arbitrary point in X. We define the sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  in X and Y respectively by:

$$\mathbf{S}\mathbf{y}_n = \mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{T} \mathbf{x}_{n-1} = \mathbf{y}_n,$$

for n=1, 2, ... Putting  $x = x_n$  and  $y = y_n$  for all n. Applying inequality (3.31),(3.32) we get

 $(3.35) \quad M_1^2(x_{n+1}, x_n, kt) \ge \min \{M_1^2(x_n, x_{n-1}, t), M_1(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) * M_1(x_{n-1}, x_n, t), M_2^2(y_{n+1}, y_n, t)\},\$ 

 $(3.36) \quad N_1^2(x_{n+1}, x_n, kt) \le \max\{N_1^2(x_n, x_{n-1}, t), N_1(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) \land N_1(x_{n-1}, x_n, t), N_2^2(y_{n+1}, y_n, t)\},\$ Using inequalities (3.33),(3.34) we have  $(3.37) \quad M_1^2(y_{n+1}, y_n, kt) \ge \min \{M_2^2(y_n, y_{n-1}, t), M_2(y_n, y_{n+1}, t) * M_2(y_{n-1}, y_n, t), M_1^2(x_n, x_{n-1}, t)\},\$  $(3.38) \quad N_1^2(y_{n+1}, y_n, kt) \le \max\{N_2^2(y_n, y_{n-1}, t), N_2(y_n, y_{n+1}, t) \land N_2(y_{n-1}, y_n, t), N_1^2(x_n, x_{n-1}, t)\},\$ involve, respectively  $M_1^2(x_{n+1}, x_n, kt) \ge \min \{M_1^2(x_n, x_{n-1}, t), M_2^2(y_{n+1}, y_n, t)\},\$ (3.39) $N_1^2(x_{n+1}, x_n, kt) \le \max \{N_1^2(x_n, x_{n-1}, t), N_2^2(y_{n+1}, y_n, t)\},\$ (3.40)and 1+1 > > (2, 11)1220 · (112) 1) 1/2/ . 、 、

$$(3.41) \qquad M_2^2(y_{n+1}, y_n, kt) \ge \min\{M_2^2(y_n, y_{n-1}, t), M_1^2(x_n, x_{n-1}, t)\},\$$

(3.42) 
$$N_2^2(y_{n+1}, y_n, kt) \le \max\{N_2^2(y_n, y_{n-1}, t), N_1^2(x_n, x_{n-1}, t)\},\$$

using inequalities (3.31), (3.32) again, it follows that

(3.43) 
$$M_1^2(x_{n-1}, x_n, kt) \ge \min \{M_1^2(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}, t), M_2^2(y_n, y_{n-1}, t)\},$$

(3.44) 
$$N_1^2(x_{n-1}, x_n, kt) \le \max\{N_1^2(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}, t), N_2^2(y_n, y_{n-1}, t)\},\$$

In the similar way, using inequality (3.33),(3.34) we get

(3.45) 
$$M_2^2(y_{n+1}, y_n, kt) \ge \min \{M_2^2(y_n, y_{n-1}, t), M_1^2(x_n, x_{n-1}, t)\}$$

(3.46) 
$$M_2^2(y_n, y_{n-1}, kt) \ge \min \{M_2^2(y_{n-1}, y_{n-2}, t), M_1^2(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}, t)\}$$

and

$$(3.47) \qquad N_2^2(y_{n+1}, y_n, kt) \le \max \{N_2^2(y_n, y_{n-1}, t), N_1^2(x_n, x_{n-1}, t)\},\$$

$$(3.48) \qquad N_2^2(y_n, y_{n-1}, kt) \le \max \{N_2^2(y_{n-1}, y_{n-2}, t), N_1^2(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}, t)\},\$$

Using inequalities (3.39),(3.45) and (3.40),(3.47), we have

(3.49) 
$$M_1^2(x_{n+1}, x_n, kt) \ge \min \{M_1^2(x_n, x_{n-1}, t), M_2^2(y_n, y_{n-1}, t)\},\$$

