Yuzuncu Yil University Journal of the Institute of Natural & Applied Sciences, Volume 28, Issue 3 (December), 1029-1042, 2023



Yuzuncu Yil University Journal of the Institute of Natural & Applied Sciences

https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/yyufbed



Research Article

## Setting Time, Compressive Strength, and Photon Attenuation Properties of Cement Mortars Produced with Nano-SiO<sub>2</sub>

#### Namık YALTAY

Van Yuzuncu Yil University, Faculty of Engineering, Department of Civil Engineering, 65040, Van, Türkiye ORCID No: 0000-0002-0484-1275

 $Corresponding \ author \ e-mail: namikyaltay@yyu.edu.tr$ 

#### Article Info

Received: 31.07.2023 Accepted: 18.09.2023 Online December 2023

DOI:10.53433/yyufbed.1329695

Keywords Cement mortar, Compressive strength, Mass attenuation coefficient, Nano-SiO<sub>2</sub>, Setting time **Abstract:** In this study, initial and final setting time, compressive strength, and photon attenuation properties of cement mortar samples produced with 0.5%, 1%, 2%, and 4% nano-scale SiO<sub>2</sub> addition were investigated. For this purpose, cement mortar samples were prepared and cured for 1, 2, 7, 28, and 90 days. Increases in compressive strength values were observed in the early curing ages, with a slight decrease of 3.17% and 0.33% for low nano-SiO<sub>2</sub> rates (0.5% and 1%) in later ages. In addition, these results were evaluated with Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) images. In the samples added 4% nano-SiO<sub>2</sub>, there was a 13.3% and 9.09% reduction in the initial and final setting times, respectively. Furthermore, mass attenuation coefficients were compared for different cure ages. It was aimed to determine the effect of the curing time on the photon attenuation property by adding nano-SiO<sub>2</sub> to the concrete.

# Nano-SiO2 ile Üretilen Çimento Harçlarının Priz Süresi Basınç Dayanımı ve Foton Zayıflatma Özellikleri

#### Makale Bilgileri

Geliş: 31.07.2023 Kabul: 18.09.2023 Online Aralık 2023

#### DOI:10.53433/yyufbed.1329695

Anahtar Kelimeler Basınç dayanımı, Çimento harcı, Kütle zayıflatma katsayısı, Nano-SiO<sub>2</sub>, Priz süresi **Öz:** Bu çalışmada, %0.5, %1, %2 ve %4 nano boyutlu SiO<sub>2</sub> ilavesi ile üretilen çimento harcı numunelerinin priz başlangıç ve bitiş süreleri, basınç dayanımları ve foton zayıflatma katsayıları incelenmiştir. Bu amaçla çimento harcı numuneleri hazırlanmış ve 1, 2, 7, 28 ve 90 gün kür edilmiştir. Erken kürleme yaşlarında basınç dayanımı değerlerinde artışlar gözlenirken, ileri yaşlarda ve düşük nano-SiO<sub>2</sub> eklemelerinde (%0.5 ve %1) basınç dayanımları %3.17 ve %0.33 oranında azalmıştır. Ayrıca bu sonuçlar Taramalı Elektron Mikroskobu (SEM) görüntüleri ile değerlendirilmiştir. %4 nano-SiO<sub>2</sub> ilave edilen numunelerde priz başlangıç süresinde %13.3, priz bitiş süresinde ise %9.09 oranında azalma olmuştur. Ayrıca farklı kürlenme yaşları için kütle zayıflatma katsayıları karşılaştırılmıştır. Çalışmada kür süresinin, betona Nano SiO<sub>2</sub> eklenmesi ile foton zayıflatma özelliğine yapacağı etkinin belirlenmesi hedeflenmiştir.

