

Nitric oxide therapy in COVID-19 patients with acute respiratory distress in intensive care unit

İ Fatih Seğmen, İ Duygu Kayar Çalılı, İ Güray Alp, İ Demet Bölükbaşı, İ Hülya Deniz Mısıır, İ Elmas Uysal, İ Nalan Demir, İ Deniz Erdem

Department of Intensive Care, Ankara Bilkent City Hospital, Ankara, Turkey

Cite this article as: Seğmen F, Kayar Çalılı D, Alp G, et al. Nitric oxide therapy in COVID-19 patients with acute respiratory distress in intensive care unit. *Anatolian Curr Med J.* 2023;5(4):339-344.

Received: 20.06.2023

Accepted: 17.08.2023

Published: 27.10.2023

ABSTRACT

Aims: The administration of inhaled nitric oxide (iNO) is a promising and new approach to treat viral load while increasing oxygenation directly. This research aimed to elucidate the clinical and laboratory response to the treatment of the patients diagnosed with Coronavirus disease-19 (COVID-19) in the intensive care unit (ICU) and followed up due to respiratory failure and given iNO.

Methods: A total of 46 individuals who were diagnosed with COVID-19 and developed severe respiratory failure were followed up with or without intubation, had previously received standard care were evaluated within the study's scope. iNO initiation time in the ICU, whether the patients were intubated, clinical and laboratory parameters before and after iNO treatment were obtained from hospital records.

Results: A statistically significant difference has been achieved in arterial partial pressure of oxygen (PaO₂), peripheral oxygen saturation (SpO₂), and the ratio of arterial partial pressure of oxygen to fraction of inspired oxygen (PaO₂/FiO₂) ratios before and after iNO (p<0.05). While significant differences were observed in oxygenation with iNO treatment, no significant differences were observed in other parameters. When iNO onset times were evaluated, it was determined that the initiation time of iNO treatment was significantly later in patients who died. The relationship between the duration of mechanical ventilation, the duration of stay in the ICU, and the onset of iNO was a statistically significant relationship between all measurements (p<0.05).

Conclusion: iNO has been suggested as an alternative rescue method before invasive treatment in guidelines, especially for the relief of hypoxemia. However, the effective dose and safety of iNO is still not clear.

Keywords: Nitric oxide, inhaled nitric oxide, COVID-19, acute respiratory distress syndrome, intensive care unit

INTRODUCTION

COVID-19, caused by the SARS-CoV-2 virus, is a worldwide pandemic described clinically as viral pneumonia. While some COVID-19 patients have a mild course, like a cold, many may develop acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), which progresses to more severe lung damage and requires intensive care admission. The coronavirus epidemic; constitutes an international public health emergency. COVID-19 pandemic has caused an intense loss of human life worldwide and presents an extraordinary challenge to public health systems and the world economy. It created an abnormal burden on health workers and was very emotionally worn out.¹

ARDS is a frightening complication in COVID-19 patients.² Unlike ARDS, the main mechanism is due to the ACE-2 receptor. The ACE-2 receptor is the key receptor that binds with the SARS-CoV-2 protein. ACE-

2 plays an important role in the progression of ARDS. Binding to the cell surface, SARS-CoV-2 suppresses ACE-2 expression. As a result of the decreased ACE-2 level, the conversion of angiotensin 2 to angiotensin 1 is reduced, and unopposed Angiotensin 2 dominance occurs. Undesirable effects of increased angiotensin 2, such as pulmonary vasoconstriction, increased vascular permeability, pulmonary edema in hypoxic conditions, inflammatory cytokine release in the lung, and increased apoptosis, can be achieved.^{3,4}

In the pathogenesis of COVID-associated ARDS or, in other words, CARDS, pro-inflammatory cytokines are first released from monocytes, and pneumocyte apoptosis is induced. Other cytokines are released from monocytes, increasing capillary permeability, and neutrophil migration begins. Alveolo-capillary membrane destruction is

