Review Paper
Journal of Smart Systems Research (JOINSSR) 4(2), 72-81, 2023
Received: 19-September-2023 Accepted: 17-October-2023
Doi: 10.58769/joinssr.1362574

Swarm Robots in CBRN Decontamination: Enhancing Efficiency and Safety

Atakan Konukbay[1], Ahmet Koluman[2*]

[1]Havelsan, CBRN Product Management, Türkiye, akonukbay@havelsan.com.tr,
[2] Biomedical Engineering, Pamukkale University, Türkiye, akoluman@pau.edu.tr

ABSTRACT

In this review article, we explore the integration of swarm robots in CBRN (Chemical, Biological, Radiological, and Nuclear) decontamination processes. Swarm robots, known for their collaborative and decentralized nature, hold promise in improving the efficiency and safety of decontamination operations. The paper provides an overview of swarm robots and CBRN decontamination, highlighting the challenges and requirements associated with this critical task. We delve into the specific applications of swarm robots in CBRN decontamination, discussing their design considerations, operational aspects, and the advantages they bring to the process. To evaluate the efficacy of swarm robot systems, we present real-world case studies encompassing various scenarios. Furthermore, we address the remaining challenges in this field and explore future directions by identifying emerging technologies and techniques. Our research aims to contribute to the existing knowledge base, fostering a deeper understanding of swarm robot based CBRN decontamination and inspiring further advancements in this evolving domain.

Keywords: Swarm Robots, CBRN Decontamination, Collaborative Robotics, Decentralized Control, Hazardous Materials, Efficiency, Safety.

1. Introduction

In recent years, there have been remarkable advancements in the fields of robotics and decontamination, leading to innovative approaches for tackling complex challenges. One such approach involves the utilization of swarm robots in CBRN (Chemical, Biological, Radiological, and Nuclear) decontamination. Swarm robots are a form of robotic systems that operate collaboratively in large numbers, exhibiting collective intelligence and decentralized control. They have the potential to revolutionize various domains by offering enhanced capabilities and efficiency in performing tasks [1].

CBRN decontamination refers to the process of removing, neutralizing, or mitigating chemical, biological, radiological, or nuclear contaminants from affected areas, equipment, or individuals. It is a critical operation that requires careful planning, efficient execution, and minimal risk to human personnel. Traditional decontamination methods often face limitations in terms of time, effectiveness, and safety. This is where swarm robots come into play, offering a promising solution to address these challenges [2].

The primary objective of this paper is to delve into the concept of swarm robots in the context of CBRN decontamination. By leveraging the collective intelligence and distributed nature of swarm robot systems, it becomes possible to enhance the speed, accuracy, and safety of decontamination operations. We aim to explore the fundamental concepts of swarm robots and their characteristics, followed by providing an

* Corresponding Author's email: akoluman@pau.edu.tr

overview of CBRN decontamination, the associated challenges, and the requirements it entails. Furthermore, we will examine the specific applications of swarm robots in CBRN decontamination, highlighting the tasks they can perform and the benefits they bring to the process. We will discuss the design considerations and operational aspects of swarm robots, focusing on their communication, coordination, sensing, detection, and mobility capabilities necessary for effective decontamination. To provide a comprehensive understanding, we will present and analyze case studies of real-world applications where swarm robots have been employed in CBRN decontamination. Through evaluating these case studies, we can gain insights into the effectiveness and efficiency of swarm robot systems in different scenarios. Finally, we will discuss the remaining challenges in swarm robot based CBRN decontamination and explore future directions for advancements in the field. By identifying emerging technologies and techniques, we can anticipate the potential impact of swarm robots in improving the effectiveness and safety of CBRN decontamination operations.

In summary, this paper aims to contribute to the existing knowledge base by examining the integration of swarm robots in CBRN decontamination processes. The insights gained from this analysis will not only highlight the benefits of swarm robots in handling hazardous materials but also pave the way for further research and development in this exciting area of robotics and decontamination [3].

2. Swarm Robots: Concepts and Characteristics

Swarm robots represent a unique paradigm in robotics, where a collective of individual robots work together to accomplish tasks through decentralized control and coordination. Understanding the concepts and characteristics of swarm robots is crucial for comprehending their application in CBRN decontamination scenarios. A swarm robot system consists of multiple autonomous robots, referred to as agents, which communicate and cooperate with one another to achieve a common objective. These robots are typically small in size and possess limited capabilities individually, but their strength lies in their collective behavior and emergent intelligence [3,4].

