

## Use of antitranspirant in maize cultivation as a potential novel approach to combat drought stress in the wake of climate change. A systematic review

Yamıkam Willie NTAILA 

### Article Info

\*Corresponding author:

e-mail: [ntailay13@gmail.com](mailto:ntailay13@gmail.com)

**Institution:** <sup>1</sup> University of Malawi, School of Natural and Applied Sciences, Department of Biological Sciences

### Article history

Received: 30/06/2023

Accepted: September 16/09/2023

Available online: 01/10/2023

### Keywords:

Drought stress, Exogenous application, Gas exchange attributes, Antitranspirants

**How to Cite:** Y. Ntaila, "Use of anti-transpirant in maize cultivation as a potential novel approach to combat drought stress in the wake of climate change. A systematic review", *Environmental Toxicology and Ecology*, c. 3, sayı. 2, ss. 68-89., 2023.

DOI: [10.59838/etoxec.1321043](https://doi.org/10.59838/etoxec.1321043)

### ABSTRACT

The maize crop is highly dependent on rainfall and it is sensitive to drought. However, the planet is experiencing frequent droughts due to climate change which is adversely impacting on the food production. It is crucial that the agricultural sector is adapted to the negative consequences of climate change. The antitranspirants which reduce the water loss through transpiration could be potential novel approach to ameliorate the effects drought on rain fed maize cultivation in most of the countries around the globe. This review has analysed the effects of antitranspirants on the growth, yields, and pathogens and diseases that affect the maize plants and on environment. It has found that antitranspirants help to improve vegetative growth and biological yield of the maize plant by reducing the transpiration rate and improving water use efficiency of the plants. The review has found that chitosan and the fulvic acid have been extensively studied on maize as compared to other antitranspirants. Therefore, antitranspirants could be used to ameliorate the effects of drought on maize crops but there is need to do a cost benefit analysis on whether it is economically viable to use antitranspirants on food crops with low market value like maize. Di-1-p-menthene is reported to cost less money as such there is need to research on how this antitranspirant ameliorate the effects of water stress on maize. There is also a need to research on proper timing of the application of the antitranspirants to the maize plant under dress.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

*Zea mays* L., also known as maize, is the third most significant staple food crop in terms of global production [1, 2, 3]. According to Petrovi et al. [4], its global production hit 1.1 billion tons in 2019. However its yields are severely being reduced by extreme high average temperatures and low precipitation owing to climate change [5]. Climate change has a significant impact on agricultural production, particularly in poor nations where millions of people rely on rain-fed agricultural systems for their livelihoods [6]. The drop in seasonal precipitation contributes to an increase in evaporation and evapotranspiration, which coupled with rising temperatures cause crops to experience drought stress [7]. Drought stress has a significant impact on maize growth and productivity [8; 9, 10, 11]. Drought stress has a significant impact on maize growth

and productivity [8, 9, 10]. Numerous studies [11, 12, 8], report that drought alters significantly the morphology, physiology, and biochemistry in the crop plants. The cumulative rainfall amount in the growing season are becoming below average and temporally. The erratic distribution of rains are leading to a failure of the first plantings in several areas [8], resulting in a second round of plantings which is in most cases not feasible.

Adverse weather conditions are anticipated to occur more frequently because of climate change, making smallholder farmers who depend on rain-fed agriculture more vulnerable [13, 14, 15]. In order to save the poor's livelihoods, improve them, and ensure their food security, it is crucial that the agricultural sector should be adapted to the negative consequences of climate change [16, 17]. In order to adapt to a changing climate, several strategies are being encouraged. One crucial method of adaptability is altering farming practices [18]. Examples include adjusting planting times [19] and switching to drought resistant varieties [20, 21]; Diversification towards high value crops [22], integrating the use of climate forecasts into crop decisions [23, 24, 25], Deployment and use of new cultivars [26, 27], increasing irrigation use and diversifying regional agriculture [28, 29], adopting conservation agriculture, and using better soil and water management techniques [30, 31, 18]. According to El-Azm and Youssef [32], agriculture is the largest consumer of freshwater; nevertheless, crop growth and development only use around 5% of the water taken by roots, with the other 95% being transpired by the plant [33, 34, 35]. Therefore it is important to focus on increasing water efficiency by implementing novel agronomic practices that save water [36, 37]. In this respect, Bittelli et al. [38] and Iriti et al. [39] a study found that antitranspirants, substances applied to foliage to reduce water loss, can be used to combat the effects of sporadic or episodic drought situations on plants. Therefore, considering the significance of maize in food security and that its yields is usually hampered by droughts and dry spells, use of transpirant will be an option to combat the water stress that plants may experience as the countries continue to experience the drought stresses due to climate change.

### 1.1 Antitranspirants

Antitranspirants are chemicals or substances applied to plant leaves to reduce water loss (transpiration) without significantly altering different critical functions of the plant, like photosynthesis and development [40]. Antitranspirants are classified into three types depending on their mode of action [41]. They include the following; Film-forming antitranspirants, reflective anti-transpirants and stomata closing Antitranspirants [42]. The Film antitranspirants (AT) are water-emulsifiable polymers that provide a physically waterproof covering to seal stomata, hence minimizing water loss through transpiration [43]. It increases leaf resistance to the diffusion of water vapour [41]. Metabolic /Stomatal closing antitranspirants are chemicals that have hormone-like effects on guard cells, causing partial stomatal closure [42, 44]. Reflective antitranspirants are white polymers that coat the leaves and make them more reflective [45, 42]. Reflecting radiation lowers the vapour pressure gradient and consequently lessens transpiration.

**Table 1.** Classification of anti-transpirants and their some of their examples

Class of anti-transpirant	Examples
<i>Film-forming type</i>	Ethyl alcohol, di-1-p-menthene, Plantco (an acrylic emulsion), Dow X2-1337 (a silicon emulsion), Clearspray, Castor bean oil, Mobileaf (a wax emulsion), linseed oil
<i>Stomatal closing type</i>	Abscisic acid, fulvic, Chitosan, K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> , kaolin spray
<i>Reflective type</i>	Diatomaceous earth product (Celite), Hydrated lime, calcium, magnesium carbonate, carbonate, Zinc sulphate, Phenyl mercuric acetate (PMA)etc.

## 2. METHODOLOGY

This systematic review aims at presenting, and evaluating the effects of antitranspirants on *Zea mays*, and ascertaining the potential of antitranspirants in ameliorating the drought stress in maize in the wake of persistent dry spells as a result of climate change. This review summarizes and evaluates the findings of the research done from 2002 to mid-2023 on the effects of antitranspirants on maize growth, yields, pathogen, pest and diseases on maize, and the environment. Original research papers indexed in Google Scholar, Web of Science, Wiley, Elsevier, Springer and Science Direct were used. Effects of antitranspirants on the maize, on the pests, pathogens and diseases affecting maize and on the environment were also reviewed and analysed.

## 3. FINDINGS

### 3.1 Effects of drought stress on maize growth and yields

Maize crop is usually vulnerable to drought conditions [46]. According to Hussain et al. [47], the world's maize yield and production are declining by 15-20% year as a result of heat and drought. Drought stress has negative effects on maize crop from seedling establishment through vegetative stages to grain formation [48, 49]. The vegetative, silking, and grain-filling phases of maize are the most susceptible to drought stress which results into yield losses [50]. This is due to the delayed spikelet development which causes a larger anthesis-silking interval (ASI), silk senescence, and pollen abortion [51, 52, 53, 54]. It negatively affects the early crop establishment and grain yield potential because of the early tasseling that results in a protracted anthesis to silk interval, [55, 56]. It alters the distribution of carbohydrates in maize during the vegetative phase, slow down growth and extend the vegetative development phase [53, 57, 58, 59]. Maize plants that are under a lot of water stress initially respond by curling their leaves [60].

Water shortage prior to onset of anthesis causes tasseling and silking to be postponed by 1-2 and 2-3 days, respectively [61]. This delay in silking causes barrenness due to the exhaustion of the pollen supply before the silk emerges. [62, 56; 51]. Setter et al. (2001) found that 5 days of drought stress before pollination and the first few days after pollination reduces the kernel set in the apical ear regions. Water deficits during the grain-filling stage are deleterious because they limit transportation of photosynthetic products to the grain during the filling stage of maize [61, 63].

A substantial decrease was also recorded in gas exchange attributes which includes Water use efficiency (WUE), instantaneous water use efficiency (WUEi), stomatal conductance (gs), net photosynthetic rate (A), transpiration rate (E), and intercellular CO<sub>2</sub> (Ci) [64, 65, 66]. Stomata are the main sites for gaseous exchange and transpiration. Drought stress causes stomatal closure, which lowers transpiration and CO<sub>2</sub> absorption and ultimately lowers photosynthetic activity [67, 54, 68, 69]. According to Anjum et al. [59, 64], stomatal closure caused by decreased soil water content which results in less absorption of CO<sub>2</sub> absorption, may have contributed to drought-induced reductions in maize plant development, yield, and yield components.

