

Chen-like Inequalities on Submanifolds of Cosymplectic 3-Space Forms

Mehmet GÜLBAHAR¹, Esra ERKAN^{2,*}

 ¹Harran University, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Department of Mathematics, 63300, Şanlıurfa, Türkiye mehmetgulbahar@harran.edu.tr, ORCID: 0000-0001-6950-7633
 ²Harran University, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Department of Mathematics, 63300, Şanlıurfa, Türkiye esraerkan@harran.edu.tr, ORCID: 0000-0003-0456-6418

Received: 18.01.2023	Accepted: 02.12.2023	Published: 31.12.2023

Abstract

In this paper, some equalities and inequalities involving the Riemannian curvature invariants are obtained on 3-semi slant submanifolds of cosymplectic 3-space forms. Obtained relations for 3-semi slant submanifolds are examined on 3-slant, invariant, and totally real submanifolds.

Keywords: Curvature; Submanifold; Cosymplectic 3-Space Form.

Kosimplektik 3-Uzay Formlarının Altmanifoldları Üzerinde Chen-tipi Eşitsizlikler

Öz

Bu çalışmada kosimplektik 3-uzay formlarının 3-semi slant altmanifoldları üzerine Riemann eğrilik invaryantları içeren bazı eşitlik ve eşitsizlikler elde edilmiştir. 3-semi slant alt manifoldlar için elde edilen bağıntılar, 3-slant, invaryant ve total reel altmanifoldlar üzerinde incelenmiştir.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Eğrilik; Altmanifold; Kosimplektik 3-Uzay Form.

* Corresponding Author

DOI: 10.37094/adyujsci.1238940



1. Introduction

The concept of contact 3 – manifolds was originated by Y. Kuo [1] and C. Udrişte [2], independently. With the introduction of this concept, some classifications of contact 3 – manifolds were presented by many authors. For mathematical and physical applications of contact 3 – manifolds, we refer to [3-9], etc.

After the definition of Chen's slant submanifolds (cf. [10]), the problem of studying the geometry of slant submanifolds attracted a lot of attention. From this viewpoint, these submanifolds of almost contact metric 3 – manifolds were investigated by Malek and Balgeshir in [11, 12].

In the submanifold theory, the problem of finding basic relationships between curvature invariants is one of the most basic and interesting problems. In order to compare the curvature invariants of a Riemannian manifold and its submanifold, several inequalities were established by Chen [13-16], etc. Later, this problem has been studied by many authors in various submanifolds [17-24], etc.

In the first section of this study, some main formulas and notations for a Riemannian manifold and its submanifolds are expressed. In the second section, the definitions of contact 3- manifolds and their submanifolds are given. An example of 3- semi-slant submanifolds is presented. In the third section, some relations involving Ricci curvatures of cosymplectic 3- space forms and their 3- semi-slant, 3- slant, invariant, and totally real submanifolds are examined. In the fourth section, some relations involving scalar curvatures and sectional curvatures of cosymplectic 3- space forms and their 3

2. Preliminaries

Let (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) be a *m*-dimensional Riemannian manifold. The sectional curvature of $\Pi = \text{Span}\{Y, Z\}$ is formulated by

$$\tilde{K}(Y \wedge Z) = \frac{\tilde{g}(\tilde{R}(Y,Z)Z,Y)}{\tilde{g}(Y,Y)\tilde{g}(Z,Z) - \tilde{g}(Y,Z)^2},$$

where \tilde{R} is the Riemannian curvature tensor field of (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) . Let $\{e_1, e_2, ..., e_m\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $T_p \tilde{M}$ at $p \in \tilde{M}$. The Ricci curvature for $e_l, l \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$ is formulated by

$$\tilde{R}ic(e_l) = \sum_{j \neq l}^m \tilde{K}(e_l \wedge e_j)$$
⁽¹⁾

and the scalar curvature at a point $p \in \tilde{M}$ is defined by

$$\tilde{\tau}(p) = \sum_{1 \in \mathbb{N} \setminus j \le m} \tilde{K}(e_l \wedge e_j).$$
⁽²⁾

Let Π_n be an n-dimensional subsection of $T_p \tilde{M}$. If n = m, $\Pi_m = T_p \tilde{M}$. Let us choose an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, e_2, ..., e_n\}$ of Π_n . Then n-Ricci curvature of e_t , $t \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$, is formulated by

$$\tilde{R}ic_{\Pi_n}(e_t) = \sum_{j \neq t}^n \tilde{K}(e_t \wedge e_j)$$

(3)

and *n* – scalar curvature of Π_n is formulated by

$$\tilde{\tau}_{\Pi_n}(p) = \sum_{1 \in \mathbb{N}^{d} < j \le n} \tilde{K}(e_l \wedge e_j).$$
(4)

We note that if n = m, then $\tilde{R}ic_{\Pi_n}(e_t) = \tilde{R}ic_{T_p\tilde{M}}(e_t)$ and $\tilde{\tau}_{\Pi_n}(p) = \tilde{\tau}_{T_p\tilde{M}}(p)$.

Assume that (M,g) is a k-dimensional submanifold of (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) . The Gauss and Weingarten formulas are formulated by

$$\nabla_X Y = \nabla_X Y + \sigma(X, Y) \tag{5}$$

and

$$\nabla_X Y = -A_N X + \nabla_X^\perp N,\tag{6}$$

where $X, Y \in T_pM$, N is a unit normal vector, $\nabla_X Y, A_N X \in T_pM$ and $\sigma(X, Y), \nabla_X^{\perp} N \in T_p^{\perp}M$. Here, σ is the second fundamental form, A_N is the shape operator and ∇^{\perp} is the normal connection of M. It is well known that σ is associated to A_N by the following formula:

$$\tilde{g}(\sigma(X,Y),N) = g(A_N X,Y).$$
⁽⁷⁾

Denote the Riemannian curvature tensor of M by R. The Gauss equation is formulated by

$$g(R(X,Y)Z,W) = \tilde{g}(\tilde{R}(X,Y)Z,W) + \tilde{g}(\sigma(X,W),\sigma(Y,Z)) - \tilde{g}(\sigma(X,Z),\sigma(Y,W))$$
(8)
for any $X, Y, Z, W \in T_pM$.

