Hacet. J. Math. Stat. Volume 54 (1) (2025), 115 – 124 DOI: 10.15672/hujms.1362698

RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Tensorial and Hadamard product integral inequalities for convex functions of continuous fields of operators in Hilbert spaces

Silvestru Sever Dragomir<sup>1,2</sup>

#### Abstract

Let H be a Hilbert space and  $\Omega$  a locally compact Hausdorff space endowed with a Radon measure  $\mu$  with  $\int_{\Omega} 1 d\mu(t) = 1$ . In this paper we show among others that, if f is continuous differentiable convex on the open interval I,  $(A_{\tau})_{\tau \in \Omega}$  is a continuous field of positive operators in B(H) with spectra in I for each  $\tau \in \Omega$  and B an operator with spectrum in I, then we have

$$\int_{\Omega} (f'(A_{\tau}) A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \otimes 1 - \int_{\Omega} f'(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \otimes B$$

$$\geq \int_{\Omega} f(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes f(B)$$

$$\geq \left( \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \otimes 1 - (1 \otimes B) \right) (1 \otimes f'(B))$$

and the Hadamard product inequality

$$\int_{\Omega} (f'(A_{\tau}) A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \circ 1 - \int_{\Omega} f'(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \circ B$$

$$\geq \int_{\Omega} f(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \circ 1 - 1 \circ f(B)$$

$$\geq \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \circ f'(B) - 1 \circ (f'(B) B).$$

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020). 47A63, 47A99

**Keywords.** tensorial product, Hadamard product, selfadjoint operators, convex functions

Email address: sever.dragomir@ajmaa.org (S.S. Dragomir )

Received: 19.09.2023; Accepted: 29.01.2024

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Applied Mathematics Research Group, ISILC Victoria University, PO Box 14428 Melbourne City, MC 8001, Australia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> School of Computer Science & Applied Mathematics, University of the Witwatersrand, Private Bag 3, Johannesburg 2050, South Africa

### 1. Introduction

Let  $I_1, ..., I_k$  be intervals from  $\mathbb{R}$  and let  $f: I_1 \times ... \times I_k \to \mathbb{R}$  be an essentially bounded real function defined on the product of the intervals. Let  $A = (A_1, ..., A_n)$  be a k-tuple of bounded selfadjoint operators on Hilbert spaces  $H_1, ..., H_k$  such that the spectrum of  $A_i$  is contained in  $I_i$  for i = 1, ..., k. We say that such a k-tuple is in the domain of f. If

$$A_{i} = \int_{I_{i}} \lambda_{i} dE_{i} \left(\lambda_{i}\right)$$

is the spectral resolution of  $A_i$  for i = 1, ..., k; by following [2], we define

$$f(A_1, ..., A_k) := \int_{I_1} ... \int_{I_k} f(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_k) dE_1(\lambda_1) \otimes ... \otimes dE_k(\lambda_k)$$

$$(1.1)$$

as a bounded selfadjoint operator on the tensorial product  $H_1 \otimes ... \otimes H_k$ .

If the Hilbert spaces are of finite dimension, then the above integrals become finite sums, and we may consider the functional calculus for arbitrary real functions. This construction [2] extends the definition of Korányi [7] for functions of two variables and have the property that

$$f(A_1,...,A_k) = f_1(A_1) \otimes ... \otimes f_k(A_k),$$

whenever f can be separated as a product  $f(t_1,...,t_k) = f_1(t_1)...f_k(t_k)$  of k functions each depending on only one variable.

It is know that, if f is super-multiplicative (sub-multiplicative) on  $[0, \infty)$ , namely

$$f(st) \ge (\le) f(s) f(t)$$
 for all  $s, t \in [0, \infty)$ 

and if f is continuous on  $[0, \infty)$ , then [5, p. 173]

$$f(A \otimes B) \ge (\le) f(A) \otimes f(B)$$
 for all  $A, B \ge 0$ . (1.2)

This follows by observing that, if

$$A=\int_{\left[0,\infty\right)}tdE\left(t\right)\text{ and }B=\int_{\left[0,\infty\right)}sdF\left(s\right)$$

are the spectral resolutions of A and B, then

$$f(A \otimes B) = \int_{[0,\infty)} \int_{[0,\infty)} f(st) dE(t) \otimes dF(s)$$
(1.3)

for the continuous function f on  $[0, \infty)$ .

