

Original study

Prevalence of depression and anxiety among primary caregivers of the patients receiving chemotherapy; a prospective randomized study

Kemoterapi uygulanan hastaların birinci derece yakınlarında depresyon ve anksiyete sıklığı; prospektif randomize çalışma

Zehra Özsoy¹, Ufuk Demirci², Serkan Menekşe³, Mustafa Özsoy⁴, Erol Özmen⁵, Gönül Dinç⁶, Gamze Göksel Öztürk³

Department of Internal Medicine¹, Afyon State Hospital, Afyon / Turkey Departments of Internal Medicine², Faculty of Medicine, Oncology³, Psychiatry⁵ and Bioistatistics⁶, Celal Bayar University, Manisa / Turkey Department of General Surgery⁴, Faculty of Medicine, Ankara Yıldırım Beyazıt University, Ankara/ Turkey

Corresponding address: Dr. Zehra Özsoy, dr.zehraozsoy@gmail.com

J Surg Arts (Cer San D), 2019(1):7-14.

ABSTRACT

Cancer is a process which leads the patients and the caregivers think about death at every stage of diagnosis and treatment besides being a severe, chronic disease. Surgical procedures and radio-chemotherapy are the main causes of worries not only for the patients but also for the caregivers. The aim of this study is to investigate the prevalence of depression and anxiety developing before and during chemotherapy among cancer patients and their primary caregivers and to determine related factors.

A total of 287 oncology patients who were receiving chemotherapy at Medical Oncology Department of Celal Bayar University Medical School between June 2011 and January 2013 and their primary caregivers were included in the study. Four interviews were done, one at the time of admission to Medical Oncology Department and at every 3 months, and patients were applied SF36 Quality of Life Scale, caregivers were applied Beck Depression Scale and Beck Anxiety Scale.

45,6% of the patients and 44,9% of the caregivers were between ages 41-60 years. Of the oncology patients, 41,5% were female and 55,7% were male. Anxiety was detected in one-third of the caregivers beginning from the 2th control, depression was detected in 12,2% at the time of first admission and 34,4% and 39,3%, respectively on the first and forth controls. Prevalence of depression was found 67 fold greater and prevalence of anxiety was 19 fold greater among the caregivers of the patients who had a progressive disease. Female gender and low education status were found as the anxiety and depression-related risk factors for the caregivers at the time of first admission and on controls.

Female gender, low education status, presence of a progressive disease lead to an increased prevalence of anxiety and depression for the caregivers. Impaired physical functioning was detected to be significantly associated with anxiety; impaired social functioning was detected to be significantly associated with depression in the caregivers. Assessment of the caregivers together with the patients at the time of admission and during follow up may decrease prevalence of depression and anxiety.

Keywords: Cancer, chemotherapy, caregiver, anxiety, depression.

ÖZET

Kanser, ciddi, kronik bir hastalık olmanın ötesinde, tanı ve tedavinin her aşamasında hasta ve hasta yakınlarında ölümü düşündüren bir süreçtir. Tedavi sürecinde hastaların maruz kaldıkları cerrahi tedavi prosedürleri, radyokemoterapi uygulamaları hastaların olduğu kadar hasta yakınlarında da endişenin temel nedenidir. Bu araştırmada kemoterapi uygulanan hastaların birinci derece yakınlarında kemoterapi öncesi ve kemoterapi boyunca ortaya çıkan depresyon ve anksiyete sıklığı ve bunlarla ilişkili diğer faktörleri belirlemek amaçlanmıştır.

Celal Bayar Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi Hastanesi Medikal Onkoloji Bölümü'nde Haziran 2011 ve Ocak 2013 tarihleri arasında kanser tanısı konularak kemoterapi uygulanan 287 onkoloji hastası ve bu hastaların birinci derece yakınları çalışmaya dahil edildi. Tıbbi Onkoloji Bölümü'ne ilk başvuru anında ve her üç ayda bir olmak üzere toplam 4 kez klinik görüşme yapılarak hastalara SF36 Yaşam Kalitesi Ölçeği, hasta yakınlarına Beck Depresyon Ölçeği ve Beck Anksiyete Ölçeği uygulandı.