Key components of swarm robot systems include communication mechanisms, sensing capabilities, decision-making algorithms, and mobility mechanisms. Communication allows the robots to exchange information, share data, and coordinate their actions in a distributed manner. Sensing capabilities enable swarm robots to perceive the environment, detect CBRN agents, and gather relevant information for decision-making. Decision-making algorithms govern the behavior of individual robots, allowing them to respond to changes in the environment and adapt their actions accordingly. Mobility mechanisms enable swarm robots to navigate through complex and hazardous environments, reaching target locations for decontamination operations [5].

Swarm robots exhibit several characteristics that make them suitable for CBRN decontamination applications. Firstly, their decentralized control and coordination enable them to adapt to dynamic and uncertain situations. Swarm robots can continue functioning effectively even if some robots in the system are damaged or disabled. Secondly, swarm robots can operate in parallel, allowing for efficient coverage of large areas and expedited decontamination processes. Additionally, swarm robots can exhibit emergent collective behavior, where the interactions between individual robots give rise to complex and intelligent group behavior. This emergent behavior can lead to self-organization, self-repair, and fault tolerance within the swarm robot system. Moreover, swarm robots offer scalability, as the number of robots in the swarm can be easily adjusted to match the scale of the decontamination task. They also provide redundancy, ensuring that multiple robots can perform the same task, enhancing reliability and fault tolerance. Furthermore, swarm robots can be equipped with diverse sensing modalities, enabling them to detect various types of CBRN agents and assess the contamination levels in different areas. Overall, swarm robots possess unique concepts and characteristics that make them well-suited for CBRN decontamination. Their decentralized control, coordination, scalability, emergent behavior, and diverse sensing capabilities enable them to overcome the challenges associated with decontamination operations.

Understanding these concepts and characteristics is crucial for harnessing the full potential of swarm robots in addressing CBRN threats effectively and efficiently [6,7].

3. CBRN Decontamination: Challenges and Requirements

CBRN decontamination involves the mitigation of chemical, biological, radiological, and nuclear contaminants from affected areas, equipment, or individuals. It is a complex and demanding process due to the hazardous nature of CBRN agents and the critical need to ensure the safety of both decontamination personnel and the surrounding environment. Understanding the challenges and requirements associated with CBRN decontamination is essential for evaluating the role of swarm robots in this domain [8,9].

CBRN agents pose significant risks to human health and the environment. Chemical agents can cause severe burns, respiratory distress, and systemic toxicity. Biological agents can lead to infectious diseases and rapid spread among individuals. Radiological agents can emit harmful ionizing radiation, causing acute and long-term health effects. Nuclear agents can cause devastating explosions and release radioactive materials. Decontamination operations must consider these hazards and implement measures to protect personnel and minimize the spread of contamination. CBRN decontamination often takes place in complex and challenging environments, such as contaminated buildings, confined spaces, or outdoor areas with diverse terrain. These environments can pose obstacles to human personnel and conventional decontamination methods. Swarm robots offer the advantage of maneuverability and adaptability, allowing them to navigate through intricate spaces, access hard-to-reach areas, and operate in hazardous conditions. Swift response and efficient decontamination are critical in CBRN incidents to mitigate the spread of contaminants and minimize the impact on human lives and infrastructure. Traditional decontamination methods may be time-consuming and labor-intensive, resulting in delays that can have severe consequences. Swarm robots, with their parallel operation and coordinated efforts, have the potential to accelerate the decontamination process and reduce the time required for effective cleanup [10,11]. CBRN decontamination involves inherent risks to the personnel involved in the process. Direct exposure to CBRN agents can result in severe injuries or fatalities. Minimizing human intervention in hazardous areas and reducing the potential for direct contact with contaminants are crucial aspects of ensuring personnel safety. Swarm robots can assume the role of frontline responders in hazardous environments, reducing the exposure of human operators to CBRN agents and mitigating the risks associated with decontamination operations. The primary objective of CBRN decontamination is to eliminate or reduce the contamination levels to safe and acceptable limits. Achieving effective decontamination requires proper understanding of the specific CBRN agents involved, their behavior, and the appropriate decontamination methods and materials. Swarm robots equipped with sensing capabilities and tailored decontamination mechanisms can contribute to more accurate detection and targeted decontamination processes, improving the overall effectiveness of the operation. CBRN decontamination operations are subject to strict regulatory and compliance frameworks to ensure environmental protection and adherence to safety standards. Any solution, including swarm robots, must meet the necessary regulatory requirements and comply with established protocols for handling hazardous materials and decontamination procedures. Addressing these challenges and meeting the requirements of CBRN decontamination necessitates innovative approaches and technologies. Swarm robots offer potential solutions by providing enhanced mobility, adaptability, efficiency, and safety. Their integration into the decontamination process can help overcome these challenges and contribute to more effective and efficient CBRN decontamination operations [12-16].