Recall the geometric operator mean for the positive operators A, B > 0

$$A\#_t B := A^{1/2} (A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2})^t A^{1/2}$$

where  $t \in [0,1]$  and

$$A \# B := A^{1/2} (A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2})^{1/2} A^{1/2}.$$

By the definitions of # and  $\otimes$  we have

$$A\#B = B\#A$$
 and  $(A\#B) \otimes (B\#A) = (A \otimes B) \# (B \otimes A)$ .

In 2007, S. Wada [8] obtained the following *Callebaut type inequalities* for tensorial product

$$(A\#B)\otimes(A\#B)\leq\frac{1}{2}\left[(A\#_{\alpha}B)\otimes(A\#_{1-\alpha}B)+(A\#_{1-\alpha}B)\otimes(A\#_{\alpha}B)\right]$$

$$\leq\frac{1}{2}\left(A\otimes B+B\otimes A\right)$$
(1.4)

for A, B > 0 and  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ .

Recall that the *Hadamard product* of A and B in B(H) is defined to be the operator  $A \circ B \in B(H)$  satisfying

$$\langle (A \circ B) e_i, e_i \rangle = \langle A e_i, e_i \rangle \langle B e_i, e_i \rangle$$

for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $\{e_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an *orthonormal basis* for the separable Hilbert space H. It is known that, see [4], we have the representation

$$A \circ B = \mathcal{U}^* (A \otimes B) \mathcal{U} \tag{1.5}$$

where  $\mathcal{U}: H \to H \otimes H$  is the isometry defined by  $\mathcal{U}e_j = e_j \otimes e_j$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ .

If f is super-multiplicative and operator concave (sub-multiplicative and operator convex) on  $[0, \infty)$ , then also [5, p. 173]

$$f(A \circ B) \ge (\le) f(A) \circ f(B) \text{ for all } A, B \ge 0.$$
 (1.6)

We recall the following elementary inequalities for the Hadamard product

$$A^{1/2} \circ B^{1/2} \le \left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \circ 1 \text{ for } A, \ B \ge 0$$

and Fiedler inequality

$$A \circ A^{-1} \ge 1$$
 for  $A > 0$ .

As extension of Kadison's Schwarz inequality on the Hadamard product, Ando [1] showed that

$$A \circ B \le (A^2 \circ 1)^{1/2} (B^2 \circ 1)^{1/2} \text{ for } A, \ B \ge 0$$

and Aujla and Vasudeva [3] gave an alternative upper bound

$$A \circ B \le \left(A^2 \circ B^2\right)^{1/2} \text{ for } A, \ B \ge 0.$$

It has been shown in [6] that  $(A^2 \circ 1)^{1/2} (B^2 \circ 1)^{1/2}$  and  $(A^2 \circ B^2)^{1/2}$  are incomparable for 2-square positive definite matrices A and B.

Let  $\Omega$  be a locally compact Hausdorff space endowed with a Radon measure  $\mu$ . A field  $(A_t)_{t\in\Omega}$  of operators in B(H) is called a continuous field of operators if the parametrization  $t\longmapsto A_t$  is norm continuous on B(H). If, in addition, the norm function  $t\longmapsto \|A_t\|$  is Lebesgue integrable on  $\Omega$ , we can form the Bochner integral  $\int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t)$ , which is the unique operator in B(H) such that  $\varphi(\int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t)) = \int_{\Omega} \varphi(A_t) d\mu(t)$  for every bounded linear functional  $\varphi$  on B(H). Assume also that,  $\int_{\Omega} 1 d\mu(t) = 1$ .

Motivated by the above results, in this paper we show among others that, if f is continuous differentiable convex on the open interval I,  $(A_{\tau})_{\tau \in \Omega}$  is a continuous field of positive operators in B(H) with spectra in I for each  $\tau \in \Omega$  and B an operator with spectrum in I, then we have

$$\int_{\Omega} (f'(A_{\tau}) A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \otimes 1 - \int_{\Omega} f'(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \otimes B$$

$$\geq \int_{\Omega} f(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes f(B)$$

$$\geq \left( \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \otimes 1 - (1 \otimes B) \right) (1 \otimes f'(B))$$

and the Hadamard product inequality

$$\int_{\Omega} (f'(A_{\tau}) A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \circ 1 - \int_{\Omega} f'(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \circ B$$

$$\geq \int_{\Omega} f(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \circ 1 - 1 \circ f(B)$$

$$\geq \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \circ f'(B) - 1 \circ (f'(B)B).$$