#### 1. Introduction

Concrete, used three tons per year by each person, is the most consumed material after water (Gagg, 2014). Due to cement production constituting 5-7% of the total  $CO_2$  emissions in the world, reducing  $CO_2$  emissions and energy costs has become an important issue for researchers (He et al., 2019). In order to reduce the  $CO_2$  release and energy consumption in the production of concrete,

researchers have investigated materials that can partially replace cement to improve properties (e.g., fly ash, silica fume, granulated blast furnace slag) or alternatives to it, such as geopolymer (Singh et al., 2013).

Nanotechnology is the understanding, controlling, and restructuring of a substance at the nanometer level (less than 100 nm) to produce materials with new features and functions (Sanchez & Sobolev, 2006). Nano concrete is defined as concrete produced with nanomaterials or the addition of nanomaterials of less than 500 nm (Norhasri et al., 2017).

According to Givi et al. (2010), nano-SiO<sub>2</sub> addition in concrete increases the compressive flexural and tensile strength for all curing ages. Nano-SiO<sub>2</sub> of 15 nm and 80 nm size can be replaced by 2% maximum cement, and the optimum replacing percentage is 1% and 1.5% for the above sizes, respectively. The smaller size (15 nm) is better for enhancing early age strengths with respect to the larger size (80 nm), which gives good results in 90 days. In their study, Ltifi et al. (2011) used nano SiO<sub>2</sub> with an average size of 9 nm at 3 and 10% ratios in cement mortar mixtures. In their study, in which they examined the setting time and strength of 3, 7, 14, and 28 days, they reported that Nano SiO<sub>2</sub> made the cement paste thicker, accelerated the hydration process, and increased the compressive strength. Another study on cement replacement by nano-SiO<sub>2</sub> showed improved compressive strength and that the optimum content is 5% (Behfarnia & Salemi, 2013). On the other hand, Nik & Omran (2013) investigated the compressive strength of self-compacted concrete using fibers and nano-SiO<sub>2</sub>. They reported that a 4% nano-SiO<sub>2</sub> percentage is appropriate. According to Hou et al. (2015), nano-SiO<sub>2</sub> increases the hydration process at early ages, and at later ages, the hydration rate decelerates because of the compact form of pozzolanic products by nano-SiO<sub>2</sub>. Jankovic et al. (2016) reported that while 2% of nano-SiO<sub>2</sub> compressive and flexural strengths increase, between 2-5% decrease. According to Givi et al. (2011), 15-nm-sized nano-SiO<sub>2</sub> can be used up to 2% to replace cement to obtain low water permeability and initial and final setting times. In their studies, Fallah & Nematzadeh (2017) reported that 2% nano-SiO<sub>2</sub> improves mechanical properties.

Hassanzadeh & Sadat Kiai (2018) stated that adding nano-sized SiO<sub>2</sub> to concrete improves nuclear properties and can be helpful in radiation shielding. In the study, they reported that the average relative difference between the linear attenuation coefficient for specimens with nano-SiO<sub>2</sub> material between simulation and theoretical and experimental results was 6.4% and 5.5%, respectively. They also reported that when nano-SiO<sub>2</sub> was increased up to 1.5% and MnFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> temperature was increased to 673 K, the " $\mu$ " value increased at all energies and the photon dose rate decreased to 9.2% and 3.7% for MnFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and SiO<sub>2</sub> at 0.511 and 1.274 MeV gamma ray energies, respectively. According to Elsharkawy & Sadawy (2016) adding nano-sized SiO<sub>2</sub> to concrete by up to 1.5% increased the total  $\gamma$ -ray attenuation coefficient  $\mu$  for all energies. They applied a 28-day cure for the samples. Zaghloul & Elwan (2017) state that nano SiO<sub>2</sub> in concrete mix is effective in increasing  $\gamma$  radiation attenuation and reduces the required thickness for shielding. In this study, cure periods were applied as 7 and 28 days. They reported that they used 1%, 3%, 5% and 7% instead of cement in mixtures and that 3% nano-silica improved the physical, mechanical and radiation shielding properties.

In this study, nano-sized SiO<sub>2</sub> was replaced by cement to investigate compressive strength, setting time, and photon attenuation properties of cement mortars. Considering past studies above, a nano-SiO<sub>2</sub> content rate of 0-4% was chosen for a mixture. In addition, the results were interpreted with images taken by scanning electron microscopy (SEM).