Furthermore drought tends to affect the chlorophyll a and b activity [54] which eventually affect photosynthesis because photosynthetic pigments are utilized specifically for capturing light. It was studied that drought reduces the photosynthesis. Drought affects photo-system-II and photo-system I. During mild drought stress, photochemical activity of Photosystem II decreases from QA to PQH2. There is an inhibition of the electron transport chain from the donor side of photosystem II to the photosystem I-end electron acceptor which causes poor transport of electrons through the photo systems. When under stress from drought, Photosystem II is more impacted than Photosystem I [62] resulting in production of free high energy electrons in the leaf. This in turn causes photo-oxidation of chlorophyll and loss of photosynthetic activity [53]. Parthasarathi et al. [70] discovered that drought stress affects the thylakoid membrane, disrupts its processes, and eventually reduces photosynthesis and maize crop productivity. Drought stress ultimately led to a small kernel size. This is because drought causes the ear leaf's capacity for photosynthesis to significantly decrease thereby causing a substantial impact on how the ear and kernel develop as a photosynthesis factory in maize plants [67].

### 3.2 Effects of antitranspirants on growth parameters of maize under drought stress

Several studies have been carried out to find the effects of antitranspirants on characteristics of maize under drought stress. In a study conducted by Bayat & Sepehri [71] and Kamran et al. [72] found that application of Paclobutrazol (PBZ) and salicylic acid (SA) to maize under different irrigation regimes increase vegetative growth. Antitranspirants application of the salicylic acid, vapour guard, and kaolin clay also promoted growth of the maize crop in terms of number of leaves, leaf area, leaf area index, plant dry matter and crop growth [73,74]. Similar results were also observed when SA was administered exogenously, which led to an increase in plant height and flag leaf weight both fresh and dry [12]. Exogenous applications of ascorbic acid (AsA), salicylic acid (SA), and hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) increased the morphology characteristics of spring maize [75]. Guleria & Shweta [44] and Morsy & Mehanna [76] found that exogenous application of Kaolin antitranspirants on maize under water stress resulted in increase in the number of leaves and leaf area index (LAI). Similar findings were recorded by Gomaa et al. [77], Shedeed, [78] through application of potassium silicate on maize under water stress. In a study by Anjum et al. [64], exogenous application of Brassinolide (BR) improved plant height and leaf area.

Foliar application of chitosan in a study by Mondal, et al. [79] found that the growth of maize improved at early growth stages. A study conducted by Guan [80] by exogenously applying chitosan found that there was an increase in shoot height, root length, and the dry weights of the shoots and roots in both maize seedlings. However, the inhibition of seedling growth was observed upon foliar application of chitosan at a concentration of 0.16%. An increase in Cu content may be the cause of this growth retardation, which eventually led to a reduction in metabolic enzymes [81]. Similar inhibition effect was registered in a study by Nakasato, et al. [82] where it was observed that germination of maize seeds was inhibited when high concentrations of polymeric chitosan/tripolyphosphate on maize were used. On the other hand, Lizárraga-Paulín et al. [83] and Peña-Datoli et al. [84] found that chitosan coating on maize has no effect on seed emergence rate. Peña-Datoli et al. [84] attributed this to the simultaneous use of fertilizers and salicylic acid as well as the high concentration of the chitosan polymer applied. Furthermore, a study by Martins et al. [85], did not find any improvement in root length after applying chitosan. Khan [86] did not find any significant effect of foliar chitosan application on the height, root length, leaf area of the maize crop. Positive results were recorded in a study by Li et al. [87] whereby foliar FA treatment successfully increased root growth in low soil moisture conditions.

Shedeed [78] and Gomaa [88] also found that weekly applications of potassium silicate to the leaves of maize plants, applied at successively higher concentrations, improved plant size (height, stem diameter,

leaf area, and number of leaves per plant), as well as the fresh and dry weight of the leaves and stem. Similar findings were recorded by Kandi et al. [89], who discovered that foliar application of K-silicate three times resulted in the highest values of growth characteristics. The shoot and root lengths as well as their biomass were greatly increased by silicon priming of maize, especially at 6 mM [90].

### 3.3 Effects of antitranspirants on biological yield of maize under drought stress

The effects of the antitranspirants on the biological yields of maize under drought stress were reviewed. A salicylic acid (SA) spray applied exogenously has the potential to greatly increase the biological yield of maize. Number of the ears of maize increased [71,74]. Salicylic acid's stimulation of physiological processes may have contributed to the rise in yield in maize, which improved vegetative development and resulted in active translocation of photosynthetic products from source to sink [91, 92]. Similar findings by Shemi et al. [12] who registered higher cob yields under drought stress after administering SA.

Exogenous applications of ascorbic acid (AsA), salicylic acid (SA), and hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) increased the yield-related characteristics, and grain yield of spring maize [75]. Yang et al. [93] and Li, & Yu [87] found that FA foliar application under water deficit also resulted in a 19% rise in grain yield. The high grain yield was also registered with the application of foliar spray of kaolin coupled with mulching [94, 95, 96, 76]. However, foliar spray of the FA solution did not change the yield components and harvest index [93]. Anjum et al. [97] found that exogenous application of glycinebetaine (GB) boosted grain yield per plant by 12.94% and 10.68% for the Dongdan-60 and ND-95 cultivars, respectively, and increased the number of kernels per cob. Reduced osmotic potential brought on by net solute buildup in response to water stress may be responsible for GB-induced drought tolerance. The enhanced maize yield was significantly maintained in the fall after the application of chitosan to maize at a 500 (mg l<sup>-1</sup>) concentration under Ir70 [98]. Chitosan helps to accelerate cell growth and development, boosting the activity of essential enzymes in nitrogen metabolism, and promoting nitrogen transfer, it has a significant impact on plant growth and increases yield [79]. Similar findings were registered by Mondal, et al. [79] with chitosan concentrations of 100 and 125 ppm. This rise resulted from the nitrogen metabolism enzymes which were activated more frequently by the compound chitosan. These enzymes are crucial for plant growth and development.

Shedeed [78] and Gomaa [77] found that foliar application of K-silicate registered the highest values of grain yield and its components. Exogenous foliar application of Brassinolide (BR) significantly increased the plants' growth parameters, yield, and yield component in both drought-stressed and well-watered plants [64]. Growth stimulation could have arisen due to the translocation of the assimilates induced by the foliar BR application which increased grain yield, plant height, fresh and dry weight of the shoots, and higher yield components [99].

In a study by de Souza et al. [100] it was discovered that exogenous application of ABA resulted in an increase in weight of seeds (17.86) and yield of grain (10.45 %) for DKB 390 maize variety under stress. The increased activation of ABA on development of procambium in female inflorescences may be the cause of the maximum yield following ABA treatment [100]. With the help of this stimulus, more phloem can be created, enhancing the plant's ability to remobilize carbohydrates from leaves and stems into grains. Phloem production can increase, which improves the plant's ability to remobilize carbohydrates from leaves and stems and transfer them to grains [101]. A prolonged grain filling duration was encouraged by improvement in the water status and antioxidant defense provided by the application of ABA in 390 DKB [100]. Foliar spray of PBZ by Bayat, & Sepehri [71] on maize significantly decreased the grain yield and 1000-grain weight by 8.60 % and 8.64 % respectively.

### 3.4 Effects of antitranspirants on gas exchange attributes of maize under drought stress

The effects of antitranspirants on gas exchange were reviewed and the findings are presented in this section. The gas exchange attributes includes, photosynthetic rate, transpiration rate, stomatal conductance, and intercellular CO<sub>2</sub> concentration. According to Guleria & Shweta [44], using antitranspirants helps maize plants to use water more efficiently (WUE) by retaining more water in the leaves, accelerating photosynthesis, increasing chlorophyll content, and minimizing water and drought stress. The exogenous application of Brassinolide (BR) in a study by Anjum et al. [64] improved Net rate of photosynthesis, transpiration rate, stomatal conductance and intercellular CO<sub>2</sub> under drought. BR application improved photosynthesis (16.08 %), transpiration rate (11.55 %), and stomata conductance (6.52 %), water use efficiency WUE (30.44 %), and intercellular CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (3.12 %) under water-deficit conditions. The increase in photosynthesis caused by BR could be attributed to improvements in leaf water balance [64]. WUE is an important trait since it informs about ability of a plant to respond to water stress circumstances .

The maximum WUE values were obtained with foliar K-silicate spraying applied three times while requiring less watering [77]. Elshamly [102] found that Foliar applications of potassium silicate also registered an increase in water use efficiency in maize cultivars.. Foliar application of kaolin resulted in increase in water use efficiency [76]. Furthermore Yang et al. [93] and Kocięcka & Liberacki [103] revealed that spraying antitranspirants to maize plants resulted in an improvement in grain yield and WUE. In a study that was conducted by Ali & Ashraf [104] it was found revealed both maize cultivars' net photosynthetic rate and stomatal conductance and intercellular CO<sub>2</sub> under drought were raised by exogenously applying trehalose. The water use efficiency of maize cultivars under both water-stressed.