### 2. Main Results

We also have the following double inequality for tensorial product of operators:

**Lemma 2.1.** Assume that f is continuous differentiable convex on the open interval I and A, B are selfadjoint operators in B(H) with spectra in I, then

$$(f'(A) \otimes 1) (A \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes B) \ge f(A) \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes f(B)$$

$$\ge (A \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes B) (1 \otimes f'(B)).$$
(2.1)

**Proof.** Using the gradient inequality for the differentiable convex f on I we have

$$f'(t)(t-s) \ge f(t) - f(s) \ge f'(s)(t-s)$$

for all  $t, s \in I$ .

Assume that

$$A=\int_{I}tdE\left( t\right) \text{ and }B=\int_{I}sdF\left( s\right)$$

are the spectral resolutions of A and B.

These imply that

$$\int_{I} \int_{I} f'(t) (t - s) dE(t) \otimes dF(s) \ge \int_{I} \int_{I} (f(t) - f(s)) dE(t) \otimes dF(s) \qquad (2.2)$$

$$\ge \int_{I} \int_{I} f'(s) (t - s) dE(t) \otimes dF(s).$$

Observe that

$$\int_{I} \int_{I} f'(t) (t - s) dE(t) \otimes dF(s) \tag{2.3}$$

$$= \int_{I} \int_{I} (f'(t) t - f'(t) s) dE(t) \otimes dF(s)$$

$$= \int_{I} \int_{I} f'(t) t dE(t) \otimes dF(s) - \int_{I} \int_{I} f'(t) s dE(t) \otimes dF(s)$$

$$= (f'(A) A) \otimes 1 - f'(A) \otimes B,$$

$$\int_{I} \int_{I} (f(t) - f(s)) dE(t) \otimes dF(s) = f(A) \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes f(B)$$

and

$$\int_{I} \int_{I} f'(s) (t - s) dE(t) \otimes dF(s)$$

$$= \int_{I} \int_{I} (tf'(s) - f'(s) s) dE(t) \otimes dF(s)$$

$$= \int_{I} \int_{I} tf'(s) dE(t) \otimes dF(s) - \int_{I} \int_{I} f'(s) sdE(t) \otimes dF(s)$$

$$= A \otimes f'(B) - 1 \otimes (f'(B) B)$$

and by (2.3) we derive the inequality of interest:

$$(f'(A) A) \otimes 1 - f'(A) \otimes B \ge f(A) \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes f(B)$$

$$\ge A \otimes f'(B) - 1 \otimes (f'(B) B).$$
(2.4)

Now, by utilizing the tensorial property

$$(XU) \otimes (YV) = (X \otimes Y) (U \otimes V),$$

for any  $X, U, Y, V \in B(H)$ , we have

$$(f'(A) A) \otimes 1 = (f'(A) \otimes 1) (A \otimes 1),$$
  
$$f'(A) \otimes B = (f'(A) \otimes 1) (1 \otimes B),$$

$$A \otimes f'(B) = (A \otimes 1) (1 \otimes f'(B))$$

and

$$1 \otimes (f'(B)B) = 1 \otimes (Bf'(B)) = (1 \otimes B) (1 \otimes f'(B)).$$

Therefore

$$(f'(A) A) \otimes 1 - f'(A) \otimes B = (f'(A) \otimes 1) (A \otimes 1) - (f'(A) \otimes 1) (1 \otimes B)$$
$$= (f'(A) \otimes 1) (A \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes B)$$

and

$$A \otimes f'(B) - 1 \otimes (f'(B)B) = (A \otimes 1) (1 \otimes f'(B)) - (1 \otimes B) (1 \otimes f'(B))$$
$$= (A \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes B) (1 \otimes f'(B))$$

and by (2.4) we derive (2.1).

