

**Bozok Journal of Science** 

Volume 1, No 2, Page 65-72 (2023) Research Article

# A Geometrical Interpretation of Production Functions in Economics in terms of Second Fundamental Form

Muhittin Evren AYDIN<sup>1\*</sup>, Muhammed Burak GÜL<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Firat University, Faculty of Science, Department of Mathematics, 23200, Elazığ, Türkiye

### Abstract

The two well-known production models in microeconomics are Cobb-Douglas and ACMS production functions. We study such production functions with 2-inputs in terms of the differential-geometrical properties of their graphs. In particular, we investigate the production functions when their graphs have the second fundamental forms of constant length. We obtain that the ACMS production surface has the second fundamental forms of constant length if and only if the ACMS production function is a perfect substitute. Furthermore, in the case of Cobb-Douglas production function, we provide a non-existence result.

Keywords: Cobb-Douglas production function, ACMS production function, production surface, second fundamental form

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

One of the arguments for modelling the production process in economics (on the firm or the aggregate levels) is the production function, expressing the relations between inputs (the factors contributing to the process) and level of output. It is based on the presumed choosing of technologically the best one among the techniques used in the production process. This is so that only if at least one of the inputs increases will the level of output increase [1,2].

Let  $\mathbb{R}_*$  be the set of all the positive real numbers. Then, mathematically, a *production function* is a mapping [3]

$$f: \mathbb{R}_* \to \mathbb{R}^n_*, \ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto f(x_1, \dots, x_n), \tag{1}$$

where  $x_1, ..., x_n$  are the inputs, f the level of output and  $n \ge 2$  the number of the inputs. In principle, one assumes that f holds the following conditions: (i)  $f \in C^2$ , i.e. it has twice continuous partial derivatives; (ii)  $f_{i} = \partial f / \partial x_i > 0$ , for every  $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ ; (iii) f is p-homogeneous, i.e.

$$f(tx_1, \dots, tx_n) = t^p f(x_1, \dots, x_n),$$

for all  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n_*$  and t > 0.

Notice that constant inputs are omitted. With the homogeneity condition of a production function, it is able to classify the property of return to scale. More clearly, we call that a production function has *constant return to scale* if the degree of homogeneity p is 1. In addition, one has *decreasing (increasing) return to scale* if p is less than (greater than) 1. For instance, fix p > 1 (resp. p < 1). Hence, when increased every input by 10 percent, then the level of output shows a rise of greater than (resp. less than) 10 percent. Fix now p = 1. Then, the level of output shows a rise of 10 percent when every input is increased by 10 percent.

The production functions played a key role in calculating the social-economy damage and in solving production scarcity originated by Covid-19 breaking out in late 2019 [4–6]. Furthermore, they have numereous uses outside of economics, including biology [7,8], education [9,10], in engineering [11,12].

<sup>1</sup>https://orcid.org/ 0000-0001-9337-8165 <sup>2</sup>https://orcid.org/ 0009-0002-9144-4258

In 2011, an interesting perspective on production functions was exhibited by Vilcu [3] where a production function is analysed by the differential-geometrical properties of its own graph. More clearly, the graph of the production function f given by (1) is actually a hypersurface  $M^n$  of the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_*$  parametrized by (see [13])

$$\varphi \colon \mathbb{R}^n_* \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_*$$
$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_1, \dots, x_n, f(x_1, \dots, x_n))$$

which we call *production hypersurface*. Hence, Vilcu's perspective uses an argument characterizing the economic properties (i.e. the elasticity of production, the marginal rate of technical substitution, elasticity of substitution and etc.) of f in terms of the basic curvature invariants of  $M^n$ .

We emphasize that although the first studies in this direction were published in early 2000s (see [14,15]), the serious results were firstly obtained in [3,13,16]. Afterwards, Chen published a series of papers (including homogeneous, weighted homogeneous, homothetic, quasi-sum, quasi-product production functions) jointly with Alodan, Deshmukh, Vilcu and Vilcu [17–26]. In addition, the first author of the present paper has some studies jointly with Ergut, Mihai, Yılmaz and Gülşen [27–30], relating to quasi-sum, quasi-product, homothetic production functions. We also refer to [31,32].