Corresponding Author: Fatih Seğmen, drseghmen@gmail.com



initiated via neutrophils. As a result, protein-rich interstitial and alveolar edema occurs.⁵ Pathophysiologically, this condition resembles the typical ARDS, however, there are some differences between typical ARDS and CARDS. Typical Berlin criteria defined ARDS onset time as 'new or worsening respiratory distress occurring within the first week.⁶ However, studies have shown that the onset time of ARDS in COVID-19 is later than this period.⁷

In a retrospective study conducted on 191 patients in China, the mean ARDS onset time was reported as approximately day 12.7. CARDS have worse outcomes than ARDS from other causes. The mortality of typical ARDS in the ICU is 35%, and hospital mortality is 40%. However, mortality ranges between 26% to 61.5% in COVID-19 patients admitted to ICU due to ARDS, while the mortality rate in mechanically ventilated patients could vary between 65.7% and 94%.⁸ Risk factors for poor outcomes include the presence of comorbidities such as advanced age, hypertension, cardiovascular disease, chronic renal failure, diabetes mellitus, low lymphocyte counts, and high D-dimer levels.⁹

The use of iNO in ARDS has been suggested in the literature. Since iNO does not contribute to survival, its routine use in ARDS is not recommended.¹⁰ However, it can be used in severe respiratory failure that does not respond despite the use of advanced ventilation techniques.¹¹ It is advised to utilize iNO in cases of severe hypoxemia brought on by CARDS because of its good effect on oxygenation in the literature.^{12,13} One study showed that iNO temporarily improved oxygenation and reduced the rate of severe respiratory failure, but did not reduce mortality or length of stay in the ICU or hospital.¹⁴ The aim of this research was to elucidate the clinical and laboratory response to the treatment of the patients who were diagnosed with COVID-19 in the ICU and followed up due to respiratory failure and given iNO.

METHODS

A total of 46 patients hospitalized in the ICU due to ARDS with a confirmed diagnosis of COVID-19 between 01.03.2020 to 01.03.2022 and treated with iNO have been enrolled in this retrospective analysis. All procedures followed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible committee on human experimentation (institutional and national) and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2008. As this was a retrospective analysis, informed consent was not mandatory from enrolled patients. Informed consent was obtained from all participants. The study was carried out with the permission of Ankara City Hospital No:1 Clinical Researches Ethics Committee (Date: 17.08.2022 Decision No: E1-22-2747).

Individuals diagnosed with COVID-19 and developed severe respiratory failure were followed up with or without intubation and had previously administered treatments according to guidelines were evaluated within the scope of the study. Gender, age, comorbidity, intensive care scores (SOFA, APACHE), and length of stay in the ICU were recorded. After the diagnosis of COVID-19, the onset time of iNO and whether the patients were intubated were obtained from hospital records. The dose of iNO given continuously to intubated and non-intubated patients was 20 ppm. Demographic data were collected together with the treatment regimens. Ferritin, interleukin-6 (IL-6), C-reactive protein (CRP), procalcitonin (PCT), D-dimer, fibrinogen, troponin, urea, creatinine, alanine transaminase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) on the day before iNO administration and three days after iNO administration, lymphocyte count, neutrophil count, platelet count, lactate, oxygen values (PaO₂, SpO₂, PaCO₂, FiO₂/PaO₂) were investigated.

Statistical Analysis

Patient data collected within the scope of the study were analyzed with the IBM Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) for Windows 23.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY) package program.

Frequency and percentage were given for categorical data and median, minimum, and maximum descriptive values for continuous data. "Mann Whitney U Test" was used for comparisons between groups, "The Friedman Test" for the comparison of measurement values, "Fisher's Exact Test" for the comparison of categorical variables, and "The Spearman Correlation Analysis" for the evaluation of the relationship between continuous data.

Correlation coefficient (The fact that it is between 0.90 and 1.00 indicates that the relationship between the two variables is very strong.)

- Weak in terms of being between 0.00 - 0.29,
- Between 0.30 and 0.49, the relationship between the two variables is low,
- Between 0.50 and 0.69, the relationship between the two variables is medium,
- Between 0.70 and 0.89, the relationship between the two variables is strong.

The results were considered statistically significant when the p-value was less than 0.05.