Let $\{e_1, e_2, ..., e_k\}$ be an orthonormal basis of T_pM . The main curvature vector field \hbar is formulated by

$$\hbar = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{l=1}^{k} \sigma(e_l, e_l).$$
⁽⁹⁾

M is said to be totally geodesic if $\sigma = 0$, and it is said to be minimal if $\hbar = 0$. *M* is totally umbilical if and only if $\sigma(X, Y) = g(X, Y)\hbar$ is satisfied for all $X, Y \in T_pM$.

Let $\{e_{k+1}, e_{k+2}, \dots, e_m\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $T_p^{\perp}M$ and e_s belongs to $\{e_{k+1}, e_{k+2}, \dots, e_m\}$. Denote the intrinsic sectional curvature by $K(e_l \wedge e_j)$. In view of (8), if we put

$$\sigma_{lj}^{s} = \tilde{g}(\sigma(e_{l}, e_{j}), e_{s}) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \left\|\sigma\right\|^{2} = \sum_{l,j=1}^{k} \tilde{g}(\sigma(e_{l}, e_{j}), \sigma(e_{l}, e_{j})), \qquad (10)$$

then we find

$$K(e_l \wedge e_j) = \tilde{K}(e_l \wedge e_j) + \sum_{s=k+1}^m \left(\sigma_{ll}^s \sigma_{jj}^s - (\sigma_{lj}^s)^2\right).$$
(11)

From (11), it follows that

$$2\tau(p) = 2\tilde{\tau}\left(T_{p}M\right) + n^{2}\left\|\hbar\right\|^{2} - \left\|\sigma\right\|^{2},$$
(12)

where

$$\tilde{\tau}\left(T_{p}M\right) = \sum_{1 \le l < j \le k} \tilde{K}_{lj}$$

.

Moreover, there exists the following relation:

$$\|\sigma\|^{2} = \frac{1}{2}k^{2} \|\hbar\|^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{s=k+1}^{m} (\sigma_{11}^{r} - \sigma_{22}^{r} - \dots - \sigma_{kk}^{s})^{2} + 2\sum_{s=k+1}^{m} \sum_{j=2}^{k} (\sigma_{1j}^{s})^{2} - 2\sum_{s=k+1}^{m} \sum_{2 \le l < j \le k} (\sigma_{ll}^{s} \sigma_{jj}^{s} - (\sigma_{lj}^{s})^{2}).$$
(13)

For the basic concepts dealing with Riemannian manifolds, we refer to [16].

The relative null space at a point p in M is given by [14]

$$N_p = \left\{ X \in T_p M \middle| \sigma(X, Y) = 0 \text{ for all } Y \in T_p M \right\}.$$
(14)

We note that N_p is also said to be the kernel of σ at p [25].

The Chen invariant δ_{M} for a Riemannian submanifold M is formulated by [26]

$$\delta_{M}(p) = \tau(p) - \inf(K)(p), \tag{15}$$

where $\inf(K)(p) = \inf\{K(\Pi) : \Pi \text{ is a plane}\}.$

3. Submanifolds of Contact 3-Space Forms

Definition 1. [1] A differentiable manifold \tilde{M} admitting an almost contact 3 – structure $(\xi_l, \eta_l, \varphi_l)_{l \in \{1,2,3\}}$ is said to be an almost contact 3 – structure manifold. An almost contact 3 – structure manifold is denoted by $(\tilde{M}, \xi_l, \eta_l, \varphi_l)_{l \in \{1,2,3\}}$.

For $(\tilde{M}, \xi_l, \eta_l, \varphi_l)_{l \in \{1,2,3\}}$, the following relations hold:

$$\varphi_l \,\xi_j = -\varphi_j \xi_l = \xi_n, \quad \eta_l \varphi_j = -\eta_j \varphi_l = \eta_n, \quad \eta_l \xi_j = 0 \tag{16}$$

and

$$\varphi_l \circ \varphi_j - \eta_j \otimes \xi_l = -\varphi_j \circ \varphi_l + \eta_l \otimes \xi_j = \varphi_n, \tag{17}$$

where (l, j, n) is a cyclic permutation of (1, 2, 3). If $(\tilde{M}, \xi_l, \eta_l, \varphi_l)_{l \in \{1, 2, 3\}}$ includes a Riemannian metric \tilde{g} given by

$$\tilde{g}(\varphi_l Y, \varphi_l Z) = \tilde{g}(Y, Z) - \eta_l(Y)\eta_l(Z)$$
(18)

for any $Y, Z \in T_p \tilde{M}$, then $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}, \xi_l, \eta_l, \varphi_l)_{l \in \{1,2,3\}}$ is said to be an almost contact metric 3structure manifold. From the Eq. (18), we have

$$\tilde{g}(\varphi_l Y, Z) = -\tilde{g}(Y, \varphi_l Z). \tag{19}$$

 $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}, \xi_l, \eta_l, \varphi_l)_{l \in \{1,2,3\}}$ is called a cosymplectic 3 – manifold if

$$\tilde{\nabla}\varphi_l = 0 \tag{20}$$

is satisfied. It is said to be a Sasakian 3-manifold if

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_{Y}\varphi_{l})Z = \tilde{g}(Y,Z)\xi_{l} - \eta_{l}(Z)Y$$
⁽²¹⁾

is provided.