Corollary 2.2. Assume that f is continuous differentiable convex on the open interval I and A, B are selfadjoint operators in B(H) with spectra in I, then

$$(f'(A) A) \circ 1 - f'(A) \circ B \ge (f(A) - f(B)) \circ 1$$
  
  $\ge A \circ f'(B) - (f'(B) B) \circ 1.$  (2.5)

**Proof.** If we multiply the inequality (2.4) to the left with  $\mathcal{U}^*$  and at the right with  $\mathcal{U}$ , we get

$$\mathcal{U}^* \left[ \left( f'(A) A \right) \otimes 1 - f'(A) \otimes B \right] \mathcal{U}$$

$$\geq \mathcal{U}^* \left[ f(A) \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes f(B) \right] \mathcal{U}$$

$$\geq \mathcal{U}^* \left[ A \otimes f'(B) - 1 \otimes \left( f'(B) B \right) \right] \mathcal{U}.$$

namely

$$\mathcal{U}^{*}\left(\left(f'\left(A\right)A\right)\otimes1\right)\mathcal{U}-\mathcal{U}^{*}\left(f'\left(A\right)\otimes B\right)\mathcal{U}$$

$$\geq\mathcal{U}^{*}\left(f\left(A\right)\otimes1\right)\mathcal{U}-\mathcal{U}^{*}\left(1\otimes f\left(B\right)\right)\mathcal{U}$$

$$\geq\mathcal{U}^{*}\left(A\otimes f'\left(B\right)\right)\mathcal{U}-\mathcal{U}^{*}\left(1\otimes\left(f'\left(B\right)B\right)\right)\mathcal{U}.$$

Using representation (1.5) we get

$$(f'(A) A) \circ 1 - f'(A) \circ B \ge f(A) \circ 1 - 1 \circ f(B)$$
  
  $\ge A \circ f'(B) - 1 \circ (f'(B) B),$  (2.6)

which gives (2.5).

In what follows, we assume that,  $\int_{\Omega} 1 d\mu(t) = 1$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** Assume that f is continuous differentiable convex on the open interval I. Let  $(A_{\tau})_{\tau \in \Omega}$  and  $(B_{\tau})_{\tau \in \Omega}$  be continuous fields of positive operators in B(H) with spectra in I for each  $\tau \in \Omega$ . Then we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \left( f'(A_{\tau}) A_{\tau} \right) d\mu(\tau) \otimes 1 - \int_{\Omega} f'(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \otimes \int_{\Omega} B_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) 
\geq \int_{\Omega} f(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \int_{\Omega} f(B_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) 
\geq \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \otimes \int_{\Omega} f'(B_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) - 1 \otimes \int_{\Omega} f'(B_{\tau}) B_{\tau} d\mu(\tau)$$
(2.7)

and the Hadamard product inequality

$$\int_{\Omega} \left( f'\left(A_{\tau}\right) A_{\tau} \right) d\mu\left(\tau\right) \circ 1 - \int_{\Omega} f'\left(A_{\tau}\right) d\mu\left(\tau\right) \circ \int_{\Omega} B_{\tau} d\mu\left(\tau\right) 
\geq \int_{\Omega} f\left(A_{\tau}\right) d\mu\left(\tau\right) \circ 1 - 1 \circ \int_{\Omega} f\left(B_{\tau}\right) d\mu\left(\tau\right) 
\geq \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu\left(\tau\right) \circ \int_{\Omega} f'\left(B_{\tau}\right) d\mu\left(\tau\right) - 1 \circ \int_{\Omega} f'\left(B_{\tau}\right) B_{\tau} d\mu\left(\tau\right).$$
(2.8)

**Proof.** From Lemma 2.1 we have

$$(f'(A_{\tau}) A_{\tau}) \otimes 1 - f'(A_{\tau}) \otimes B_{\gamma} \ge f(A_{\tau}) \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes f(B_{\gamma})$$

$$\ge A_{\tau} \otimes f'(B_{\gamma}) - 1 \otimes (f'(B_{\gamma}) B_{\gamma}).$$

$$(2.9)$$

for all  $\tau, \gamma \in \Omega$ .

If we take the integral  $\int_{\Omega}$  over  $d\mu(\tau)$  in (2.9), then we get

$$\int_{\Omega} \left[ \left( f'(A_{\tau}) A_{\tau} \right) \otimes 1 - f'(A_{\tau}) \otimes B_{\gamma} \right] d\mu (\tau)$$

$$\geq \int_{\Omega} \left[ f(A_{\tau}) \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes f(B_{\gamma}) \right] d\mu (\tau)$$

$$\geq \int_{\Omega} \left[ A_{\tau} \otimes f'(B_{\gamma}) - 1 \otimes \left( f'(B_{\gamma}) B_{\gamma} \right) \right] d\mu (\tau)$$
(2.10)

for all  $\gamma \in \Omega$ .