Hastaların %45,6'sı ve hasta yakınlarının ise %44,9'u 41-60 yaş aralığındaydı. Onkoloji hastalarının %41,5'i, hasta yakınlarının %55,7'si erkekti. Hasta yakınlarında 2. Kontrolden itibaren yaklaşık üçte birinde anksiyete; ilk başvuru anında %12,2'sinde, 1. ve 4. kontrollerde %34,4-%39,3 arasında değişen oranlarda depresyon saptananıştır. Kontrollerde hastalığında progresyon saptanan hastaların yakınlarında, regresyon saptanan hastaların yakınlarında oranla depresyon sıklığının 67 kat, anksiyete sıklığının ise 19 kat kadar arttığı saptanmıştır. Hasta yakınları için ilk başvuru anında ve kontrol değerlendirmelerinde kadın olmak, eğitim düzeyi düşük olmak anksiyete ve depresyonla ilişkili diğer faktörler olarak saptanmıştır.

Hasta yakınlarında kadın cinsiyete sahip olmak, eğitim düzeyinin düşük olması ve hastalarında progresyon izlenmesi, anksiyete ve depresyon sıklığının artmasına neden olmaktadır. İlk başvuru anındaki hastanın fiziksel fonksiyonunun bozukluğunun hasta yakınlarında anksiyete ile anlamlı olarak ilişkili olduğu ve sosyal fonksiyonunun kaybının hasta yakınlarında depresyonla ilişkili olduğu gözlenmiştir. Tanı aşamasında ve özellikle izlemde progresyon gelişen hastalarda; hastalar ile birlikte hasta yakınlarının değerlendirilmesi ile tedavi sürecinde karşılaşılabilecek "Anksiyete ve Depresyon" sıklığı azaltılabilir.

Anahtar kelimeler: Kanser, kemoterapi, hasta yakını, anksiyete, depresyon.

INTRODUCTION

Cancer is responsible for 25% of deaths in developed countries and the second leading cause of mortality in USA in 2015. It is anticipated to be the first leading cause of death within 5 years replacing by heart diseases (1, 2). The power of overcoming cancer diagnosis is related with many variables. Patient characteristics (i.e. age, gender, education level, type of treatment), disease and treatment-related variables (i.e. localization, stage, treatment type of the cancer) and environmental factors (socio-cultural factors, economic status) influence treatment process (3). The most challenging times are the time of first admission, the time before a novel therapy, relapse and treatment failure. The usual emotional response is anxiety and depression including shock, denial, anorexia, irritability and decreased concentration (4). Prevalence of psychiatric disorders among cancer patients is 50% and most them are related with cancer or cancer treatment (5) and maximum among the patients with advanced disease and poor prognosis (6). Cancer is a disease which causes severe stresses both for the patient and the family, influences balances and challenges social status. Ratio of depression was detected to reach 52,9-57% among primary caregivers of the patients (7). Being responsible for the care of the patient is known to significantly increase ratio of anxiety, depression, sleep disorders, fatigue and decrease quality of life, lead to financial problems even if the caregiver is not a relative of the patient (8). Depression levels were found similar between 82 prostate cancer patients and their caregivers in the

study of Thornton and Perez (9). Zwahlen et al. also showed that depression prevalence was similar between cancer patients and their caregivers although a little more among the patients (10). The aim of this study is to investigate the prevalence of depression and anxiety before and during chemotherapy among cancer patients and their primary caregivers.

MATERIAL AND METHOD

A total of 287 oncology patients who were receiving chemotherapy at Medical Oncology Department of Celal Bayar University Medical School between June 2011 and January 2013 and their primary caregivers were included in the study. Subjects were informed about the study through face-to-face interviews prior to the study and written informed consent was obtained. The questionnaire was applied to the patients and the caregivers for 4 times at the first admission before chemotherapy and at every 3 months during chemotherapy. The questionnaire applied to the caregivers included questions about age, gender, education level, marital status and health insurance. Patients were applied short form 36 (SF36) quality of life questionnaire (11, 12) and the caregivers were applied Beck Depression Scale and Beck Anxiety Scale (13).