In a similar manner to the concept of holomorphic sectional curvature on Hermitian or contact metric manifolds, we can state the concept of φ_l – holomorphic sectional curvature on $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}, \xi_l, \eta_l, \varphi_l)_{l \in \{1,2,3\}}$ in such a way:

Definition 2. [11] A plane Π is said to be a φ_l – section if there exists a unit vector $X \in T_p \tilde{M}$ orthogonal to ξ_l , where $\{X, \varphi_l X\}$ is an orthonormal basis on Π for some $l \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. The φ_l – holomorphic sectional curvature of a φ_l – section is defined by

$$\tilde{K}(X \wedge \varphi_l X) = \tilde{g}(\tilde{R}(X, \varphi_l X)\varphi_l X, X).$$

A cosymplectic 3-manifold $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}, \xi_l, \eta_l, \varphi_l)_{l \in \{1,2,3\}}$ becomes a cosymplectic 3-space form if it is of constant φ_l -holomorphic sectional curvature c. A cosymplectic 3-space form is shown by $\tilde{M}(c)$.

If $\tilde{M}(c)$ is a cosymplectic 3 – space form, then the Riemannian curvature is satisfied the following relation [1]:

$$\tilde{R}(X,Y,Z,W) = \frac{c}{4} \{g(X,W)g(Y,Z) - g(X,Z)g(Y,W) + \sum_{n=1}^{3} [g(X,\varphi_n W)g(Y,\varphi_n Z) - g(X,\varphi_n Z)g(Y,\varphi_n W) - 2g(X,\varphi_n Y)g(Z,\varphi_n W) - g(X,W)\eta_n(Y)\eta_n(Z) + g(X,Z)\eta_n(Y)\eta_n(W) - g(Y,Z)\eta_n(X)\eta_n(W) + g(Y,W)\eta_n(X)\eta_n(Z)],$$

(22)

for any $X, Y, Z, W \in \tilde{M}$.

Assume that (M, g) is a k – dimensional submanifold of $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}, \xi_l, \eta_l, \varphi_l)_{l \in \{1,2,3\}}$. For any vector field X in $T_p M$, we can write $\varphi_l X$ as follows:

$$\varphi_l X = P_l X + F_l X \,, \tag{23}$$

where $P_l X \in T_p M$ and $F_l X \in T_p^{\perp} M$ for $l \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.

We can express the following:

$$\|P_l\|^2 = \sum_{j,n=1}^k g(P_l e_j, e_n)^2$$
(24)

and

$$\left\|P_{l}X\right\|^{2} = \sum_{n=1}^{k} g(P_{l}X, e_{n})^{2}.$$
(25)

(M,g) is said to be invariant if $F_l = 0$ and it is said to be totally real if $P_l = 0$ for each $l \in \{1,2,3\}$. Furthermore, (M,g) becomes 3-slant if for each $l \in \{1,2,3\}$, the angle θ between $\varphi_l X$ and the tangent space $T_p M$ is constant for every p in M and every $X \neq 0$ which is not linearly dependent by ξ_l [12].

We remark that a 3-slant submanifold becomes invariant when $\theta = 0$ and it becomes totally real if $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$. Furthermore, the following classification could be stated:

Definition 3. [12] A submanifold (M, g) is said to be a 3-semi-slant submanifold if we have three orthogonal distributions D_1 , D_2 , D_3 , where $D_3 = \text{Span} \{\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3\}$ and the following cases occur:

- i) $TM = D_1 \oplus D_2 \oplus D_3$,
- ii) $\varphi_i(\mathbf{D}_1) \subset \mathbf{D}_1, \forall l \in \{1, 2, 3\},\$
- iii) D_2 is 3-slant with $\theta \neq 0$.

It is clear that (M,g) is 3-slant if $D_1 = 0$ and it becomes an invariant submanifold if $\theta = 0$.

Example 1. Let us consider 11 - dimensional Euclidean space E¹¹. If we define

$$\varphi_1((x_i)_{i \in \{1,\dots,11\}}) = (-x_2, x_1, -x_3, x_4, -x_7, -x_8, x_5, x_6, 0, -x_{11}, x_{10})$$

$$\varphi_2((x_i)_{i \in \{1,\dots,11\}}) = (-x_4, -x_3, x_1, x_2, -x_7, -x_8, x_5, x_6, x_{11}, 0, x_9),$$

$$\varphi_2((xi)_{i \in \{1,\dots,11\}}) = (x_2, -x_1, x_3, -x_4, -x_7, -x_8, x_5, x_6, -x_{10}, x_9, 0)$$

such that $\xi_1 = \partial x_9$, $\xi_2 = \partial x_{10}$, $\xi_3 = \partial x_{11}$ and η_1 , η_2 , η_3 are duals of ξ_1 , ξ_2 , ξ_3 , respectively. We find $(E^{11}, \xi_l, \eta_l, \varphi_l)_{l \in \{1,2,3\}}$ is an almost contact 3 – structure manifold.

