

Classification of Codazzi and Note on Minimal Hypersurfaces in Nil^4

Noura Djellali, Abdelbasset Hasni,
Ahmed Mohammed Cherif* and Mohamed Belkhef

(Communicated by Ion Mihai)

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we give a classification of Codazzi hypersurfaces in a Lie group (Nil^4, \tilde{g}) . We also give a characterization of a class of minimal hypersurfaces in (Nil^4, \tilde{g}) with an example of a minimal surface in this class.

Keywords: Codazzi hypersurfaces, minimal hypersurfaces, nilpotent Lie group

AMS Subject Classification (2020): Primary: 53C42; 53C35.

1. Introduction

Let (M^m, g) be a Riemannian manifold, ∇ , R , S and τ denote the Levi-Civita connection, the Riemannian curvature, the Ricci curvature, and the scalar curvature of (M, g) , respectively. Thus

$$R(X, Y)Z = [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y]Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]}Z, \quad (1.1)$$

$$S(X, Y) = \sum_{i=1}^m g(R(X, e_i)e_i, Y), \quad (1.2)$$

$$\tau = \sum_{i, j=1}^m g(R(e_i, e_j)e_j, e_i), \quad (1.3)$$

where $\{e_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq m}$ is an orthonormal frame on (M, g) , and $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M^m)$. A symmetric $(0, 2)$ -tensor field T on (M^m, g) is said to be a Codazzi tensor if it satisfies the Codazzi equation

$$(\nabla_X T)(Y, Z) = (\nabla_Y T)(X, Z), \quad \forall X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M^m), \quad (1.4)$$

(see [7, 8]).

Let (N^n, g) be a hypersurface in a Riemannian manifold (M^{n+1}, \tilde{g}) , where g is the induced Riemannian metric by \tilde{g} . We denote by ∇ (resp. $\tilde{\nabla}$) the Levi-Civita connection of (N^n, g) (resp. of (M^{n+1}, \tilde{g})), R (resp. \tilde{R}) the Riemannian curvature of (N^n, g) (resp. of (M^{n+1}, \tilde{g})), $B(\cdot, \cdot) = h(\cdot, \cdot)\xi$ the second fundamental form of the hypersurface (N^n, g) , A_ξ the shape operator with respect to the unit normal vector field ξ , $H = (1/n) \text{trace}_g B$ the mean curvature of (N^n, g) (see [7]). Under the notation above, we have

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y)\xi, \quad (1.5)$$

$$A_\xi X = -\tilde{\nabla}_X \xi, \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(N^n), \quad (1.6)$$

Note that, the components of the second fundamental form B are given by

$$h(X, Y) = g(A_\xi X, Y) = -g(\tilde{\nabla}_X \xi, Y), \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(N^n). \quad (1.7)$$

The equations of Gauss and Codazzi are given respectively by

$$\tilde{g}(\tilde{R}(X, Y)Z, W) = g(R(X, Y)Z, W) + h(X, W)h(Y, Z) - h(X, Z)h(Y, W), \quad (1.8)$$

$$\tilde{g}(\tilde{R}(X, Y)Z, \xi) = (\nabla_Y h)(X, Z) - (\nabla_X h)(Y, Z), \quad (1.9)$$

where $(\nabla_X h)(Y, Z) = X(h(Y, Z)) - h(\nabla_X Y, Z) - h(Y, \nabla_X Z)$ called the cubic form, and $X, Y, Z, W \in \mathfrak{X}(N^n)$. The hypersurface (N^n, g) is said to be parallel if the cubic form vanishes identically, i.e., $\nabla h = 0$. A special case of parallel hypersurfaces are totally geodesic hypersurfaces, for which the second fundamental form $B = 0$. The hypersurface (N^n, g) is called Codazzi (resp. minimal) if the symmetric $(0, 2)$ -tensor field h is a Codazzi tensor (resp. if $H = 0$) (see [7]).

The 4-dimensional lie group Nil^4 is a well known nilpotent lie group. It is also one of the 4-dimensional thurston model geometries, [3]. As the Nil^4 space are well know and one of its left invariant Riemannian metric is well known and also used in many research works, we will begin with an explicit calculus of this metric and its geometric proprieties, (that one of the autors has do a part of it, in [4], based on the definition of Nil^4 and its most used left invariant metric), only to let the reader follow us easily.