By using the properties of integral and tensorial product, we derive that

$$\int_{\Omega} \left[ \left( f'(A_{\tau}) A_{\tau} \right) \otimes 1 - f'(A_{\tau}) \otimes B_{\gamma} \right] d\mu (\tau) 
= \int_{\Omega} \left( f'(A_{\tau}) A_{\tau} \right) d\mu (\tau) \otimes 1 - \int_{\Omega} f'(A_{\tau}) d\mu (\tau) \otimes B_{\gamma}, 
\int_{\Omega} \left[ f(A_{\tau}) \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes f(B_{\gamma}) \right] d\mu (\tau) 
= \int_{\Omega} f(A_{\tau}) d\mu (\tau) \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes f(B_{\gamma})$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} \left[ A_{\tau} \otimes f'(B_{\gamma}) - 1 \otimes \left( f'(B_{\gamma}) B_{\gamma} \right) \right] d\mu(\tau)$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \otimes f'(B_{\gamma}) - 1 \otimes \left( f'(B_{\gamma}) B_{\gamma} \right).$$

By utilizing (2.10) we derive

$$\int_{\Omega} \left( f'(A_{\tau}) A_{\tau} \right) d\mu(\tau) \otimes 1 - \int_{\Omega} f'(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \otimes B_{\gamma}$$

$$\geq \int_{\Omega} f(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes f(B_{\gamma})$$

$$\geq \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \otimes f'(B_{\gamma}) - 1 \otimes \left( f'(B_{\gamma}) B_{\gamma} \right)$$
(2.11)

for all  $\gamma \in \Omega$ .

If we take the integral  $\int_{\Omega}$  over  $d\mu(\gamma)$  in (2.11) and use the properties of the integral and tensorial product, we derive (2.7).

If we multiply the inequality (2.7) to the left with  $\mathcal{U}^*$  and at the right with  $\mathcal{U}$ , use the properties of the integral, the we also get the inequality (2.8).

Corollary 2.4. Assume that f is continuous differentiable convex on the open interval I. Let  $(A_{\tau})_{\tau \in \Omega}$  be a continuous field of positive operators in B(H) with spactra in I for each  $\tau \in \Omega$  and B an operator with spectrum in I. Then we have

$$\int_{\Omega} (f'(A_{\tau}) A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \otimes 1 - \int_{\Omega} f'(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \otimes B$$

$$\geq \int_{\Omega} f(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes f(B)$$

$$\geq \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \otimes f'(B) - 1 \otimes (f'(B) B)$$
(2.12)

and the Hadamard product inequality

$$\int_{\Omega} \left( f'(A_{\tau}) A_{\tau} \right) d\mu(\tau) \circ 1 - \int_{\Omega} f'(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \circ B$$

$$\geq \int_{\Omega} f(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \circ 1 - 1 \circ f(B)$$

$$\geq \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \circ f'(B) - 1 \circ \left( f'(B) B \right).$$
(2.13)

The proof follows by Theorem 2.3 for  $B_{\tau} = B$  for  $\tau \in \Omega$ . We observe that

$$\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu \left(\tau\right) \otimes f'\left(B\right) = \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu \left(\tau\right) \otimes 1\right) \left(1 \otimes f'\left(B\right)\right)$$

and

$$1 \otimes \left(f'\left(B\right)B\right) = 1 \otimes \left(Bf'\left(B\right)\right) = \left(1 \otimes B\right)\left(1 \otimes f'\left(B\right)\right),$$

therefore

$$\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu (\tau) \otimes f'(B) - 1 \otimes (f'(B)B)$$

$$= \left( \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu (\tau) \otimes 1 \right) (1 \otimes f'(B)) - (1 \otimes B) (1 \otimes f'(B))$$

$$= \left( \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu (\tau) \otimes 1 - (1 \otimes B) \right) (1 \otimes f'(B))$$

and from (2.12) we get

$$\int_{\Omega} f(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes f(B) \qquad (2.14)$$

$$\geq \left( \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \otimes 1 - (1 \otimes B) \right) (1 \otimes f'(B)).$$