Elshamly [98] found that Foliar applications of chitosan with concentrations at 500 (mg l<sup>-1</sup>) had a significant effects to maintain water use efficiency. Positive responses relating to the high gas exchange were registered after Chitosan application [105, 86]. Veroneze-Júnior et al. [100] discovered that applying chitosan to the BRS 1030 maize variety following nine days without water increased photosynthesis but did not increase stomatal conductance , whereas applying chitosan to the drought-tolerant hybrid (DKB 390) increased both photosynthesis and stomatal conductance. The ability of chitosan to act as an antiperspirant in agriculture may be because when it is deposited in the cell wall, it promotes a decrease in stomatal conductance, raising the resistance of the leaf to water vapor loss and promoting the water consumption by plants to assimilate carbon, which in turn raises biomass production [105]. Anjum et al. [97] found that glycinebetaine (GB) application to maize cultivars improved gas exchange parameters under water deficit condition. GB improved net photosynthetic rate, transpiration rate, and stomatal conductance by 16.28%, 8.33%, and 21.60% respectively .The internal carbon dioxide concentration also improved by 2.32% in Dongdan-60 variety under drought.

In a study conducted by Anjum et al. [106] it was discovered that exogenous FA treatment maintained chlorophyll concentrations and gas exchange, which significantly lessened the effects of drought. Bi et al. [107] and Liao et al. [108] found that water use efficiency was improved significantly by 26.4% after application FA and soil superabsorbent hydrogel. Shemi et al. [12] recorded that all photosynthetic gas-exchange parameters—aside from the intercellular CO<sub>2</sub> concentration—were raised by SA, Zn, and GB foliar treatments .Fulvic acid (FA) foliar application on maize cultivars reduced Stomatal apertures and

transpiration rate in leaves while retaining higher levels of photosynthetic activity. This happens as a result of activation of ABA signaling pathway by FA which eventually reduces water loss by transpiration [109]. They also observed that there was no effect of FA on the carbon dioxide assimilation capacity of crops [109]. Yang et al. [93] found that FA and SAP application reduced the stomatal conductance and transpiration of maize which in turn increased the water use efficiency per leaf. Furthermore, Li & Yu [87] found that simultaneous application of SAP and the FA improved net photosynthetic rate, decreased transpiration, decreased the plant's water consumption capacity, and improved water usage efficiency. Abd El-Mageed et al., [110] discovered that exogenous Si administration boosted maize's ability to withstand water deficits by improving effectiveness of photosynthesis, stomatal conductance, and cell membrane integrity.

### 3.5. Effects of antitranspirants on pathogen, pest and disease control of maize

This section presents the findings of the review of papers on the effects of antitranspirants on pathogen, pests and disease control on maize.

In a study conducted by Kumaraswamy et al. [111] found that application of salicylic acid-chitosan nanoparticles (SA-CS NPs) on maize in the field controlled the post-flowering stalk rot (PFSR) disease by 59.4%. In a study by Monjane, et al. [112] it was found that foliar application of chitosan on maize as a pesticide improved the yield component of maize (6.0 t.ha<sup>-1</sup>). The high yield obtained by treating maize crops with chitosan reinforces the effect of this biopesticide in reducing infections which were observed. This suggests that the observed effect could be responsible for the protection of the plant against blight leaf disease and the corresponding high grain yield.

Choudhary et al. [113] found that application of Chitosan Nanoparticles (ChNPs) defended maize against *Curvularia* leaf spot disease. This was done by enhancing antioxidant activity and defense enzymes including phenylalanine ammonia-lyase and polyphenol oxidase. According to a study by Butt [114], the combination of chitosan and *C. pedicellata* extract most successfully stimulated the plant's defense mechanisms against *F. oxysporum* through higher induction of the amount of PR-protein expression. Moreover, Coating of maize seeds with chitosan shown fungicidal activity against *Rhizopus* sp. and *A. Flavus* [115]. Spraying chitosan on maize plants had a noticeable impact on the occurrence of foliar diseases such leaf spot, leaf gray blight, late wilt, and ear rots, which were reduced by 78.0, 70.8, 76.3, and 78.2%, respectively [116]. Similarly, they discovered that salicylic treatment had a substantial suppressing effect on foliar diseases, with incidences of leaf spot, leaf gray blight, late wilt, and ear rots on maize recorded as 4.2, 10.0, 8.5, and 11.4%, respectively, with reductions of 86.8, 65.2, 75.1, and 63.6% [116]. Another investigation on the possible use of chitosan for reducing both growth and fumonisin production by *F. verticillioides* and *F. proliferatum* on maize discovered that the addition of chitosan prolonged the lag phase and greatly lowered the growth rate of both *Fusarium* species. Its increasing chitosan concentrations in conjunction with reduction in water availability lengthened the lag phase and reduced mycelia formation in both *Fusarium* species [117]. Application of Cu-chitosan NCPs have remarkable potential and act as an effective antifungal agent for *Fusarium verticillioides* which causes post flowering stalk rot (PFSR) of maize. It offered crop protection with significant disease control of PFSR (33.9%) at 0.06% Cu-chitosan NCPs [113].

### 3.6. Effects of antitranspirants on environment

This section presents the findings of the review on the possible effects of the antitranspirants on the environment. Potassium silicate (K-silicate) is a source of highly soluble potassium and silicon. Romero-

Aranda et al. [118] reported that potassium silicate does not contain volatile organic chemicals and that its use won't cause the release of any risky or long-lasting consequences into the environment. Chitosan is reported to lessens the negative effects of agriculture on the environment. Its biocompatibility, biodegradability, and bioactivity have made it useful in agriculture due to its non-toxic qualities [119]. Kaur & Dhillon [120] and Zargar et al. [121] confirms that Chitosan biopolymer has low toxicity. Kocięcka and Liberacki [103] attest that chitosan is environmentally friendly and suggest that it is an excellent substitute to synthetic fertilizers, herbicides, and chemicals for disease prevention.

Mphande et al. [42] posited that the environmental concerns connected with the use of ABA, di-1-p-methene, and kaolin are most likely negligible. ABA can be collected from plants, acquired by microbial fermentation, or synthesized [122], whereas di-1-p-methene is recovered from pine resin [123]. Kaolin which is an aluminosilicate ( $Al_4Si_4O_{10}(OH)_8$ ), is obtained from clay [124] and this does not pose any negative impacts in the environment. The kaolin particle film was recognized as an organic pest management tool since it is a natural product with very minimal toxicity to humans, birds, and fish [125]. However, Kaolin treatments promoted the severity of woolly apple aphid (*Eriosoma lanigerum*) infestation.

Furthermore Knight et al [126] and Lalancette et al. [127] revealed that in orchards where kaolin was used, the prevalence of various pests, including the red spider mite and the rose apple aphid (*Dysaphis plantaginea Passerini*), San Jose scale (*Quadraspidiotus perniciosus Comstock*), and western tentiform leaf miner (*Phyllonorycter elmaella Doganlar & Mutuura*), increased. Nevertheless, it decreased the population of polyphagous predators like *F. auricularia*, predatory *Heteroptera* and *Coleoptera*, the red velvet mite (*Allothrombium fuliginosum*), spiders (Araneae) and black ant (*Lasius niger*). Processed kaolin sprays substantially reduced the incidence of *B. oleae* and that of *S. oleae* but had deleterious effect on the abundance and the diversity of the natural enemy, arthropod community [128]. In a study by Kumar Sootahar et al [129] revealed that fulvic acids (FA) considerably lowered soil pH and, by giving microorganisms energy and carbon, have an impact on the development of soil microbial biomass and microbial activity. FA application at 20% concentration reduced uptake of heavy metals like Chromium (Cr), Cadmium (Cd), and Lead (Pb) uptake in the shoot [130].

### 3.7 Re-evaluation and potential of antitranspirants on maize cultivation

Many countries continue to encounter persistent dry spells that are greatly affecting the yields of crops including maize which is a staple food in many countries especially in Africa [131]. Maize is known to be sensitive to drought stress, which has a detrimental impact the development of reproductive organs, the generation of biomass, and the characteristics of the yield [132]. Webber et al. [133] predicted that drought stress will have a greater impact on maize than winter wheat in terms of yield losses due to climate change. Short-term water deficiencies have been linked to dry weight losses (28-32%) during the rapid vegetative development stage and dry weight losses (66-93%) during the tasseling and ear formation stages (57; 67,134, 107,135]. The period of grain filling following pollination is another stage of maize that is susceptible to drought stress [136].

Therefore with the current persistent dry spells that the planet is experiencing due the global climate change, use of antitranspirants could be the helpful to ameliorate the effects of drought stress and achieve a significant yields. This review has established studies have shown that the antitranspirants improve the maize growth parameters as wells as yields by reducing the transpiration rate and the stomatal conductance. Furthermore, other antitranspirants helps to control pathogens, pests and diseases in maize cultivars. Among the antitranspirants reviewed in the study, chitosan is the most studied antitranspirants on maize together with its derivatives. It is followed by kaolin, and potassium silicate. Other antitranspirants that have been



studied on maize include salicylic acid, fulvic acid, ABA, BR and GB. Studies on the effects of di-1-p-methene on maize growth and yields under drought stress are rare. However, studies of di-1-p-methene on other arable crops and horticultural crops have revealed significant positive impacts [137, 138, 42]. Therefore there is need for more research the potential of the di-1-p-menthene antitranspirants on maize cultivar.