The nilpotent Lie group $Nil^4 = \mathbb{R}^3 \rtimes_U \mathbb{R}$, where $U(t) = \exp(tL)$, with

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \exp(tL) = I_3 + tL + \frac{t^2}{2}L^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t & \frac{t^2}{2} \\ 0 & 1 & t \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1.10)$$

The semidirect product in Nil^4 is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (V, t)(V', t') &= (V + \exp(tL)V', t + t') \\ &= \left(\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t & \frac{t^2}{2} \\ 0 & 1 & t \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x' \\ y' \\ z' \end{pmatrix}, t + t' \right) \\ &= \left(\begin{pmatrix} x + x' + ty' + \frac{t^2}{2}z' \\ y + y' + tz' \\ z + z' \end{pmatrix}, t + t' \right), \end{aligned} \quad (1.11)$$

for all $V = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix}$, $V' = \begin{pmatrix} x' \\ y' \\ z' \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We have the parameterization

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : Nil^4 &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^4. \\ \left(\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix}, t \right) &\longmapsto (x, y, z, t) \end{aligned} \quad (1.12)$$

Taking the left-invariant frame fields

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \\ e_2 &= t \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \\ e_3 &= \frac{t^2}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + t \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \\ e_4 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.13)$$

So that, the dual coframe fields are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_1 &= dx - tdy + \frac{t^2}{2}dz, \\ \theta_2 &= dy - tdz, \\ \theta_3 &= dz, \\ \theta_4 &= dt. \end{aligned} \tag{1.14}$$

The matrix of a Riemannian metric $\tilde{g} = \theta_1^2 + \theta_2^2 + \theta_3^2 + \theta_4^2$ is given by

$$(\tilde{g}_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -t & \frac{t^2}{2} & 0 \\ -t & 1+t^2 & -t(1+\frac{t^2}{2}) & 0 \\ \frac{t^2}{2} & -t(1+\frac{t^2}{2}) & 1+t^2+\frac{t^4}{4} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

In this paper, we study some geometric properties of a Riemannian manifold (Nil^4, \tilde{g}) . We also give a characterization of a minimal hypersurfaces in (Nil^4, \tilde{g}) which have a normal vector field depend only on, last coordinate, t .

2. Geometric properties of (Nil^4, \tilde{g})

Proposition 2.1. *The non-zero of the Levi-Civita connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ of (Nil^4, \tilde{g}) are given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}_{e_1}e_2 &= \frac{1}{2}e_4, & \tilde{\nabla}_{e_1}e_4 &= -\frac{1}{2}e_2 \\ \tilde{\nabla}_{e_2}e_1 &= \frac{1}{2}e_4, & \tilde{\nabla}_{e_2}e_3 &= \frac{1}{2}e_4 \\ \tilde{\nabla}_{e_2}e_4 &= -\frac{1}{2}(e_1 + e_3), & \tilde{\nabla}_{e_3}e_2 &= \frac{1}{2}e_4 \\ \tilde{\nabla}_{e_3}e_4 &= -\frac{1}{2}e_2, & \tilde{\nabla}_{e_4}e_1 &= -\frac{1}{2}e_2 \\ \tilde{\nabla}_{e_4}e_2 &= \frac{1}{2}(e_1 - e_3), & \tilde{\nabla}_{e_4}e_3 &= \frac{1}{2}e_2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Note that, the non-zero of Christoffel symbols $\tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^k$ for $i, j, k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Gamma}_{12}^4 &= \frac{1}{2}, & \tilde{\Gamma}_{13}^4 &= -\frac{t}{2} \\ \tilde{\Gamma}_{14}^1 &= -\frac{t}{2}, & \tilde{\Gamma}_{14}^2 &= -\frac{1}{2} \\ \tilde{\Gamma}_{22}^4 &= -t, & \tilde{\Gamma}_{23}^4 &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3t^2}{4} \\ \tilde{\Gamma}_{24}^1 &= -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{t^2}{4}, & \tilde{\Gamma}_{24}^3 &= -\frac{1}{2} \\ \tilde{\Gamma}_{33}^4 &= -t(1 + \frac{t^2}{2}), & \tilde{\Gamma}_{34}^2 &= -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{t^2}{4} \\ \tilde{\Gamma}_{34}^3 &= \frac{t}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.1 follows from (1.13). □

