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#### Abstract

The geometries, heat of formations $\left(\Delta H_{f}\right)$, entropies $(\Delta S)$, proton affinities $(P A)$, atomic charges (q), nucleophilicities (n), substituted constants ( $\sigma$ ) and local electrophilic sensitivities (s), were calculated for some alkylated pyridine derivatives after full geometry optimization using AM1, PM3 and PM5 methods in both aqueous and gas phases for studied molecules. The calculated acidity constants, $p K_{a}$ values, were evaluated by means of searching the possible correlation between experimentally obtained results and the computed data.
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## BAZI ALKİL SÜBSTİTÜYE PİRİDİN TÜREVLERİNİN ASİT- BAZ DAVRANIŞLARI ÜZERİNE TEORİK ÇALIŞMALAR

ÖZET : Bu çalı̧̧mada, bazı alkillenmiş piridin türevlerinin geometrik optimizasyonu yapıldıktan sonra, AM1, PM3 ve PM5 yöntemleri kullanılarak sivı ve gaz fazında; geometrileri, oluşum lsıları ( $\left.\Delta H_{f}\right)$, entropileri $(\Delta S)$, proton afiniteleri (PA), atomik yükleri (q), nükleofillikleri ( $n$ ), substituent sabitleri ( $\sigma$ ) ve elektrofilik duyarlulikları (s) hesaplanmıştr. Teorik asitlik sabitleri, deneysel olarak elde edilen $p K_{a}$ değerleriyle, hesaplanan veriler arasındaki olası korelasyonlar değerlendirilerek incelenmiştir

ANAHTAR KELİMELER: Piridin türevleri, asitlik, bazllk, sübstitüye piridinler, kuantum kimyasal hesaplamalar.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

Too many biologically active compounds which contain pyridine ring like herbicides, pesticides, fungicides, cardiovascular drugs such as analgesics, sulfa drugs has been studied experimentally [1-25] and theoretically [26-33]. However, we believe that the potentially active pyridine derivatives deserve more detailed and systematic theoretical studies using updated computer programs and knowledge on structure activity relations (QSAR).
It has been well established that one of the versatile approach to have an idea on the structure and the activity of any molecules is learning about the knowledge of the acidity constants, $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values and tautomeric structure of ionisable molecules. They are of particular interest for elucidating reaction mechanisms, especially those having proton transfers and for interpreting binding of substrates or inhibitors to enzymes. The experimental determinations of individual $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values are difficult in complex systems and kinetic assignments of $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values are often concealed by uncertainties in interpreting the pH dependence of the measured parameters. It is useful, therefore, to have reliable and accurate means of calculating relative and / or absolute $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values and to have an understanding is essential for the measured effective $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values in complex polyions [33].

We had previously reported some studies on methoxy, methylthio and cyano substituted pyridine rings with DFT methods [31-33]. İn this study, on the acidity-basicity behaviour of some alkyl substituted pyridine derivatives using semi empirically methods was reported.

## II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Theoretical calculations were carried out at the restricted Hartre-Fock level (RHF) using AM1, PM3 and PM5 semi empirical SCF-MO methods in the MOPAC 2002 program. All structures were optimized to a gradient norm of $<0.1$ in the gas phase and $0.1-1.0$ in the aqueous phase at 298 K ( $\mathrm{e}=78.4$ ). The initial estimates of the geometry of all the structures were obtained by Augmented MM3 and MMFF molecular mechanic methods, followed by full optimization of all geometrical variables (bond lengths, bond angles and dihedral angles), without any symmetry constraint, using the semi-empirical AM1, PM3 and PM5 quantum chemical methods [34].

One of the commonly used methods to search for the effect of substituent on an equilibrium proces is the usage of the Hammett equation [35]. When an heteroatom of a heteroaromatic compound acts as reaction site as in the case of protonation or deprotonation process (Equation 1) in which $\mathbf{n}$ can have positive, negative or zero value. Hammett equation can be used in elucidation of reaction mechanisms.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{BH}^{\mathrm{n}+} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{BH}^{(\mathrm{n}-1)+}+\mathrm{H}^{+} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A general form of the Hammett equation can be written as in Equation 2;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \mathrm{K} / \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{o}}=\rho \sigma \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which K and $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ values are acid dissociation equilibrium constants of substituted and unsubstituted molecules respectively. The slope of the correlation line of $\quad$ vs. $\log \mathrm{K} / \mathrm{K}_{0}$ plot is a measure of the sensitivity of the reaction or equilibrium to electronic substituent effect and referred as the reaction constant. A large value for equilibrium implies the strong interaction between the substituent and positive heteroatom. The parameter is referred as the substituent constant and it consists of inductive and mesomeric effects and they are mathematically addible (i.e. $\quad \mathrm{T}=$ mesomeric + inductive). So it can be used the ${ }_{\mathrm{T}}$ values in interpreting the obtained results.
The semi empirical calculations that used in the present work are based on the following reaction (Eq. 3) and they do not consider the solvation;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{BH}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this reaction $\mathbf{B}$ is the base and $\mathrm{BH}^{+}$is the protonated base. The degree of solvations for $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathrm{BH}^{+}$of course would be different. Semi empirical calculations were carried out using Equations 4 and 5.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\mathrm{G}_{(\mathrm{BH}}{ }^{+}=\Delta \mathrm{G}_{(\mathrm{BH})}^{+}+\Delta \mathrm{G}_{(\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O})}-\Delta \mathrm{G}_{(\mathrm{B})}+\Delta \mathrm{G}_{(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{O}}{ }^{+}\right)  \tag{4}\\
& \left.\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{G}_{(\mathrm{BH})}^{+}\right) / 2.303 \mathrm{RT} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

Frontier molecular orbital theory proposed by Fukui is a versatile general approach to look at the chemical reactivity. Since the protonation is an electrophilic attack, the Fukui function (Eq. 6) may help to elucidate the mechanism of an electrophilic attack for an atom (p) in the molecule [36, 37].