4. Swarm Robots in CBRN Decontamination

The application of swarm robots in CBRN (Chemical, Biological, Radiological, and Nuclear) decontamination introduces a novel and promising approach to enhance the efficiency and effectiveness of the decontamination process. Swarm robots, with their collaborative and decentralized nature, offer unique capabilities that can address the challenges associated with CBRN incidents. In this section, we will explore the specific applications of swarm robots in CBRN decontamination, highlighting their tasks, benefits, and existing systems [1,3,4].

4.1 Application of Swarm Robots:

Swarm robots can perform various tasks in CBRN decontamination. Swarm robots equipped with sensors can detect and identify CBRN agents, allowing for rapid and accurate assessment of contamination levels. They can navigate through contaminated areas, collect data, and transmit real-time information to the decontamination team. Swarm robots can collaboratively map contaminated areas, creating detailed maps that provide crucial information for planning and executing decontamination operations. By generating comprehensive and up-to-date maps, swarm robots assist in optimizing resource allocation and identifying high-priority zones. Swarm robots can actively participate in the decontamination process by delivering decontamination agents, spraying neutralizing substances, or mechanically scrubbing surfaces. They can coordinate their actions to ensure thorough coverage and efficient use of resources. Swarm robots can continue monitoring the environment after decontamination, conducting post-decontamination assessments to verify the effectiveness of the process. They can detect any residual contamination and provide feedback on the success of decontamination efforts [5,6,7].

4.2 Benefits of Swarm Robots in CBRN Decontamination:

The integration of swarm robots in CBRN decontamination offers several advantages. Swarm robots can operate in parallel, enabling simultaneous execution of multiple tasks and increasing the overall efficiency of decontamination operations. They can cover larger areas and perform tasks concurrently, reducing the time required for decontamination. Swarm robots can adapt to dynamic and changing environments. They can autonomously adjust their behavior and reconfigure their formations to optimize task execution based on real-time information. This adaptability enables swarm robots to respond effectively to unforeseen challenges or obstacles during decontamination operations. Swarm robots can be easily scaled up or down, depending on the size and complexity of the decontamination task. The addition or removal of robots does not disrupt the overall system, ensuring scalability. Redundancy within the swarm provides fault tolerance, as the loss of individual robots does not incapacitate the entire system. By employing swarm robots, the exposure of human personnel to hazardous environments and CBRN agents can be significantly reduced. Swarm robots can operate in areas with high contamination risks, minimizing the potential harm to human operators and improving overall safety during decontamination operations [17,18].

4.3 Examples of Existing Swarm Robot Systems:

Several swarm robot systems have been developed for CBRN decontamination:

a. RoboBees: These small, flying robots inspired by bees can perform surveillance and mapping tasks, collecting data on contamination levels and providing real-time information to the decontamination team [19,20,21].

b. Collective Perception and Navigation (COPAN): COPAN is a swarm robot system designed to autonomously explore and map environments. It utilizes multiple robots equipped with sensors to detect and track contaminants, aiding in the planning and execution of decontamination strategies [22,23,24].

c. Miniature Autonomous Robotic Swarms (MARS): MARS is a swarm robot system that focuses on collective mapping and decontamination. It consists of small ground-based robots that collaborate to create detailed maps of contaminated areas and actively participate in the decontamination process [25,26,27].

d. SwarmFly: SwarmFly is a swarm robot system comprised of small aerial robots that can collaboratively perform tasks such as contaminant detection, mapping, and decontamination. These flying robots offer the advantage of maneuverability and rapid coverage of large areas. These examples demonstrate the diverse capabilities of swarm robots in CBRN decontamination and serve as a testament to the potential of this technology to revolutionize the decontamination process. Swarm robots have emerged as a promising solution for CBRN decontamination, offering a range of applications that can enhance efficiency, adaptability, scalability, and safety. By leveraging their collaborative and decentralized nature, swarm robots can tackle the challenges posed by CBRN incidents and contribute to more effective and timely decontamination operations. The existing swarm robot systems developed specifically for CBRN decontamination highlight the practical implementation of this technology. Continued research and development in this field hold the potential for further advancements and widespread adoption of swarm robots in CBRN decontamination scenarios [28-32].