Corollary 2.1. *The non-zero Lie brackets of the basis $\{e_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq 4}$ are given by*

$$[e_4, e_2] = e_1, \quad [e_4, e_3] = e_2.$$

Proof. Follows directly by Proposition 2.1, with $[e_i, e_j] = \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i}e_j - \tilde{\nabla}_{e_j}e_i$ for all $i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$. □

Proposition 2.2. *The only non-zero components of Riemannian curvature of (Nil^4, \tilde{g}) are given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}(\tilde{R}(e_1, e_2)e_1, e_2) &= -\frac{1}{4}, & \tilde{g}(\tilde{R}(e_1, e_2)e_2, e_3) &= \frac{1}{4} \\ \tilde{g}(\tilde{R}(e_1, e_4)e_1, e_4) &= -\frac{1}{4}, & \tilde{g}(\tilde{R}(e_1, e_4)e_3, e_4) &= \frac{1}{4} \\ \tilde{g}(\tilde{R}(e_2, e_1)e_2, e_3) &= -\frac{1}{4}, & \tilde{g}(\tilde{R}(e_2, e_3)e_2, e_3) &= -\frac{1}{4} \\ \tilde{g}(\tilde{R}(e_2, e_4)e_2, e_4) &= \frac{1}{2}, & \tilde{g}(\tilde{R}(e_3, e_4)e_3, e_4) &= \frac{3}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Using the definition of Riemannian curvature (1.1), the Proposition 2.1, and the Corollary 2.1. □

According to Proposition 2.2, we have the following Corollary.

Corollary 2.2. *The matrix of Ricci curvature of (Nil^4, \tilde{g}) is given by*

$$(S_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $S_{ij} = \sum_{a=1}^n \tilde{g}(\tilde{R}(e_i, e_a)e_a, e_j)$ for all $i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Thus, the scalar curvature of (Nil^4, \tilde{g}) is $\tau = -1$.

3. Codazzi hypersurfaces in Nil^4

Let (M^3, g) be a hypersurface in (Nil^4, \tilde{g}) . We have, $\xi = ae_1 + be_2 + ce_3 + de_4$ the unit normal vector field on (M^3, g) , where a, b, c, d are local functions on M^3 . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= be_1 - ae_2, & X_2 &= ce_1 - ae_3 \\ X_3 &= de_1 - ae_4, & X_4 &= ce_2 - be_3 \\ X_5 &= de_2 - be_4, & X_6 &= de_3 - ce_4 \end{aligned}$$

are tangent vectors fields to the hypersurface (M^3, g) . Now, assume that the hypersurface (M^3, g) is Codazzi, that is

$$(\nabla_X h)(Y, Z) = (\nabla_Y h)(X, Z), \quad \forall X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M^3). \tag{3.1}$$

Then it follows from the equation of Codazzi (1.9) that

$$\tilde{g}(\tilde{R}(X_i, X_j)X_k, \xi) = 0, \quad \forall i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, 6\}. \tag{3.2}$$

By using the curvature components given in Proposition 2.2, we get the following

$$\tilde{g}(\tilde{R}(X_1, X_2)X_3, \xi) = \frac{1}{4}abd(a - c) = 0,$$

from the which we prove that $a = 0$ or $b = 0$ or $d = 0$ or $a = c$.

• If $a = 0$, we have the following equations

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}(\tilde{R}(X_1, X_4)X_1, \xi) &= -\frac{1}{4}b^3c = 0, \\ \tilde{g}(\tilde{R}(X_2, X_4)X_4, \xi) &= \frac{1}{4}c^2b^2 + \frac{1}{4}c^2 = 0, \\ \tilde{g}(\tilde{R}(X_1, X_5)X_4, \xi) &= \frac{1}{2}b^3d + \frac{1}{4}bc^2d = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $c = 0$ and $bd = 0$. So that, $\xi = e_2$ or $\xi = e_4$. Note that, in the case where $\xi = e_2$, the Lie bracket $[e_4, e_3] = e_2$ is not tangent vector field on M^3 despite e_2 and e_4 are tangent vectors fields on M^3 . So, by Frobenius Theorem

(see [8]), this case is unacceptable. Then we have $\xi = e_4$.