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{SE}(\mathrm{p})=2 * \sum_{\mathrm{j}=1, \mathrm{~m}} \sum_{a=1, \mathrm{q}}\left(\mathrm{c}_{a \mathrm{j}}^{2} / \lambda_{\mathrm{j}}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

## III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The gas and liquid phase calculated thermodynamic data and physical parameters were depicted in Tables 1 and 2 . The protonation pattern and the structural data were presented in Scheme 1 and 2 respectively. The evaluation of obtained data will be done with the following sequence;


Scheme 1: Protanation pattern for pyridine derivatives.





Scheme 2 : Representative formula of studied pyridine derivatives.

Table 1. AM1, PM3 and PM5 calculated thermodynamic data of the studied molecules in gas phase.

| Compound | Substituent | $\mathbf{A M 1}$ <br> $\mathbf{\Delta} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{k c a l} / \mathbf{m o l})$ | $\mathbf{P M 3}$ <br> $\mathbf{\Delta} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{k c a l} / \mathbf{m o l})$ | $\mathbf{P M 5}$ <br> $\mathbf{\Delta} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{k c a l} / \mathbf{m o l})$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | H | 31.97 | 30.30 | 29.20 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 2-methyl | 25.61 | 21.07 | 21.09 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 3-methyl | 24.00 | 20.77 | 20.89 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 4 methyl | 24.08 | 20.70 | 20.51 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 2-ethyl | 19.83 | 17.71 | 16.84 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 3-ethyl | 18.54 | 17.10 | 16.39 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 4-ethyl | 18.63 | 17.02 | 16.06 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 2-isopropyl | 15.26 | 12.36 | 11.33 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 3-isopropyl | 14.21 | 11.31 | 10.24 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 4-isopropyl | 14.31 | 11.33 | 10.09 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 2-tert-butyl | 14.19 | 8.24 | 5.97 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | 3-tert-butyl | 12.84 | 5.49 | 5.49 |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | 4-tert-butyl | 12.98 | 7.83 | 5.42 |
| $\mathbf{1 p}$ | H | 184.09 | 187.19 | 179.85 |
| $\mathbf{2 p}$ | 2-methyl | 173.80 | 174.65 | 167.91 |
| $\mathbf{3 p}$ | 3-methyl | 174.54 | 175.71 | 169.50 |
| $\mathbf{4 p}$ | 4 methyl | 171.41 | 175.00 | 168.07 |
| $\mathbf{5 p}$ | 2-ethyl | 166.32 | 169.72 | 162.14 |
| $\mathbf{6 p}$ | 3-ethyl | 168.43 | 171.41 | 164.27 |
| $\mathbf{7 p}$ | 4-ethyl | 167.04 | 170.59 | 162.76 |
| $\mathbf{8 p}$ | 2-isopropyl | 161.46 | 163.90 | 155.63 |
| $\mathbf{9 p}$ | 3-isopropyl | 163.84 | 165.83 | 157.80 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 p}$ | 4-isopropyl | 162.78 | 165.26 | 156.78 |
| $\mathbf{1 1 p}$ | 2-tert-butyl | 159.35 | 159.54 | 149.93 |
| $\mathbf{1 2 p}$ | 3-tert-butyl | 161.66 | 161.51 | 152.06 |
| $\mathbf{1 3 p}$ | 4-tert-butyl | 160.65 | 161.02 | 151.15 |

Table 2. AM1, PM3 and PM5 calculated thermodynamic data of the studied molecules in aqueous phase.

| Molecule | $\Delta H_{f}$ (kcal/mol) | $\begin{gathered} \Delta \mathbf{S} \\ (\mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{molK}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{f}} \\ (\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})^{\mathbf{a}} \end{gathered}$ | Molecule | $\Delta H_{f}$ (kcal/mol) | $\begin{gathered} \Delta \mathrm{S} \\ (\mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{molK}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{f}} \\ (\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})^{\mathrm{a}} \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 27.73 | 67.36 | 7.66 | 1p | 126.91 | 67.90 | 106.68 |
| 2 | 21.35 | 79.60 | -2.37 | 2p | 119.94 | 74.16 | 97.84 |
| 3 | 19.72 | 79.41 | -3.94 | 3p | 119.31 | 78.24 | 96.00 |
| 4 | 19.71 | 78.24 | -3.60 | 4p | 118.45 | 74.22 | 96.33 |
| 5 | 15.88 | 79.27 | -7.74 | 5p | 114.38 | 79.71 | 90.63 |
| 6 | 14.24 | 79.24 | -9.37 | 6p | 113.91 | 79.64 | 90.18 |
| 7 | 14.24 | 79.17 | -9.36 | 7p | 112.98 | 79.90 | 89.17 |
| 8 | 11.49 | 92.48 | -16.07 | 8p | 110.44 | 87.55 | 84.35 |
| 9 | 9.93 | 90.80 | -17.13 | 9p | 109.61 | 90.60 | 82.61 |
| 10 | 9.97 | 92.05 | -17.46 | 10p | 109.04 | 91.67 | 81.72 |
| 11 | 10.45 | 95.90 | -18.13 | 11p | 109.22 | 96.40 | 80.49 |
| 12 | 8.63 | 96.05 | -20.00 | 12p | 108.47 | 95.94 | 79.88 |
| 13 | 8.65 | 95.42 | -19.78 | 13p | 107.66 | 96.25 | 78.98 |
| PM3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 26.78 | 69.31 | 6.12 | 1p | 128.61 | 68.38 | 108.23 |
| 2 | 17.58 | 78.56 | -5.83 | 2p | 119.27 | 74.76 | 96.99 |
| 3 | 17.24 | 74.33 | -4.91 | 3p | 119.00 | 75.88 | 96.39 |
| 4 | 19.71 | 78.24 | -3.60 | 4p | 118.65 | 74.74 | 96.38 |
| 5 | 11.07 | 81.08 | -13.10 | 5p | 116.24 | 80.08 | 92.37 |
| 6 | 13.53 | 79.90 | -10.28 | 6p | 115.37 | 79.99 | 91.53 |
| 7 | 13.33 | 79.93 | -10.49 | 7p | 114.95 | 80.33 | 91.01 |
| 8 | 9.37 | 93.12 | -18.38 | 8p | 111.33 | 93.89 | 83.35 |
| 9 | 7.78 | 91.61 | -19.52 | 9p | 109.89 | 93.96 | 81.89 |
| 10 | 7.74 | 91.08 | -19.40 | 10p | 109.66 | 91.91 | 82.27 |
| 11 | 5.36 | 98.77 | -24.07 | 11p | 107.71 | 91.96 | 80.30 |
| 12 | 4.34 | 92.40 | -23.20 | 12p | 105.36 | 90.85 | 78.29 |
| 13 | 4.25 | 92.57 | -23.34 | 13p | 106.19 | 91.60 | 78.90 |
| PM5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 22.99 | 68.11 | 2.69 | 1p | 121.93 | 68.69 | 101.46 |
| 2 | 14.82 | 78.39 | -8.54 | 2p | 113.64 | 80.54 | 89.64 |
| 3 | 14.66 | 74.59 | -7.57 | 3p | 113.81 | 75.04 | 91.45 |
| 4 | 14.04 | 74.56 | -8.18 | 4p | 112.70 | 79.56 | 88.99 |
| 5 | 11.03 | 80.72 | -13.03 | 5p | 110.00 | 80.42 | 86.03 |
| 6 | 10.13 | 80.11 | -13.75 | 6p | 109.33 | 88.08 | 83.08 |
| 7 | 9.55 | 80.09 | -14.32 | 7p | 108.20 | 80.04 | 84.34 |
| 8 | 5.83 | 87.26 | -20.18 | 8p | 104.40 | 91.04 | 77.26 |
| 9 | 4.03 | 90.92 | -23.06 | 9p | 103.35 | 93.94 | 75.35 |
| 10 | 3.74 | 88.31 | -22.58 | 10p | 102.60 | 89.84 | 75.82 |
| 11 | 0.57 | 97.18 | -28.39 | 11p | 99.66 | 100.09 | 69.83 |
| 12 | -0.69 | 97.47 | -29.74 | 12p | 98.57 | 92.35 | 71.05 |
| 13 | -0.98 | 97.14 | -29.92 | 13p | 97.76 | 91.73 | 70.42 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}}=\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}-\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{S}$