Statistical analysis

Data of the study was analyzed using SPSS (Windows 15,0) statistical package program. Normality distribution of numerical data was evaluated with Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and most of them were detected not to show a normal distribution. Descriptive data were presented as median for numerical data (first quarter and third quarter), with percentage distribution for nominal variables. Multi-variable analyses for the presence of anxiety and depression were evaluated using logistic regression analysis. Odds ratio (OR) (95% confidence interval) of the independent variables for the presence of anxiety and depression was calculated in all models. Type 1 error value was accepted as 5% for statistical significance in all statistical comparisons.

RESULTS

45,6% of the patients and 44,9% of the caregivers are between ages 41-60 years. Most of the patients are female (58,5%) and most of the caregivers are male (55,7%). Vast majority of the patients and the caregivers are married. Vast majority of the patients and the caregivers were graduates of elementary school and few were graduates of university (Table 1).

History of a chronic disease, mainly hypertension, diabetes mellitus and hypercholesterolemia were detected in 44,9% of the patients and 31,4% of the caregivers. Of the patients, 17,4% have diabetes, 28,9% have hypertension, 9,8% have hypercholesterolemia and of the caregivers, 8% have diabetes, 18,8% have hypertension, 7,7% have hypercholesterolemia. Prevalence of anxiety was detected as 13,4% and 33,3%, respectively at the time of diagnosis and at the fourth control of the caregivers. These ratios were 12,2% and 39,3% for depression. Presence of anxiety at the time of diagnosis was 4,2 fold greater among females, 2,9 fold in 41-60 age group and 3,2 fold greater among the ones with chronic diseases. Poor general condition of the patient at the time of diagnosis increases anxiety risk of the caregiver. At the first control, anxiety prevalence of the caregivers was 2,3 fold greater among females, 2,3 fold greater among the ones with a chronic disease; at the second control,

it was 2,9 fold greater among females, 2,4 fold greater among graduates of elementary-intermediate school compared to graduates of the ones with higher level of education, 6,4 fold greater among the caregivers whose patients had progression; at the third control, it was 2,3 fold greater among females; at the forth control, it was 18,9 fold greater among the ones whose patients had progression (Table 2).

At the time of diagnosis, prevalence of depression was 6,3 fold greater among female caregivers, 5,6 fold greater among the caregivers who are graduates of elementary-intermediate school compared to the ones with higher education level, 7,6 fold greater among the caregivers with a chronic disease. Poor social functioning of the patient at the time of diagnosis increases depression risk of the caregiver. At the first control of the caregivers, depression was 2,6 fold greater among females, 2.6 fold greater among the caregivers whose patients had a progressive disease; at the second control, it was 3,3 fold greater among females, 2,6 fold greater among the caregivers who are graduates of elementary-intermediate school compared to the ones with higher education level, 6,6 fold greater among the caregivers whose patients had a progressive disease; at the third control, it was 3 fold greater among the caregivers who are graduates of elementary-intermediate school compared to the ones with higher education level, 6,7 fold greater among illiterate-literate caregivers compared to the ones with higher education level, 4,7 fold greater among the caregivers whose patients had a progressive disease compared to the ones whose patients had a regression; at the forth control, it was 20,8 fold greater among the caregivers who are graduates of elementaryintermediate school compared to the ones with higher education level, 67 fold greater among the caregivers whose patients had a progressive disease compared to the ones whose patients had a regression (Table 3).

| Table 1: Descriptive characteristics of the patients and the caregivers. | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------|------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | Patients (N:287) | Caregivers (N:287) | | | | |
| Age | 21-40 | 13.2 | 40.8 | | | | |
| | 41-60 | 45.6 | 44.9 | | | | |
| | 61+ | 41.1 | 12.2 | | | | |
| Gender | М | 41.5 | 55.7 | | | | |
| | F | 58.5 | 44.3 | | | | |
| | Literate | 18,8 | 6,3 | | | | |
| | Primary school | 58,9 | 45,3 | | | | |
| Education | Secondary school | 7,7 | 14,6 | | | | |
| level | High school | 9,8 | 20,6 | | | | |
| | University | 4,9 | 13,2 | | | | |
| | | 100,0 | 100,0 | | | | |