(3.50) 
$$N_1^2(x_{n+1}, x_n, kt) \le \max \{N_1^2(x_n, x_{n-1}, t), N_2^2(y_n, y_{n-1}, t)\}$$

In a similar way by using inequalities (3.43),(3.46) and (3.44),(3.48), we get

$$(3.51) M_1^2(x_{n+1}, x_n, kt) \ge \min \{M_1^2(x_n, x_{n-1}, t), M_2^2(y_n, y_{n-1}, t)\},\$$

(3.52) 
$$N_1^2(x_{n+1}, x_n, kt) \le \max \{N_1^2(x_n, x_{n-1}, t), N_2^2(y_n, y_{n-1}, t)\},\$$

It now follows inequalities (3.45),(3.46),(3.49),(3.51) and (3.47),(3.48),(3.50),(3.52) that

(3.53) 
$$M_1^2(x_{n+1}, x_n, kt) \ge M_2^2(y_n, y_{n-1}, t)$$

(3.54) 
$$M_2^2(y_{n+1}, y_n, kt) \ge M_1^2(x_n, x_{n-1}, t)$$

and

(3.55) 
$$N_1^2(x_{n+1}, x_n, kt) \ge N_2^2(y_n, y_{n-1}, t)$$
,

(3.56) 
$$N_2^2(y_{n+1}, y_n, kt) \ge N_1^2(x_n, x_{n-1}, t)$$
.

Using (3.53),(3.54) and(3.55),(3.56) we have for n=1, 2,

$$\begin{split} &M_1^2(x_{n+1}, x_n, t) \geq M_2^2\left(y_n, y_{n-1}, \frac{t}{k^2}\right), \\ &M_2^2(y_{n+1}, y_n, t) \geq M_1^2\left(x_n, x_{n-1}, \frac{t}{k^2}\right), \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} N_1^2(x_{n+1}, x_n, t) &\geq N_2^2\left(y_n, y_{n-1}, \frac{t}{k^2}\right), \\ N_2^2(y_{n+1}, y_n, kt) &\geq N_1^2\left(x_n, x_{n-1}, \frac{t}{k^2}\right). \end{split}$$

By lemma 2, it follows that  $x_n$  and  $y_n$  are cauchy sequences in X and Y respectively. Hence  $x_n$  converges to z in X and  $y_n$  converges to w in Y. Now, suppose that T is continuous, then

$$\lim T x_{n-1} = T z = \lim y_n = w$$

and so T z = w. Applying inequalities (3.31) and (3.32),we have

$$\begin{split} & \mathsf{M}_1^2(\mathsf{STz},\mathsf{STx}_{n-1},\mathsf{kt}) \geq \min \{\mathsf{M}_1^2(\mathsf{z},\mathsf{x}_{n-1},\mathsf{t}),\mathsf{M}_1(\mathsf{z},\mathsf{ST}\,\mathsf{z},\mathsf{t})*\mathsf{M}_1(\mathsf{x}_{n-1},\mathsf{ST}\,\mathsf{x}_{n-1},\mathsf{t}),\mathsf{M}_2^2(\mathsf{T}\,\mathsf{z},\mathsf{T}\,\mathsf{x}_{n-1},\mathsf{t})\},\\ & \mathsf{N}_1^2(\mathsf{STz},\mathsf{STx}_{n-1},\mathsf{kt}) \leq \max \{\mathsf{N}_1^2(\mathsf{z},\mathsf{x}_{n-1},\mathsf{t}),\mathsf{N}_1(\mathsf{z},\mathsf{ST}\,\mathsf{z},\mathsf{t}) \land \mathsf{N}_1(\mathsf{x}_{n-1},\mathsf{ST}\,\mathsf{x}_{n-1},\mathsf{t}),\mathsf{N}_2^2(\mathsf{T}\,\mathsf{z},\mathsf{T}\,\mathsf{x}_{n-1},\mathsf{t})\}, \end{split}$$

letting n tend to infinity, we have

$$\begin{split} M_1^2(Sw, z, kt) &\geq \min \{1, M_1^2(z, Sw, t), 1\}, \\ N_1^2(Sw, z, kt) &\leq \max \{0, N_1^2(z, Sw, t), 0\}, \end{split}$$

so Sw = z. In the same manner we can show that T z = w. Finally we show that the fixed point is unique. Suppose that ST has a second fixed point z' in X Then, using inequalities (3.31) and (3.32), we have