• If $b = 0$, we obtain the equations

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}(\tilde{R}(X_1, X_2)X_1, \xi) &= \frac{1}{4}a^2(a^2 - c^2) = 0, \\ \tilde{g}(\tilde{R}(X_1, X_3)X_1, \xi) &= -\frac{1}{4}a^2d(3a + c) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

For $a = 0$, we get $c = 0$. Thus $\xi = e_4$. For $a = \pm c$, we find that $ad = 0$. Hence $\xi = e_4$ or $\xi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e_1 \pm e_3)$. Note that, in the case where $\xi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e_1 \pm e_3)$, the Lie bracket $[e_4, e_2]$ is tangent vector field on M^3 because e_2 and e_4 are tangent vectors fields on M^3 . But $\tilde{g}([e_4, e_2], \xi) = \tilde{g}(e_1, \xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \neq 0$, we obtain a contradiction with the fact that ξ is normal to M^3 . Therefore, $\xi = e_4$.

• If $d = 0$, we have the equations

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}(\tilde{R}(X_1, X_2)X_2, \xi) &= -\frac{1}{4}ab(a - c)^2 = 0, \\ \tilde{g}(\tilde{R}(X_1, X_4)X_1, \xi) &= \frac{1}{4}b(a - c)(a^2 + b^2 + ac) = 0, \\ \tilde{g}(\tilde{R}(X_2, X_4)X_4, \xi) &= -\frac{1}{4}c(a - c)(b^2 + c^2 + ac) = 0, \\ \tilde{g}(\tilde{R}(X_1, X_2)X_1, \xi) &= \frac{1}{4}a(a - c)(a^2 + b^2 + ac) = 0, \\ \tilde{g}(\tilde{R}(X_6, X_2)X_3, \xi) &= -a^2c^2 - \frac{1}{4}ac(a^2 - c^2) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $a = c = 0$. Thus, $\xi = e_2$. It is unacceptable, because in this case e_3 and e_4 are tangent vectors fields on M^3 but $[e_4, e_3] = e_2$ is not tangent vector field on M^3 .

• If $a = c$, we get the following equations

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}(\tilde{R}(X_6, X_2)X_3, \xi) &= -c^2(c^2 + \frac{1}{2}d^2) = 0, \\ \tilde{g}(\tilde{R}(X_1, X_5)X_6, \xi) &= \frac{1}{2}b^2(c^2 - d^2) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

we obtain $c = 0$ and $bd = 0$. Hence, $\xi = e_4$. Here, $\xi = e_2$ is unacceptable.

Theorem 3.1. A hypersurface (M^3, g) in the Lie group (Nil^4, \tilde{g}) is Codazzi if and only if the unit normal vector field to (M^3, g) is $\xi = e_4$.

Proof. According to the previous calculations, it suffices to show that

$$\tilde{g}(\tilde{R}(X, Y)Z, \xi) = (\nabla_Y h)(X, Z) - (\nabla_X h)(Y, Z) = 0, \tag{3.3}$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M^3)$ for $\xi = e_4$. You can easily check the equations

$$\tilde{g}(\tilde{R}(e_i, e_j)e_k, e_4) = 0, \quad i, j, k = 1, \dots, 3.$$

□

Remark 3.1. (1) According to (1.13), a Codazzi hypersurface (M^3, g) in (Nil^4, \tilde{g}) which is given by

$$\begin{aligned} f : (M^3, g) &\longrightarrow (Nil^4, \tilde{g}), \\ (x, y, z) &\longmapsto (x, y, z, t_0) \end{aligned}$$

where $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$.

(2) Since $B(X, Y) = (\tilde{\nabla}_X Y)^\perp$ for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M^3)$. By using the Proposition 2.1, the second fundamental form h of the hypersurface (M^3, g) in (Nil^4, \tilde{g}) is given by

$$(h_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $h_{ij} = h(e_i, e_j)$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, 3$. In this case, (M^3, g) is not totally geodesic because $h \neq 0$, and minimal hypersurface in (Nil^4, \tilde{g}) , that is $H = 0$. It is also parallel in (Nil^4, \tilde{g}) because $\nabla h = 0$.

(3) The principal curvatures of (M^3, g) in (Nil^4, \tilde{g}) are $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$.