The main aim of the study is to determine the effect of the curing time of the cement mortar on the photon attenuation property of the samples added nano-SiO<sub>2</sub>. In past studies on photon attenuation of nano SiO<sub>2</sub>, concrete curing times were generally taken as standard. This study aims to reveal the especially effect of curing time (1, 2, 7, 28, 90 days) on this property. In addition, since the most important mechanical property of concrete is compressive strength and nano-SiO<sub>2</sub> is known to affect the setting time of concrete, the variation of these two parameters was also investigated.

#### 2. Material and Methods

## 2.1. Materials

The mixture was prepared using CEM I 42.5 Portland cement, CEN-Standard Sand, and tap water. In the mixtures, except for the control group, nano-sized Silica SiO<sub>2</sub> (30 nm average size) and

superplasticizer were used. The chemical and physical properties of the cement and nano-silica are given in Table 1, Table 2 and Table3 respectively. A scanning electron microscope (SEM) view of the nano-SiO<sub>2</sub> is given in Figure 1.

| Material                       | Percentage |
|--------------------------------|------------|
| Material                       | (%)        |
| SiO <sub>2</sub>               | 20.78      |
| Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> | 5.10       |
| $Fe_2O_3$                      | 3.15       |
| CaO                            | 60.67      |
| SO <sub>3</sub>                | 2.79       |
| Heat loss                      | 2.10       |
| Na <sub>2</sub> O              | 0.35       |
| K <sub>2</sub> O               | 0.80       |
| Free CaO                       | 1.48       |

Table 1. Chemical analysis of the cement

Table 2. Physical analysis of the cement

| Physical Properties                    |      |
|--|------|
| Fineness 45 µm test sieve residue (%)  | 1.5  |
| Specific gravity (gr/cm <sup>3</sup> ) | 3.09 |
| Specific surface (cm <sup>2</sup> /gr) | 3739 |

# Table 3. Physical properties of Nano-SiO $_2$

| Average Size<br>(nm) | Purity<br>(%) | Colour | Shape               | Bulk Density<br>(gr/m3) | True Density<br>(gr/m3) |
|----------------------|---------------|--------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| 30 nm                | 99.9          | White  | Almost<br>spherical | ~ 0.063                 | ~ 2.2 - 2.6             |



Figure 1. SEM view of the nano-SiO<sub>2</sub>.

# 2.2. Method

# 2.2.1. Mixture preparation

All the mixtures were prepared according to TS-EN 197-1 (TSE, 2012) (Table 4). According to this standard, the mix proportions were constant. For the mixing process, a cement mixer in accordance with TS-EN 197-1 (TSE, 2012), shown in Figure 2 (a), was used. In this respect, water was put in the mixing vessel, and cement was subsequently added. The mixer was started immediately at low speed

for 30 s. The sand was added continuously for 30 s. The mixer was set to high speed and mixed for 30 s. The mixer was stopped after 1 min 30 s. The mortar that adhered to the walls and the base was scraped off with a rubber scraper, and then mixing continued at high speed for 60 s. This process was repeated for the mixtures with nano-silica addition. However, to disperse the nano-silica nicely, water, nano-silica, and superplasticizer were mixed at high speed (120 rpm) for 2 min before adding this mixture to the main mixture. After the mixture process, a fresh mortar was moulded in standard cement moulds (40x40x160 mm in size), which were fixed to a vibrating device (Figure 2(b)). Fresh mortar was placed in the moulds (Figure 2(b)) in two layers. Each layer was vibrated for 60 falls, and after finishing the surface, the layers were put in a moisture cabin (Figure 2(d)) for 24 hours. As seen in Figure 3, demoulded hardened mortar prisms were put in the curing water at  $20 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C for 1, 2, 7, 28, and 90 days.