| Table 2: Any | kiety-rela | ted variables of th | ne caregiv | ers at the time of | of diagnos | is and on contro | ols. | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--|----------------------|--|
| | Baseline | | Control 1 | | Control 2 | | (| Control 3 | | Control 4 | |
| | % | OR (95% GA) | % | OR (95% GA) | % | OR (95% GA) | % | OR (95%GA) | % | OR (95% GA) | |
| Age group o | f the car | regivers | | | | | | | | | |
| 21-40 | 9,3 | Ref | 30,3 | ref | 22,6 | ref | 34,0 | ref | 28, 6 | ref | |
| 41-60 | 17,6 | 2,9 (1- 8,6)* | 32,7 | 1,3 (0,5-3,2) | 44,3 | 1,99 (0,8- 4,7) | 44,4 | 1 (0,4- 2,7) | 43, 3 | 1,68 (0,27- 10,4) | |
| 61 and over | 12,1 | 1,2 (0,2- 6,6) | 22,2 | 0,8 (0,2- 3,4) | 25 | 0,66 (0,17- 2,6) | 27,8 | 0,49 (0,1-2,1) | 0,0 | 0 (0- 0) | |
| Gender of t | he careg | ivers | | | | | | | 1 | I | |
| Male | 7,6 | ref | 22,3 | ref | 21,9 | ref | 27,0 | ref | 19, 4 | ref | |
| Female | 21,2 | <i>4,2</i> (<i>1,6- 10,7</i>)** | 40,9 | 2,3 (1-5)* | 49,3 | 2,9 (1,3- 6)** | 52,6 | 2,39 (1- 5,2)* | 50, 0 | 3,9 (0,74- 20,7) | |
| Education le | vel of th | e caregivers | | | | | 1 | | 1 | | |
| Illiterate | 16,7 | 1,45 (0,2- 10,4) | 40 | 2,5 (0,5- 12,6) | 45,5 | 2,17 (0,47-10) | 60 | 3 (0,63- 14,9) | 40 | 3,7 (0,27- 51,78) | |
| Primary and secon- dary school | 16,6 | 1,7 (0,6- 4,8) | 33,8 | 2,1 (0,8- 5,4) | 40,2 | 2,42 (1- 5,65)* | 41 | 1,7 (0,7- 4,1) | 42, 4 | 4,1 (0,7-24) | |
| High school and higher | 7,4 | ref | 23,9 | ref | 21 | ref | 29,2 | ref | 15, 8 | ref | |
| Presence of | chronic i | llness | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| None | 8,3 | ref | 27,5 | ref | 27,4 | ref | 33,3 | ref | 30, 6 | ref | |
| Present | 25,3 | 3,2 (1,2- 8,4)* | 37,7 | 2,3 (1- 5,3)* | 46,2 | 1,59 (0,68- 3,7) | 48,8 | 1,69 (0,68- 4,2) | 38, 1 | 0,75 (0,1- 5,3) | |
| Previously d sion | iagnosed | l with depres- | | | | I | | | | L | |
| None | 12,1 | ref | 12,0 | | | | UD# | | | | |
| Present | 45,5 | 2,4 (0,4-13) | 41,7 | | | | | | | | |
| Patient Prog | nosis | | | | | | | | | | |
| Regression | | | 16,9 | ref | 20,8 | ref | 25 | ref | 5 | ref | |
| Stable | | 10,3 | 0,5 (0,2- 1,4) | 29,5 | 2 (0,78- 5) | 35 | 1,4 (0,53- 3,8) | 38, 1 | 7,94 (0,69- 91) | | |
| Progression | | 15,6 | 0,8 (0,3- 2,1) | 61,8 | 6,47 (2,2- 18,8)*** | 60 | 2,78 (0,9- 8,5) | 62, 5 | 18,9 (1,48- 241)* | | |
| Baseline met | tastasis i | n the patient | | | |)1, ***p<0,001 | n of - | la with | dia | is of demonstrations | |
| None Present | 9, 15 | | ef ,3- 2,3) | UD# Since Control 1, the number of people with previous diagnosis of depression decreasing. That's why the patient's previous diagnosis of depression was not taken a control 1-2-3-4. | | | | | | | |
| | | fe of the patient | | | 2 | 1 | | 2 | | nodel. In baseline | |
| | | 98-1) | assessment, Patients who have relatives with anxiety, own lower general health average in their patients. | | | | | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | 0,96-1) | Dependent variable: anxiety near the patient (present= 1 none = 0) Independent variables: The age of the patients' relatives (21-40=ref, 41-40= \geq 41=3), sex of the relatives of the patients (male = 1, female = 0), Education level (0 | | | | | | | | |
| · · · · | | 0,98-1) | | | | | | | | | |
| General Health 0,96 (0,9 | | 930,99)* | none = 0), The prognosis of the patient (regression = ref, stability = 1, progression = $\frac{1}{2}$ | | | | | | nior high school) stasis (present = 1 | | |
| | |),97-1) | | | | | | | | | |
| | |),97-1) | Scores of the patients' quality of life domains (numerical). | | | | | | | | |
| Emotional Re | ole Funct | ion 1 (0, | 98-1) | 1) | | | | | | | |
| Mental Healt | h | 0,97 (0 |),94-1) | | | | | | | | |