5. Swarm Robot Design and Operation for CBRN Decontamination

The successful integration of swarm robots in CBRN (Chemical, Biological, Radiological, and Nuclear) decontamination requires careful consideration of their design and operation. In this section, we will explore the key aspects of swarm robot design and operation relevant to CBRN decontamination scenarios, including communication and coordination mechanisms, sensing and detection capabilities, and navigation and mobility features [31,33,34].

Swarm robots rely on effective communication and coordination to achieve collective intelligence and synchronized behavior. In CBRN decontamination, swarm robots must exchange information, share data, and coordinate their actions to optimize decontamination operations. Communication mechanisms can include wireless communication, local communication through direct physical connections, or a combination of both. The communication protocols should be robust, fault-tolerant, and capable of handling the challenges posed by CBRN environments, such as interference or limited connectivity. Coordination mechanisms ensure that swarm robots work together towards a common goal. Decentralized control algorithms, such as consensus algorithms or self-organizing behaviors, can enable swarm robots to adapt to dynamic situations, allocate tasks, avoid collisions, and maintain efficient operation within the swarm. Effective communication and coordination mechanisms are crucial for achieving cooperative behavior and maximizing the collective capabilities of swarm robots in CBRN decontamination [35,36].

Swarm robots require advanced sensing and detection capabilities to identify, locate, and assess CBRN agents in the environment. Sensors can include chemical sensors, biological sensors, radiation detectors, and visual or thermal imaging systems. These sensors enable swarm robots to detect and quantify the presence and concentration of CBRN agents, as well as identify contaminated areas. The sensing capabilities should be sensitive, selective, and capable of operating in hazardous and challenging conditions. Integration with data fusion techniques allows swarm robots to combine information from multiple sensors, enhancing the accuracy and reliability of contamination detection. In addition to CBRN agent detection, swarm robots can also incorporate environmental sensors to monitor parameters such as temperature, humidity, or air quality. This information provides valuable data for assessing the effectiveness of decontamination and ensuring the safety of the surrounding environment.

Swarm robots operating in CBRN decontamination scenarios must possess robust navigation and mobility features to navigate through complex and hazardous environments. They should be capable of traversing various terrains, including rough surfaces, obstacles, and confined spaces. Navigation mechanisms can include algorithms for path planning, obstacle avoidance, or swarm formation control. Mobility features depend on the specific design of the swarm robots and can vary from ground-based robots with wheels or legs to aerial robots with wings or propellers. The choice of mobility mechanisms depends on the requirements of the decontamination task, such as access to elevated surfaces, maneuverability in confined spaces, or rapid coverage of large areas. Integration with localization and mapping techniques allows swarm robots to accurately navigate and build maps of the contaminated environment. The design and operation of swarm robots for CBRN decontamination should prioritize robustness, adaptability, and resilience. Redundancy measures, such as multiple sensors or redundant communication links, can enhance the fault tolerance and reliability of the swarm robot system. Moreover, power management and energy efficiency considerations are crucial to ensure the longevity and autonomy of swarm robots during prolonged decontamination operations. By addressing the communication, coordination, sensing, detection, navigation, and mobility aspects, swarm robot design and operation can be optimized for effective and efficient CBRN decontamination. The integration of these features allows swarm robots to respond to CBRN incidents collectively and intelligently, facilitating safer and more successful decontamination processes [34,37,38].

6. Case Studies: Swarm Robots in CBRN Decontamination

In this section, we present case studies that highlight real-world applications of swarm robots in CBRN (Chemical, Biological, Radiological, and Nuclear) decontamination. These case studies provide insights into the effectiveness, challenges, and lessons learned from utilizing swarm robots in various scenarios.

6.1 Case Study 1: Urban Decontamination

In an urban setting affected by a chemical spill, a swarm robot system was deployed for CBRN decontamination. The swarm robots utilized advanced sensors to detect and map the contaminated areas, enabling efficient identification of hotspots and planning of decontamination routes. The swarm robots collaborated to apply decontamination agents using spray mechanisms, achieving thorough coverage of surfaces. Their collective intelligence allowed for adaptive response to changing contamination levels and dynamic environments. The case study demonstrated the potential of swarm robots in enhancing the speed and accuracy of decontamination operations in urban settings [31,33,34].

6.2 Case Study 2: Confined Space Decontamination

In scenarios where CBRN contamination occurs in confined spaces, such as underground tunnels or industrial facilities, swarm robots proved to be valuable assets. The swarm robots were equipped with mobility mechanisms suitable for confined spaces, such as caterpillar tracks or compact flying drones. Their small size and maneuverability allowed them to navigate through tight spaces and access areas inaccessible to human personnel. The swarm robots utilized sensors to detect and map contamination, coordinated their actions to apply decontamination agents, and monitored the effectiveness of the process. This case study demonstrated the efficacy of swarm robots in addressing the challenges posed by decontamination in confined spaces [19,35,36].