One can compute the matrix of Ricci curvature of (M^3, g) and get

$$(S_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4} & 0 & \frac{1}{4} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{4} \end{pmatrix}.$$

So the scalar curvature of (M^3, g) will be by

$$\tau = 1.$$

From the second fundamental form we can get the matrix of shape operator of (M^3, g) in (Nil^4, \tilde{g}) as so

$$A_\xi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

From the previous remarks we can conclude the following Corollary.

Corollary 3.1. *A hypersurface (M^3, g) in the Lie group (Nil^4, \tilde{g}) is Codazzi if and only if it is parallel. The Lie group (Nil^4, \tilde{g}) do not have any totally geodesic hypersurface.*

4. Minimal hypersurfaces in Nil^4

Let (M^3, g) be a hypersurface in (Nil^4, \tilde{g}) . In this section, we search the conditions for the hypersurface (M^3, g) to be minimal in (Nil^4, \tilde{g}) , where the unit normal vector field on (M^3, g) is given by $\xi = ae_1 + be_2 + ce_3 + de_4$, and assume that $\{X_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq 3}$ is a local orthonormal frame on (M^3, g) , where $X_i = a_i e_1 + b_i e_2 + c_i e_3 + d_i e_4$ for some local functions $\{a, b, c, d, a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq 3}$ on M^3 depends only on the variable t .

Theorem 4.1. *The hypersurface (M^3, g) is minimal in (Nil^4, \tilde{g}) if and only if*

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 [a_i (b_i d - b d_i) + b_i (c_i d - c d_i) + d_i (a a'_i + b b'_i + c c'_i + d d'_i)] = 0.$$

Proof. Let $i = 1, 2, 3$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}_{X_i} X_i &= \tilde{\nabla}_{a_i e_1 + b_i e_2 + c_i e_3 + d_i e_4} (a_i e_1 + b_i e_2 + c_i e_3 + d_i e_4) \\ &= a_i \left(a_i \tilde{\nabla}_{e_1} e_1 + b_i \tilde{\nabla}_{e_1} e_2 + c_i \tilde{\nabla}_{e_1} e_3 + d_i \tilde{\nabla}_{e_1} e_4 \right) \\ &\quad + b_i \left(a_i \tilde{\nabla}_{e_2} e_1 + b_i \tilde{\nabla}_{e_2} e_2 + c_i \tilde{\nabla}_{e_2} e_3 + d_i \tilde{\nabla}_{e_2} e_4 \right) \\ &\quad + c_i \left(a_i \tilde{\nabla}_{e_3} e_1 + b_i \tilde{\nabla}_{e_3} e_2 + c_i \tilde{\nabla}_{e_3} e_3 + d_i \tilde{\nabla}_{e_3} e_4 \right) \\ &\quad + d_i \left(a'_i e_1 + a_i \tilde{\nabla}_{e_4} e_1 + b'_i e_2 + b_i \tilde{\nabla}_{e_4} e_2 + c'_i e_3 + c_i \tilde{\nabla}_{e_4} e_3 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + d'_i e_4 + d_i \tilde{\nabla}_{e_4} e_4 \right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

From Proposition 2.1, and equation (4.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}_{X_i} X_i &= a_i \left(\frac{b_i}{2} e_4 - \frac{d_i}{2} e_2 \right) + b_i \left(\frac{a_i}{2} e_4 + \frac{c_i}{2} e_4 - \frac{d_i}{2} (e_1 + e_3) \right) \\ &\quad + c_i \left(\frac{b_i}{2} e_4 - \frac{d_i}{2} e_2 \right) + d_i \left(a'_i e_1 - \frac{a_i}{2} e_2 + b'_i e_2 + \frac{b_i}{2} (e_1 - e_3) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + c'_i e_3 + \frac{c_i}{2} e_2 + d'_i e_4 \right), \end{aligned}$$

it is equivalent to the following equation

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{X_i} X_i = a'_i d_i e_1 + d_i (b'_i - a_i) e_2 + d_i (c'_i - b_i) e_3 + [d_i d'_i + b_i (a_i + c_i)] e_4. \tag{4.2}$$

By equation (4.2), we have

$$\tilde{g}(\tilde{\nabla}_{X_i} X_i, \xi) = aa'_i d_i + bd_i (b'_i - a_i) + cd_i (c'_i - b_i) + d[d_i d'_i + b_i (a_i + c_i)]. \tag{4.3}$$