However, the fact that antitranspirants in other studies have been found to have negative effects or no impact at all, calls for researchers to find out which antitranspirants could be better for maize cultivation. It is also imperative that appropriate concentration should be used if the good results are to be attained. Furthermore, since many studies are based on effects of antitranspirants on the growth and yields of the maize cultivars, there is need for further research on the impact of these on the organisms like insects, arthropods and even birds to establish if the foliar application of particular antitranspirants in the maize field does not affect the ecological balance.

Although maize is the mainstay food in many countries, its market value is low in comparison to other grains like rice and wheat. In addition, maize is usually grown by stamholder farmers for subsistence in many countries [139, 140]. Therefore the adoption of agronomic technology of using of antitranspirants to ameliorate the effects of drought stress requires the farmers to make the cost benefit analysis. Farmers needs adopt the antitranspirants that require less money. Subsequent utilization of antitranspirants in agronomy has been limited, probably due to costs [141]. Francini et al. [123] records that di-1-p-menthene antitranspirants cost less money. However, Kettlewell [142] and Janawade & Palled [143] stated that the number of research studies that examine the cost-benefit analysis of using ATs in agricultural output is rather few. Therefore, there is need for clear and evidence based information on the economic feasibility of using antitranspirants in crop production [42]. Studies on nutritional values of the maize grain after use of antitranspirants are very scanty as such this review recommends that studies be conducted to evaluate the nutritional status of the grain.

#### 4. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

The review has highlighted the importance of antitranspirants on the maize from the papers published from 2002 to 2023. There has been more studies done to determine the drought ameliorating effects of chitosan and Fulvic acid on maize as compared to other antitranspirants such as Salicylic acid, Potassium Silicate and ABA Kaolin, glycine betamine, Brassinolides, Trehalose and Paclobutrazol. The study has found that more studies done on maize used the stomatal closing antitranspirants as compared to the other classes. In general the review has established that antitranspirants help to improve the vegetative growth of the maize, reduce transpiration, yield as well as promoting water use efficiency of the plant. Furthermore the study has also established that there is need to do further research to find if it is economically viable to use antitranspirants on low value crops such maize as it may be expensive for the subsistence farmers [42]. There is also need for further research to find the best stage of development of the maize where application of antitranspirants will be more effective. Studies on nutritional values of the maize grain after use of antitranspirants are very scanty as such this review recommends that studies be conducted to evaluate the nutritional status of the grain.

### **Funding**

The authors did not receive any financial support for the research, authorship or publication of this research.

### **The Declaration of Conflict of Interest**

No conflict of interest or common interest has been declared by the authors.

### **The Declaration of Ethics Committee Approval**

This study does not require ethics committee permission or any special permission.

### **The Declaration of Research and Publication Ethics**

The authors of the paper declare that they comply with the scientific, ethical and quotation rules of ETOXEC in all processes of the paper and that they do not make any falsification on the data collected. In addition, they declare that Environmental Toxicology and Ecology and its editorial board have no responsibility for any ethical violations that may be encountered, and that this study has not been evaluated in any academic publication environment other than Environmental Toxicology and Ecology.

## **REFERENCE**

- [1] A. Bawa, “Yield and growth response of maize (*Zea mays* L.) to varietal and nitrogen application in the Guinea Savanna Agro-Ecology of Ghana”, *Advances in Agriculture*, 1–8, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/1765251>
- [2] A. Hossain, M. Tanjina Islam, M. Shohidul Islam, S. Nurislam, Ahmed, K. Kumer Sarker, and M. Kumar Gathala, “Chemical weed management in maize (*Zea mays* L.) under conservation agricultural systems: An outlook of the Eastern Gangetic plains in south- Asia”, In A. Hossain (Ed.), *Maize-Production and Use*. IntechOpen, (pp.1-14) 2019. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.89030>
- [3] C. M. Parihar, S. L. Jat, A. K. Singh, R. S. Kumar, K. S. Hooda, C. GK, and D. K. Singh, “Maize production technologies in India”, 2011. <https://rb.gy/i4pei>
- [4] G. Petrović, T. Ivanović, D. Knežević, A. Radosavac, I. Obhodaš, T. Brzaković, and T. Dragičević Radičević, “Assessment of Climate Change Impact on Maize Production in Serbia”. *Atmosphere*, vol14 (1), 110, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos14010110>
- [5] C. B. Field, V Barros, T. F. Stocker, and Q. Dahe, “Managing the risks of extreme events and disasters to advance climate change adaptation: special report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change”, 2012. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139177245>
- [6] W. A. Atiah, L. K. Amekudzi, R. A. Akum, E. Quansah, P., Antwi-Agyei, and S. K. . Danuor, “Climate variability and impacts on maize (*Zea mays*) yield in Ghana,” *West Africa. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, vol. 148(742), 185-198, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.4199>

- [7] D. B. Lobell, M. J. Roberts, W. Schlenker, N. Braun, B. B. Little, R. M. Rejesus, and G. L. Hammer, "Greater sensitivity to drought accompanies maize yield increase in the US Midwest". *Science*, vol.344 (6183), 516-519, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1251423>
- [8] M. F. Seleiman, N. Al-Suhaibani, N. Ali, M. Akmal, M. Alotaibi, Y. Refay, T. Dindaroglu, H. H. Abdul-Wajid, & M. L. Battaglia, "Drought stress impacts on plants and different approaches to alleviate its adverse effects". *Plants*, vol.10 (2), 259, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants10020259>
- [9] M. L. Battaglia, C. Lee, and W. Thomason, "Corn yield components and yield responses to defoliation at different row widths". *Agronomy Journal*, vol.110 (1), 210–225, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj2017.06.0322>
- [10] M.K Joshua, C. Ngongondo, F. Chipungu, M. Monjerezi, E. Liwenga, A.E. Majule, T. Stathers, and R. Lamboll, "Climate change in semi-arid Malawi: Perceptions, adaptation strategies and water governance". *Jamba (Potchefstroom, South Africa)*, vol.8 (3), 1-10, 2016. <https://doi.org/10.4102/jamba.v8i3.255>
- [11] N. Ortiz, E. Armada, E. Duque, A. Roldán, and R. Azcón, "Contribution of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and/or bacteria to enhancing plant drought tolerance under natural soil conditions: effectiveness of autochthonous or allochthonous strains". *Journal of Plant Physiology*, vol.174, 87–96, 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jplph.2014.08.019>
- [12] R. Shemi, R. Wang, E.S.M.S Gheith, H.A Hussain, L. Cholidah, K. Zhang, S. Zhang, and L. Wang, "Role of exogenous-applied salicylic acid, zinc and glycine betaine to improve drought-tolerance in wheat during reproductive growth stages". *BMC Plant Biology*, vol. 21(1), 574, 2021). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-021-03367-x>
- [13] C.M. Wainwright, E. Black, and R.P. Allan, "Future changes in wet and dry season characteristics in CMIP5 and CMIP6 simulations", *Journal of Hydrometeorology*, vol. 22(9), 2339-2357, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-21-0017.1>
- [14] A. Ayanlade, M. Radeny, and A.I. Akin-Onigbinde, "Climate variability/change and attitude to adaptation technologies: a pilot study among selected rural farmers' communities in Nigeria", *GeoJournal*, vol. 83(2), 319-331, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10708-0179771-1>
- [15] H. Chikoore, and M.R. Jury, "South African drought, deconstructed. *Weather and Climate Extremes*", Vol.33, 100334, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wace.2021.100334>
- [16] B. Bradshaw, H. Dolan, and B. Smit, "Farm-level adaptation to climatic variability and change: Crop diversification in the Canadian prairies", *Climatic Change*, vol.67 (1), 119–141, 2004. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-004-0710-z>
- [17] J. Wang, R. Mendelsohn, A. Dinar, J. Huang, S. Rozelle, and L. Zhang, "The impact of climate change on China's agriculture. *Agricultural Economics (Amsterdam, Netherlands)*, vol.40 (3), 323–337, 2009. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.15740862.2009.00379.x>
- [18] S. Asfaw, and L. Lipper, Economics of PGRFA management for adaptation to climate change: a review of selected literature," *Commission on Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture. FAO, Rome, Italy*, 2011. <https://rb.gy/edxj4>