In the trial mixtures, it can be observed that the nano-silica addition reduces the workability of fresh mortars. This situation is consistent with the literature by Ltifi et al. (2011). In order to increase workability, a superplasticizer was used. The amount of superplasticizer was determined by trial and error method.

| Mixture | Sand<br>(%) | Cement | Water | Nano-sized<br>SiO <sub>2</sub> by weight<br>(%) | Nano-Sized<br>Silica<br>(gr) | Superplasticizer<br>(gr) |
|---------|-------------|--------|-------|---|------------------------------|--------------------------|
| M0      | 1350        | 450    | 225   | 0   | 0                            | 0                        |
| M0.5    | 1350        | 447.75 | 225   | 0.5   | 2.25                         | 0.45                     |
| M1      | 1350        | 445.5  | 225   | 1   | 4.5                          | 1.35                     |
| M2      | 1350        | 441    | 225   | 2   | 9                            | 2.70                     |
| M4      | 1350        | 432    | 225   | 4   | 18                           | 7.20                     |

Table 4. Mixture proportions



Figure 2. Mixture process.



Figure 3. Curing of the samples.

# 2.2.2. Setting time experiment

For control samples, 500 gr of cement was measured and weighed to a sensitivity of 1 gr. Next, 125 gr. of water was weighed and placed in a mixing bowl. As seen in the Figure 2(a) the mixer was immediately run at low speed for 90 s. At the end of 90 s, it was stopped for 15 s; meanwhile, the cement paste which had adhered to the inner walls of the mixer container was peeled off and added to the mixture. This process was repeated for each nano addition percentage of replaced cement. The amount of superplasticizer, determined by trial and error, was added to the mixtures with nano addition. The mixer was rerun at low speed for 90 s. The total working time of the mixer was 3 min. Initial and final setting times were determined by a vicat tool (Figure 4) according to needle penetration depths. Penetration depths were determined by measuring in 10-minute periods.



Figure 4 Setting time experiment (Using Vicat Tool).

# 2.2.3. Compressive strength experiment

For compressive strength determination, as seen in Figure 5, a standard press was used. The load was increased smoothly  $(2400 \pm 200 \text{ N/s})$  until the prism broke. The breaking load was saved, and the compressive strength values were calculated by dividing the force into the cross-section area.



Figure 5. Compressive strength experiment.

# 2.2.4. Imaging by scanning electron microscopy

Microstructures of the samples were examined using Scanning Electron Microscopy (Zeiss Sigma 300).

## 2.2.5. Radiation shielding experiment

Hardened samples were exposed to radiation by a  ${}^{60}$ Co radiation source that emits 1250 keV photons as shown in Figure 6(a), the photon transmissions were measured using a farmer-type ion chamber (0.6 cc PTW<sup>TM</sup>), and a as seen in Figure 6(b) Unidos Electrometer was used for dose readings Without sample (I<sub>0</sub>), readings were done first, and then readings with samples were made (I), using the formula (1)

$$I = I_0 e^{-\mu x} \tag{1}$$

' $\mu$ ' (photon attenuation coefficient) values were calculated.

Mass attenuation values were calculated by dividing the photon attenuation coefficient into density as ' $\mu/\rho$ '.



Figure 6. a) Radiation experiment (I-Radiation source, II-Collimator (5cmx5cm), III-Lead collimator with 1cm<sup>2</sup> opening, IV-Phantoms) b) Unidos E electrometer (I) and Control panel of the radiation source (II).

# 3. Results and Discussion

## 3.1. Setting time

Nano-silica content between 0.5 and 2% did not cause significant changes in start and finish setting time. However, 4% nano-silica content significantly decreased initial and final setting time, 13.33% and 9.09%, respectively (Table 5, Figure 7). This result is consistent with Ltifi et al. (2011) and Qing et al. (2007).

|                         | M0<br>(min) | M0.5<br>(min) | M1<br>(min) | M2<br>(min) | M4<br>(min)) |
|-------------------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
| Setting Time<br>(Start) | 225         | 220           | 225         | 230         | 195          |
| Setting Time            | 225         | 220           | 223         | 250         | 175          |
| (Finish)                | 275         | 280           | 285         | 285         | 250          |

Table 5. Setting time results



Figure 7. Setting time variation with Nano-SiO<sub>2</sub> content.