In the cited papers above, the production functions have been analysed in terms of the Gauss-Kronecker curvature, the mean curvature, the sectional curvature, the Ricci curvature and the Riemann curvature tensor (for details, see [33]) as curvature invariants of the associated production hypersurfaces. As a new idea in this field, we analyse Cobb-Douglas and ACMS production functions and the associated production hypersurfaces in terms of the length of the second fundamental form. More explicitly, we do an analysis of Cobb-Douglas and ACMS production functions with 2-inputs and their production surfaces when the second fundamental forms have constant length. We obtain that the ACMS production surface has a second fundamental form of constant length if and only if the ACMS production function is a perfect substitute. We also state that the Cobb-Douglas production surface cannot satisfy this property.

The motivation of our problem is as follows: Let  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be a regular surface and  $\kappa_1$ ,  $\kappa_2$  its principal curvatures. We call *S Weingarten surface* if there is a relation given by [34],

$$\mathcal{W}(\kappa_1,\kappa_2) = 0. \tag{2}$$

Notice that the relation (2) is equivalent to  $\mathcal{U}(K, H) = 0$ , where *K* and *H* are the Gaussian and mean curvatures of *S*. Hence, as trivial examples of Weingarten surfaces are when *K* or *H* are constants. Studying differential-geometrical properties of such surfaces is a classical subject, see [35].

Denote by *h* and  $||h||^2$  the second fundamental form of *S* and its squared length. On one hand,  $||h||^2$  is equivalent to the trace of  $A^2$ , where *A* is the shape operator of *S*, yielding

$$\|h\|^2 = \kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2. \tag{3}$$

Therefore, the condition that  $||h||^2$  is constant turns the surface *S* to be a Weingarten surface. On the other hand, the expression in the right-hand side of (3) is actually twice the so-called *Casorati curvature* [36], which is an important curvature invariant, see [37,38].

#### 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

#### 2.1 Curvature Invariants of Surfaces

Let  $\mathbb{R}^3$  be the Euclidean space of dimension 3 endowed with the Euclidean inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and  $\|\cdot\|$  the induced norm. Given a regular surface *S* in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  an open subset. Denote by  $\varphi$  a local parametrization on *S* such that

$$\varphi: U \to \mathbb{R}^3$$
$$(x, y) \mapsto \varphi(x, y).$$
$$\varphi_x \times \varphi_y$$

The normal vector field  $\xi$  is

$$\xi = \frac{\varphi_x \times \varphi_y}{\|\varphi_x \times \varphi_y\|},$$

where  $\varphi_x$  is the partial derivative of  $\varphi$  with respect to x and × the cross product in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . The components of the first fundamental form g (i.e. the induced metric from  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ) are

$$E = \langle \varphi_x, \varphi_x \rangle, \ F = \langle \varphi_x, \varphi_y \rangle, \ G = \langle \varphi_y, \varphi_y \rangle$$

and those of the second fundamental form h

$$L = \langle \varphi_{xx}, \xi \rangle, \quad M = \langle \varphi_{xy}, \xi \rangle, \quad N = \langle \varphi_{yy}, \xi \rangle.$$

Let  $\nabla$  be the Levi-Civita connection on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Then, for any vector field X tangent to S, we have the formula of Weingarten

$$\nabla_X \xi = -A(X),$$

where *A* is the shape operator. Then, the matrix of the shape operator  $[A] = [g]^{-1}[h]$ , for the corresponding matrices [g] and [h] of the bilinear forms *g* and *h*, respectively. Let  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  be an orthonormal basis of *S* diagonalizing *A*. Hence,  $[A] = \text{diag}[\kappa_1 \kappa_2]$ , where  $\kappa_1$  and  $\kappa_2$  are the principal curvatures.