RESULTS

Within the scope of the study, 46 patients, 30.4% (n=14) female and 69.6% (n=32) male, were evaluated. The ages of the patients ranged from 20 to 80 years, with a mean age of 55 years (**Table 1**).

Table 1. Distribution of demographic and clinical findings of the patients

| Characteristics (n=46) | n (%) or Median (Min - Max) |
|--|-----------------------------|
| Age, years | 55 (20-80) |
| Gender | |
| Female | 14 (30.4) |
| Male | 32 (69.6) |
| BMI (kg/m ²) | 26,5 (17-35) |
| Comorbid disease | 36 (78.3) |
| Hypertension | 24 (52.2) |
| DM | 16 (34.8) |
| CAD | 3 (6.5) |
| COPD | 5 (10.9) |
| Malignity | 3 (6.5) |
| Heart failure | 4 (8.7) |
| Immune deficiency | 3 (6.5) |
| Pulmonary emboli | 2 (4.3) |
| Transplantation | 4 (8.7) |
| Renal failure | 2 (4.3) |
| Other | 6 (13) |
| Epilepsy | 1 (2.2) |
| Pregnancy | 1 (2.2) |
| Hyperthyroidism | 1 (2.2) |
| Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis | 1 (2.2) |
| Obesity | 1 (2.2) |
| Cerebrovascular disease | 1 (2.2) |
| Computerized tomography CORADS | |
| Low | 2 (4.3) |
| Suspicious | 18 (39.1) |
| High | 25 (54.3) |
| Extremely high | 1 (2.2) |
| Apache - 2 Scores | 18 (6-43) |
| SOFA scores | 4 (2-12) |
| Intubation | 44 (95.7) |
| Day of intubation | 5,5 (1-50) |
| Duration of mechanical ventilation, day | 19 (0-89) |
| Length of ICU stay, days | 22.5 (2-97) |
| Nitric oxide initiation time (when positive), days | 16 (3-68) |
| Nitric oxide initiation time (after ICU entry), days | 9.5 (1-57) |
| Nitric oxide delivery time | 3 (1-16) |
| Vasopressor/inotrope requirement before nitric oxide | 12 (26.1) |
| Need for vasopressor/inotrope after nitric oxide | 32 (69.6) |
| Sepsis before nitric oxide | 10 (21.7) |
| Cytokine filter | 14 (30.4) |
| ECMO | 5 (10.9) |
| ARF | 14 (30.4) |
| Dialysis | 9 (19.6) |
| Mortality | 39 (84.8) |
| Mortality (first month) | 22 (47.8) |
| Cause of death | |
| Multiple organ failure | 2 (5.1) |
| Septic shock | 34 (87.2) |
| Shortness of breath | 3 (7.7) |
| Tracheostomy | 6 (13) |
| PNX | 9 (19.6) |

There was at least one comorbid disease in 78.3% (n=36) of the patients. The most common comorbid disease was hypertension with 52.2% (n=24). While the mortality rate of the patients in the first month was 47.8% (n=22), it was determined that the mortality rate was 84.8% (n=39) during the study period (**Table 1**).

The distribution of laboratory parameters of the patients included in the evaluation before iNO, on the first, second and third days after iNO treatment were elaborated in **Table 2**. When the table was examined, it was observed that there was a statistically significant difference in the ratios of PaO₂, SpO₂ and FiO₂/PaO₂, which are laboratory parameters measured before and after iNO (p<0.05).

The distribution of the relationship between the duration of mechanical ventilation, the duration of stay in the ICU, and the onset of İno was denoted in **Table 3**. When the table was examined, it was seen that there was a statistically significant relationship between all measurements (p<0.05).

Table 3. Distribution of the relationship between mechanical ventilation time, intensive care unit stay, and nitric oxide onset time

| | | Duration of MV | Duration of stay in the ICU | NO initiation time |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| Duration of MV | Correlation coefficient p-value | 1.000 | 0.855 | 0.542 |
| | | - | <0.001 | <0.001 |
| Duration of stay in the ICU | Correlation coefficient p-value | 0.855 | 1.000 | 0.655 |
| | | <0.001 | - | <0.001 |
| NO initiation time | Correlation coefficient p-value | 0.542 | 0.655 | 1.000 |
| | | <0.001 | <0.001 | - |

The distribution of the relationship between the intubation status of the patients before or after iNO usage and their final status was given in **Table 4**. When the table was examined, 81.8% (n=18) of the patients intubated before iNO died, while 95.5% (n=21) were intubated after iNO deceased. This relationship between the two groups was not statistically significant (p<0.05).