## **3.2.** Compressive strength results

Compressive strength test results and change rates according to control samples are given in Tables 6 and 7. The graphical representation of the results is shown in Figure 8.

| Curing<br>age(day) | M0<br>(MPa) | M0.5<br>(MPa) | M1<br>(MPa) | M2<br>(MPa) | M4<br>(MPa) |
|--------------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| age(uay)           |             |               |             |             |             |
| 1                  | 14.03       | 15.38         | 16.40       | 16.73       | 15.98       |
| 2                  | 27.28       | 32.30         | 31.73       | 33.53       | 34.40       |
| 7                  | 44.48       | 45.23         | 47.55       | 48.40       | 53.15       |
| 28                 | 53.70       | 54.93         | 56.78       | 59.50       | 63.45       |
| 90                 | 60.25       | 58.10         | 60.05       | 64.43       | 64.55       |

Table 6. Compressive strength results

Table 7. Compressive strength difference versus control samples (%)

| Curing   | M0  | M0.5  | M1    | M2    | M4    |
|----------|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| age(day) | (%) | (%)   | (%)   | (%)   | (%)   |
| 1        | -   | 9.63  | 16.93 | 19.25 | 13.9  |
| 2        | -   | 18.42 | 16.32 | 22.91 | 21.25 |
| 7        | -   | 1.69  | 6.91  | 8.83  | 19.51 |
| 28       | -   | 2.28  | 5.73  | 10.80 | 18.16 |
| 90       | -   | -3.57 | -0.33 | 6.93  | 7.14  |



Figure 8. Compressive strength results.

When the results were examined, the following was found:

The mortars containing 0.5, 1, 2, and 4% nano-silica increased their compressive strengths in early curing ages (1 and 2 days) compared with control samples. The highest increase investigated was in the samples that contained 2% nano-silica with a 2-day curing age; the increase was 22.91% (Table 6, Table 7, Figure 8). When the 10000 and 50000 magnification SEM images of the samples cured for two days are examined (Figure 9), it is seen that the samples containing 2% Nano-SiO<sub>2</sub> have less voids and denser microstructure and have more calcium silicate hydrate (C-S-H) which could be due to high pozzolanic activity and the filler effect of the nano-sized SiO<sub>2</sub>,which causes increasing in compressive strength (El-Gamal et al., 2017; Nik & Omran, 2013; Zhang et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2017; Shih et al., 2006; Behfarnia & Rostami, 2017; Beigi et al., 2013; Ltifi et al., 2011).

For early ages, in the 4% nano addition, the rate of increase of compressive strength was lower than for the 0.5%, 1%, and 2% samples.



Figure 9. SEM images at 10000 magnification of samples cured for two days.



Figure 10. SEM images at 50000 magnification of samples cured for two days.

For the 7- and 28-day curing ages, increasing nano silica content from 0.5 to 4% increased the compressive strength values. The highest increase was found in samples with 4% nano content cured for seven days: 19.51 % (Table 6, Table 7).

For the later curing age (90 days), few decreases in compressive strength were obtained for the samples containing 0.5 and 1% nano-silica: 3.57% and 0.33%, respectively. When the 10000 magnification SEM images of the samples cured for 90 days were examined (Figure 11), microvoids and ettringite formation were observed in the sample containing 0.5% nano-silica. This could be the reason for the decrease in compressive strength.



Figure 11. SEM images at 10000 magnification of samples cured for 90 days.

In the 2 and 4% nano-silica content samples, increased compressive strength values were found at 6.93% and 7.14%, respectively. The compressive strength values of later curing ages were increased by nano-silica, but they were lower than those of earlier ages (Table 6, Table 7).