## III.1. Basicity

## III.1.1. Proton Affinities

There is no doubt that availability of lone pair electrons on nitrogen atom of the pyridine ring will determine the extent of basic power. So the proton affinities in gas phase can be a measure of basicity. The biggest proton affinity values (PA) were obtained with all methods for compound $\mathbf{1 1}$ (i.e $\mathbf{1 1} \rightleftharpoons \mathbf{1 1 p}$ equilibrium) (Table 3). This is not an unusual situation because of the steric hindrance of t butyl group has been avoided by sticking it out of the pyridine ring. The gas phase calculated dihedral angles of a-b-g-j and a-b-g-p were found as 120.28; 120.31; 120.34 and $-120.25 ;-120.31 ;-120.31$ degree with AM1, PM3 and PM5 respectively (Scheme 2). So these two methyl group cannot be fully effective in electron donation. But lone pair electrons of nitrogen atoms can be free to approach which means that proton affinity becomes bigger. The smallest PA values were obtained for the simple pyridine molecule as expected and no substituent in the ring and no electron donation exists. Furthermore, it seems that ortho-alkyl-substituted derivatives have bigger PA values than para-alkyl-substitutents within the series. In turn meta-alkyl-substituted derivatives were found to be smallest. The dipole moment values of gas and aqueous phase AM1, PM3 and PM5 calculations indicate the para-substituted derivatives have bigger values than that of meta-substituted derivatives and in turn the meta substituted derivatives have bigger values than that of ortho-substituted derivatives (Table 4).

Table 3. AM1, PM3 and PM5 calculated proton affinities in gas phase.

| Protonation | AM1 | PM3 | PM5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 - 1 p}$ | 215.08 | 210.31 | 216.55 |
| $\mathbf{2 - 2 p}$ | 219.01 | 213.63 | 220.38 |
| $\mathbf{3 - 3 p}$ | 216.66 | 212.27 | 218.59 |
| $\mathbf{4 - 4 p}$ | 219.87 | 212.90 | 219.64 |
| $\mathbf{5 - 5 p}$ | 220.70 | 215.20 | 221.90 |
| $\mathbf{6 - 6 p}$ | 217.32 | 212.90 | 219.32 |
| $\mathbf{7 - 7 p}$ | 218.78 | 213.63 | 220.50 |
| $\mathbf{8 - 8 p}$ | 221.00 | 215.66 | 222.89 |
| $\mathbf{9 - 9 p}$ | 217.57 | 212.68 | 219.64 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 - 1 0 p}$ | 218.73 | 213.27 | 220.51 |
| $\mathbf{1 1 - 1 1 p}$ | 222.04 | 215.90 | 223.24 |
| $\mathbf{1 2 - 1 2 p}$ | 218.38 | 211.18 | 220.63 |
| $\mathbf{1 3 - 1 3 p}$ | 219.52 | 214.01 | 221.47 |
| ${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{PA}=367.2+\left[\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{B})-\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}\left(\mathrm{BH}^{+}\right)\right][38]$ |  |  |  |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{PA} .=367.2+\left[\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{B})-\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}\left(\mathrm{BH}^{+}\right)\right][38]$

Table 4. AM1, PM3 and PM5 calculated nucleophilicity (n) charge on nitrogen atom, $q N$ dipole moment (D) values of investigated molecules in gas and aqueous phases.