The Gaussian curvature K and the mean curvature H are

$$K = \det(A) = \kappa_1 \kappa_2$$

and

$$H = \operatorname{trace}(A) = \frac{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2}{2}.$$

One directly follows  $\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2 = 4H^2 - 2K$ . In terms of the components of g and h,

$$K = \frac{LN - M^2}{EG - F^2}$$

and

$$H = \frac{EN - 2FM + GL}{2(EG - F^2)}.$$

Now fix  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , c > 0, and assume that

$$\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2 = c. \tag{4}$$

Three immediate examples appear when the condition (4) holds: The first is a plane with  $\kappa_1 = 0 = \kappa_2$ ; the second is a circular cylinder whose one principal curvature is 0 and the other one nonzero constant; the third is a sphere whose both principal curvatures are nonzero constant. Those are known as *isoparametric surfaces*.

Let f(x, y) be a smooth real-valued function. We may locally assume the surface S as a graph of f. Then,

$$K = \frac{f_{xx}f_{yy} - f_{xy}^2}{\left(1 + f_x^2 + f_y^2\right)^2}$$

and

$$H = \frac{(1+f_x^2)f_{yy} - 2f_xf_yf_{xy} + (1+f_y^2)f_{xx}}{2(1+f_x^2+f_y^2)^{3/2}}.$$

If the graph holds (4), then one gives the following partial differential equation (PDE),

$$\left\{ (1+f_x^2)f_{yy} - 2f_x f_y f_{xy} + (1+f_y^2)f_{xx} \right\}^2 - 2\left(1+f_x^2+f_y^2\right) \left\{ f_{xx} f_{yy} - f_{xy}^2 + \frac{c}{2} \left(1+f_x^2+f_y^2\right)^2 \right\} = 0.$$
(5)

Hence, we may conclude that, in some sense, finding a surface with  $\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2 = c$  is equivalent to obtain the solutions of the PDE given by (5).

#### **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### **3.1 Generalized Cobb-Douglas Production Functions**

Let k, m, n be some nonzero constants with k > 0. A generalized Cobb-Douglas production function with 2-inputs is defined by  $f: \mathbb{R}_* \to \mathbb{R}^2_*$ 

$$(x,y) \mapsto f(x,y) = kx^m y^n, \tag{6}$$

where p = m + n is the homogeneity degree of f [39]. We call that the graph of f is a Cobb-Douglas surface.

In what follows, we will discuss the Cobb-Douglas surface with the condition (4). But, as a prior result, we give the following proposition.

Proposition 1. The second fundamental form of the graph of the form (6) has a constant length if and only if it is a plane.

**Proof.** By a direct computation, we have from (6) that

$$f_x = \frac{1}{x}mf,$$
  

$$f_y = \frac{1}{y}nf,$$
  

$$f_{xx} = \frac{1}{x^2}m(m-1)f,$$
  

$$f_{xy} = \frac{1}{xy}mnf,$$
  

$$f_{yy} = \frac{1}{y^2}n(n-1)f.$$

We substitute these partial derivatives above into (5) and we write

$$(xyf)^{2} \{n(n-1)x^{2} + m(m-1)y^{2} - mn(m+n)f^{2}\}^{2} -$$

$$2mn(1-m-n)(xyf)^{2}((xy)^{2} + (m^{2}y^{2} + n^{2}x^{2})f^{2}) + c((xy)^{2} + (m^{2}y^{2} + n^{2}x^{2})f^{2})^{3} = 0.$$
(7)