New Project | New Sample | Area 6 | Selected Area 3



#### Smart Quant Results

| Element | Weight % | Atomic % | Net Int. | Error % | Kratio | Ζ      | А      | F      |
|---------|----------|----------|----------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| ОК      | 10.59    | 21.69    | 205.58   | 11.26   | 0.0254 | 1.1635 | 0.2062 | 1.0000 |
| AIK     | 2.47     | 3.00     | 137.44   | 6.02    | 0.0215 | 1.0192 | 0.8499 | 1.0048 |
| SiK     | 5.27     | 6.14     | 296.16   | 4.20    | 0.0496 | 1.0391 | 0.8999 | 1.0069 |
| SK      | 11.87    | 12.12    | 460.01   | 3.94    | 0.1160 | 1.0130 | 0.9526 | 1.0128 |
| CaK     | 69.80    | 57.05    | 1160.69  | 3.48    | 0.6674 | 0.9674 | 0.9875 | 1.0009 |
|         |          |          |          |         |        |        |        |        |

Figure 12. EDX analysis result for the ettringite region.

Figure 12 shows the edx analysis of the region estimated to be ettringite. It is seen that there is 11.87% sulfur, 69.80% calcium and 5.27% silicon in the structure. This result supports the idea that the structure is ettringite.

# 3.3. Radiation shielding results

1. In the control samples, the mass attenuation coefficient values increased as the curing age increased. The highest values of mass attenuation coefficient were obtained for 90 days curing age at 2.67 %. The likely reason is that the curing of the concrete causes C-S-H formation, and the mass attenuation coefficient reaches higher values (Table 8, Table 9, Figure 13).

2. Adding 0.5% nano-Silica increased mass attenuation coefficient values at all curing ages compared with control samples by 0.6%, 1.24%, 1.16%, 0.002%, and 1.65%, respectively. This group obtained the most significant change at 90 days of curing age. However, the increase at 28 days is meager (Tables 8 and 9, Figure 13). In the literature, it has been reported that nano SiO<sub>2</sub> at rates such as 1.5% and 3% causes improvements in photon attenuation property of concrete (Hassanzadeh & Sadat Kiai, 2018; Elsharkawy & Sadawy, 2016; Zaghloul & Elwan, 2017). In this study, it can be said that the best result was obtained with 0.5% nano SiO<sub>2</sub> rate related to photon attenuation.

3. Adding 1% nano-SiO<sub>2</sub> increased 1, 2, 28, and 90 day samples by 0.12%, 0.42%, 0.004%, and 1.01%, respectively; however, there was a decrease of 0.16% for seven days.

4. Addition of 2% and 4% nano-SiO<sub>2</sub> decreased mass attenuation coefficient values for all curing ages, except for 1 and 2 days for the M2 group (Table 8, Table 9, Figure 13).

Generally, at a 1250 keV gamma energy level, even 0.5% nano-SiO<sub>2</sub> addition improved the mass attenuation coefficient; no linear relation could be found between the nano-SiO<sub>2</sub> rate and the mass attenuation coefficient. Similarly, except for control samples, there was no significant relation between the curing age and mass attenuation coefficient at 1250 keV gamma energy level.

| Curing<br>age(day) | M0<br>(cm <sup>-1</sup> ) | M0.5<br>(cm <sup>-1</sup> ) | M1<br>(cm <sup>-1</sup> ) | M2<br>(cm <sup>-1</sup> ) | M4<br>(cm <sup>-1</sup> ) |
|--------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1                  | 0.091694                  | 0.093115                    | 0.091879                  | 0.092682                  | 0.091694                  |
| 2                  | 0.092126                  | 0.094731                    | 0.092867                  | 0.093239                  | 0.091079                  |
| 7                  | 0.092064                  | 0.093487                    | 0.092435                  | 0.092311                  | 0.089914                  |
| 28                 | 0.092867                  | 0.092991                    | 0.093363                  | 0.092806                  | 0.092435                  |
| 90                 | 0.092744                  | 0.093735                    | 0.093922                  | 0.091202                  | 0.092435                  |