Let us define the following submanifold of $(E^{11}, \xi_l, \eta_l, \varphi_l)_{l \in \{1,2,3\}}$:

$$M = \{(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5 \cos\alpha, u_5 \sin\alpha, u_6 \cos\beta, u_6 \sin\beta, u_7, u_8, u_9)\},\$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2})$. In this case, we obtain

$$\begin{split} Y_1 &= \partial x_1, \quad Y_2 = \partial x_2, \quad Y_3 = \partial x_3, \quad Y_4 = \partial x_4, \\ Y_5 &= \cos\alpha \ \partial x_5 + \sin\alpha \ \partial x_6, \quad Y_6 = \cos\beta \ \partial x_7 + \sin\beta \ \partial x_8, \\ \xi_1 &= \partial x_9, \quad \xi_2 = \partial x_{10}, \quad \xi_3 = \partial x_{11} \end{split}$$

and

$$N_1 = -\sin\alpha \,\partial x_5 + \cos\alpha \,\partial x_6, \quad N_2 = -\sin\beta \,\partial x_7 + \cos\beta \,\partial x_8,$$

where $T_p M = \text{Span}\{Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, Y_4, Y_5, Y_6, \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3\}$, $T_p^{\perp} M = \text{Span}\{N_1, N_2\}$ and $\{\partial x_1, ..., \partial x_{11}\}$ is the natural basis of E^{11} . If we put $D_1 = \text{Span}\{Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, Y_4\}$, $D_2 = \text{Span}\{Y_5, Y_6\}$ and $D_3 = \text{Span}\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3\}$, then *M* becomes 3 – semi invariant with $\theta = |\alpha - \beta|$.

4. Inequalities Involving Ricci Curvatures

Let us indicate the set of all unit vectors in $T_p M$ by $T_p^1 M$.

Theorem 1. [27] Let M be a k-dimensional submanifold of (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) . The following cases hold:

i) For any
$$X \in T_p^1 M$$
, we get
 $\operatorname{Ric}(X) \leq \frac{1}{4} k^2 \|\hbar\|^2 + \tilde{R} i c_{T_p M}(X).$
(26)

Here $\tilde{R}ic_{T_pM}(X)$ is the k-Ricci curvature of $X \in T_p^1M$.

ii) The equality case of (26) occurs for $X \in T_p^1 M$ if and only if

$$\begin{cases} \sigma(X,Z) = 0, & \text{for each } Z \perp X, \\ 2\sigma(X,X) = k\hbar(p). \end{cases}$$

iii) The equality case of (26) occurs for each $X \in T_p^{-1}M$ if and only if either p is a totally geodesic point or p is a totally umbilical point for k = 2.

From Theorem 1, we can state:

Corollary 1. [28] For any Riemannian submanifold, any two of the below three cases refer to the other one:

- i) X satisfies the equality case of (26).
- ii) $\hbar(p) = 0$.
- iii) $X \in N_p$.

Now, we assume that $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3\}$ is tangent to M and $X \in T_p^1 M$ throughout this paper.

Lemma 1. For any k – dimensional submanifold of $\tilde{M}(c)$. We find

$$\tilde{K}(e_l \wedge e_j) = \frac{c}{4} \left\{ 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{3} [3g(P_n e_l, e_j)^2 - \eta_n^2(e_j) - \eta_n^2(e_l)] \right\},$$
(27)

$$\tilde{R}ic_{T_{pM}}(X) = \frac{c}{4} \left\{ (n-4) + \sum_{n=1}^{3} [3\|P_nX\|^2 + (2-k)\eta_n^2(X)] \right\},$$
(28)

$$\tilde{\tau}_{T_{p^{M}}}(p) = \frac{c}{8} \left\{ (k-1)(k-6) + 3\sum_{n=1}^{3} \|P_{n}\|^{2} \right\}.$$
(29)

Proof. From (22), we have

$$\begin{split} \tilde{g}(\tilde{R}(e_{l},e_{j})e_{j},e_{l}) &= \frac{c}{4} \Big\{ g(e_{l},e_{l})g(e_{j},e_{j}) - g(e_{l},e_{j})g(e_{j},e_{l}) \\ &+ \sum_{n=1}^{3} \Big[g(e_{l},\varphi_{n}e_{l})g(e_{j},\varphi_{n}e_{j}) - g(e_{l},\varphi_{n}e_{j})g(e_{j},\varphi_{n}e_{l}) \\ &- 2g(e_{l},\varphi_{n}e_{j})g(e_{j},\varphi_{n}e_{l}) - g(e_{l},e_{l})\eta_{n}(e_{j})\eta_{n}(e_{j}) \\ &+ g(e_{l},e_{j})\eta_{n}(e_{j})\eta_{n}(e_{l}) - g(e_{j},e_{j})\eta_{n}(e_{l})\eta_{n}(e_{l}) \\ &+ g(e_{j},e_{l})\eta_{n}(e_{l})\eta_{n}(e_{j}) \Big] \Big\}, \end{split}$$

which is equivalent to (27). In view of (1) and (27), we find

$$\tilde{R}ic_{T_{pM}}(e_{1}) = \frac{c}{4} \left\{ (k-1) + \sum_{n=1}^{3} \left[3\sum_{j=1}^{k} g(P_{n}e_{1},e_{j})^{2} + (2-k)\sum_{j=1}^{k} \eta_{n}^{2}(e_{1}) \right] \right\}.$$

Putting $e_1 = X$ and using (25) in the last equation, we obtain (28). From (2) and (28), we get

$$\tilde{\tau}_{T_{pM}}(p) = \frac{c}{8} \left\{ k(k-4) + \sum_{l=1}^{k} \sum_{n=1}^{3} \left[3 \|P_n e_l\|^2 + (2-k)\eta_n^2(e_l) \right] \right\}.$$

Considering (24) in the last equation, we obtain (29).

In view of Theorem 1 and (28), we obtain

Theorem 2. For any k – dimensional submanifold of $\tilde{M}(c)$, we have the following cases:

i) For any $X \in T_p^1 M$, we get

$$Ric(X) \le \frac{1}{4}k^{2} \left\|\hbar\right\|^{2} + \frac{c}{4}\left\{(k-4) + \sum_{n=1}^{3} \left[3\left\|P_{n}X\right\|^{2} + (2-k)\eta_{n}^{2}(X)\right]\right\}.$$
(30)

ii) The equality case of (30) occurs for $X \in T_p^1 M$ if and only if

$$\begin{cases} \sigma(X,Z) = 0, & \text{for each } Z \perp X, \\ \sigma(X,X) = \frac{k}{2}\hbar(p). \end{cases}$$

iii) The equality case of (30) occurs for each $X \in T_p^1 M$ if and only if p is a totally geodesic point.