Notice here that the roles of the independent variables x and y are symmetric. Namely, when a statement holds with respect to one, so directly does with respect to the other one. Hence, (7) can be viewed as a sum of the powers of x (or y). For example, (7) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} A_{1}(c,k,m,n,y)x^{6} + A_{2}(c,k,m,n,y)x^{2m+2} + A_{3}(c,k,m,n,y)x^{2m+4} + A_{4}(c,k,m,n,y)x^{2m+6} + A_{5}(c,k,m,n,y)x^{2m+8} \\ &+ A_{6}(c,k,m,n,y)x^{4m+2} + A_{7}(c,k,m,n,y)x^{4m+4} + A_{8}(c,k,m,n,y)x^{4m+6} + \\ &A_{9}(c,k,m,n,y)x^{6m} + A_{10}(c,k,m,n,y)x^{6m+2} + A_{11}(c,k,m,n,y)x^{6m+4} + A_{12}(c,k,m,n,y)x^{6m+6} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $A_i(c, k, m, n, y)$ , i = 1, ..., 12, are the coefficient functions depending on the parameters c, k, m, n and y. Since x is an independent variable and the powers of x are linearly independent, every  $A_i(c, k, m, n, y)$ , i = 1, ..., 12, must be zero. Hence, we may conclude as:

$$A_{12}(c, k, m, n, y) = c(kn)^6 y^{6n} = 0,$$

yielding either c = 0 or k = 0 or n = 0 because y is an independent variable. The second possibility is obviously eliminated. If c = 0, then we understand from (4) that the principal curvatures  $\kappa_1$  and  $\kappa_2$  vanish, implying that the graph is a plane. If n = 0, then we may parametrize the graph as

$$(x, y) \mapsto (x, y, kx^m) = (x, 0, kx^m) + y(0, 1, 0),$$

which is a cylindrical surface whose rulings are parallel to (0,1,0). However, in this case, one principal curvature vanishes and the other one is

$$\frac{km(m-1)x^{m+1}}{(x^2+(kmx^m)^2)^{3/2'}}$$

which is obviously not constant. Hence, this is not our case, completing the proof.

We notice that Proposition 1 is a pure differential-geometrical result and not valid from the microeconomic perspective. Because, the original definition of a Cobb-Douglas production function allows none of k, m, n to vanish. Therefore, we have the following non-existence result.

Theorem 1. A Cobb-Douglas surface with second fundamental form of constant length does not exist.

#### **3.2 Generalized ACMS Production Functions**

Let a, b, k, m, p be some nonzero constants with k > 0. A generalized ACMS production function with 2-inputs is defined by  $f: \mathbb{R}_* \to \mathbb{R}^2_*$ 

$$(x, y) \mapsto f(x, y) = k((mx)^q + (ny)^q)^{p/q},$$
(8)

 $\langle \mathbf{0} \rangle$ 

where p is the homogeneity degree of f [40]. We call that the graph of f is an ACMS surface.

We will discuss the ACMS surface with the condition (4). We first give the following proposition.

Proposition 2. The second fundamental form of the graph of the form (8) has a constant length if and only if it is a plane.

**Proof.** We set  $B = B(x, y) = (mx)^q + (ny)^q$ . Then, by (8), a direct computation follows as:

$$f_{x} = a_{1}B^{\frac{p}{q}-1},$$
  

$$f_{y} = a_{2}B^{\frac{p}{q}-1},$$
  

$$f_{xx} = a_{3}B^{\frac{p}{q}-1} + a_{4}B^{\frac{p}{q}-2},$$
  

$$f_{xy} = a_{5}B^{\frac{p}{q}-2},$$
  

$$f_{yy} = a_{6}B^{\frac{p}{q}-1} + a_{7}B^{\frac{p}{q}-2},$$

where

 $\begin{aligned} &a_1 = km^q px^{q-1}, \\ &a_2 = kn^q py^{q-1}, \\ &a_3 = km^q p(q-1)x^{q-2}, \\ &a_4 = km^{2q} p(p-q)x^{2q-2}, \\ &a_5 = km^q n^q p(p-q)(xy)^{q-1}, \\ &a_6 = kn^q p(q-1)y^{q-2}, \\ &a_7 = kn^{2q} p(p-q)y^{2q-2}. \end{aligned}$ 