| | Ba | aseline | Control 1 | | Control 2 | | Control 3 | | 0 | Control 4 |
|------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|-----------|------------------------|-----------|-----------------------|-----------|---------------------|------|---------------------|
| | % | OR (95% GA) | % | OR (95% GA) | % | OR (95% GA) | % | OR (95% GA) | % | OR (95% GA) |
| Age group o | of the care | egivers | | | | • | | I. | | |
| 21-40 | 13,1 | Ref | 35,2 | Ref | 22,6 | Ref | 30 | Ref | 30 | Ref |
| 41-60 | 10,9 | 0,4 (0,1-1,2) | 36,3 | 0,7 (0,3-1,3) | 45,6 | 2,07 (0,8- 4,9) | 45,2 | 1,66 (0,6- 4,2) | 50 | 3,95 (0,5- 30) |
| 61 and over | 14,3 | 0,5 (0,1-2,3) | 22,2 | 0,36 (0,1-1,1) | 33,3 | 1,1 (0,3- 4,3) | 27,8 | 0,5 (0,1-2,3) | 16,7 | 0,07 (0,002- 3,9 |
| Gender of t | the caregiv | vers | | | | | | | | |
| Male | 6,3 | Ref | 25,2 | Ref | 22,9 | Ref | 28,4 | Ref | 30,0 | Ref |
| Female | 19,8 | 6,3 (2,2- 17,4)*** | 45,9 | 2,63 (1,47- 4,7)*** | 52,2 | 3,3 (1,5- 7,1)** | 52,6 | 2,2 (0,9- 5,1) | 50,0 | 1,5 (0,25-9) |
| Education le | evel of the | e caregivers | | | | | | , | | |
| Illiterate | 11,1 | 2,4 (0,2-23,7) | 46,7 | 2,57 (0,76- 8,73) | 54,5 | 3,2 (0,7- 14,8) | 70 | 6,7 (1,2-38)* | 60 | 20 (0,89- 456 |
| Primary and secondary school | 17 | 5,6 (1,5- 20,4)** | 38 | 1,83 (0,97- 3,43) | 42,4 | 2,6 (1,1- 6,1)* | 45,2 | 3 (1,1- 7,7)* | 53,1 | 20,8 (1,8- 239)* |
| High school and higher | 4,1 | Ref | 26,4 | Ref | 21 | Ref | 22,9 | Ref | 10,5 | Ref |
| Presence of | chronic il | lness | | | | | | | | |
| None | 7,1 | Ref | 30,6 | Ref | 27,4 | Ref | 33,3 | Ref | 37,1 | Ref |
| Present | 23,6 | 7,6 (2,6- 22)*** | 42,9 | 1,69 (0,88- 3,2) | 51,9 | 2 (0,89- 4,69) | 51,2 | 1,7 (0,6- 4,4) | 42,9 | 0,6 (0,09- 4,08 |
| Previously d | liagnosed | with depression | L | | | | | | | |
| None | 11.3 | 0,5 (0,09- 2,6) | 32,5 | UD# | UD# | UD# | UD# | UD# | UD# | UD# |
| Present | 33.3 | Ref | 80 | UD# | UD# | UD# | UD# | UD# | UD# | UD# |
| Patient Prog | gnosis | | | | | | | | | |
| Regression | - | - | 28,9 | Ref | 20,8 | Ref | 22,5 | Ref | 10,5 | Ref |
| Stable | - | - | 28 | 0,78 (0,38- 1,6) | 33,3 | 2,17 (0,85- 5,4) | 35 | 1,5 (0,5- 4,3) | 33,3 | 3,3 (0,3- 30,3) |
| Progression | - | - | 51,6 | 2,68 (1,2- 5,6)** | 61,8 | 6,6 (2,2- 19,8)*** | 66,7 | 4,7 (1,4- 15,4)* | 81,3 | 67,4 (4- 1115)* |
| Baseline me | tastasis in | the patient | | | | | | | | |
| None | 12,6 | Ref | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Present | 11,5 | 0,5 (0,18- 1,3) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Baseline qua | ality of life | e of the patient # | ## | | | | | | | |
| Physical function | | 0,99 (0,97- 1) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Physical role function | • | 0,98 (0,96- 1) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Discomfort | | 1 (0,99- 1) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| General health | | 0,97 (0,93- 1) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Vitality | | 1 (0,98-1) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Social function | | 0,97 (0,95-1)* | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Emotional role function | 1 | 1 (0,99- 1) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mental health | | 0,97 (0,94- 1) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