From Theorem 2, we immediately have

Corollary 3. For k – dimensional submanifold of $\tilde{M}(c)$, any two of the below three cases refer to the other one:

- i) X satisfies the equality case of (30).
- ii) $\hbar(p) = 0$.
- iii) $X \in N_p$.

Definition 4. Let D be a distribution on M.

i) If $\sigma(X,Z) = 0$ is satisfied for all $X, Z \in D$, then M is said to be D-geodesic.

ii) If there exists a smooth function λ on M satisfying $\sigma(X,Z) = \lambda g(X,Z)$ for each $X, Z \in \mathbb{D}$, then M is called \mathbb{D} -umbilical.

Theorem 3. For any k – dimensional 3 – semi-slant submanifold, the following cases occur:

i) For every unit $X \in D_1$, we get

$$\operatorname{Ric}(X) \le \frac{1}{4}k^2 \left\|\hbar\right\|^2 + \frac{c}{4}(k+5).$$
(31)

ii) The equality case of (31) is true for each $X \in D_1$ at $p \in M$ if and only if M is D_1 – geodesic.

iii) For every unit $Y \in D_2$, we get

$$\operatorname{Ric}(Y) \le \frac{1}{4}k^2 \left\|\hbar\right\|^2 + \frac{c}{4}\left\{(k-4) + 9\cos^2\theta\right\}.$$
(32)

iv) The equality case of (32) is true for all $X \in D_2$ at $p \in M$ if and only if M is D_2 – geodesic.

Proof. If $X \in \mathbf{D}_1$, we obtain

$$||P_n X||^2 = 1$$
, $\eta_n(X) = 0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^k \eta_n(e_j) = 3$.

Using these facts in (28), we obtain (31). The equality case of (31) occurs for each $X \in D_1$ if and only if $\sigma(X,Z) = 0$ for all $X, Z \in D_1$. This implies that M is D_1 -geodesic.

If X belongs to D_1 , we obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^{3} \|P_n X\|^2 = 3\cos^2 \theta, \ \eta_n(X) = 0 \text{ and } \sum_{n=1}^{3} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \eta_n(e_j) = 3.$$

Using these facts in (29), we obtain (32). The equality case of (32) occurs for each $Y \in D_2$ if and only if $\sigma(Y, Z) = 0$ for all $Y, Z \in D_2$. This implies that M is D_2 -geodesic.

In view of Theorem 3, we find

Theorem 4. For any k – dimensional submanifold of $\tilde{M}(c)$, we find the following cases:

i) For the Ricci tensor S of M, we have the following table:

<u>ี</u> ไ.ค	bl	a 1•
1 a	UI	τι.

	M	Inequality
(1)	3 – slant	$S \leq \left(\frac{1}{4}k^{2} \ \hbar\ ^{2} + \frac{c}{4}\left\{(k-4) + 9\cos^{2}\theta\right\}\right)g.$
(2)	invariant	$S \le \left(\frac{1}{4}k^{2} \left\ \hbar\right\ ^{2} + \frac{c}{4}(k+5)\right)g.$

(3)	totally real	$S \leq \left(\frac{1}{4}k^2 \ \hbar\ ^2 + \frac{c}{4}(k-1)\right)g.$
-----	--------------	---

ii) The equality case of (1) - (3) occurs if and only if M is a totally geodesic submanifold.

5. Inequalities Involving Scalar Curvatures

Lemma 2. [29] If $a_1, \ldots, a_k (k > 1)$ are real numbers, then

$$\frac{1}{k} \left(\sum_{l=1}^{k} a_{l} \right)^{2} \le \sum_{l=1}^{k} a_{l}^{2}$$
(33)

is satisfied. The equality case of (33) occurs if and only if $a_1 = a_2 = \cdots = a_k$.

Theorem 5. For any k – dimensional submanifold of $\tilde{M}(c)$. Then

$$\tau(p) \le \frac{k(k-1)}{2} \|\hbar\|^2 + \frac{c}{8} \left\{ (k-1)(k-6) + 3\sum_{n=1}^3 \|P_n\|^2 \right\}$$
(34)

is satisfied. The equality case of (34) is true for p in M if and only if p is a totally umbilical point.

Proof. Assume that e_{k+1} is parallel to $\hbar(p)$ and e_1, \ldots, e_k diagonalize $A_{e_{k+1}}$. In this case, we can write

$$A_{e_{k+1}} = \operatorname{diag}(\sigma_{11}^{k+1}, \sigma_{22}^{k+1}, \dots, \sigma_{kk}^{k+1})$$
(35)

and

$$A_{e_s} = \left(\sigma_{l_j}^s\right), \quad \text{trace} A_{e_s} = \sum_{l=1}^k \sigma_{ll}^s = 0 \tag{36}$$

for each l, j = 1, ..., k and s = k + 2, ..., m. From (12), (35) and (36), we get

$$2\tau(p) = \frac{c}{4} \left\{ (k-1)(k-6) + 3\sum_{n=1}^{3} \|P_n\|^2 \right\} + k^2 \|\hbar\|^2 - \sum_{l=1}^{k} (\sigma_{ll}^{k+1})^2 - \sum_{s=k+2}^{m} \sum_{l,j=1}^{k} (\sigma_{lj}^s)^2.$$
(37)

Considering Lemma 2, we arrive at

$$k \left\| \hbar \right\|^{2} \le \sum_{l=1}^{k} \left(\sigma_{ll}^{k+1} \right)^{2}.$$
(38)

From (37) and (38), the eq. (34) could be obtained. If the equality situation of (34) occurs, from Lemma 2, we find

$$\sigma_{11}^{k+1} = \sigma_{22}^{k+1} = \dots = \sigma_{kk}^{k+1} \text{ and } A_{e_s} = 0.$$

The last equation implies that p is a totally umbilical point. The other direction of proof is easy to follow.