| Compound | AM1 |  |  | PM3 |  |  | PM5 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{n}^{\mathbf{a}}$ | $\mathbf{q} \mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{b}}$ | $(D)^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\mathbf{n}^{\mathbf{a}}$ | $\mathbf{q} \mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{b}}$ | $(D)^{\mathbf{c}}$ | $\mathbf{n}^{\mathbf{a}}$ | $\mathbf{q} \mathbf{N}^{b}$ | $(D)^{\mathbf{c}}$ |
| Gas Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | -10.07 | -0.14 | 1.97 | -10.05 | -0.08 | 1.94 | -10.02 | -0.23 | 2.28 |
| 2 | -9.78 | -0.14 | 1.78 | -9.80 | -0.08 | 1.75 | -9.75 | -0.25 | 2.02 |
| 3 | -9.76 | -0.14 | 2.10 | -9.79 | -0.07 | 2.06 | -9.72 | -0.22 | 2.44 |
| 4 | -10.09 | -0.14 | 2.32 | -10.06 | -0.09 | 2.28 | -9.99 | -0.24 | 2.75 |
| 5 | -9.76 | -0.14 | 1.69 | -9.77 | -0.07 | 1.68 | -9.73 | -0.25 | 1.89 |
| 6 | -9.73 | -0.14 | 2.18 | -9.74 | -0.07 | 2.14 | -9.70 | -0.22 | 2.54 |
| 7 | -10.09 | -0.14 | 2.40 | -10.06 | -0.09 | 2.37 | -9.99 | -0.24 | 2.84 |
| 8 | -9.85 | -0.14 | 1.58 | -9.89 | -0.07 | 1.63 | -9.83 | -0.24 | 1.79 |
| 9 | -9.84 | -0.14 | 2.17 | -9.89 | -0.08 | 2.10 | -9.82 | -0.23 | 2.51 |
| 10 | -10.09 | -0.14 | 2.35 | -10.06 | -0.08 | 2.26 | -9.99 | -0.24 | 2.75 |
| 11 | -9.83 | -0.14 | 1.65 | -9.88 | -0.07 | 1.67 | -9.82 | -0.24 | 1.86 |
| 12 | -9.81 | -0.14 | 2.16 | -9.80 | -0.23 | 2.51 | -9.80 | -0.23 | 2.51 |
| 13 | -10.09 | -0.14 | 2.43 | -10.05 | -0.08 | 2.35 | -9.98 | -0.24 | 2.86 |
| Aqueous Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | -10.08 | -0.21 | 2.71 | -10.02 | -0.16 | 2.67 | -9.93 | -0.34 | 3.32 |
| 2 | -9.77 | -0.21 | 2.56 | -9.76 | -0.16 | 2.51 | -9.64 | -0.36 | 3.11 |
| 3 | -9.74 | $-0.20$ | 2.85 | -9.74 | -0.15 | 2.81 | -9.59 | -0.32 | 3.49 |
| 4 | -10.09 | $-0.21$ | 3.10 | -10.09 | $-0.21$ | 3.10 | -9.92 | -0.35 | $3.89$ |
| 5 | $-9.75$ | $-0.20$ | $2.47$ | -9.63 | $-0.34$ | 2.97 | $-9.63$ | -0.34 | $2.97$ |
| 6 | $-9.71$ | $-0.20$ | $2.89$ | $-9.71$ | -0.15 | 2.86 | -9.56 | -0.32 | $3.55$ |
| 7 | $-10.09$ | -0.21 | 3.18 | $-9.97$ | -0.17 | 3.16 | $-9.91$ | -0.35 | 3.97 |
| 8 | $-9.85$ | -0.20 | 2.37 | -9.87 | -0.13 | 2.38 | -9.76 | -0.33 | 2.85 |
| $9$ | $-9.83$ | -0.21 | 2.93 | -9.85 | -0.16 | 2.85 | -9.72 | -0.33 | 3.58 |
| 10 | -10.09 | -0.21 | 3.11 | -9.96 | -0.16 | 3.00 | -9.90 | -0.35 | 3.84 |
| 11 | -9.83 | -0.20 | 2.43 | -9.87 | -0.13 | 2.42 | -9.75 | -0.33 | 2.95 |
| 12 | -9.81 | -0.21 | 2.91 | -9.83 | -0.16 | 2.83 | -9.70 | -0.33 | 3.57 |
| 13 | -10.09 | -0.21 | 3.20 | -9.96 | -0.17 | 3.10 | -9.89 | -0.34 | 3.96 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Nucleophilicity ( $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{E}_{\text {Hомо }}-\mathrm{E}_{\text {LUMO }}$ ). ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{N}}=$ Charge on nitrogen atom. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ (D) =Dipol moment

## III.2. Acidity constants, $p K_{a}$ values

The closer values of acidity constants ( $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values) to experimental values were obtained using PM5 calculations (Table 5). The experimental acidity constant reflects the geometry dependence more successfully than that of calculated. The ortho alkyl substituted molecules have the following sequences;

| Molecule: | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ (exp.): | 5.17 | $<5.76<5.83<$ | $5.97 \equiv$ | 5.97 |  |
|  | Increasing basicity $\rightarrow$ |  |  |  |  |

The ortho electron-donation effect of methyl group and ethyl group were found to be the biggest and equal. The effect of isopropyl group in Molecule 8 however is smaller. This basicity drop can only be explained by dihedral angle difference and steric effect of the isopropyl group. As expected ortho effect in Molecule 11 is the smallest so the basicity of molecule 11 is the smallest because of the drastic changes in dihedral angle as well as the drastic steric effect.

With the same analogy the meta-alkyl-substituted molecules have the following sequence;


Since alkyl groups are ortho and para effective an electron-donating group at meta position the effect of donation is not as great as at ortho and para positions. This situation is reflected in above trend. The difference between the minimum and maximum effect is $5.82-5.68=0.14$ unit where as in ortho position trend the difference was $5.97-5.76=0.21 \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ unit. As we can see that ortho effect is more pronounced. When we take the para effect into account we have the following trend;

| Molecule: | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ |  | $\mathbf{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ (exp.): | 5.99 | $<\quad 6.02 \equiv$ | $\equiv .02$ | $\equiv$ | 6.02 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Increasing basicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\rightarrow$ |

In this case PM5 calculated $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values provide better and acceptable results;

| Molecule: | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ (PM5 calc. $):$ | $3.81<5.05$ | $<$ | 5.24 | $<$ | 6.14 |
|  | Increasing basicity | $\rightarrow$ |  |  |  |

Table 5. AM1, PM3 and PM5 calculated and experimental $p K_{a}$ values for the investigated molecules in aqueous phase.