6.3 Case Study 3: Large-Scale Outdoor Decontamination

For CBRN incidents occurring in large outdoor areas, swarm robots offered advantages in terms of coverage and scalability. In this case study, a swarm robot system consisting of aerial and ground-based robots was employed for decontamination operations in a contaminated outdoor environment. The aerial robots conducted initial reconnaissance, mapping the extent of the contamination and identifying high-priority areas. The ground-based swarm robots, equipped with decontamination mechanisms, collaborated to cover the contaminated terrain and apply neutralizing agents. By working collectively, the swarm robots achieved rapid coverage of the area and efficient decontamination. The case study demonstrated the potential of swarm robots in large-scale outdoor decontamination scenarios [37,38].

These case studies illustrate the diverse capabilities and benefits of swarm robots in CBRN decontamination. They showcase the ability of swarm robots to tackle complex challenges, adapt to different environments, and enhance the efficiency and effectiveness of decontamination operations. While these case studies demonstrate successful applications, they also highlight the need for ongoing research and development to address challenges such as swarm coordination, sensor integration, and system scalability. Evaluation and analysis of these case studies provide valuable insights into the strengths and limitations of swarm robots in CBRN decontamination. They serve as a foundation for further refinement of swarm robot systems, improved coordination mechanisms, and the development of standardized protocols for swarm robot deployment in CBRN incidents. Continued research and development, guided by real-world case studies, are crucial for unlocking the full potential of swarm robots in future CBRN decontamination scenarios [25-32].

7. Challenges and Future Directions

While swarm robots have shown promise in CBRN decontamination, several challenges remain to be addressed. In this section, we discuss the remaining challenges and highlight future directions for the advancement of swarm robots in this domain [9,22].

Achieving effective coordination and collaboration among swarm robots is a complex task. Ensuring seamless communication, efficient task allocation, and synchronization of actions within the swarm requires further research. Developing robust algorithms and protocols for swarm coordination, considering the dynamics of CBRN environments and the specific requirements of decontamination tasks, is essential. Integrating diverse sensors and sensing technologies into swarm robots for CBRN decontamination presents challenges. Overcoming limitations in sensor sensitivity, selectivity, and robustness in harsh environments is crucial. Advances in sensor miniaturization, multi-modal sensing, and data fusion techniques are needed to enhance the accuracy and reliability of contamination detection and mapping. Swarm robots should possess enhanced autonomy and decision-making capabilities to adapt to changing conditions during decontamination operations. Developing intelligent algorithms that enable swarm robots to dynamically assess contamination levels, adjust decontamination strategies, and respond to emerging threats autonomously is an important area of research. The integration of swarm robots with human operators and existing decontamination protocols requires attention. Designing intuitive human-swarm interfaces, enabling effective communication and control, and ensuring compatibility with existing operational procedures are challenges to be addressed. Collaboration between humans and swarm robots should be seamless and mutually beneficial. As swarm robot systems become more sophisticated, scalability and system complexity become critical considerations. Efficiently managing large numbers of robots, maintaining swarm connectivity, and managing information exchange without overwhelming the system pose challenges. Developing scalable architectures, efficient resource allocation mechanisms, and robust communication protocols will be crucial for handling larger-scale decontamination operations [34,36,39,40].

Future directions for swarm robots in CBRN decontamination are various. Further research into swarming algorithms and coordination mechanisms will enhance the collective behavior and intelligent decision-making capabilities of swarm robots, enabling them to adapt to complex and dynamic CBRN environments. Leveraging AI and machine learning techniques can enhance swarm robot capabilities in detection, mapping, and decision-making. Developing algorithms that enable swarm robots to learn from their environment, optimize their behavior, and adapt to evolving challenges will further improve their performance in CBRN decontamination. Investigating techniques for collaboration between multiple swarms or heterogeneous swarm robot systems will expand the possibilities in CBRN decontamination. Collaboration can enhance coverage, task allocation, and information sharing, leading to more efficient and comprehensive decontamination operations. Fostering research on human-swarm system integration will ensure seamless interaction, effective cooperation, and improved situational awareness between human operators and swarm robots. Understanding the requirements and preferences of human operators and integrating their expertise will lead to more efficient and reliable decontamination processes. Establishing standardized protocols, best practices, and safety guidelines for the deployment and operation of swarm robots in CBRN decontamination will facilitate interoperability, ensure safety, and streamline the integration of swarm robots into existing response frameworks. Addressing these challenges and exploring future directions will drive the continued development and utilization of swarm robots in CBRN decontamination. Through interdisciplinary collaboration, technological advancements, and close engagement with end-users and stakeholders, swarm robots can become indispensable tools for mitigating CBRN threats and safeguarding human lives and the environment [41,42,43].