Table 4. Distribution of the relationship between mechanical ventilation time, intensive care unit stay, and nitric oxide onset time

| | | Mortality | | p-value |
|-----------------|--------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| | | Survived | Deceased | |
| Intubation Time | Before | 4 (18.2) | 18 (81.8) | 0.345 |
| | After | 1 (4.5) | 21 (95.5) | |

Table 2. Distribution of laboratory parameters of the patients before and after nitric oxide

| Laboratory Parameters | Before NO | NO (Day 1) | NO (Day 2) | NO (Day 3) | p-value |
|------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|---------|
| | Median (Min-Max) | Median (Min-Max) | Median (Min-Max) | Median (Min-Max) | |
| IL-6 | 27,2 (4,6-5500) | 28.2 (3.1-5500) | 22.5 (2.8-5500) | 18.9 (2,2-374) | 0.362 |
| CRP | 0,09 (0,01-0,33) | 0.07 (0.01-0.38) | 0.08 (0.01-0.21) | 0.07 (0.01-0.34) | 0.208 |
| Lymphocyte | 0,5 (0,1-2,1) | 0.5 (0.1-2.4) | 0.5 (0.1-3.6) | 0.5 (0.1-2.2) | 0.241 |
| Ferritin | 865,5 (47-11371) | 903.5 (66-14278) | 805 (47-43078) | 750.5 (62-7255) | 0.740 |
| Procalcitonin | 0,2 (0-23,9) | 0.3 (0-229.9) | 0.2 (0-40) | 0.3 (0-18.9) | 0.508 |
| D-Dimer | 4 (0,3-36,6) | 4.2 (0.5-55) | 4 (0.2-35.2) | 3.3 (0.3-35.2) | 0.806 |
| pO ₂ | 52,4 (47-70) | 68.1 (50-101) | 71 (50-98) | 68.6 (49-87.1) | <0.001 |
| sO ₂ | 80 (66-92) | 91 (76-98) | 92 (78-98) | 91 (73-99) | <0.001 |
| pCO ₂ | 45,2 (22-119) | 47 (26.9-284) | 48.1 (25-98) | 49.3 (29.8-103) | 0.979 |
| Ph | 7,4 (6,8-7,5) | 7.4 (6.9-7.6) | 7.4 (7.1-7.449) | 7.4 (6.9-7.6) | 0.975 |
| Laktat | 1,7 (0,6-12,1) | 2 (0.6-11.8) | 1.7 (0.8-12.4) | 1.7 (0.8-16.4) | 0.546 |
| LDH | 567,5 (205-8291) | 600.5 (246-8291) | 531 (2-3088) | 533 (223-3384) | 0.850 |
| AST | 44,5 (17-9265) | 48.5 (16-9265) | 46 (14-1321) | 49 (14-1828) | 0.065 |
| ALT | 49 (8-3168) | 50 (11-3168) | 46 (8-601) | 47.5 (12-1577) | 0.523 |
| PaO ₂ /FiO ₂ | 52 (50-71) | 75.5 (50-118) | 90 (55-120) | 79 (50-156) | <0.001 |

DISCUSSION

In 1987, it was understood that nitric oxide (NO) was the substance known as an “endothelium-derived relaxing factor,” which was known to be present in exhaust gas and cigarette smoke and has been positioned as an element of air pollution.¹⁵ NO is a highly lipophilic molecule that can easily cross membranes. As a result of a series of reactions catalyzed by nitric oxide synthesizing enzyme (NOS), L-arginine is converted to L-citrulline, and NO.¹⁶ NOS-II or iNOS is present in the respiratory epithelium and various other cells.¹⁷⁻¹⁹