For any k-dimensional 3-semi-slant submanifold of $\tilde{M}(c)$, we put dim $D_1 = s_1$, dim $D_2 = s_2$ and $k = s_1 + s_2 + 3$. Then, we have the following:

Theorem 6. For any k – dimensional 3 – semi-slant submanifold of $\tilde{M}(c)$, we find

$$\tau(p) \le \frac{k(k-1)}{2} \|\hbar\|^2 + \frac{c}{8} \{(k-1)(k-6) + 9(s_1 + 2 + s_2 \cos^2 \theta)\}.$$
(39)

The equality case of (39) is true for p in M if and only if p is a totally umbilical point.

Proof. If M is 3 – semi-slant, it can be found

$$\sum_{n=1}^{3} \left\| P_n \right\|^2 = 3s_1 + 6 + 3s_2 \cos^2 \theta \,. \tag{40}$$

Considering (40) in Theorem 5, the proof is easy to follow.

As a result of Theorem 6, we also have the following:

Corollary 4. For any k – dimensional submanifold M of $\tilde{M}(c)$,

i) we have the following table:

Table 2:

	М	Inequality
(1)	3 – slant	$\tau(p) \leq \frac{k(k-1)}{2} \ \hbar\ ^2 + \frac{c}{8} \{(k-1)(k-6) + 9((s_1+s_2)\cos^2\theta + 2)\}.$
(2)	invariant	$\tau(p) \le \frac{k(k-1)}{2} \ \hbar\ ^2 + \frac{c}{8} \{(k-1)(k+3)\}.$
(3)	totally real	$\tau(p) \leq \frac{k(k-1)}{2} \ \hbar\ ^2 + \frac{c}{8} \{k^2 - 7k + 24\}.$

ii) the equality case of (1)-(3) for each case is satisfied if and only if p is a totally umbilical point.

Proof. If M is 3- slant, then it can be obtained

$$\sum_{n=1}^{3} \left\| P_n \right\|^2 = 3(s_1 + s_2) \cos^2 \theta + 6.$$
(41)

Putting (41) in (34), we get the first case of Table 2.

Consider the fact that $\varphi_l \xi_j = \xi_n$, if *M* is invariant, then we find

$$\sum_{n=1}^{3} \left\| P_n \right\|^2 = 3(s_1 + s_2) + 6 = 3(k-1).$$
(42)

Putting (42) in (34), we get the second case of Table 2.

Considering the fact that $\varphi_l \xi_j = \xi_n$, if *M* is totally real, then we find

$$\sum_{n=1}^{3} \left\| P_n \right\|^2 = 6.$$
(43)

Putting (43) in (34), we get the third case of Table 2.

The proof of ii) is easy to follow from Theorem 6.

Theorem 7. For any k – dimensional submanifold of $\tilde{M}(c)$, we have

$$\tau(p) \le \frac{1}{2}k^2 \|\hbar\|^2 + \frac{c}{8} \left\{ (k-1)(k-6) + 3\sum_{n=1}^3 \|P_n\|^2 \right\}.$$
(44)

The equality case of (44) occurs for p in M if and only if p is a totally geodesic point.

Proof. The proof is easy to follow by (12) and (29).

As a result of Theorem 7, we find the following:

Corollary 5. For any k – dimensional 3 – semi-slant submanifold of $\tilde{M}(c)$, we have

$$\tau(p) \le \frac{1}{2}k^2 \left\|\hbar\right\|^2 + \frac{c}{8}\left\{(k-1)(k-6) + 9(s_1 + 2 + s_2\cos^2\theta)\right\}.$$
(45)

The equality case of (45) occurs for p in M if and only if p is a totally geodesic point.

Corollary 6. For any k – dimensional submanifold of $\tilde{M}(c)$,

i) we have the following table:

Table 3:

	M	Inequality
(1)	3 – slant	$\tau(p) \leq \frac{1}{2}k^2 \ \hbar\ ^2 + \frac{c}{8}\{(k-1)(k-6) + 9((s_1+s_2)\cos^2\theta + 2)\}.$
(2)	invariant	$\tau(p) \leq \frac{1}{2}k^2 \ \hbar\ ^2 + \frac{c}{8}\{(k-1)(k+3)\}.$
(3)	totally real	$\tau(p) \leq \frac{1}{2}k^2 \ \hbar\ ^2 + \frac{c}{8}\{k^2 - 7k + 24\}.$

ii) The equality case of (1)-(3) occurs if and only if p is a totally geodesic point.

We need the following lemma for later uses:

Lemma 3. Let $a_1, \ldots, a_k, a \ (k > 2)$ be real numbers satisfying

$$\left(\sum_{l=1}^{k} a_{l}\right)^{2} = \left(k-1\right) \left(\sum_{l=1}^{k} a_{l}^{2} + a\right).$$
(46)

Then

$$2a_1a_2 \ge a_1a_2$$

is satisfied if and only if we find

$$a_1 + a_2 = a_3 = \cdots = a_k$$

Let $\{e_1, \ldots, e_k\}$ be an orthonormal basis and $\Pi = \text{Span}\{e_1, e_2\}$. We define

$$\left\|P_{n}\right\|_{\pi^{\perp}}\right\|^{2} = \sum_{j,t=3}^{k} g(P_{n}e_{t},e_{j})^{2}.$$
(47)