*p<0,05, **p<0,01, ***p<0,001

*p<0,05, **p<0,01, ***p<0,001 UD# Since Control 1, the number of people with previous diagnosis of depression is decreasing. That's why the patient's previous diagnosis of depression was not taken in control 1-2-3-4. ## Quality of life of patients was evaluated in only the baseline model. In baseline assessment, patients who have relatives with anxiety, own lower general health averages in their patients. Dependent variable: anxiety near the patient (present= 1 none = 0) Independent variables: The age of the patients relatives (21-40-ref, 41-40=1, ≥41=3), sex of the relatives of the patients (male = 1, female = 0), Education level (0 = high school and above, 1 = not literate, 2 = primary school and junior high school), Presence of chronic illness (present = 1 none = 0), The prognosis of the patient (regression = ref, stability = 1, progression = 2), Scores of the patients' quality of life domains (numerical)

DISCUSSION

Cancer is a stressful condition for the family during diagnosis and treatment period (14). Unfortunately, psychiatric problems of the patients and the caregivers are neglected during treatment process of the primary disease. Having a diagnosis of cancer is known to significantly increase prevalence of anxiety (15), depression (16), sleep disorders (17), fatigue (18); impair quality of life (19), lead to negative economic outcomes through causing problems in work life (20) in the caregivers (even if they are not relatives). Carter et al. detected depression prevalence as 52,9%-57% among the caregivers (21). Limited number of studies is available in literature about prevalence of depression and anxiety among the caregivers of oncology patients. Rhee et al. detected that great life changes occurred in two-third of the cancer patients and high stress level in one of each 4 caregivers (22). In another study, Civi et al. evaluated depression with beck Depression Scale in 110 caregivers of cancer patients and detected moderate and severe depression in 10% (23). Lauren et al. detected a psychiatric disease in 13% of 200 caregivers. Of these psychiatric disorders, 8% were panic disorder, 4,5% were depression, 4% were post-traumatic stress disorder, 3% were generalized anxiety disorder (24). In our study, we detected 13% anxiety and 12% depression in caregivers, consistently with literature. Anxiety and depression were detected in one of each 3 caregivers. Caregivers may react differently to diagnosis and treatment of cancer, depending on their economic and cultural characteristics. In literature, patients' and caregivers' accepting diagnosis cancer is seen to influenced by age, education status, gender, social status, living conditions (25-27). E.K. Grov et al. compared quality of life (SF36) and depression level (HADS) with normal population considering age and gender in patients breast cancer and prostate cancer, and found it high both in males and females. Depression level was found significantly higher and control group among females (28). Cormio et al. found depression higher in females among the first degree relatives. This was suggested to be related with higher emotional load of females and thereby their being more bound up with care giving role (29). We also found anxiety and depression ratios higher than normal population in our study, this ratio was 6 fold greater among females. Gender difference was not detected in the final control of the caregivers. This may be suggested to result from their accepting cancer diagnosis and cancer treatment in the following days.