We now substitute the partial derivatives into (5), then we obtain:

$$\left\{ (a_3 + a_6)B^{\frac{p}{q} - 1} + (a_4 + a_7)B^{\frac{p}{q} - 2} + (a_1^2 a_6 + a_2^2 a_3)B^{\frac{3p}{q} - 3} + (a_1^2 a_7 - 2a_1 a_2 a_5 + a_2^2 a_4)B^{\frac{3p}{q} - 4} \right\}^2 -2 \left\{ 1 + (a_1^2 + a_2^2)B^{\frac{2p}{q} - 2} \right\} \left\{ (a_3 a_6 + a_4 a_7)B^{\frac{2p}{q} - 2} + (a_3 a_7 + a_4 a_6)B^{\frac{2p}{q} - 3} \right\} -c \left\{ 1 + (a_1^2 + a_2^2)B^{\frac{2p}{q} - 2} \right\}^3 = 0.$$

$$(9)$$

As in the proof of Proposition 1, (9) can be viewed as an equation in B. Since it can be written as a sum of the powers of B where the terms are linearly independent, all the coefficients must be zero. We distinguish two cases:

Case 1. Assume that  $p \neq q$ . Hence, the constant term, i.e.  $c = B^0$ , of this equation is 0, implying that the graph is a plane.

Case 2. Assume that p = q. If also p = 1, then the function (8) is linear in both x and y and so its graph directly becomes a plane. In this case, there is nothing to prove. Otherwise, (9) reduces to

 $(a_3(1+a_2^2)+a_6(1+a_1^2))^2-2\{1+(a_1^2+a_2^2)\}(a_3a_6)-c\{1+(a_1^2+a_2^2)\}^3=0,$ 

which can be viewed as a sum of the powers of x. Again, the coefficients of the powers of x are all zero. The coefficient of the term  $x^{6q-6}$  is  $c(km^pp)^6$ , which must be zero. Similar to the proof of Proposition 1, the only possibility is that c = 0. This completes the proof.

On the other hand, in economics, goods that are completely substitutable with each other are called perfect substitutes. Also, we call a production function f(x, y) as a *perfect substitute* if it is a linear function in both x and y. Hence, with Proposition 2 we have the following result.

**Theorem 2.** The second fundamental form of the ACMS surface has a constant length if and only if the ACMS production function is a perfect substitute.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we studied two well-known production models in microeconomics, Cobb-Douglas and ACMS production functions, in terms of the differential-geometrical properties of their graph surfaces. Having their graph surfaces a second fundamental form of constant length, we proved that a generalized ACMS production function is a perfect substitute. In the case of a Cobb-Douglas production function, a non-existence result was given. Although, as a first stage, we were only interested in the production functions with 2-inputs, our results are open to extension to higher dimensions.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work is supported by 2209A-Research Project Support Programme for Undergraduate Students of The Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TUBITAK) with project number 1919B012216176.

#### **AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTIONS**

MEA: Conceptualization, investigation, methodology, supervision. MBA: Investigation, methodology.

### **CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### **RESEARCH AND PUBLICATION ETHICS**

The authors declare that this study complies with Research and Publication Ethics.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. W. Shephard, Theory of Cost and Production Functions. New Jersey: Princeton University Press, 1970.
- [2] S. K. Mishra, "A Brief History of Production Functions," IUP J. Manage. Econom., vol., 8, no. 4, pp. 6–34, 2010.
- [3] G. E. Vilcu, "A Geometrical Perspective on the Generalized Cobb-Douglas Production Functions," Appl. Math. Letters, vol. 24, pp. 777–783, May 2011, doi: 10.1016/j.aml.2010.12.038.
- [4] J. Barlow and I. Vodenska, "Socio-Economic Impact of the Covid-19 Pandemic in the U.S.," Entropy, vol. 23, 673, May 2021, doi.org/10.3390/e23060673.
- [5] A. Pichler, M. Pangallo, R. M. del Rio-Chanona, L. Francois, and J. D. Farmer, "Production Networks and Epidemic Spreading: How to Restart the UK Economy?," arXiv:2005.10585v1 [econ.GN], May 2020.
- [6] P. Mlodkowski, "Estimating Production Function Before Covid-19 Pandemic in Europe," Eur. Integr. Stud., vol. 14, pp. 104– 116, Oct. 2020.