Then we have

Theorem 8. Let M be a k-dimensional $(k \ge 3)$ submanifold of $\tilde{M}(c)$. Then, for each point $p \in M$ and each φ_l -plane section $\Pi = \text{Span}\{e_1, e_2\}$ such that $\varphi_l e_1 = e_2$, we have

$$\tau(p) - K(e_1 \wedge e_2) \le \frac{k^2 (k-2)}{2(k-1)} \|\hbar\|^2 + \frac{c}{8} \left\{ (k^2 - 7k + 4) + 3 \|P_n\|_{\pi^{\perp}} \|^2 \right\}.$$
(48)

The equality case (48) occurs at p in M if and only if there exists an orthonormal basis $\{e_{k+1}, \dots, e_m\}$ of $T_p^{\perp}M$ such that the shape operators A_{e_s} take the following forms:

$$A_{e_{k+1}} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (a+b)I_{k-2} \end{pmatrix},$$
(49)

$$A_{e_{s}} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{s} & d_{s} & 0\\ d_{s} & -c_{s} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0_{k-2} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad s \in \{k+2, \dots, m\}.$$
(50)

Proof. Assume that $\hbar(p)$ is in the direction of e_{k+1} and e_1, \ldots, e_k diagonalize $A_{e_{k+1}}$. In this case, A_{e_s} take the forms (35) and (36). Thus, we can write

$$\left(\sum_{l=1}^{k} \sigma_{ll}^{k+1}\right)^{2} = \left(k-1\right) \left(\sum_{l=1}^{k} (\sigma_{ll}^{k+1})^{2} + \sum_{l\neq j=1}^{k} (\sigma_{lj}^{k+1})^{2} + \sum_{s=k+2}^{m} \sum_{l,j=1}^{k} (\sigma_{lj}^{s})^{2} + \omega\right)$$
(51)

such that

$$\omega = 2\tau(p) - \frac{c}{8} \left\{ (k-1)(k-6) + 3 \|P_n\|^2 \right\} - \frac{k^2(k-2)}{k-1} \|\hbar\|^2.$$
(52)

Applying Lemma 3 to (51), we find

$$2\sigma_{11}^{k+1}\sigma_{22}^{k+1} \ge \omega + \sum_{l\neq j=1}^{k} (\sigma_{lj}^{k+1})^2 + \sum_{s=k+2}^{m} \sum_{l,j=1}^{k} (\sigma_{lj}^s)^2.$$
(53)

Using (53) in (27), it also follows that

$$K(e_{1} \wedge e_{2}) \geq \frac{c}{4} \left\{ 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{3} [3g(\varphi_{n}e_{1}, e_{2})^{2} - \eta_{n}^{2}(e_{1}) - \eta_{n}^{2}(e_{2})] \right\}$$

+ $\frac{1}{2}\omega + \sum_{s=k+2}^{m} \sum_{j>2} \{(\sigma_{1j}^{s})^{2} + (\sigma_{2j}^{s})^{2}\} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=k+2}^{m} (\sigma_{11}^{s} + \sigma_{22}^{s})^{2}$
+ $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=k+2}^{m} \sum_{l,j>2} (\sigma_{lj}^{s})^{2}$ (54)

or we have

$$K(e_1 \wedge e_2) \ge \frac{c}{4} \left\{ 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{3} [3g(\varphi_n e_1, e_2)^2 - \eta_n^2(e_1) - \eta_n^2(e_2)] \right\} + \frac{1}{2}\omega.$$
(55)

In view of (52) and (55), we get (48).

If the equality case of (48) occurs, then we find

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_{1j}^{k+1} = \sigma_{2j}^{k+1} = 0, & j = n+1, \dots, k, \\ \sigma_{lj}^{s} = 0, & l, j = n+1, \dots, k, \\ \sigma_{11}^{s} + \sigma_{22}^{s} = 0 \end{cases}$$
(56)

for s = k + 2, ..., m. From Lemma 3, it can be found

$$\sigma_{11}^{k+1} + \sigma_{22}^{k+1} = \sigma_{33}^{k+1} = \dots = \sigma_{kk}^{k+1},$$
(57)

which shows that A_{e_s} becomes as in (49) and (50).

In view of Theorem 8, we get

Corollary 7. Let M be a k – dimensional 3 – semi-slant submanifold of $\tilde{M}(c)$. For each φ_l – plane section $\Pi = \text{Span}\{e_1, e_2\}$, we have

$$\tau(p) - K(e_1 \wedge e_2) \le \frac{k^2 (k-2)}{2(k-1)} \|\hbar\|^2 + \frac{c}{8} \{k^2 - 7k + 14 + 9(s_1 + s_2 \cos^2 \theta)\}.$$
(58)

The equality case of (58) is satisfied if and only if A_{e_s} becomes as in (49) and (50).

Proof. Under this assumption, we find

$$\left\|P_{n}\right\|_{\pi^{\perp}}\left\|^{2} = 3(s_{1} + s_{2}\cos^{2}\theta).$$
⁽⁵⁹⁾

Using (59) in (48), the proof could be obtained.

Corollary 8. Let M be a k – dimensional submanifold of $\tilde{M}(c)$ and $\Pi = \text{Span}\{e_1, e_2\}$ be a φ_l – section.

i) We get the below table:

Table 4:

	M	Inequality
(1)	invariant	$\tau(p) - K(e_1 \wedge e_2) \le \frac{k^2 (k-2)}{2(k-1)} \ \hbar\ ^2 + \frac{c}{8} \{k^2 + 2k - 15\}$
(2)	totally real	$\tau(p) - K(e_1 \wedge e_2) \le \frac{k^2 (k-2)}{2(k-1)} \ \hbar\ ^2 + \frac{c}{4} \{k^2 - 7k + 32\}.$

ii) The equality case of (1)-(2) is satisfied if and only if A_{e_s} becomes as in (49) and (50).