Laura et al. investigated the influence of age of the caregivers on depression in 190 lung cancer patients and their caregivers and found prevalence of depression higher among the young caregivers (30). We detected anxiety prevalence 3 fold greater in 41-60 age group. Evridiki et al. detected that quality of life score was better and psychological disorders were less among the caregivers whose education level was higher in their study conducted with the caregivers of the oncology patients (31). Depression and anxiety levels were found lower among the caregivers who were graduates of high school or more, similarly with the study of Evridiki et al. Depression prevalence was found 20 fold greater at the time of diagnosis and on controls among the caregivers who were graduates of elementary-intermediate school compared to graduates of high school or more. Data about the relationship between the presence of a chronic disease and depression and anxiety of the caregivers could not be encountered in literature. A chronic disease was detected in 44,9% of the patients and 31,4% of the caregivers in our study. These diseases are mainly hypertension, diabetes and hypercholesterolemia. Presence of a chronic disease was found to increase the prevalence of depression and anxiety 7 fold, particularly at the time of the diagnosis. The caregivers may suggest that they may be insufficient for care giving due to their chronic diseases or for their self care. Therefore prevalence of depression and anxiety could have been detected high among the caregivers who have co-morbid conditions.

Segui et al. detected that the presence of metastasis significantly increased prevalence of depression in patients and caregivers in their study conducted with 5301 patients (32). McMillan et al. also showed that disease stage significantly influenced the emotional status and quality of life of the caregivers (33). In our study, prevalence of anxiety was detected higher in case of presence of metastasis at the time of diagnosis however prevalence of depression was found not be affected from this. On controls, prevalence of depression and anxiety was found to increase 67 fold and 19 fold, respectively among the caregivers who had a progressive disease compared to the caregivers who had a regressed disease. These findings may suggest that disease progression and newly developing metastases increase thought of death and reduced chance for treatment in patients and caregivers.

In conclusion, cancer treatment goes with a common treatment plan of the clinicians and requires a multi-disciplinary approach. Responsibility of caregivers cannot be neglected as a part of this team. Therefore medical management of cancer requires addressing physical and emotional health of the primary caregivers. Anxiety and depression may be reduced through caring for the caregivers at the time of diagnosis and during follow up. Caregivers' being emotionally healthy and stable would positively affect treatment and palliation. Female caregivers, caregivers with low education status and whose patients have a progressive disease should primarily be evaluated for development of anxiety and depression and psychiatric support should be obtained when required.

Conflicts of interest

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge with gratitude the cooperation of people who collected and managed the database of our institution.

REFERENCES

- İliçin G, Biberoğlu K, Süleymanlar G, Ünal S. İç Hastalıkları. Güneş Tıp Kitabevleri, Ankara, 2012, p:1793-7.
- 2. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer Statistics. Ca Cancer J Clin 2015;65: 5-29.
- 3. Andrykowski MA, Manne S. Are psychological interventions effective and accepted by cancer patients? 1. standards and levels of evidence. Ann Behav Med 2006;32(2):93-7.
- Altinok M. Kanserli hastalara cerrahi yaklaşım ve doktor hasta ilişkileri. Acta Oncologica 1993;26:122-5.
- 5. Isık E, Taner Y. Çocuk ergen ve erişkinlerde anksiyete bozuklukları. Asimetrik Parelel Kitabevi, İstanbul, 2006, p:3-29.
- 6. Kubler-Ross E. On death and dying. Boyner Holding Yayınları, İstanbul, 1997
- Altınbas M, Gonul A. Psikoonkoloji. Hematoloji-Onkoloji. 2001:3(3);210-4
- Jemal A, Siegel R, Xu J, et al. Cancer statistics, 2010. Cancer J Clin 2010;60(5):277-300.
- 9. Thornton AA, Perez MA. Posttraumatic growth in prostate cancer survivors and their partners department of psychology, city of hope national medical center. Psycho-oncology 2006;15:285-96.
- 10. Zwahlen D, Hagenbuch N, Carley M, et al. Posttraumatic growth in cancer patients and partnerseffects of role, gender and the dyad on couples' posttraumatic growth experience. Psychooncology. 2010;19(1):12-20.
- 11. Ware JE, Sherbourne CD. The MOS 36-item short-form health survey (SF-36). I. Conceptual framework and item selection. Med Care. 1992;30(6):473-83.
- Kocyigit H, Aydemir O, Fisek G, et al. Kısa Form-36'nın türkçe versiyonunun geçerlik ve güvenilirliği. İlaç ve Tedavi Dergisi. 1999.
- 13. Aktürk Z, Tuğlu C, Dağdeviren N, Türe M. BDI-PC'nin Türkce Geçerlik ve Güvenilirliği
- Anuk D. Kanser, Kanserli hasta ailesi ve tedavi ekibi iletişimi. Konsultasyon Liyezon Psikiyatrisi Kongre Kitabı, İstanbul, 1999, p:166-73.
- 15. Grov EK, Dah AA, Moum T, et al. Anxiety, depression, and quality of life in caregivers of patients with cancer in late palliative phase. Annals Of Oncology. 2005:1185-91.
- 16. Miaskowski C, Kragness L, Dibble S, et al. Differences in mood states, health status, and caregiver strain between family caregivers of oncology outpatients with and without cancer-related pain. J Pain Symptom Manage. 1997;13(3):138-47.
- Carter PA. Caregivers' descriptions of sleep changes and depressive symptoms. Oncol Nurs Forum. 2002;29(9):1277-83.