Table 8. Photon attenuation coefficient ( $\mu$ ) of samples

Table 9. Mass attenuation coefficient  $(\mu_{\rho})$  of samples

| Curing<br>age(day) | M0<br>(cm <sup>-1</sup> ) | M0.5<br>(cm <sup>-1</sup> ) | M1<br>(cm <sup>-1</sup> ) | M2<br>(cm <sup>-1</sup> ) | M4<br>(cm <sup>-1</sup> ) |
|--------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1                  | 0.044665                  | 0.044938                    | 0.044725                  | 0.045057                  | 0.044221                  |
| 2                  | 0.044921                  | 0.045475                    | 0.045108                  | 0.045106                  | 0.044424                  |
| 7                  | 0.045058                  | 0.045583                    | 0.044989                  | 0.044759                  | 0.043276                  |
| 28                 | 0.045280                  | 0.045383                    | 0.045452                  | 0.045082                  | 0.045050                  |
| 90                 | 0.045864                  | 0.046618                    | 0.046321                  | 0.044331                  | 0.044887                  |



Figure 13. Mass attenuation coefficient versus nano silica addition rates.

#### 4. Conclusion

The addition of 4% nano-silica decreases the setting time.

Even at low rates, nano addition increases the compressive strength values, especially in early curing ages. In later curing age (90 days) samples, low percentages (0.5-1%) of nano-silica decrease compressive strength at low rates, but 2% and 4% nano-silica addition increase the compressive strength with a rate less than for early ages.

The addition of 0.5% nano-silica improves the photon attenuation coefficient, but no linear relation can be found between the nano-SiO<sub>2</sub> rate and the mass attenuation coefficient.

## References

- Beigi, M. H., Berenjian, J., Omran, O. L., Nik, A. S., & Nikbin, I. M. (2013). An experimental survey on combined effects of fibers and nano-silica on the mechanical, rheological, and durability properties of self-compacting concrete. *Materials & Design*, 50, 1019-1029. doi:10.1016/j.matdes.2013.03.046
- Behfarnia, K., & Rostami, M. (2017). Effects of micro and nanoparticles of SiO<sub>2</sub> on the permeability of alkali activated slag concrete. *Construction and Building Materials*, 131, 205-213. doi:10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2016.11.070
- Behfarnia, K., & Salemi, N. (2013). The effects of nano-silica and nano-alumina on frost resistance of normal concrete. *Construction and Building Materials*, 48, 580-584. doi:10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2013.07.088
- El-Gamal, S. M. A., Hashem, F. S., & Amin, M. S. (2017). Influence of carbon nanotubes, nanosilica and nanometakaolin on some morphological-mechanical properties of oil well cement pastes subjected to elevated water curing temperature and regular room air curing temperature. *Construction and Building Materials*, 146, 531-546. doi:10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2017.04.124
- Elsharkawy, E. R., & Sadawy, M. M. (2016). Effect of gamma ray energies and addition of nano-SiO<sub>2</sub> to cement on mechanical properties and mass attenuation coefficient. *IOSR Journal of Mechanical and Civil Engineering*, 13(6), 17-22.
- Fallah, S., & Nematzadeh, M. (2017). Mechanical properties and durability of high-strength concrete containing macro-polymeric and polypropylene fibers with nano-silica and silica fume. *Construction and Building Materials*, 132, 170-187. doi:10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2016.11.100