- [19] K. Urama, and N. Ozor, “Agricultural innovations for climate change adaptation and food security in western and central Africa,” *Agro-Science*, vol.10 (1), 2011. <https://doi.org/10.4314/as.v10i1.68717>
- [20] S.S. Ngigi, “Climate change adaptation strategies: Water resources management options for smallholder farming systems in sub-Saharan Africa,” The Earth Institute at Columbia University, 2009. <https://rb.gy/s4rf5>
- [21] M.G.P. Ibrahim, and R.S Alex, “The impact of changing environmental conditions on vulnerable communities in the Shire valley, southern Malawi,” In *the Future of Drylands*, pp. 545–559, 2008. Springer Netherlands. <https://rb.gy/4784t>
- [22] O.M. Akinagbe, and I. J. Irohibe,” Agricultural adaptation strategies to climate change impacts in Africa: a review,” *Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Research*, vol.39 (3), 407–418, 2015. <https://doi.org/10.3329/bjar.v39i3.21984>
- [23] A.Challinor, T. Wheeler,C. Garforth, P. Craufurd, and A. Kassam, “Assessing the vulnerability of food crop systems in Africa to climate change. *Climatic Change*,” Vol. 83(3), 381–399, 2007. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-007-9249-0>
- [24] S.M .Howden, J.F. Soussana,F.N. Tubiello,N. Chhetri, M. Dunlop, and H. Meinke,” Adapting agriculture to climate change,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, Vol.104(50),19691–19696, 2007. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0701890104>
- [25] E. Bryan, T.T. Deressa, G.A. Gbetibouo, and C. Ringler, “Adaptation to climate change in Ethiopia and South Africa: options and constraints,” *Environmental Science & Policy*, Vol. 12(4), 413–426, 2009. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2008.11.002>
- [26] N.A. Eckardt, E. Cominelli, M. Galbiati, and C. Tonelli, “The future of science: food and water for life,” 2009. <https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.109.066209>
- [27] M.W. Rosegrant, and S.A Cline, “Global food security: challenges and policies,” *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, vol 302(5652), 1917–1919, 2003. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1092958>
- [28] P. Reidsma, and F. Ewert, “Regional farm diversity can reduce vulnerability of food production to climate change,” *Ecology and Society: A Journal of Integrative Science for Resilience and Sustainability*, vol.13 (1), 2008. <https://doi.org/10.5751/es-02476-130138>
- [29] P. Kurukulasuriya, and S. Rosenthal, “Climate change and agriculture,” World Bank Environment Department Paper, vol. 91, 2003. <https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/16616>
- [30] A. Lema, and E. Majule,” Impacts of climate change, variability and adaptation strategies on agriculture in semi-arid areas of Tanzania: The case of Manyoni District in Singida Region, Tanzania,” *African Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*, vol. 3(8), 206–218, 2009. <https://doi.org/10.5897/ajest09.099>
- [31] J.J. McCarthy, O. F. Canziani, N. Leary, D. J. Dokken, and K. S. White, “Climate change 2001: Impacts, adaptation, and vulnerability: Contribution of working group II to the third assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change, 2001,” Cambridge University Press. <https://tinyurl.com/469pzerw>

- [32] N.A.A. El-Azm, and S.M.S. Youssef, “Spraying potassium silicate and sugar beet molasses on tomato plants minimizes transpiration, relieves drought stress and rationalizes water use,” *Middle East J*, Vol.4(4), 1047-1064, 2015. <https://rb.gy/2icce>
- [33] M. Prakash, and K. Ramachandran, “Effects of moisture stress and anti-transpirants on leaf chlorophyll, soluble protein and photosynthetic rate in brinjal plants,” *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science*, vol.184 (3), 153-156, 2000. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1439-037x.2000.00330.x>
- [34] A.S Abdullah, M.M. Aziz, K.H.M. Siddique, and K.C. Flower, “Film antitranspirants increase yield in drought stressed wheat plants by maintaining high grain number,” *Agricultural Water Management*, vol.159, 11–18, 2015). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2015.05.018>
- [35] C.J. Rhodes, “Feeding and healing the world: through regenerative agriculture and permaculture,” *Science Progress*, vol.95 (4), 345–446, 2012. <https://doi.org/10.3184/003685012X13504990668392>
- [36] R. Topak, S. Süheri, and B. Acar, “Effect of different drip irrigation regimes on sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris* L.) yield, quality and water use efficiency in Middle Anatolian, Turkey,” *Irrigation Science*, vol.29 (1), 79–89, 2011). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00271-010-0219-3>
- [37] L. Levidow, D. Zaccaria, R. Maia, E. Vivas, M. Todorovic, and A. Scardigno, “Improving water-efficient irrigation: Prospects and difficulties of innovative practices,” *Agricultural Water Management*, vol. 146, 84–94, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2014.07.012>
- [38] M. Bittelli, M. Flury, G.S. Campbell, and E.J. Nichols, “Reduction of transpiration through foliar application of chitosan,” *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, vol.107 (3), 167–175, 2001. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1923\(00\)00242-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1923(00)00242-2)
- [39] M. Iriti, M. Sironi, S. Gomasasca, A.P Casazza, C. Soave, and F. Faoro, “Cell death-mediated antiviral effect of chitosan in tobacco,” *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, Vol. 44(11–12). 893–900, 2006. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2006.10.009>
- [40] F.M. Del Amor, P. Cuadra-Crespo, D.J. Walker, J.M. Cámara, and R. Madrid, “Effect of foliar application of antitranspirant on photosynthesis and water relations of pepper plants under different levels of CO<sub>2</sub> and water stress,” *Journal of Plant Physiology*, vol.167 (15), 1232-1238, 2010. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jplph.2010.04.010>
- [41] J. Kocięcka, D. Liberacki, J.M. Kupiec, M. Stróżecki, and P. Dłużewski, “Effects of Silicon Application and Groundwater Level in a Subirrigation System on Yield of a Three-Cut Meadow,” *Water*, Vol. 15(11), 2103. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w15112103>
- [42] W.Mphande, P.S Kettlewell, I.G Grove, and A.D. Farrell, “The potential of antitranspirants in drought management of arable crops: A review,” *Agricultural Water Management*, 236, 106-143, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2020.106143>
- [43] P.S Kettlewell, and J.R. Holloway, “Connecting developmental and process physiology to improve yield of draughted wheat with a film antitranspirant,” *Aspects of Applied Biology*, No.105, 23-24, 2010. <https://www.cabdirect.org/cabdirect/abstract/20123411786>

- [44] V. G. Shweta, “Antitranspirants: An effective approach to mitigate the stress in field crops,” *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, vol.9 (5), 1671–1678, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2020.905.188>
- [45] D. M. Glenn, “The mechanisms of plant stress mitigation by kaolin-based particle films and applications in horticultural and agricultural crops,” *HortScience: A Publication of the American Society for Horticultural Science*, vol.47 (6), 710–711, 2012. <https://doi.org/10.21273/hortsci.47.6.710>
- [46] F. Zhao, D. Zhang, Y. Zhao, W. Wang, H. Yang, F. Tai, C. Li, and X. Hu, “The difference of physiological and proteomic changes in maize leaves adaptation to drought, heat, and combined both stresses,” *Frontiers in Plant Science*, Vol.7, 2-19, 2016. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.01471>
- [47] H.A. Hussain, S. Men, S. Hussain, Y. Chen, S. Ali, S. Zhang, K. Zhang, Y. Li, Q. Xu, C. Liao, and L. Wang, “Interactive effects of drought and heat stresses on morpho-physiological attributes, yield, nutrient uptake and oxidative status in maize hybrids,” *Scientific Reports*, vol.9 (1), 1-12, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-40362-7>
- [48] K. Agyeman, I. Osei-Bonsu, J.N Berchie, S. Yeboah, L.M. Tengan, P. Marno, A. Adjei, and M. Apraku, “Yield and growth performance of drought tolerant maize varieties in the Forest-Savanna transition zone of Ghana.” *Agricultural and Food Science Journal of Ghana*, vol.13, 1237–1246, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.4314/afsjg.v13i1>
- [49] S.A Saseendran, L.R. Ahuja, L. Ma, and T.J. Trout, “Modeling for best management of the effects of irrigation frequencies, initial water, and nitrogen on corn,” *Practical Applications of Agricultural System Models to Optimize the Use of Limited Water*, vol.5, 25-52, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.2134/advagricysystmodel5.c2>
- [50] R.P. Sah, M. Chakraborty, K. Prasad, M. Pandit, V.K. Tudu, M.K. Chakravarty, S.C. Narayan, M. Rana, and D. Moharana, “Impact of water deficit stress in maize: Phenology and yield components,” *Scientific Reports*, vol.10 (1), 2944, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-59689-7>
- [51] Y. Lu, Z. Hao, C. Xie, J. Crossa, J.L. Araus, S. Gao, B.S Vivek, C. Magorokosho, S. Mugo, D. Makumbi, S. Taba, G. Pan, X. Li, T. Rong, S. Zhang, and Y. Xu, “Large-scale screening for maize drought resistance using multiple selection criteria evaluated under water-stressed and well-watered environments,” *Field Crops Research*, Vol. 124(1), 37–45, 2011. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2011.06.003>
- [52] G.O Edmeades, “Progress in achieving and delivering drought tolerance in maize-an update,” *ISAAA: Ithaca, NY, 130*, 2013. <https://rb.gy/2nt2k>
- [53] M. Aslam, M.A., Maqbool, and R. Cengiz, “*Drought Stress in Maize (Zea mays L.)*,” Springer International Publishing, 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-25442-5>
- [54] M. Farooq, A. Wahid, N. Kobayashi, D., Fujita, and S.M.A Basra, “Plant drought stress: Effects, mechanisms and management,” In *Sustainable Agriculture* (pp. 153–188), 2009. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-2666-8\\_12](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-2666-8_12)