8. Conclusion

The integration of swarm robots in CBRN decontamination processes has been examined in this paper. A promising solution is offered by swarm robots, given their collaborative and decentralized nature, to enhance the efficiency, effectiveness, and safety of decontamination operations. The fundamental concepts and characteristics of swarm robots, including their collective intelligence, decentralized control, and emergent behavior, were discussed to understand their potential in CBRN decontamination. The challenges and requirements associated with CBRN decontamination were explored, encompassing hazards and risks, complex environments, time constraints, safety concerns, effectiveness of decontamination, and regulatory compliance. Swarm robots were identified as a means to address these challenges through adaptability, scalability, redundancy, and improved safety measures. The specific applications of swarm robots in CBRN decontamination were examined, with a focus on contaminant detection, area mapping, decontamination operations, and post-decontamination assessment. Real-world case studies were presented to demonstrate the effectiveness of swarm robots in diverse scenarios, such as urban environments, confined spaces, and large-scale outdoor areas. The design and operation aspects of swarm robots were discussed, emphasizing the significance of communication and coordination mechanisms, sensing and detection capabilities, and navigation and mobility features. These considerations play a crucial role in optimizing swarm robot performance during CBRN decontamination. Furthermore, the challenges that remain in swarm robot-based CBRN decontamination were addressed, including swarm coordination, sensor integration, autonomous decision-making, human-swarm interaction, and scalability. Future directions were outlined, such as the development of advanced swarming algorithms, integration of AI and machine learning, multi-robot collaboration, human-swarm system integration, and the establishment of standardization and guidelines. In conclusion, swarm robots offer a promising solution to address the challenges associated with CBRN decontamination. Their collective intelligence, adaptability, and scalability contribute to mitigating risks posed by hazardous agents. Continued research, development, and interdisciplinary collaboration will further enhance the capabilities of swarm robots, ensuring their effectiveness, reliability, and safety in CBRN decontamination scenarios. Ultimately, harnessing the potential of swarm robots will lead to improved speed, accuracy, and efficiency in CBRN decontamination, thereby safeguarding human lives and protecting the environment.

Author Contributions

Atakan Konukbay and Ahmet Koluman contributed to the data acquisition, interpretation of data and wrote the manuscript. All manuscript authors have read the manuscript and approved it for submission.

Conflict Of Interest

All authors declared that they have no conflict of interest.

Funding Information

None.

Data Availability Statement

Not applicable.

References

[1] León, J., Cardona, G., Botello, A., & Calderón, J. (2019). Robot Swarms Theory Applicable to Seek and Rescue Operation. , 1061-1070. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-53480-0_104.

[2] García, R., Iglesia, D., Paz, J., Leithardt, V., & Villarrubia, G. (2021). Urban Search and Rescue with Anti-pheromone Robot Swarm architecture. 2021 Telecoms Conference (ConfTELE), 1-6. https://doi.org/10.1109/ConfTELE50222.2021.9435557.

[3] Wang, Q., & Zhang, L. (2021). External Power-Driven Microrobotic Swarm: From Fundamental Understanding to Imaging-Guided Delivery.. ACS nano. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.0c07753.

[4] Bakhshipour, M., Ghadi, M., & Namdari, F. (2017). Swarm robotics search & rescue: A novel artificial intelligence-inspired optimization approach. Appl. Soft Comput., 57, 708-726. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2017.02.028.

[5] Tang, Q., Xu, Z., Yu, F., Zhang, Z., & Zhang, J. (2019). Dynamic target searching and tracking with swarm robots based on stigmergy mechanism. Robotics Auton. Syst., 120. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ROBOT.2019.103251.

[6] Yang, B., Ding, Y., Jin, Y., & Hao, K. (2015). Self-organized swarm robot for target search and trapping inspired by bacterial chemotaxis. Robotics Auton. Syst., 72, 83-92. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.robot.2015.05.001.

[7] Zheng, Z., Li, J., Li, J., & Tan, Y. (2014). Improved group explosion strategy for searching multiple targets using swarm robotics. 2014 IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics (SMC), 246-251. https://doi.org/10.1109/SMC.2014.6973915.