- [7] E. Chassot, D. Gascuel, and A. Colomb, "Impact of Trophic Interactions on Production Functions and on the Ecosystem Response to Fishing: A Simulation Approach," Aquat. Living Resour., vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 1–13, Jan.-Mar. 2005, doi.org/10.1051/alr:2005001.
- [8] I. B. Adinya, B. O. Offem, and G. U. Ikpi, "Application of a Stochastic Frontier Production Function for Measurement and Comparison of Technical Efficiency of Mandarin Fish and Clown Fish Production in Lowlands Reservoirs, Ponds and Dams of Cross River State, Nigeria," J. Anim. and Plant Sci., vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 595–600, Feb. 2011.
- [9] S. T. Cooper and E. Cohn, "Estimation of a Frontier Production Function for the South Carolina Educational Process," Econ. Educ. Rev., vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 313–327, June 1997, doi.org/10.1016/S0272-7757(96)00077-5.
- [10] M. E. Da Silva Freire and J. J. R. F. Da Silva, "The Application of Production Functions to the Higher Education System-Some Examples from Portuguese Universities," High. Educ., vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 447–460, Nov. 1975.
- [11] T. G. Gowing, "Technical Change and Scale Economies in an Engineering Production Function: The Case of Steam Electric Power," J. Industrial Econom., vol. 23, no. 2, 135–152, Dec. 1974.
- [12] J. Marsden, D. Pingry, and A. Whinston, "Engineering Foundations of Production Functions," J. Econom. Th., vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 124–140, Oct. 1974, doi.org/10.1016/0022-0531(74)90062-3.
- [13] B. Y. Chen, "On Some Geometric Properties of h-Homogeneous Production Function in Microeconomics," Kragujevac J. Math., vol. 35, no. 3, pp. 343–357, June 2011.
- [14] M. Zakhirov, "Econometric and Geometric Analysis of Cobb-Douglas and CES Production Functions," ROMAI J., vol. 1, pp. 237–242, June 2005.
- [15] C. A. Ioan, "Applications of the Space Differential Geometry at the Study of Production Functions," EuroEconomica, vol. 18, pp. 30–38, June 2007.
- [16] A. D. Vilcu and G. E. Vilcu, "On Some Geometric Properties of the Generalized CES Production Functions," Appl. Math. Comput., vol. 218, pp. 124–129, Sep. 2011, doi 10.1016/j.amc.2011.05.061.
- [17] B. Y. Chen, "On Some Geometric Properties of Quasi-Sum Production Models," J. Math. Anal. Appl., vol. 392, no. 2, pp. 192– 199, Aug. 2012, doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2012.03.011.
- [18] B. Y. Chen, "Geometry of Quasi-Sum Production Functions with Constant Elasticity of Substitution Property," J. Adv. Math. Stud., vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 90–97, June 2012.
- [19] B. Y. Chen, "Classification of Homothetic Functions with Constant Elasticity of Substitution and Its Geometric Applications," Int. Electron. J. Geom., vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 67–78, Oct. 2012.
- [20] B. Y. Chen, "An Explicit Formula of Hessian Determinants of Composite Functions and Its Applications," Kragujevac J. Math., vol. 36, pp. 1–14, June 2012.
- [21] B. Y. Chen, "Solutions to Homogeneous Monge-Ampere Equations of Homothetic Functions and Their Applications to Production Models in Economics," J. Math. Anal. Appl., vol. 411, pp. 223–229, Mar. 2014, doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2013.09.029.
- [22] B. Y. Chen and G. E. Vilcu, "Geometric Classifications of Homogeneous Production Functions," Appl. Math. Comput., vol. 225, pp. 345–351, Dec. 2013, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2013.09.052.
- [23] B. Y. Chen, S. Decu, and L. Verstraelen, "Notes on Isotropic Geometry of Production Models," Kragujevac J. Math., vol. 38, pp. 23–33, June 2014.
- [24] B. Y. Chen, A. D. Vilcu, and G. E. Vilcu, "Classification of Graph Surfaces Induced by Weighted-Homogeneous Functions Exhibiting Vanishing Gaussian Curvature," Mediterr. J. Math., vol. 19, no. 162, June 2022, doi.org/10.1007/s00009-022-02106-2.
- [25] H. Alodan, B. Y. Chen, S. Deshmukh, and G. E. Vilcu, "On Some Geometric Properties of Quasi-Product Production Models," J. Math. Anal. Appl., vol. 474, pp. 693–711, June 2019, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2019.01.072.
- [26] H. Alodan, B. Y. Chen, S. Deshmukh, and G. E. Vilcu, "Solution of the System of Nonlinear PDEs Characterizing CES Property under Quasi-Homogeneity Conditions," Adv. Differ. Equ., vol. 257, May 2021, doi.org/10.1186/s13662-021-03417-6.
- [27] M. E. Aydın and M. Ergüt, "Homothetic Functions with Allen's Perspective and Its Geometric Applications," Kragujevac J. Math., vol. 38, pp. 185–194, June 2014.
- [28] M. E. Aydın and A. Mihai, "Classification of Quasi-Sum Production Functions with Allen Determinants," Filomat, vol. 29, pp. 1351–1359, June 2015.