- [55] A.Y Kamara, A. Menkir, B. Badu-Apraku, and O. Ibikunle, "The influence of drought stress on growth, yield and yield components of selected maize genotypes," *The Journal of Agricultural Science*, vol.141 (1), 43–50, 2003. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0021859603003423>
- [56] H. Min, C. Chen, S. Wei, X. Shang, M. Sun, R. Xia, X. Liu, D. Hao, H. Chen, and Q. Xie, "Identification of drought tolerant mechanisms in maize seedlings based on transcriptome analysis of recombination inbred lines," *Frontiers in Plant Science*, Vol.7, 1080, 2016. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.01080>
- [57] R.Çakir, "Effect of water stress at different development stages on vegetative and reproductive growth of corn," *Field Crops Research*, vol. 89(1), 1–16, 2004. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2004.01.005>
- [58] K. Djaman, S. Irmak, W.R. Rathje, D.L. Martin, and D.E. Eisenhauer, "Maize evapotranspiration, yield production functions, biomass, grain yield, harvest index, and yield response factors under full and limited irrigation," *Transactions of the ASABE*, vol. 56(2), 373-393, 2013. <https://doi.org/10.13031/2013.42676>
- [59] S.A. Anjum, X. Xie, L.C. Wang, M.F. Saleem, C. Man, and W. Lei, "Morphological, physiological and biochemical responses of plants to drought stress," *African journal of agricultural research*, vol.6 (9), 2026-2032, 2011. [doi:10.5897/AJAR10.027](https://doi.org/10.5897/AJAR10.027)
- [60] F.Baret, S. Madec, K. Irfan, J. Lopez, A. Comar, M. Hemmerlé, D. Dutartre, S. Praud, and M.H. Tixier, "Leaf-rolling in maize crops: from leaf scoring to canopy-level measurements for phenotyping," *Journal of Experimental Botany*, vol. 69(10), 2705–2716, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ery071>
- [61] T. Ge, F. Sui, L. Bai, C. Tong, and N. Sun, "Effects of water stress on growth, biomass partitioning, and water-use efficiency in summer maize (*Zea mays* L.) throughout the growth cycle," *Acta Physiologiae Plantarum*, vol. 34(3), 1043–1053, 2012. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11738-011-0901-y>
- [62] S. Pokhrel, "Effects of drought stress on the physiology and yield of the maize: a review," *Food and Agri Economics Review (FAER)*, vol.1 (1), 36-40, 2021. <http://doi.org/10.26480/faer.01.2021.36.40>
- [63] J.S. Boyer, and M.E. Westgate, "Grain yields with limited water," *Journal of Experimental Botany*, vol. 55(407), 2385–2394, 2004. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erh219>
- [64] S.A. Anjum, L.C. Wang, M. Farooq, M. Hussain, L.L. Xue, and C.M. Zou, "Brassinolide application improves the drought tolerance in maize through modulation of enzymatic antioxidants and leaf gas exchange," *Journal of Agronomy and crop science*, vol.197 (3), 177-185, 2011. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439037X.2010.00459.x>
- [65] M. Benešová, D. Holá, L. Fischer, P.L. Jedelský, F. Hnilička, N. Wilhelmová, O. Rothová, M. Kočová, D. Procházková, J. Honnerová, L. Fridrichová, and H. Hniličková, "The physiology and proteomics of drought tolerance in maize: early stomatal closure as a cause of lower tolerance to short-term dehydration?" *PloS One*, vol. 7(6), e38017, 2012. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0038017>

- [66] M.S. Lopes, J.L Araus, P.D.R van Heerden, and C.H. Foyer, “Enhancing drought tolerance in C (4) crops,” *Journal of Experimental Botany*, Vol.62 (9), 3135–3153, 2011. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/err105>
- [67] B. Wang, C. Liu, D. Zhang, C. He, J. Zhang , and Z. Li, “Effects of maize organ-specific drought stress response on yields from transcriptome analysis,” *BMC Plant Biology*, Vol. 19(1),1-19 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-019-1941-5>
- [68] M. M. Chaves, J. Flexas, and C. Pinheiro, “Photosynthesis under drought and salt stress: regulation mechanisms from whole plant to cell,” *Annals of Botany*, vol.103 (4), 551–560, 2009. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcn125>
- [69] L. Serna, “Maize stomatal responses against the climate change,” *Frontiers in Plant Science*, vol.13, 1-9, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2022.952146>
- [70] T. Parthasarathi, K. Vanitha, and G. Velu, “Physiological impacts of soil moisture stress and plant population on leaf gas exchange and radiation use of maize,” *International Journal of Agriculture, Environment and Biotechnology*, vol.5 (4), 77-385, 2012. <https://rb.gy/jpxe3>
- [71] S. Bayat, and A. Sepehri, “Paclobutrazol and salicylic acid application ameliorates the negative effect of water stress on growth and yield of maize plants,” *Journal of Research in Agricultural Science* vol. 8(2)127- 139, 2012. <https://rb.gy/olzln>
- [72] M. Kamran, S. Wennan, I. Ahmad, M. Xiangping, C. Wenwen, Z. Xudong, M. Siwei, A. Khan, H. Qingfang, and L. Tiening, “Application of paclobutrazol affect maize grain yield by regulating root morphological and physiological characteristics under a semi-arid region,” *Scientific Reports*, vol. 8(1), 1–15, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-23166-z>
- [73] O.Q.A. Ulameer, and S.S.A Ahmed, “Anti-transpirant role in improving the morphological growth traits of maize plants subjected to water stress,” *Research on Crops*, vol.19 (4), 593-603, 2018. <https://rb.gy/qekzy>
- [74] D. Ghazi, “Impact of drought stress on maize (*Zea mays*) plant in presence or absence of salicylic acid spraying,” *Journal of Soil Sciences and Agricultural Engineering*, vol.8(6), 223- 229, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.21608/JSSAE.2017.37382>
- [75] I. Ahmad, S.M.A. Basra, and A. Wahid, “Exogenous application of ascorbic acid, salicylic acid and hydrogen peroxide improves the productivity of hybrid maize at low temperature stress,” *Int. J. Agric. Biol.*, vol. 16(4), 825-830, 2014. [http://www.fspublishers.org/published\\_papers/41448\\_.pdf](http://www.fspublishers.org/published_papers/41448_.pdf)
- [76] A.S.M. Morsy, and H.M Mehanna, “Beneficial effects of antitranspirants on water stress tolerance in maize under different plant densities in newly reclaimed land,” *Bulletin of the National Research Centre*, vol.46 (2-17), 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s42269-022-00934-6>
- [77] Gomaa, M. A., E. E., A. A. M. Z. Kandil, El-Dein, M. E. M. Abou-Donia, H. M. Ali, and N. R. A. bdelsalam, “Increase maize productivity and water use efficiency through application of potassium silicate under water stress,” *Scientific Reports*, vol.11 (1), 1-8, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-80656-9>



- [78] S. I. Shedeed, “Assessing effect of potassium silicate consecutive application on forage maize plants (*Zea mays* L.),” *Journal of Innovations in Pharmaceutical and Biological Sciences*, vol.5 (2), 119-127, 2018. <https://jipbs.com/index.php/journal/article/view/321/290>
- [79] M. M. A. Mondal, A. B. Puteh, N. C. Dafader, M. Y. Rafii, and M. A. Malek. "Foliar application of chitosan improves growth and yield in maize." *J. Food Agric. Environment*, vol.11, (2), 520-523, 2013.. <https://rb.gy/6lg8v>
- [80] Y.J Guan, J. Hu, X.J. Wang, and C.X. Shao, “Seed priming with chitosan improves maize germination and seedling growth in relation to physiological changes under low temperature stress,” *Journal of Zhejiang University. Science. B*, vol. 10(6), 427–433, 2009. <https://doi.org/10.1631/jzus.B0820373>
- [81] V. Saharan, R.V Kumaraswamy, R.C. Choudhary, S. Kumari, A. Pal, A., R. Raliya, and P. Biswas, “Cu-chitosan nanoparticle mediated sustainable approach to enhance seedling growth in maize by mobilizing reserved food,” *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, vol.64 (31), 6148–6155, 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.6b02239>
- [82] D.Y. Nakasato, A.E.S Pereira, J.L. Oliveira, H.C. Oliveira, and L.F. Fraceto, “Evaluation of the effects of polymeric chitosan/tripolyphosphate and solid lipid nanoparticles on germination of *Zea mays*, *Brassica rapa* and *Pisum sativum*,” *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, Vol. 142, 369–374, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2017.04.033>
- [83] E.G. Lizárraga-Paulín, S.P. Miranda-Castro, E. Moreno-Martínez, A.V. Lara-Sagahón, and I. Torres-Pacheco, “Maize seed coatings and seedling sprayings with chitosan and hydrogen peroxide: their influence on some phenological and biochemical behaviors,” *Journal of Zhejiang University. Science. B*, Vol. 14(2), 87–96, 2013. <https://doi.org/10.1631/jzus.B1200270>
- [84] M. Peña-Datoli, C.M.I Hidalgo-Moreno, V.A. González-Hernández, E.G. Alcántar-González, and J.D. Etchevers-Barra, “Maize (*Zea mays* L.) Seed coating with chitosan and sodium alginate and its effect on root development,” *Agrociencia* Vol.50 (8), 1091–1106, 2016. <https://rb.gy/p7cyh>
- [85] M. Martins, M. Carvalho, D.T Carvalho, S. Barbosa, A.C. Doriguetto, P.C Magalhaes, and C. Ribeiro, “Physicochemical characterization of chitosan and its effects on early growth, cell cycle and root anatomy of transgenic and non-transgenic maize hybrids,” *Australian Journal of Crop Science*, vol.12(1), 56-66, 2018. <https://search.informit.org/doi/10.3316/informit.45go44563320158>
- [86] W.M. Khan, B. Prithiviraj, and D.L Smith, “Effect of foliar application of chitin and chitosan oligosaccharides on photosynthesis of maize and soybean,” *Photosynthetica*, vol.40 (4), 621–624, 2002. <https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1024320606812>
- [87] W., Li, P. Yang, S. Guo, R. Song, and J. Yu, “Co-application of soil superabsorbent polymer and foliar fulvic acid to increase tolerance to water deficit maize: photosynthesis, water parameters, and proline,” *Chilean journal of agricultural research*, 79(3), 435-446, 2019. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4067/S0718-58392019000300435>
- [88] M. Gomaa, I. Fathallah Rehab, E. E. Kandil, and A. M. M. Ali, “Using of Potassium Silicate to Alleviate Drought Stress Effect on Peanut as Grown in Sandy Soil,” *Journal of the Advances in Agricultural Researches*, vol.26 (3), 109-119, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.21608/jalexu.2021.179977>