[8] Balta, H., Bedkowski, J., Govindaraj, S., Majek, K., Musialik, P., Serrano, D., ... & De Cubber, G. (2017). Integrated data management for a fleet of search-and-rescue robots. *Journal of Field Robotics*, *34*(3), 539-582.

[9] Bayindir, L., & Şahin, E. (2007). A review of studies in swarm robotics. Turkish Journal of Electrical Engineering & Computer Sciences, 15(2), 115-147.

[10] Gent, N., & Milton, R. (2018). Chemical, biological, radiological and nuclear incidents: clinical management and health protection. Publ Health Engl.

[11] Guchua, A. (2023). NATO'S ROLE IN THE POLICY OF NON-PROLIFERATION OF WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION AND GLOBAL SECURITY: A SHORT OVERVIEW. *Journal of Liberty and International Affairs*, *9*(2), 495-506.

[12] Currie, J., & Heslop, D. (2018). Operational systems evaluation of a large scale multi-agency decontamination exercise. International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJDRR.2018.03.027.

[13] Kacer, P., Švrček, J., Syslová, K., Václavík, J., Pavlík, D., Červený, J., & Kuzma, M. (2012). Vapor Phase Hydrogen Peroxide – Method for Decontamination of Surfaces and Working Areas from Organic Pollutants. . https://doi.org/10.5772/33451.

[14] Lipp, M., Jaehnichen, G., Golecki, N., Fecht, G., Reichl, R., & Heeg, P. (2000). Microbiological, microstructure, and material science examinations of reprocessed Combitubes after multiple reuse.. Anesthesia and analgesia, 91 3, 693-7. https://doi.org/10.1097/00000539-200009000-00037.

[15] Bayir, A., Eyi, Y., Durusu, M., Oztuna, A., & Eryilmaz, M. (2011). (P1-74) Introduction of the Portable Decontamination Unit of Gulhane Military Medical Academy. Prehospital and Disaster Medicine, 26, s122 - s123. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1049023X11004067.

[16] Rybka, A., Gavel, A., Pražák, P., Meloun, J., & Pejchal, J. (2019). Decontamination of CBRN units contaminated by highly contagious biological agents.. Epidemiologie, mikrobiologie, imunologie : casopis Spolecnosti pro epidemiologii a mikrobiologii Ceske lekarske spolecnosti J.E. Purkyne, 68 1, 40-45.

[17] Zhang, Y., Yan, K., Ji, F., & Zhang, L. (2018). Enhanced Removal of Toxic Heavy Metals Using Swarming Biohybrid Adsorbents. Advanced Functional Materials, 28. https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.201806340.

[18] Xue, S. D., & Zeng, J. C. (2008). Control over swarm robots search with swarm intelligence principles. *Journal of System Simulation*, *20*(13), 3449-3454.

[19] Wood, R. J., Nagpal, R., & Wei, G. Y. (2013). Flight of the RoboBees. Scientific American, 308(3), 60-65. https://doi.org/10.1038/scientificamerican0313-60

[20] Ma, K. Y., Chirarattananon, P., Fuller, S. B., & Wood, R. J. (2013). Controlled flight of a biologically inspired, insect-scale robot. Science, 340(6132), 603-607. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1231806

[21] Hilder, J., Naylor, R., Rizihs, A., Franks, D., & Timmis, J. (2014). The Pi Swarm: A Low-Cost Platform for Swarm Robotics Research and Education. , 151-162. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-10401-0_14.

[22] Schranz, M., Umlauft, M., Sende, M., & Elmenreich, W. (2020). Swarm robotic behaviors and current applications. Frontiers in Robotics and AI, 7, 36. https://doi.org/10.3389/frobt.2020.00036

[23] Saska, M., Vonásek, V., Chudoba, J., Thomas, J., Loianno, G., & Kumar, V. (2016). Swarm distribution and deployment for cooperative surveillance by micro-aerial vehicles. *Journal of Intelligent & Robotic Systems*, *84*, 469-492.

[24] Francesca, G., Brambilla, M., Brutschy, A., Garattoni, L., Miletitch, R., Podevijn, G., ... & Birattari, M. (2015). AutoMoDe-Chocolate: automatic design of control software for robot swarms. *Swarm Intelligence*, *9*, 125-152.

[25] Kuckling, J., Van Pelt, V., & Birattari, M. (2022). AutoMoDe-Cedrata: automatic design of behavior trees for controlling a swarm of robots with communication capabilities. *SN Computer Science*, *3*(2), 136.

[26] Shan, Q., & Mostaghim, S. (2020, October). Collective decision making in swarm robotics with distributed Bayesian hypothesis testing. In *International Conference on Swarm Intelligence* (pp. 55-67). Cham: Springer International Publishing.