| AM1 |  |  | PM3 |  | PM5 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Protonation process | $\begin{gathered} \delta \Delta \mathbf{G}_{(\mathrm{BH}+)} \\ (\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})^{\mathrm{a}} \end{gathered}$ | $\mathbf{p K a}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \delta \Delta \mathbf{G}_{(\mathrm{BH}+)} \\ (\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})^{\mathrm{a}} \end{gathered}$ | $\mathbf{p K a}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \delta \Delta \mathbf{G}_{(\mathrm{BH}+)} \\ (\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})^{\mathrm{a}} \end{gathered}$ | pKa ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{pKa}(\exp .)^{\text {c }}$ |
| 1-1p | 15.71 | 11.52 | 23.01 | 16.87 | 6.77 | 4.96 | 5.17 |
| 2-2p | 14.52 | 10.65 | 22.30 | 16.35 | 7.36 | 5.40 | 5.97 |
| 3-3p | 14.79 | 10.85 | 23.82 | 17.47 | 6.52 | 4.78 | 5.68 |
| 4-4p | 14.80 | 10.85 | 25.14 | 18.44 | 8.37 | 6.14 | 6.02 |
| 5-5p | 16.36 | 12.00 | 19.65 | 14.41 | 6.48 | 4.75 | 5.97 |
| 6-6p | 15.18 | 11.13 | 23.31 | 17.09 | 8.71 | 6.39 | 5.70 |
| 7-7p | 16.20 | 11.88 | 23.62 | 17.32 | 6.88 | 5.05 | 6.02 |
| 8-8p | 14.31 | 10.49 | 23.39 | 17.15 | 8.10 | 5.94 | 5.83 |
| 9-9p | 14.99 | 10.99 | 23.71 | 17.39 | 7.13 | 5.23 | 5.72 |
| 10-10p | 15.55 | 11.40 | 23.45 | 17.20 | 7.14 | 5.24 | 6.02 |
| 11-11p | 16.11 | 11.81 | 20.75 | 15.22 | 7.32 | 5.37 | 5.76 |
| 12-12p | 14.85 | 10.89 | 23.63 | 17.33 | 4.75 | 3.48 | 5.82 |
| 13-13p | 15.97 | 11.71 | 22.88 | 16.78 | 5.20 | 3.81 | 5.99 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \delta \Delta \mathrm{G}_{(\mathrm{BH}+)}=\left[\Delta \mathrm{G}_{(\mathrm{B})}+\Delta \mathrm{G}_{(\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{O}+)}\right]-\left[\Delta \mathrm{G}_{(\mathrm{BH}+)}+\Delta \mathrm{G}_{(\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O})}\right],{ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=\delta \Delta \mathrm{G}_{(\mathrm{BH}+)} /(2.303 \mathrm{RT}),{ }^{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ (expt.) were taken from ref [39]

Para effect can be observed better in this sequence. The difference between the minimum and the maximum is $6.14-3.81=2.33 \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ unit. Electron donating effect of $\quad p$-methyl group is much bigger than t-butyl group.This situation well explains the electron-donation effect of alkyl groups [38].

## Correlation Attempts

The correlation attempts will be discussed in following order;

## $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ (calc.) and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ (exp.)-PA

Correlation attempts to search between the calculated and experimental acidity constants has revealed that the calculation methods had failed to produce close values to the experimental ones (Table 6). A correlation attempt between proton affinities and calculated or experimental acidity constants revealed that the best regression accuse between $\mathbf{P A}$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ (exp.) at AM1 and PM5 methods (Table 6). We used these regression equations to find calculated acidity constants.

## Electronic sensitivity-pK ${ }_{\mathrm{a}}$

The calculated local electronic sensitivity values on $\mathbf{N}$ atoms are depicted in Table 7. The extrapolated $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values and calculated electrophilic sensitivity values are given in Table 8. A search for electronic sensitivity and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values has revealed that the best correlation comes from AM1 method (Table 6).
$\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ - Nucleophilicity

The best correlation between experimental $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values and the calculated nucleophilicity values (Table 9) comes from PM5 calculations (Table 6).
$\underline{\sigma}_{\text {calc. }}-\sigma_{\text {exp }}$.
The Hammett substituent constants, which were obtained by using $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values, were depicted in Table 10 . We must regret that the calculation methods had failed to produce close values to the experimental ones. PM5 Method however was quite successful for Compound 8.

The extrapolated acidity constants evaluated using best regression equations. As it can be seen from the Table 8, 11 and 12 , extrapolated values are very close to experimental acidity constants.
Table 6. The correlation studies between experimental $p K_{a}$ and electrophilic sensitivity, experimental $p K_{a}$ and nucleophilicty, experimental $p K_{a}$