- [89] E. E. Kandi, A.A. M. Zen El-Dein, and M.E.M Abou-Donia, “Effect of irrigation intervals and foliar application of potassium silicate on growth of maize,” *Egyptian Academic Journal of Biological Sciences, H. Botany*, vol.11 (1), 103-109, 2020. [https://journals.ekb.eg/article\\_122139.html](https://journals.ekb.eg/article_122139.html)
- [90] A. Parveen, W. Liu, S. Hussain, J. Asghar, S. Perveen, and Y. Xiong, “Silicon priming regulates morpho-physiological growth and oxidative metabolism in maize under drought stress,” *Plants*, vol.8 (10), 1-14, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants8100431>
- [91] M. Hussain, M.A. Malik, M. Farooq, M.B. Khan, M. Akram, and M.F. Saleem, “Exogenous glycinebetaine and salicylic acid application improves water relations, allometry and quality of hybrid sunflower under water deficit conditions,” *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science*, vol.195 (2), 98–109, 2009. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-037x.2008.00354.x>
- [92] W. Khan, B. Prithiviraj, and D.L. Smith, “Photosynthetic responses of corn and soybean to foliar application of salicylates,” *Journal of Plant Physiology*, vol.160 (5), 485–492, 2003. <https://doi.org/10.1078/0176-1617-00865>
- [93] W. Yang, P. Li, S. Guo, R. Song, and J. Yu, “Co-application of soil superabsorbent polymer and foliar fulvic acid to increase tolerance to water deficit maize: photosynthesis, water parameters, and proline,” *Chilean Journal of Agricultural Research*, vol. 79(3), 435–446, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.4067/s0718-58392019000300435>
- [94] D.V.B Reddy, N. Ramesh, S. Manimaran, and P. Thangavel, “Effect of organic mulches and foliar spray of kaolin on NPK uptake in enhancing yield and economics of dry land maize (*Zea mays* L.),” *Journal of Applied and Natural Science*, vol. 15(1), 116-119, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.31018/jans.v15i1.4192>
- [95] A. Kumar, K.S. Rana, D.S. Rana, R.S Bana, A.K Choudhary, and V. Pooniya, “Effect of nutrient- and moisture-management practices on crop productivity, water-use efficiency and energy dynamics in rainfed maize (*Zea mays*) + soybean (*Glycine max*) intercropping system,” *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, vol 60(1), 152–156,2015. <https://tinyurl.com/w4uazrna>
- [96] B. R.B. Vani, N. Ramesh, S. Manimaran, and P. Thangavel, “Effect of organic mulches and kaolin clay foliar spray on growth, yield attributes and yield of dry landmaize (*Zea mays*),” *CropResearch*, Vol.58 (1and2), 2933,2023. [https://doi.org/10.31830/24541761.2023.CR8\\_68](https://doi.org/10.31830/24541761.2023.CR8_68)
- [97] S.A Anjum, M. Farooq, L.C. Wang, L. L. Xue, S.G Wang, L. Wang, and M. Chen, “Gas exchange and chlorophyll synthesis of maize cultivars are enhanced by exogenously- applied glycinebetaine under drought conditions,” *Plant, Soil and Environment*, vol.57 (7), 326-331, 2011. <https://doi.org/10.17221/41/2011-PSE>
- [98] A.M.S Elshamly, “Minimizing the adverse impact of drought on corn by applying foliar potassium humate combined with chitosan,” *Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42729-023-01146-1>
- [99] U. Petzold, S. Peschel, I. Dahse, and G. Adam, “Stimulation of source-applied<sup>14</sup>C-sucrose export in *Vicia faba* plants by brassinosteroids, GA<sub>3</sub> and IAA,” *Acta Botanica Neerlandica*, vol. 41 (4), 469–479, 1992. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.14388677.1992.tb00517.x>

- [100] T. C. De Souza, P. C. Magalhães, E. M. de Castro, N. P. Carneiro, F. A. Padilha, and C. C. G. Júnior, “ABA application to maize hybrids contrasting for drought tolerance: changes in water parameters and in antioxidant enzyme activity,” *Plant Growth Regulation*, vol.73(3), 205–217, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10725-013-9881-9>
- [101] C. Travaglia, G. Balboa, G. Espósito, and H. Reinoso, “ABA action on the production and redistribution of field-grown maize carbohydrates in semiarid regions,” *Plant Growth Regulation*, vol.67 (1), 27–34, 2012. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10725-012-9657-7>
- [102] A.M. S. Elshamly, “Interaction effects of sowing date, irrigation levels, chitosan, and potassium silicate on yield and water use efficiency for maize grown under arid climate,” *Gesunde Pflanzen.*, 1-17, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10343-023-00836-1>
- [103] J. Kocięcka & D. Liberacki, “The potential of using chitosan on cereal crops in the face of climate change,” *Plants*, vol.10 (6), 1160, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants10061160>
- [104] Q. Ali, and M. Ashraf, “Induction of drought tolerance in maize (*Zea mays* L.) due to exogenous application of trehalose: growth, photosynthesis, water relations and oxidative defence mechanism,” *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science*, vol. 197(4), 258-271, 2011. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-037X.2010.00463.x>
- [105] L.G. Almeida, P.C. Magalhães, D. Karam, E. M. D. Silva, and A.A Alvarenga, “Chitosan application in the induction of water deficit tolerance in maize plants,” *Acta Scientiarum. Agronomy*, 42, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.4025/actasciagron.v42i1.42463>
- [106] S.A. Anjum, L. Wang, M. Farooq, L. Xue, and S. Ali, “Fulvic acid application improves the maize performance under well-watered and drought conditions,” *Journal of agronomy and crop science*, vol. 197(6), 409-417, 2011. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-037X.2011.00483.x>
- [107] W. Bi, M. Wang, B. Weng, D. Yan, Y. Yang, and J. Wang, “Effects of drought-flood abrupt alternation on the growth of summer maize,” *Atmosphere*, vol.11 (1), 1-18, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos11010021>
- [108] R. Liao, L. Zhang, P. Yang, W. Wu, and Z. Zhang, “Physiological regulation mechanism of multi-chemicals on water transport and use efficiency in soil-maize system,” *Journal of Cleaner Production*, vol. 172, 1289–1297, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.10.239>
- [109] W. Yang, P. Li, S. Guo, B. Fan, R. Song, J. Zhang, and J. Yu, “Compensating effect of fulvic acid and super-absorbent polymer on leaf gas exchange and water use efficiency of maize under moderate water deficit conditions,” *Plant Growth Regulation*, vol. 83(3), 351–360, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10725-017-0297-9>
- [110] T. A. Abd El-Mageed, A. Shaaban, S. A. Abd El-Mageed, W.M Semida, and R.M.O. Rady, “Silicon defensive role in maize (*Zea mays* L.) against drought stress and metals-contaminated irrigation water,” *Silicon*, vol.13, 2165-2176, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12633-020-00690-0>
- [111] R. V. Kumaraswamy, S. Kumari, R.C. Choudhary, S.S. Sharma, A. Pal, R. Raliya, P. Biswas, and V. Saharan, “Salicylic acid functionalized chitosan nanoparticle: A sustainable biostimulant for plant,” *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, vol.123, 59–69, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2018.10.202>