- [29] M. E. Aydın and A. Mihai, "Translation Hypersurfaces and Tzitzeica Translation Hypersurfaces of the Euclidean Space," Proc. Rom. Acad. Series A, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 477–483, Oct.-Dec. 2015.
- [30] E. Yılmaz, M. E., Aydın, and T. Gülşen, "A Certain Class of Surfaces on Product Time Scales with Interpretations from Economics," Filomat, vol. 32, no. 15, pp. 5297–5306, Dec. 2018.
- [31] X. Wang and Y. Fu, "Some Characterizations of the Cobb-Douglas and CES Production Functions in Microeconomics," Abstr. Appl. Anal., Dec. (2013), Art. ID 761832, 6 pages, doi.org/10.1155/2013/761832.
- [32] Y. Fu and W. G. Wang, "Geometric Characterizations of Quasi-Product Production Models in Economics," Filomat, vol. 31, no. 6, pp. 1601–1609, June 2017, doi.org 10.2298/FIL1706601F.
- [33] B. Y. Chen, Pseudo-Riemannian Geometry, δ-Invariants and Applications. NJ Hackensack: World Scientific Ltd., 2011.
- [34] J. Weingarten, "Ueber eine Klasse auf Einander Abwickelbarer Flachen," J. Reine Angew. Math., vol. 59, pp. 382–393, Dec. 1861.
- [35] A. P. Barreto, F. Fontenele and L. Hartmann, "Rotational Surfaces with Second Fundamental Form of Constant Length," arXiv:1812.08676v1 [math.DG], Dec. 2018.
- [36] F. Casorati, "Mesure de la Courbure des Surfaces Suivant l'idee Commune. Ses Rapports Avec les Mesures de Courbure Gaussienne et Moyenne," Acta Math., vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 95–110, June 1890.
- [37] N. D. Brubaker, J. Camero, O. R. Rocha, and B. D. Suceava, "A Ladder of Curvatures in the Geometry of Surfaces," Int. Electron. J. Geom., vol. 11, pp. 28–33, Dec. 2018.
- [38] L. Verstraelen, "Geometry of Submanifolds I. The First Casorati Curvature Indicatrices," Kragujevac J. Math., vol. 37, pp. 5– 23, June 2013.
- [39] C. W. Cobb and P. H. Douglas, "A Theory of Production," Am. Econ. Rev., vol. 18, pp. 139–165, Mar. 1928.
- [40] K. J. Arrow, H. B. Chenery, B. S. Minhas, and R. M. Solow, "Capital-Labor Substitution and Economic Efficiency," Rev. Econ. Stat., vol. 43 no. 3, pp. 225–250, Aug. 1961.