- Gagg, C. R. (2014). Cement and concrete as an engineering material: An historic appraisal and case study analysis. *Engineering Failure Analysis*, 40, 114-140. doi:10.1016/j.engfailanal.2014.02.004
- Givi, A. N., Rashid, S. A., Aziz, F. N. A., & Salleh, M. A. M. (2010). Experimental investigation of the size effects of SiO<sub>2</sub> nano-particles on the mechanical properties of binary blended concrete. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 41(8), 673-677. doi:10.1016/j.compositesb.2010.08.003
- Givi, A. N., Rashid, S. A., Aziz, F. N. A., & Salleh, M. A. M. (2011). Investigations on the development of the permeability properties of binary blended concrete with nano-SiO<sub>2</sub> particles. *Journal of Composite Materials*, 45(19), 1931-1938. doi:10.1177/0021998310389091
- Hassanzadeh, M., & Sadat Kiai, S. M. (2018). Calculation of photon attenuation coefficient and dose rate in concrete with the addition of SiO<sub>2</sub> and MnFe2O4 nanoparticles using MCNPX code and comparison with experimental results. *Nuclear Science and Techniques*, 29, 1-7. doi:10.1007/s41365-018-0493-y
- He, Z., Zhu, X., Wang, J., Mu, M., & Wang, Y. (2019). Comparison of CO2 emissions from OPC and recycled cement production. *Construction and Building Materials*, 211, 965-973.
- Hou, P., Qian, J., Cheng, X., & Shah, S. P. (2015). Effects of the pozzolanic reactivity of nano-SiO<sub>2</sub> on cement-based materials. *Cement and Concrete Composites*, 55, 250-258. doi:10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2014.09.014
- Janković, K., Stanković, S., Bojović, D., Stojanović, M., & Antić, L. (2016). The influence of nanosilica and barite aggregate on properties of ultra high performance concrete. *Construction and Building Materials*, 126, 147-156. doi:10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2016.09.026
- Ltifi, M., Guefrech, A., Mounanga, P., & Khelidj, A. (2011). Experimental study of the effect of addition of nano-silica on the behaviour of cement mortars. *Procedia Engineering*, 10, 900-905. doi:10.1016/j.proeng.2011.04.148
- Nik, A. S., & Omran, O. L. (2013). Estimation of compressive strength of self-compacted concrete with fibers consisting nano-SiO<sub>2</sub> using ultrasonic pulse velocity. *Construction and Building Materials*, 44, 654-662. doi:10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2013.03.082
- Norhasri, M. M., Hamidah, M. S., & Fadzil, A. M. (2017). Applications of using nano material in concrete: A review. *Construction and Building Materials*, 133, 91-97. doi:10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2016.12.005
- Qing, Y., Zenan, Z., Deyu, K., & Rongshen, C. (2007). Influence of nano-SiO<sub>2</sub> addition on properties of hardened cement paste as compared with silica fume. *Construction and Building Materials*, 21(3), 539-545. doi:10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2005.09.001
- Sanchez, F., & Sobolev, K. (2010). Nanotechnology in concrete-A review. *Construction and Building Materials*, 24(11), 2060-2071. doi:10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2010.03.014
- Shih, J. Y., Chang, T. P., & Hsiao, T. C. (2006). Effect of nanosilica on characterization of Portland cement composite. *Materials Science and Engineering: A*, 424(1-2), 266-274. doi:10.1016/j.msea.2006.03.010
- Singh, L. P., Karade, S. R., Bhattacharyya, S. K., Yousuf, M. M., & Ahalawat, S. (2013). Beneficial role of nanosilica in cement based materials–A review. *Construction and Building Materials*, 47, 1069-1077. doi:10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2013.05.052
- TSE. (2012). (TS EN 197-1) Turkish Standard: Cement Part 1: Composition, specifications and conformity criteria for common cements.
- Wu, Z., Khayat, K. H., & Shi, C. (2017). Effect of nano-SiO<sub>2</sub> particles and curing time on development of fiber-matrix bond properties and microstructure of ultra-high strength concrete. *Cement and Concrete Research*, 95, 247-256. doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2017.02.031
- Zhang, P., Wan, J., Wang, K., & Li, Q. (2017). Influence of nano-SiO<sub>2</sub> on properties of fresh and hardened high performance concrete: A state-of-the-art review. *Construction and Building Materials*, 148, 648-658. doi:10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2017.05.059
- Zaghloul, Y. R., & Elwan, S. K. (2017). Characterization of nano-silica concrete for nuclear uses. International Journal of Current Engineering and Technology, 7(1), 207-212.