Proof. Assume that M is invariant. In this case, we find

$$\left\|P_{n}\right\|_{\pi^{\perp}}\right\|^{2} = 3(s_{1} + s_{2}) = 3(k - 3).$$
(60)

Using (60) in (48), we obtain the first case of Table 4.

If M is totally real, then we have

$$\left\|P_n\right\|_{\pi^{\perp}}\right\|^2 = 6.$$
(61)

Using (61) in (48), we obtain the second case of Table 4.

The proof of ii) is straightforward from Theorem 8.

References

[1] Kuo, Y., *On almost contact 3-structure*, Tôhoku Mathematical Journal (2) 22, 325–332, 1970.

[2] Udriște, C., *Structure presque coquaternioniennes*, Bulletin Mathématique de la Société des Sciences Mathématiques de la République Socialiste de Roumanie, Nouvelle Série, 13, 487–507, 1969.

[3] Balgeshir, M.B.K., *Point-wise slant submanifolds in almost contact geometry*, Turkish Journal of Mathematics, 40, 657-664, 2006.

[4] Boyer, C. P., Galicki, K., 3-Sasakian manifolds, arXiv preprint hep-th/9810250, 1998.

[5] Galicki, K., Salamon, S., *Betti numbers of 3-Sasakian manifold*, Geometriae Dedicata, 63, 45-68, 1996.

[6] Gibbons, G.W., Rychenkova, P., Cones, tri-Sasakian structures and superconformal invariance, Physics Letters B, 443, 138-142, 1998.

[7] Kashiwada, T., On a contact 3-structure, Mathematische Zeitschrift, 238, 829-832, 2001.

[8] Mann, B., Galicki, K., Boyer, C.P., *The geometry and topology of 3-Sasakian manifolds*, Journal für die. reine und angewandte Mathematik, 455, 183-220, 1994.

[9] Montano, B. C., De Nicola, A., *3-Sasakian manifolds, 3-cosymplectic manifolds and Darboux theorem*, Journal of Geometry and Physics, 57, 2509-2520, 2007.

[10] Chen, B.-Y., Geometry of slant submanifolds, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, 1990.

[11] Malek, F., Balgeshir, M.B.K., *Slant submanifolds of almost contact metric 3-structure manifolds*, Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics, 10, 1023-1033, 2013.

[12] Malek, F., Balgeshir, M.B.K., *Semi-slant and bi-slant submanifolds of almost contact metric 3-structure manifolds*, Turkish Journal of Mathematics, 37, 1030-1039, 2013.

[13] Chen B.-Y., *Mean curvature and shape operator of isometric immersions in real space forms*, Glasgow Mathematical Journal, 38, 87-97, 1996.

[14] Chen B.-Y., *Relations between Ricci curvature and shape operator for submanifolds with arbitrary codimensions*, Glasgow Mathematical Journal, 41, 33-41, 1999.

[15] Chen B.-Y., *Riemannian DNA, inequalities and their applications*, Tamkang Journal of Science and Engineering, 3, 123-130, 2000.

[16] Chen B.-Y., *Pseudo-Riemannian geometry*, δ -invariants and applications, World Scientific Publishing, 2011.

[17] Akgün, A., Gülbahar, M., *Bi-slant submanifolds of an S manifold*, Arab Journal of Mathematical Sciences, 2021.

[18] Li, Y., Khatri, M., Singh, J.P., Chaubey, S.K., *Improved Chen's inequalities for submanifolds of generalized Sasakian-space-forms*, Axioms, 11, 324, 2022.

[19] Özgür, C., Chen inequalities for submanifolds of a cosymplectic space form with a semi-symmetric metric connection, Analele Stiintifice Ale Universitatii Al. İ. Cuza Din İasi-Serie Noua-Matematica, 58, 2012.

[20] Poyraz, N.Ö., *Chen inequalities on spacelike hypersurfaces of a GRW spacetime*, Differential Geometry and its Applications, 81, 101863, 2022.

[21] Poyraz, N.Ö., Doğan, B., Yaşar, E., *Chen inequalities on lightlike hypersurface of a Lorentzian manifold with semi-symmetric metric connection*, International Electronic Journal of Geometry, 10, 1-14, 2017.

[22] Slesar, V., Şahin, B., Vilcu, G.E., *Inequalities for the Casorati curvatures of slant submanifolds in quaternionic space forms*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 2014, 1-10, 2014.

[23] Siddiqui, A.N., Chen, B.Y., Bahadir, O., *Statistical solitons and inequalities for statistical warped product submanifolds*. Mathematics, 7, 797, 2019.

[24] Tripathi, M.M., Gülbahar, M., Kılıç, E., Keleş, S., *Inequalities for scalar curvature of pseudo-Riemannian submanifolds*, Journal of Geometry and Physics, 112, 74-84, 2017.

[25] Chen, B.-Y., On Ricci curvature of isotropic and Langrangian submanifolds in complex space forms, Archiv der Mathematik, 74, 154-160, 2000.

[26] Chen B.-Y., A Riemannian invariant for submanifolds in space forms and its applications in: Geometry and Topology of Submanifolds, World Scientific Publishing, River Edge, 6, 58-81, 1994.

[27] Hong, S., Tripathi, M. M., *On Ricci curvature of submanifolds*, International Journal Pure and Applied Mathematical Sciences, 2, 227-245, 2005.

[28] Hong, S., Matsumoto, K., Tripathi, M.M., *Certain basic inequalities for submanifolds of locally conformal Kaehler space forms*, Sut Journal of Mathematics, 41, 75-94, 2005.

[29] Tripathi, M.M., Certain basic inequalities for submanifolds in (κ, μ) -spaces, In: Recent Advances in Riemannian and Lorentzian Geometries (Baltimore, MD, 2003), Contemporary Mathematics 337, American Mathematical Society, 187–202, 2003.