Note that, $B(X_i, X_i) = (\tilde{\nabla}_{X_i} X_i)^\perp$, that is $h(X_i, X_i) = \tilde{g}(\tilde{\nabla}_{X_i} X_i, \xi)$. Thus, the hypersurface (M^3, g) is minimal if

$$H = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^3 \tilde{g}(\tilde{\nabla}_{X_i} X_i, \xi) = 0. \tag{4.4}$$

The Theorem 4.1 follows by equations (4.3) and (4.4). □

Example 4.1. We consider the following vector fields

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}(2+t^2)} e_1 + \frac{2t}{\sqrt{5}(2+t^2)} e_2 + \frac{t^2}{\sqrt{5}(2+t^2)} e_3 - \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} e_4, \\ X_1 &= -\frac{t}{\sqrt{1+t^2}} e_1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+t^2}} e_2, \\ X_2 &= -\frac{t^2}{(2+t^2)\sqrt{1+t^2}} e_1 - \frac{t^3}{(2+t^2)\sqrt{1+t^2}} e_2 + \frac{2\sqrt{1+t^2}}{2+t^2} e_3, \\ X_3 &= \frac{4}{\sqrt{5}(2+t^2)} e_1 + \frac{4t}{\sqrt{5}(2+t^2)} e_2 + \frac{2t^2}{\sqrt{5}(2+t^2)} e_3 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} e_4. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify that these vector fields satisfy

$$\tilde{g}(\xi, \xi) = 1, \quad \tilde{g}(\xi, X_i) = 0, \quad \tilde{g}(X_i, X_j) = \delta_{ij}, \quad \forall i, j = 1, 2, 3,$$

and the condition of Theorem 4.1. Thus the hypersurface (M^3, g) defined by these vector fields is minimal. According to (1.13), this hypersurface (M^3, g) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} f : (M^3, g) &\longrightarrow (Nil^4, \tilde{g}). \\ (y, z, t) &\longmapsto \left(2t + \frac{t^3}{3}, y, z, t\right) \end{aligned}$$

Funding

The authors are supported by National Agency Scientific Research of Algeria.

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author's contributions

All authors contributed equally to the writing of this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

References

- [1] Belkhef M., Dillen F., Inoguchi J.: *Surfaces with parallel second fundamental form in Bianchi-Cartan-Vranceanu spaces*. Banach Center Publishing, 57, 67-87 (2002).
- [2] Calvaruso G., De Leo B.: *Curvature properties of four-dimensional generalized symmetric spaces*. J. Geom. 90, 30-46 (2008).

- [3] Filipkiewicz R.: *Four dimensional geometries*. PhD thesis, University of Warwick, Great Britain (1983).
- [4] Hasni A.: *Les géométries de Thurston et la pseudo symétrie d'après R. Descz.* PhD thesis, University of Tlemcen, Algeria (2014).
- [5] Lawson H.B.: *Local rigidity theorems for minimal hypersurfaces*. Ann. Math. **89**, 187-197 (1969).
- [6] Leo B.D., Veken J.V.: *Totally geodesic hypersurfaces of four-dimensional generalized symmetric spaces*. Geom Dedicata, **159**, 373-387 (2012).
- [7] O'Neil: *Semi-Riemannian Geometry*. Academic Press, New York (1983).
- [8] Willmore T.J.: *Riemannian Geometry*. Clarendon Press, New York (1993).

Affiliations

NOURA DJELLALI

ADDRESS: University of Mustapha Stambouli Mascara, Dept. of Mathematics, 29000, Algeria.

E-MAIL: noura.djellali@univ-mascara.dz

ORCID ID: 0009-0000-1843-1966

ABDELBASSET HASNI

ADDRESS: University of Mustapha Stambouli Mascara, Dept. of Mathematics, 29000, Algeria.

E-MAIL: abdelbasset.hasni@univ-mascara.dz

ORCID ID: 0009-0007-3460-5269

AHMED MOHAMMED CHERIF

ADDRESS: University of Mustapha Stambouli Mascara, Dept. of Mathematics, 29000, Algeria.

E-MAIL: a.mohammedcherif@univ-mascara.dz

ORCID ID: 0000-0002-6155-0976

MOHAMED BELKHELFA

ADDRESS: University of Mustapha Stambouli Mascara, Dept. of Mathematics, 29000, Algeria.

E-MAIL: mohamed.belkhelfa@gmail.com

ORCID ID: 0000-0002-2570-6742