|  | AM1(gas) | AM1( aq) | PM3 (gas) | PM3 (aq.) | PM5(gas) | PM5(aq.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Electrophilic sensitivity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Full series | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{y}=10.661 \mathrm{x}-4.3935 \\ & \mathbf{R}^{2}=\mathbf{0 . 4 9 9 6} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & y=6.7387 x-0.1533 \\ & \mathbf{R}^{2}=\mathbf{0 . 1 7 0 6} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{y}=14.924 \mathrm{x}-12.182 \\ & \mathbf{R}^{2}=\mathbf{0 . 7 2 6 7} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{y}=5.0062 \mathrm{x}+0.4884 \\ & \mathbf{R}^{2}=\mathbf{0 . 1 2 2 3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{y}=7.1951 \mathrm{x}-2.4431 \\ & \mathbf{R}^{2}=\mathbf{0 . 4 9 8 5} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{y}=4.2411 \mathrm{x}+1.5578 \\ & \mathbf{R}^{2}=\mathbf{0 . 1 3 8 1} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{y}=20.4 \mathrm{x}-13.6 \\ & \mathbf{R}^{2}=\mathbf{0 . 8 7 1 9} \\ & \text { (except } 2,5,8,11 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{y}=18.073 \mathrm{x}-10.019 \\ & \mathbf{R}^{2}=\mathbf{0 . 9 4 9 2} \\ & \text { (except } 1,2,5,8,11 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{y}=17.563 \mathrm{x}-15.338 \\ & \mathbf{R}^{2}=\mathbf{0 . 8 8 7 9} \\ & \text { (except 11) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{y}=16.903 \mathrm{x}-11.995 \\ & \mathbf{R}^{2}=\mathbf{0 . 8 2 1 1} \\ & \text { (except } 1,2,5,8,11 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{y}=13.015 \mathrm{x}-9.0232 \\ & \mathbf{R}^{2}=\mathbf{0 . 8 6 6 7} \\ & \text { (except } 2,5,8,11 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{y}=13.517 \mathrm{x}-7.58 \\ & \mathbf{R}^{2}=\mathbf{0 . 9 2 7 8} \\ & \text { (except } 1,2,5,8,11 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Nucleophilicty |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Full series | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{y}=-0.1693 \mathrm{x}+4.1437 \\ & \mathbf{R}^{2}=\mathbf{0 . 0 1 1 8} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & y=-0.1448 x+4.3871 \\ & \mathbf{R}^{2}=\mathbf{0 . 0 0 9 4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & y=-0.1335 x+4.498 \\ & \mathbf{R}^{2}=\mathbf{0 . 0 0 5 2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{y}=0.0628 \mathrm{x}+6.4405 \\ & \mathbf{R}^{2}=\mathbf{0 . 0 0 1 3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & y=-0.0193 x+5.6304 \\ & \mathbf{R}^{2}=\mathbf{1 E}-\mathbf{0 4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{y}=-0.0753 \mathrm{x}+5.0859 \\ & \mathbf{R}^{2}=\mathbf{0 . 0 0 1 9} \end{aligned}$ |
| Except | $y=-0.9078 x-3.1479$ | $y=-0.8786 x-2.8536$ | $y=-1.1237 x-5.2514$ | $y=-0.715 x-5.698$ | $y=-1.2038 x-6.0186$ | $y=-1.0196 x-4.1059$ |
| 1,2,5 | $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{2}}=\mathbf{0 . 9 3 6 9}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{\mathbf{2}}=0.945$ | $\mathrm{R}^{\mathbf{2}}=\mathbf{0 . 8 6 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{2}}=\mathbf{0 . 8 0 3 4}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{\mathbf{2}}=0.93$ | $\mathrm{R}^{\mathbf{2}}=\mathbf{0 . 8 9 3 4}$ |
| PA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Full series | $y=0.081 x-11.91$ |  | $\mathrm{y}=0.0785 \mathrm{x}-10.936$ |  | $\mathrm{y}=0.0815 \mathrm{x}-12.143$ |  |
| Full series | $\mathrm{R}^{\mathbf{2}}=\mathbf{0 . 4 2 4 7}$ |  | $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{2}}=\mathbf{0 . 2 9 5 7}$ |  | $\mathrm{R}^{\mathbf{2}}=\mathbf{0 . 3 8 1 7}$ |  |
|  | $\mathrm{y}=0.1739 \mathrm{x}-32.123$ |  | $\mathrm{y}=0.1901 \mathrm{x}-34.628$ |  | $\mathrm{y}=0.1741 \mathrm{x}-32.44$ |  |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{2}}=\mathbf{0 . 6 8 4}$ |  | $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{2}}=\mathbf{0 . 8 1 1 4}$ |  |
|  | Except 5,8,11 |  | Except 5,8,11 |  | Except 5,8,11 |  |
| pKa |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Full series |  | $\begin{aligned} & y=0.0185 x+5.6125 \\ & \mathbf{R}^{2}=\mathbf{0 . 0 0 1 6} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & y=-0.0041 x+5.8902 \\ & \mathbf{R}^{2}=0.0003 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & y=-0.005 x+5.8463 \\ & \mathbf{R}^{2}=\mathbf{0 . 0 0 0 3} \end{aligned}$ |

Table 7. Local electrophilic sensitivity on $\mathbf{N}$ atom in the studied molecules.

| Compound | AM1 $^{\mathbf{a}}$ | AM1 $^{\mathbf{b}}$ | PM3 $^{\mathbf{a}}$ | PM3 $^{\mathbf{b}}$ | PM5 $^{\mathbf{a}}$ | PM5 $^{\mathbf{b}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.929 | 0.874 | 1.175 | 1.051 | 1.104 | 0.987 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.973 | 0.902 | 1.218 | 1.081 | 1.164 | 1.022 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.939 | 0.870 | 1.191 | 1.050 | 1.119 | 0.983 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.958 | 0.888 | 1.212 | 1.068 | 1.149 | 1.007 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.973 | 0.899 | 1.214 | 1.077 | 1.167 | 1.020 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 0.942 | 0.870 | 1.197 | 1.049 | 1.126 | 0.983 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 0.964 | 0.889 | 1.220 | 1.069 | 1.159 | 1.009 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 0.973 | 0.901 | 1.210 | 1.079 | 1.168 | 1.025 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 0.946 | 0.873 | 1.196 | 1.050 | 1.132 | 0.987 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 0.961 | 0.885 | 1.208 | 1.060 | 1.153 | 1.001 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 0.980 | 0.915 | 1.222 | 1.103 | 1.186 | 1.052 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | 0.951 | 0.873 | 1.204 | 1.050 | 1.141 | 0.987 |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | 0.966 | 0.886 | 1.215 | 1.060 | 1.163 | 1.004 |

${ }^{2}$ Gas phase; ${ }^{\text {b Aqueous phase }}$
Table 8. Extrapolated $p K_{a}$ values using semi empirically calculated electrophilic sensitivity values.