The most important finding of ARDS is severe hypoxemia resulting from physiological shunt and ventilation/perfusion (V/Q) imbalance. Inhaled vasodilators such as iNO and prostacyclin increase oxygenation by vasodilation, especially in well-ventilated vessels, and improve V/Q imbalance. iNO is consistently used in the treatment of hypoxic respiratory failure at doses of 1.25-40 ppm.²⁰ However, in case of interruption or abrupt discontinuation of treatment, it causes serious deterioration in oxygenation and a sudden increase in pulmonary artery pressure. This research found a statistically significant difference in PaO₂, SpO₂, and FiO₂/PaO₂ ratios obtained before and after iNO utilization (p<0.05).

The most important features of iNO are selective pulmonary vasodilation, correcting hypoxia, and reducing elevated pulmonary artery pressure by reducing pulmonary vascular resistance. Thanks to its selective

vasodilation feature, iNO is a valuable treatment method as a salvage treatment method in all types of pulmonary hypertension and in cases of severe respiratory failure with resistant hypoxia, which is difficult to treat with conventional treatments. Anti-viral drugs currently available to clinicians have little or no effect on mortality, length of hospital stay, need for mechanical ventilation, or long-term effects.¹⁸ In our study, there is not enough data on this subject, since we did not have our patients undergo echocardiography due to pandemic conditions.

The high mortality rates in COVID-19 patients requiring mechanical ventilation are prompting clinicians and scientists to seek new technologies and pharmacological interventions that can improve outcomes. Researchers and clinicians consider iNO therapy promising for patients with COVID-19 and respiratory failure,²¹ 13 supported by in vitro research from Akaberi et al.²² In 2003, during the SARS epidemic in China, a small observational study of patients with SARS pneumonia receiving non-invasive support, biphasic positive airway pressure (BiPAP), were treated with iNO improved oxygenation, accelerated the resolution of chest X-ray infiltrates, reduced the need for intubation, and led to a more rapid and sustained ARDS resolution and improved overall clinical outcomes.²³

About 30% of patients with severe CARDS in healthcare practice have received iNO as a life-saving therapy.^{24,25} However, the results of published randomized trials and clinical observations are highly controversial. Small cohort studies have not significantly improved oxygenation and

clinical outcomes with iNO therapy.²⁶ On the other hand, the frequency of responders ranges from 25% to 40% with a tendency of a more pronounced effect on gas exchange in patients with right ventricular dysfunction. The percentage of iNO responders is much lower than in patients with non-CARDS.²⁷ Our observations were that oxygenation improved in patients using iNO.

A retrospective observational study showed that iNO was useful in improving oxygenation in spontaneously breathing patients with COVID-19 pneumonia.¹⁴ High-dose iNO (160 ppm) was safely administered to pregnant women with severe COVID-19 pneumonia and as a rescue therapy to spontaneously breathing patients with COVID-19 and hypoxemic respiratory failure.^{28,29} A recent trial of non-invasively treating patients with moderate COVID-19 hypoxia demonstrated that iNO-therapy produced an acute improvement of systemic oxygenation in hypoxemic patients and reduced the respiratory rate.³⁰ In our study, 20 ppm iNO was used.

Preliminary data support the iNO-mediated improvement of oxygenation in mechanically ventilated patients and spontaneously breathing patients with COVID-19.³¹ Another strategy for iNO administration in COVID-19 involves the potential for selective pulmonary vasodilation to optimize V/Q matching by reducing pulmonary vascular resistance and decreasing alveolar dead space. In this research, we found a statistically significant relationship as positively correlated in all parameters when we examined the relationship between the duration of mechanical ventilation, the duration of stay in the ICU, and the onset of iNO. The reason for this relationship is due to the known hypoxemia correction mechanism of iNO and less complications related to hypoxemia.