(3.57)  $M_1^2(z, z', kt) \ge \min \{M_1^2(z, z', t), M_2^2(T z, T z', t)\},\$ 

(3.58)  $N_1^2(z, z', kt) \le \max \{N_1^2(z, z', t), N_2^2(T z, T z', t)\},\$ 

Again, using inequality (3.33) and (3.34) we have

$$(3.59) M_2^2(T z, T z', kt) \ge \min \{M_2^2(T z, T z', t), M_2(T z', T z', t) * M_2(T z, T z, t), M_1^2(z, z', t)\}$$

$$(3.60) N_2^2(T z, T z', kt) \le \max\{N_2^2(T z, T z', t), N_2(T z', T z', t) \land N_2(T z, T z, t), N_1^2(z, z', t)\}$$

It now follows easily from inequalities (3.57), (3.58 and (3.59), (3.60 that

$$\begin{split} &M_1^2(z, z', kt) \geq M_2^2(T \, z, T \, z', t) \ , \\ &N_1^2(z, z', kt) \leq N_2^2(T \, z, T \, z', t), \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} M_2^2(T \ z, T \ z', kt \ ) &\geq M_1^2(z, z', t) \\ N_2^2(T \ z, T \ z', kt \ ) &\leq N_1^2(z, z', t). \end{split}$$

Thus, we see that,

$$\begin{split} M_1^2(z,z',kt) &\geq M_1^2\left(z,z',\frac{t}{k^2}\right) \ , \\ N_1^2(z,z',kt) &\leq N_1^2\left(z,z',\frac{t}{k^2}\right), \end{split}$$

and so z = z'. The uniqueness of w follows in a similar manner.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Second author is thankful to University Grants Commission, New Delhi, India for financial assistance through Major Research Project File number 42-32/2013 (SR)

## **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

No conflict of interest was declared by the authors

#### REFERENCES

- [1] C. Alaca, D. Turkoglu and C. Yildiz, Fixed points in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, Smallerit Choas, Solitons & Fractals, 29(5)(2006), 1073-1078.
- [2] C. Alaca, I. Altun and D. Turkoglu, On Compatible Mappings of Type (I) and (II) in Intu-itionistic Fuzzy Met-ric Spaces, Communications of the Korean Mathemati-cal Society, Vol. 23, No. 3, 2008, pp. 427-446.
- [3] K. Atanassov, Intuitionistic Fuzzy sets, Fuzzy sets and system, 20(1986) 87-96.
- [4] George, P. Veeramani, On some result in fuzzy metric space, Fuzzy Sets Syst., 64 (1994), 395-399.
- [5] M. Grabiec, Fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets Syst., 27 (1988), 385-389.
- [6] T. Hamaizia, A. Aliouche, Fixed points theorems on two complete fuzzy metric spaces, Acta Universitatis Apulensis, No. 40/2014, pp. 113-122.
- [7] Kramosil, J. Michalek, Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces, Kybernetica., 11 (1975), 326-334.
- [8] H. Park, Intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, chaos, Solitions & Fractals 22(2004), 1039-1046.
- [9] J. Rodriguez, S. Ramaguera, The Hausdor fuzzy metric on compact sets , Fuzzy Sets Sys., 147 (2004), 273-283.
- [10] B. Schweizer, A. Sklar, Statistical metric spaces, Pacific J. Math. 10 (1960), 313-334.
- [11] Telci, Fixed points on two complete and compact metric spaces, Applied Mathematics and Mechanics., 22 (5) (2001), 564-568..
- [12] A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Inform and Control., 8 (1965), 338-353.