- [112] J. Monjane, P. Dimande, A. Zimba, E. Nhachengo, E. Teles, H. Ndima, and A. Uamusse, "Antifungal Activity of Biopesticides and their Effects on the Growth Parameters and Yield of Maize and Pigeon Pea," *Tropical Journal of Natural Product Research (TJNPR)*, vol.4(9), 512-515, 2020. <https://www.tjnpr.org/index.php/home/article/view/1123>
- [113] R. C. Choudhary, R.V. Kumaraswamy, S. Kumari, A. Pal, R. Raliya, P. Biswas, and V. Saharan, "Synthesis, characterization, and application of chitosan nanomaterials loaded with zinc and copper for plant growth and protection," In *Nanotechnology* (pp. 227–247), 2017. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-4573-8\\_10](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-4573-8_10)
- [114] U. R. Butt, R. Naz, A. Nosheen, H. Yasmin, R. Keyani, I. Hussain, and M.N. Hassan, "Changes in pathogenesis-related gene expression in response to bioformulations in the apoplast of maize leaves against *Fusarium oxysporum*," *Journal of Plant Interactions*, vol.14 (1), 61–72, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17429145.2018.1550217>
- [115] C. Mohamed, T.V. Etienne, and K.N.G Yannick, "Use of bioactive chitosan and *Lippia multiflora* essential oil as coatings for maize and sorghum seeds protection," *EurAsian Journal of BioSciences*, vol.14 (1), 27-34, 2020. <https://shorturl.at/uMPRT>
- [116] N.S. El-Mougy, H.M Abouelnaser, and M.M. Abdel-Kader, "Seed Dressing and Foliar Spray with Different Fungicide Alternatives for Controlling Maize Diseases under Natural Field Conditions," *Plant Arch*, vol. 20, 2755-2759, 2020. [http://plantarchives.org/20-1/2755-2759%20\(5996\).pdf](http://plantarchives.org/20-1/2755-2759%20(5996).pdf)
- [117] L.V Ferrochio, E. Cendoya, V. G. L. Zachetti, M. C. Farnochi, W. Massad, and M.L. Ramirez, "Combined effect of chitosan and water activity on growth and fumonisin production by *Fusarium verticillioides* and *Fusarium proliferatum* on maize-based media," *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, vol.185, 51–56, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2014.05.011>
- [118] M. R. Romero-Aranda, O. Jurado, and J. Cuartero, "Silicon alleviates the deleterious salt effect on tomato plant growth by improving plant water status," *Journal of Plant Physiology*, vol.163 (8), 847–855, 2006. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jplph.2005.05.010>
- [119] D. Katiyar, A. Hemantaranjan, and B. Singh, "Chitosan as a promising natural compound to enhance potential physiological responses in plant: a review," *Indian Journal of Plant Physiology*, vol.20 (1), 1–9, 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40502-015-0139-6>
- [120] S. Kaur, and G. S. Dhillon, "The versatile biopolymer chitosan: potential sources, evaluation of extraction methods and applications," *Critical Reviews in Microbiology*, vol.40 (2), 155–175, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.3109/1040841X.2013.770385>
- [121] V. Zargar, M. Asghari, and A. Dashti, "A review on chitin and chitosan polymers: Structure, chemistry, solubility, derivatives, and applications," *ChemBioEng Reviews*, vol.2 (3), 204–226, 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cben.201400025>
- [122] T. Q. Shi, H. Peng, S.Y. Zeng, R. Y. Ji, K. Shi, H. Huang, and X.J. Ji, "Microbial production of plant hormones: Opportunities and challenges," *Bioengineered*, vol.8 (2), 124–128, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21655979.2016.1212138>

- [123] A. Francini, G. Lorenzini, and C. Nali, “The antitranspirant Di-1-p-menthene, a potential chemical protectant of ozone damage to plants,” *Water, Air, and Soil Pollution*, vol.219(1–4), 459–472, 2011. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-010-0720-6>
- [124] V. Cantore, B. Pace, and R. Albrizio, “Kaolin-based particle film technology affects tomato physiology, yield and quality,” *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, vol. 66(2), 279–288, 2009. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2009.03.008>
- [125] V. Markó, L. H. M. Blommers, S. Bogya, and H. Helsen, “Kaolin particle films suppress many apple pests, disrupt natural enemies and promote woolly apple aphid,” *Zeitschrift Für Angewandte Entomologie [Journal of Applied Entomology]*, Vol. 132(1), 26–35, 2008. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0418.2007.01233.x>
- [126] A. L. Knight, B. A. Christianson, T. R. Unruh, G. Puterka, and D. M. Glenn, “Impacts of seasonal kaolin particle films on apple pest management,” *The Canadian Entomologist*, vol. 133(3), 413–428, 2001. <https://doi.org/10.4039/ent133413-3>
- [127] N. Lalancette, R. D. Belding, P. W. Shearer, J. L. Frecon, and W. H. Tietjen, “Evaluation of hydrophobic and hydrophilic kaolin particle films for peach crop, arthropod and disease management,” *Pest Management Science: formerly Pesticide Science*, vol.61 (1), 25-39, 2005. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.943>
- [128] S. Pascual, G. Cobos, E. Seris, and M. González-Núñez, “Effects of processed kaolin on pests and non-target arthropods in a Spanish olive grove,” *Journal of Pest Science*, vol. 83(2), 121–133, 2010. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-009-0278-5>
- [129] M. Kumar Sootahar, X. Zeng, S. Su, Y. Wang, L. Bai, Y. Zhang, T. Li, and X. Zhang, “The effect of fulvic acids derived from different materials on changing properties of albic black soil in the northeast plain of China,” *Molecules (Basel, Switzerland)*, vol.24(8), 1-12, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules24081535>
- [130] S. A. Khilji, Z. A. Sajid, S. Fayyaz, A. A. Shah, A. N. Shah, M. Rauf, M. Arif, S. H. Yang, and S. Fiaz, “Fulvic acid alleviates paper sludge toxicity in canola (*Brassica napus* L.) by reducing Cr, Cd, and Pb uptake,” *Frontiers in Plant Science*, vol.13, 1-14, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2022.874723>
- [131] S. P. Katengeza, and S. T. Holden, “Productivity impact of drought tolerant maize varieties under rainfall stress in Malawi: A continuous treatment approach,” *Agricultural Economics (Amsterdam, Netherlands)*, vol.52 (1), 157–171, 2021). <https://doi.org/10.1111/agec.12612>
- [132] A. Badr, H. H. El-Shazly, R. A. Tarawneh, and A. Börner, “Screening for drought tolerance in maize (*Zea mays* L.) germplasm using germination and seedling traits under simulated drought conditions,” *Plants*, Vol.9(5), 1-23, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants9050565>
- [133] H. Webber, F. Ewert, J.E. Olesen, C. Müller, S. Fronzek, A. C. Ruane, M. Bourgault, P. Martre, B. Ababaei, M. Bindi, R. Ferrise, R. Finger, N. Fodor, C. Gabaldón-Leal, T. Gaiser, M. Jabloun, K. C. Kersebaum, J. I Lizaso, I. J. Lorite, and .D. Wallach, “Diverging importance of drought stress for maize and winter wheat in Europe,” *Nature Communications*, vol.9 (1), 42-49, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-06525-2>

- [134] S. Rafique, “Drought responses on physiological attributes of *Zea mays* in relation to nitrogen and source-sink relationships,” *Abiotic Stress Plants*. 2020. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.93747>
- [135] E. M. Bagula, J.G.M. Majaliwa, T.A. Basamba, J.G.M. Mondo, B. Vanlauwe, G. Gabiri, J.B. Tumuhairwe, G.N. Mushagalusa, P. Musinguzi, S. Akello and A. Egeru, “Water use efficiency of maize (*Zea mays* L.) crop under selected soil and water conservation practices along the slope gradient in Ruzizi watershed, eastern DR Congo,” *Land*, vol.11(10), 1-20, 2022..<https://doi.org/10.3390/land11101833>
- [136] R. Bheemanahalli, P. Ramamoorthy, S. Poudel, S. Samiappan, N. Wijewardane, and K. R. Reddy, “Effects of drought and heat stresses during reproductive stage on pollen germination, yield, and leaf reflectance properties in maize (*Zea mays* L.),” *Plant Direct*, vol. 6(8), 1-14, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pld3.434>
- [137] P. Previtali, F. Giorgini, R.S. Mullen, N. K. Dookozlian, K. L. Wilkinson, and C. M. Ford, “A systematic review and meta-analysis of vineyard techniques used to delay ripening,” *Horticulture Research*, vol.9, (uhac104) 1-10, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1093/hr/uhac118>
- [138] J. Rodriguez,” *Sunburn in Citrus: Assessing Physiological Impacts and Mitigation Treatments* (Doctoral dissertation, Texas A & M University-Kingsville),”2018. <https://tinyurl.com/5vsrvzf7>
- [139] L. Sibande, A. Bailey, and S. Davidova,” The impact of farm input subsidies on maize marketing in Malawi,” *Food Policy*, vol.69, 190–206, 2017 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2017.04.001>
- [140] S. Koppmair, M. Kassie, and M.Quaim,” Farm production, market access and dietary diversity in Malawi,” *Public Health Nutrition*, vol.20 (2), 325–335, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s1368980016002135>
- [141] R. G\_Evans, and E. J. Sadler,”Methods and technologies to improve efficiency of water use,” *Water resources research*, Vol. 44(7), 1-15, 2008. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2007WR006200>
- [142] P. Kettlewell,” Economics of film antitranspirant application: a new approach to protecting wheat crops from drought-induced yield loss,”*International Journal of Agricultural Management*, vol.1, 43-45, 2011. <https://ageconsearch.umn.edu/record/149907/>
- [143] B. P. Janawade, and Y. B. Palled, Effect of irrigation schedules, mulch and antitranspirants on growth, yield and economics of wheat (cv. DWD-I006). *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Science*, vol.20 (1), 6-9, 2007. <http://14.139.155.167/test5/index.php/kjas/article/view/829>