The study of iNO treatment in spontaneously breathing COVID-19 patients demonstrates not only an increase in oxygenation in all patients, but also provides evidence that iNO therapy may have a role in preventing the progression of hypoxemic respiratory failure.¹⁴ In this study, when the living and deceased patients were examined, it was seen that whether iNO was given before and after intubation did not affect mortality in both groups.

iNO has been suggested as an alternative rescue method before invasive treatment, especially for the relief of hypoxemia. However, according to recent clinical trials in Italy, iNO appears unable to reverse oxygenation in patients with extensive mechanical ventilation who have developed persistent hypoxemia.^{26,27} Therapeutic doses for COVID-19 patients range from 20 to 300 ppm. Only a few studies have examined the safety and efficacy of 80, 150, and 160 ppm iNO. The results of these studies have yet to be published.²⁵

CONCLUSION

iNO can be used as a rescue therapy in patients who develop severe respiratory failure due to COVID-19 and do not respond to all recommended treatments, since it corrects hypoxemia. The therapeutic effects of iNO in COVID-19 and the safe and effective dose for iNO are still unclear. This therapy could pave the way for better management of COVID-19 before the onset of disease-related complications. However, due to the small number of patients in our study, it is not possible to reach a definite conclusion. Due to this situation, which led to the limitation of our study, it should be supported by studies with large sample size.

Abbreviations

ACE: Angiotension converting enzyme, ARDS: Acute Respiratory distress syndrome, ARF: Acute renal failure, BMI: Body mass index, CAD: Coronary artery disease, COPD: Chronic pulmonary obstructive disease, DM: Diabetes mellitus, ECMO: Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation, ICU: Intensive care unit, iNO: Inhaled nitric oxide, LDH: Lactate dehydrogenase, MV: Mechanical ventilation, NO: Nitric oxide, RT-PCR: Real Time polymerase chain reaction, SPSS: Statistical package for the social sciences

ETHICAL DECLARATIONS

Ethics Committee Approval: The study was carried out with the permission of Ankara City Hospital No:1 Clinical Researches Ethics Committee (Date: 17.08.2022 Decision No: E1-22-2747).

Informed Consent: Because the study was designed retrospectively, no written informed consent form was obtained from patients.

Referee Evaluation Process: Externally peer-reviewed.

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Financial Disclosure: The authors declared that this study has received no financial support.

Author Contributions: All of the authors declare that they have all participated in the design, execution, and analysis of the paper and that they have approved the final version.

REFERENCES

1. Erdogan C, Kilinc M, Tanriverdi SY, Sungurtekin H. Spontaneous pneumothorax and pneumomediastinum in COVID-19 pneumonia. *J Crit Intens Care.* 2022;13(2):79-83.
2. Zhang X, Li S, Niu S. ACE2 and COVID-19 and the resulting ARDS. *Postgrad Med J.* 2020; 96:403-407
3. Xu Z, Shi L, Wang Y, et al. Pathological findings of COVID-19 associated with acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Lancet Respir Med.* 2020;8:420-422.