| Compound | $\begin{gathered} \text { pKa }^{\mathbf{a}} \\ \text { AM1 } \\ \text { (gas phase) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { pKa }^{\mathbf{b}} \\ \text { AM1 } \\ \text { (aq. } \\ \text { phase) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { pKa }^{\mathbf{c}} \\ \text { PM3 } \\ \text { (gas phase) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { pKa }^{\mathrm{d}} \\ \text { PM3 } \\ \text { (gas } \\ \text { phase) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { pKa }^{\mathrm{e}} \\ \text { PM3 } \\ \text { (aq. } \\ \text { phase) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { pKa }^{\mathrm{f}} \\ \text { PM5 } \\ \text { (gas } \\ \text { phase) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { pKa }^{\mathrm{g}} \\ \text { PM5 } \\ \text { (aq. phase) } \end{gathered}$ | pKa exp. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5.35 | 5.78* | 5.35 | 5.30 | 5.77* | 5.35 | 5.76* | 5.17 |
| 2 | 6.25* | 6.28* | 6.00 | 6.05 | 6.28* | 6.13* | 6.23* | 5.97 |
| 3 | 5.56 | 5.70 | 5.59 | 5.58 | 5.75 | 5.54 | 5.71 | 5.68 |
| 4 | 5.94 | 6.03 | 5.91 | 5.95 | 6.06 | 5.93 | 6.03 | 6.02 |
| 5 | 6.25* | 6.23* | 5.94 | 5.98 | 6.21* | 6.17* | 6.21* | 5.97 |
| 6 | 5.62 | 5.70 | 5.68 | 5.68 | 5.74 | 5.63 | 5.71 | 5.7 |
| 7 | 6.07 | 6.05 | 6.03 | 6.09 | 6.07 | 6.06 | 6.06 | 6.02 |
| 8 | 6.25* | 6.26* | 5.88 | 5.91 | 6.24* | 6.18* | 6.27* | 5.83 |
| 9 | 5.70 | 5.76 | 5.67 | 5.67 | 5.75 | 5.71 | 5.76 | 5.72 |
| 10 | 6.00 | 5.98 | 5.85 | 5.88 | 5.92 | 5.98 | 5.95 | 6.02 |
| 11 | 6.39* | 6.52* | 6.06 | 6.12* | 6.65* | 6.41* | 6.64* | 5.76 |
| 12 | 5.80 | 5.76 | 5.79 | 5.81 | 5.75 | 5.83 | 5.76 | 5.82 |
| 13 | 6.11 | 5.99 | 5.95 | 6.00 | 5.92 | 6.11 | 5.99 | 5.99 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Calculated using $\mathrm{y}=20.4 \mathrm{x}-13.6 \quad\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}=\mathbf{0 . 8 7 1 9}\right) \quad \mathrm{x}=$ electrophilic sensitivity on $\mathbf{N}$ atom, ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ calculated using $y=18.073 \mathrm{x}-10.019\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}=\mathbf{0 . 9 4 9 2}\right) \quad \mathrm{x}=$ electrophilic sensitivity on N atom, ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ calculated using $y=14.924 x-12.182 \quad\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}=\mathbf{0 . 7 2 6 7}\right) \quad x=$ electrophilic sensitivity on $N$ atom, ${ }^{d}$ calculated using
 $\mathrm{y}=16.903 \mathrm{x}-11.995\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}=\mathbf{0 . 8 2 1 1}\right) \mathrm{x}=$ electrophilic sensitivity on N atom, ${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ calculated using $\mathrm{y}=13.015 \mathrm{x}$ $-9.0232\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}=\mathbf{0 . 8 6 6 7}\right) \mathrm{x}=$ electrophilic sensitivity on N atom,.$^{\mathrm{g}}$ calculated using $\mathrm{y}=13.517 \mathrm{x}-7.58\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right.$ $=\mathbf{0 . 9 2 7 8}) \mathrm{x}=$ electrophilic sensitivity on N atom, ${ }^{*}$ Extrapolated pKa values using the equations which is derivated without their x values.

Table 9. AM1, PM3 and PM5 calculated HOMO-LUMO values of investigated molecules in gas and aqueous phases.

| Compound | AM1 |  | PM3 |  | PM5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HOMO | LUMO | HOMO | LUMO | HOMO | LUMO |
| Gas Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | -9.93 | 0.14 | -10.10 | -0.05 | -9.86 | 0.15 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | -9.63 | 0.15 | -9.80 | 0.00 | -9.52 | 0.23 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | -9.63 | 0.13 | -9.80 | -0.01 | -9.51 | 0.21 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | -9.89 | 0.21 | -10.06 | 0.00 | -9.81 | 0.18 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | -9.59 | 0.16 | -9.76 | 0.01 | -9.47 | 0.26 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | -9.59 | 0.15 | -9.75 | -0.01 | -9.46 | 0.25 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | -9.87 | 0.22 | -10.03 | 0.02 | -9.79 | 0.21 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | -9.67 | 0.18 | -9.89 | 0.01 | -9.58 | 0.26 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | -9.68 | 0.16 | -9.89 | 0.00 | -9.59 | 0.24 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | -9.86 | 0.22 | -10.05 | 0.01 | -9.77 | 0.21 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | -9.63 | 0.20 | -9.86 | 0.03 | -9.53 | 0.29 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | -9.63 | 0.18 | -9.53 | 0.27 | -9.53 | 0.27 |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | -9.84 | 0.25 | -10.02 | 0.03 | -9.74 | 0.24 |
|  |  | Aqueous Phase |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | -10.00 | 0.07 | -10.15 | -0.14 | -9.98 | -0.05 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | -9.74 | 0.03 | -9.89 | -0.13 | -9.68 | -0.04 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | -9.73 | 0.01 | -9.88 | -0.14 | -9.64 | -0.06 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | -10.02 | 0.07 | -10.02 | 0.07 | -10.00 | -0.09 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | -9.70 | 0.05 | -9.62 | 0.01 | -9.62 | 0.01 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | -9.70 | 0.02 | -9.84 | -0.13 | -9.60 | -0.04 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | -10.01 | 0.08 | -10.15 | -0.18 | -9.99 | -0.08 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | -9.79 | 0.06 | -9.98 | -0.11 | -9.75 | 0.01 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | -9.80 | 0.03 | -10.00 | -0.15 | -9.76 | -0.05 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | -10.00 | 0.09 | -10.16 | -0.19 | -9.98 | -0.08 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | -9.76 | 0.07 | -9.96 | -0.09 | -9.72 | 0.03 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | -9.77 | 0.03 | -9.97 | -0.14 | -9.73 | -0.03 |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | -9.99 | 0.09 | -10.15 | -0.19 | -9.96 | -0.07 |