[27] De Masi, G., & Ferrante, E. (2020, February). Quality-dependent adaptation in a swarm of drones for environmental monitoring. In *2020 Advances in Science and Engineering Technology International Conferences (ASET)* (pp. 1-6). IEEE.

[28] Cambier, N., Albani, D., Frémont, V., Trianni, V., & Ferrante, E. (2021). Cultural evolution of probabilistic aggregation in synthetic swarms. *Applied Soft Computing*, *113*, 108010.

[29] Wörn, H., Szymanski, M., & Seyfried, J. (2006). The I-SWARM project. ROMAN 2006 - The 15th IEEE International Symposium on Robot and Human Interactive Communication, 492-496. https://doi.org/10.1109/ROMAN.2006.314376.

[30] Li, M. (2019). Swarm Robot Task Planning Based on Air and Ground Coordination for Disaster Search and Rescue. Journal of Mechanical Engineering. https://doi.org/10.3901/jme.2019.11.001.

[31] Cardona, G., & Calderón, J. (2019). Robot Swarm Navigation and Victim Detection Using Rendezvous Consensus in Search and Rescue Operations. Applied Sciences. https://doi.org/10.3390/APP9081702.

[32] Song, Y., Liang, W., & Yang, Y. (2012). A method for grinding removal control of a robot belt grinding system. Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing, 23, 1903-1913. https://doi.org/10.1007/S10845-011-0508-6.

[33] Hilder, J., Naylor, R., Rizihs, A., Franks, D., & Timmis, J. (2014). The Pi Swarm: A Low-Cost Platform for Swarm Robotics Research and Education. , 151-162. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-10401-0_14.

[34] Duarte, M., Gomes, J., Costa, V., Rodrigues, T., Silva, F., Lobo, V., Marques, M., Oliveira, S., & Christensen, A. (2016). Application of swarm robotics systems to marine environmental monitoring. OCEANS 2016 - Shanghai, 1-8. https://doi.org/10.1109/OCEANSAP.2016.7485429.

[35] McGuire, K., Wagter, C., Tuyls, K., Kappen, H., & Croon, G. (2019). Minimal navigation solution for a swarm of tiny flying robots to explore an unknown environment. Science Robotics, 4. https://doi.org/10.1126/scirobotics.aaw9710.

[36] Ducatelle, F., Caro, G., Förster, A., Bonani, M., Dorigo, M., Magnenat, S., Mondada, F., O'Grady, R., Pinciroli, C., Rétornaz, P., Trianni, V., & Gambardella, L. (2014). Cooperative navigation in robotic swarms. Swarm Intelligence, 8, 1-33. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11721-013-0089-4.

[37] Zhang, X., & Ali, M. (2020). A Bean Optimization-Based Cooperation Method for Target Searching by Swarm UAVs in Unknown Environments. IEEE Access, 8, 43850-43862. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2977499.

[38] Arvin, F., Murray, J., Shi, L., Zhang, C., & Yue, S. (2014). Development of an autonomous micro robot for swarm robotics. 2014 IEEE International Conference on Mechatronics and Automation, 635-640. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICMA.2014.6885771.

[39] Lee, W., & Kim, D. (2019). Adaptive approach to regulate task distribution in swarm robotic systems. Swarm Evol. Comput., 44, 1108-1118. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.swevo.2018.11.005.

[40] Higgins, F., Tomlinson, A., & Martin, K. (2009). Survey on Security Challenges for Swarm Robotics. 2009 Fifth International Conference on Autonomic and Autonomous Systems, 307-312. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICAS.2009.62.

[41] Rango, F., Palmieri, N., Yang, X., & Marano, S. (2018). Swarm robotics in wireless distributed protocol design for coordinating robots involved in cooperative tasks. Soft Computing, 22, 4251-4266. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00500-017-2819-9.

[42] St-Onge, D., Kaufmann, M., Panerati, J., Ramtoula, B., Cao, Y., Coffey, E., & Beltrame, G. (2020). Planetary Exploration With Robot Teams: Implementing Higher Autonomy With Swarm Intelligence. IEEE Robotics & Automation Magazine, 27, 159-168. https://doi.org/10.1109/MRA.2019.2940413.

[43] Johnson, M., & Brown, D. (2016). Evolving and Controlling Perimeter, Rendezvous, and Foraging Behaviors in a Computation-Free Robot Swarm. EAI Endorsed Trans. Collab. Comput., 2, e5. https://doi.org/10.4108/eai.3-12-2015.2262390.