4. Dalan R, Bornstein SR, El-Armouche A, et al. The ACE-2 in COVID-19: foe or friend? *Horm Metab Res.* 2020;52(5):257-263.
5. Batah SS, Fabro AT. Pulmonary pathology of ARDS in COVID-19: a pathological review for clinicians. *Respir Med.* 2021;176:106239.
6. Force A.D.T. Acute respiratory distress syndrome: the Berlin Definition. *J Am Med Assoc.* 2012;307(23):2526-2533.
7. Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet.* 2020;28:1054-1062
8. Bellani G, Laffey JG, Pham T, et al. Epidemiology, patterns of care, and mortality for patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome in intensive care units in 50 countries. *JAMA.* 2016; 315:788-800.
9. Wu C, Chen X, Cai Y, et al. Risk factors associated with acute respiratory distress syndrome and death in patients with coronavirus disease 2019 pneumonia in Wuhan, China. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2020;1;180(7):934-943.
10. Gebistorf F, Karam O, Wetterslev J, Afshari A. Inhaled nitric oxide for acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) in children and adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2016;6:CD002787.
11. Papazian L, Aubron C, Brochard L, et al. Formal guidelines: management of acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Ann Intensive Care.* 2019;9:69.
12. Feng W-X, Yang Y, Wen J, Liu Y-X, Liu L, Feng C. Implication of inhaled nitric oxide for the treatment of critically ill COVID-19 patients with pulmonary hypertension. *ESC Heart Fail.* 2021;8:714-718.
13. Kobayashi J, Murata I. Nitric oxide inhalation as an interventional rescue therapy for COVID-19-induced acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Ann Intensive Care.* 2020;10:61.
14. Parikh R, Wilson C, Weinberg J, Gavin D, Murphy J, Reardon CC. Inhaled nitric oxide treatment in spontaneously breathing COVID-19 patients. *Ther Adv Respir Dis.* 2020;14:1753466620933510.
15. Palmer RMJ, Ferrige AG, Moncada S. Nitric oxide release accounts for the biological activity of endothelium-derived relaxing factor. *Nature.* 1987;327:524-526.
16. Ignarro LJ, Buga GM, Wood KS, R E Byrns, G Chaudhuri. Endothelium-derived relaxing factor produced and released from artery and vein is nitric oxide. *Proc Natl Acad Sci.* 1987;84:9265-9269.
17. Ozkan M, Dweik R. Nitric oxide and airway reactivity. *Clin Pulm Med.* 2001;8:199-206.
18. Marsden PA, Heng HHQ, Scherer SW et al. Structure and chromosomal localization of the human constitutive endothelial nitric oxidesynthase gene. *J Biol Chem.* 1993;268(17):478-488.
19. Chartrain NA, Geller DA, Koty PP et al. Molecular cloning, structure, and chromosomal localization of the human inducible nitric oxidesynthase gene. *J Biol Chem.* 1994;269:6765-6572.
20. Dellinger RP, Zimmerman JL, Taylor RW, et al. Effects of inhaled nitric oxide in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome: results of a randomized phase II trial. Inhaled Nitric Oxide in ARDS Study Group. *Crit Care Med.* 1998;26:15-23.
21. Ignarro L. Inhaled nitric oxide and COVID-19. *Br J Pharmacol.* 2020;177:3848-3849.
22. Akaberi D, Krambrich J, Ling J, et al. Mitigation of the replication of SARS-CoV-2 by nitric oxide in vitro. *Redox Biol.* 2020; 37:101734
23. Chen L, Liu P, Gao H, et al. Inhalation of nitric oxide in the treatment of severe acute respiratory syndrome: a rescue trial in Beijing. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2004;39:1531-1535.
24. Barbaro R.P, MacLaren G, Boonstra P.S, et al. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation support in COVID-19: an international cohort study of the Extracorporeal Life Support Organization registry. *Lancet.* 2020;396:1071-1078.
25. Falcoz, P.E, Monnier A, Puyraveau M, et al. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for critically ill patients with COVID-19-related acute respiratory distress syndrome: worth the effort. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2020;202:460-463.
26. Ferrari M, Santini A, Protti A, et al. Inhaled nitric oxide in mechanically ventilated patients with COVID-19. *J Crit Care.* 2020;60:159-160.
27. Tavazzi G, Pozzi M, Mongodi S, Dammassa V, Romito G, Mojoli F. Inhaled nitric oxide in patients admitted to intensive care unit with COVID-19 pneumonia. *Crit Care.* 2020;24:508.
28. Fakhr B.S, Wiegand S.B, Pinciroli R, et al. High concentrations of nitric oxide inhalation therapy in pregnant patients with severe coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). *Obstet Gynecol.* 2020;136:1109-1113.
29. Wiegand SB, Fakhr BS, Carroll RW, Zapol WM, Kacmarek RM, Berra L. Rescue treatment with high-dose gaseous nitric oxide in spontaneously breathing patients with severe coronavirus disease 2019. *Crit Care Explor.* 2020;2:e0277.
30. Fakhr B.S, Di Fenza R, Gianni S, et al. Inhaled high dose nitric oxide is a safe and effective respiratory treatment in spontaneous breathing hospitalized patients with COVID-19 pneumonia. *Nitric Oxide.* 2021;116:7-13.
31. Ziehr D.R, Alladina J, Wolf M.E, et al. Respiratory physiology of prone positioning with and without inhaled nitric oxide across the coronavirus disease 2019 acute respiratory distress syndrome severity spectrum. *Crit Care Explor.* 2021;3:e0471.