- Jensen S, Given B. Fatigue affecting family caregivers of cancer patients. Support Care Cancer. 1993;1(6): 321-5.
- 19. Alptekin S, Gönüllü G, Yücel I, et al. Characteristics and quality of life analysis of caregivers of cancer patients. Med Oncol. 2010;27(3):607-17.
- 20. Grunfeld E, Coyle D, Whelan T, et al. Family caregiver burden: results of a longitudinal study of breast cancer patients and their principal caregivers. CMAJ. 2004;170(12):1795-801.
- 21. Carter PA, Action GJ. Personality and coping: Predictors of depression and sleep problems among caregivers of individuals who have cancer. Journal of Gerontologial Nursing. 2006:32(2):45-53.
- 22. Rhee YS, Yun YH, Park S, et al. Depression in family caregivers of cancer patients: The feeling of burden as a predictor of depression. Journal of Clinical Oncology. 2008:26(36):5890-5.
- Çivi S, Kutlu R, Çelik H. Kanserli hasta yakınlarında depresyon ve yaşam kalitesini etkileyen faktörler. Gülhane Tıp Derg. 2011;53:248-53.
- Vanderwerker LC, Laff RE, Kadan-Lottick NS, et al. Psychiatric disorders and mental health service use among caregivers of advanced cancer patients. J Clin Oncol. 2005;23(28):6899-907.
- Given BA, Given CW, Kozachik S. Family support in advanced cancer. Cancer J Clin. 2000:51(4):213-31.
- 26. Carter PA, Chang BL. Sleep and depression in cancer caregivers. Cancer Nurs. 2000;23(6):410-5.
- 27. Iconomou G, Viha A, Koutras A, et al. Information needs and awareness of diagnosis in patients with cancer receiving chemotherapy: a report from Greece. Palliat Med. 2002;16(4):315-21.
- 28. Kadan-Lottick NS, Vanderwerker LC, Block SD, et al. Psychiatric disorders and mental health service use in patients with advanced cancer: a report from the coping with cancer study. Cancer. 2005;104(12):2872-81.
- 29. Cormio C, Romito F, Viscanti G, et al. Psychological well-being and post traumatic growth in caregivers of cancer patients. Front Psychol. 2014;5:1342.
- 30. Siminoff LA, Wilson-Genderson M, Baker S Jr. Depressive symptoms in lung cancer patients and their family caregivers and the influence of family environment. Psychooncology. 2010;19(12):1285-93.
- Papastavrou E, Charalambous A, Tsangari H. Exploring the other side of cancer care: the informal caregiver. Eur J Oncol Nurs. 2009;13(2):128-36.
- 32. Seguí J, Salvador-Carulla L, Márquez M, Garcia L, et al. Differential clinical features of late-onset panic disorder. J Affect Disord. 2000;57(1-3):115-24.
- 33. McMillan SC, Small BJ, Weitzner M, et al. Impact of coping skills intervention with family caregivers

of hospice patients with cancer: a randomized clinical trial. Cancer. 2006;106(1):214-22.