Table 10. Calculated substituent constants values for the investigated compounds AM1, PM3 and PM5.

| Molecule | Substituent | (calc.) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ using calculated values |  | pKa | (exp.) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ calculated exp. $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AM1 | PM3 | PM5 |  |
| 1 | H | - | - | - | - |
| 2 | 2-methyl | 0.157 | 0.094 | -0.079 | -0.145 |
| 3 | 3-methyl | 0.121 | -0.108 | 0.032 | -0.092 |
| 4 | 4 methyl | 0.121 | -0.283 | -0.214 | -0.153 |
| 5 | 2-ethyl | -0.086 | 0.444 | 0.037 | -0.145 |
| 6 | 3-ethyl | 0.071 | -0.040 | -0.258 | -0.096 |
| 7 | 4-ethyl | -0.065 | -0.081 | -0.017 | -0.153 |
| 8 | 2-isopropyl | 0.186 | -0.051 | -0.177 | -0.119 |
| 9 | 3-isopropyl | 0.096 | -0.094 | -0.049 | -0.099 |
| 10 | 4-isopropyl | 0.022 | -0.059 | -0.051 | -0.153 |
| 11 | 2-tert-butyl | -0.052 | 0.298 | -0.074 | -0.106 |
| 12 | 3-tert-butyl | 0.114 | -0.083 | 0.267 | -0.118 |
| 13 | 4-tert-butyl | -0.034 | 0.017 | 0.207 | -0.148 |

$* \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}(\text { pyridine })}-\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}(\text { subst.pyridine })}=. ;$ (for pyridine protanation) $=-5.77$.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ The minus sign $(<0)$ incidates the electron donation

Table 11. Extrapolated $p K_{a}$ values using semiemprically calculated nucleophilicity values.

| Compound | pKa $^{\mathbf{a}}$ AM1 (gas phase) | $\begin{gathered} \text { pKa }^{\mathbf{b}} \\ \text { AM1 } \\ \text { (aq.phase) } \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{pKa}^{\mathbf{c}}$ PM3 (gas phase) | pKa $^{\mathrm{d}}$ PM3 (aq. phase) | $\mathrm{pKa}^{\mathrm{e}}$ PM5 (gas.phase) | pKa $^{\mathrm{f}}$ PM5 (aq phase) | pKa <br> Experimental |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5.99* | 6.00* | 5.99* | 6.01* | 6.04* | 6.02* | 5.17 |
| 2 | 5.73* | 5.73* | 5.73* | 5.72* | 5.72* | 5.72* | 5.97 |
| 3 | 5.71 | 5.70 | 5.72 | 5.69 | 5.68 | 5.67 | 5.68 |
| 4 | 6.01 | 6.01 | 6.00 | 6.09 | 6.01 | 6.01 | 6.02 |
| 5 | 5.71* | 5.71* | 5.70* | 5.57* | 5.69* | 5.71* | 5.97 |
| 6 | 5.68 | 5.68 | 5.66 | 5.66 | 5.66 | 5.64 | 5.7 |
| 7 | 6.01 | 6.01 | 6.00 | 5.95 | 6.01 | 6.00 | 6.02 |
| 8 | 5.79 | 5.80 | 5.82 | 5.84 | 5.81 | 5.85 | 5.83 |
| 9 | 5.78 | 5.78 | 5.82 | 5.82 | 5.80 | 5.80 | 5.72 |
| 10 | 6.01 | 6.01 | 6.00 | 5.94 | 6.01 | 5.99 | 6.02 |
| 11 | 5.78 | 5.78 | 5.81 | 5.84 | 5.80 | 5.84 | 5.76 |
| 12 | 5.76 | 5.77 | 5.73 | 5.79 | 5.78 | 5.78 | 5.82 |
| 13 | 6.01 | 6.01 | 5.99 | 5.94 | 6.00 | 5.98 | 5.99 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ calculated using $y=-0.9078 \mathrm{x}-3.1479\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9369\right) \mathrm{x}=$ nucleophilicity $(\mathrm{n}),{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ calculated using $\mathrm{y}=-$ $0.8786 \mathrm{x}-2.8536\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}=\mathbf{0 . 9 4 5}\right) \mathrm{x}=$ nucleophilicity $(\mathrm{n}),{ }^{c}$ calculated using $\mathrm{y}=-1.1237 \mathrm{x}-5.2514\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}=\right.$ 0.8614) $x=$ nucleophilicity ( $n$ ), ${ }^{d}$ calculated using $y=-0.715 x-5.698\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}=\mathbf{0 . 8 0 3 4}\right) x=$ nucleophilicity (n), ${ }^{e}$ calculated using $y=-1.2038 x-6.0186\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}=\mathbf{0 . 9 3}\right) x=$ nucleophilicity $(n),{ }^{f}$ calculated using $y=-$ $1.0196 x-4.1059\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}=\mathbf{0 . 8 9 3 4}\right) x=$ nucleophilicity $(n)$,

* Extrapolated pKa values using the equations which is derivated without their x values.

Table 12. Extrapolated $p K_{a}$ values of using best $P A-p K_{a}$ (exp.) regressions in gas phase.

| Compound | $\mathbf{p K}_{\mathbf{a}}$ (calc.) <br> AM1 | $\mathbf{p K}_{\mathbf{a}}$ (calc.) <br> PM5 | $\mathbf{p K}_{\mathbf{a}}$ (exp.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 5.28 | 5.26 | 5.17 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 5.96 | 5.93 | 5.97 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 5.55 | 5.62 | 5.68 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 6.11 | 5.80 | 6.02 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 2 6}^{*}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 1 9}^{*}$ | 5.97 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 5.67 | 5.74 | 5.7 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 5.92 | 5.95 | 6.02 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 3 1}^{*}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 3 7}^{*}$ | 5.83 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 5.71 | 5.80 | 5.72 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 5.91 | 5.95 | 6.02 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 4 9 ^ { * }}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 4 3 *}$ | 5.76 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | 5.85 | 5.97 | 5.82 |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | 6.05 | 6.12 | 5.99 |

* Extrapolated $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values using the equations which is derivated without their x values
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