**Remark 2.5.** With the assumptions of Corollary 2.4 and if we take  $B = \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu(\tau)$ , for which have the spectrum in I, then we have the following Jensen's type tensorial inequalities

$$\int_{\Omega} \left( f'\left(A_{\tau}\right) A_{\tau} \right) d\mu\left(\tau\right) \otimes 1 - \int_{\Omega} f'\left(A_{\tau}\right) d\mu\left(\tau\right) \otimes \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu\left(\tau\right) 
\geq \int_{\Omega} f\left(A_{\tau}\right) d\mu\left(\tau\right) \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes f\left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu\left(\tau\right)\right) 
\geq \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu\left(\tau\right) \otimes 1 - \left(1 \otimes \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu\left(\tau\right)\right)\right) \left(1 \otimes f'\left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu\left(\tau\right)\right)\right)$$
(2.15)

and the Hadamard product inequalities

$$\int_{\Omega} \left( f'\left(A_{\tau}\right) A_{\tau} \right) d\mu\left(\tau\right) \circ 1 - \int_{\Omega} f'\left(A_{\tau}\right) d\mu\left(\tau\right) \circ \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu\left(\tau\right) 
\geq \int_{\Omega} f\left(A_{\tau}\right) d\mu\left(\tau\right) \circ 1 - 1 \circ f\left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu\left(\tau\right)\right) 
\geq \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu\left(\tau\right) \circ f'\left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu\left(\tau\right)\right) 
- 1 \circ \left( f'\left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu\left(\tau\right)\right) \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu\left(\tau\right)\right).$$
(2.16)

## 3. Some Examples

Assume that A, B have the spectra in I, then by (2.15) and (2.16) we get

$$\int_{0}^{1} f'((1-t)A + tB) ((1-t)A + tB) dt \otimes 1$$

$$- \int_{0}^{1} f'((1-t)A + tB) dt \otimes \frac{A+B}{2}$$

$$\geq \int_{0}^{1} f((1-t)A + tB) dt \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes f\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right)$$

$$\geq \left(\frac{A+B}{2} \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \frac{A+B}{2}\right) \left(1 \otimes f'\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right)\right)$$

and the Hadamard product inequalities

$$\int_{0}^{1} f'((1-t)A + tB) ((1-t)A + tB) dt \circ 1 
- \int_{0}^{1} f'((1-t)A + tB) dt \circ \frac{A+B}{2} 
\ge \int_{0}^{1} f((1-t)A + tB) dt \circ 1 - 1 \circ f\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) 
\ge \frac{A+B}{2} \circ f'\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) - 1 \circ \left(f'\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right)\frac{A+B}{2}\right).$$
(3.2)

For  $f(x) = \exp x$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and from (3.1) and (3.2) we derive the exponential inequalities

$$\int_{0}^{1} \exp\left((1-t)A + tB\right) \left((1-t)A + tB\right) dt \otimes 1$$

$$-\int_{0}^{1} \exp\left((1-t)A + tB\right) dt \otimes \frac{A+B}{2}$$

$$\geq \int_{0}^{1} \exp\left((1-t)A + tB\right) dt \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes f\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right)$$

$$\geq \left(\frac{A+B}{2} \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \frac{A+B}{2}\right) \left(1 \otimes \exp\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right)\right)$$

and the Hadamard product inequalities

$$\int_{0}^{1} \exp\left((1-t)A + tB\right) \left((1-t)A + tB\right) dt \circ 1$$

$$-\int_{0}^{1} \exp\left((1-t)A + tB\right) dt \circ \frac{A+B}{2}$$

$$\geq \int_{0}^{1} \exp\left((1-t)A + tB\right) dt \circ 1 - 1 \circ f\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right)$$

$$\geq \frac{A+B}{2} \circ \exp\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) - 1 \circ \left(\exp\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right)\frac{A+B}{2}\right).$$
(3.4)

It is known that if A and B are commuting, i.e. AB = BA, then the exponential function satisfies the property

$$\exp(A)\exp(B) = \exp(B)\exp(A) = \exp(A+B).$$

Also, if A is invertible and  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  with a < b then

$$\int_{a}^{b} \exp(tA) dt = A^{-1} \left[ \exp(bA) - \exp(aA) \right].$$

Moreover, if A and B are commuting and B-A is invertible, then

$$\int_{0}^{1} \exp((1-s)A + sB) ds = \int_{0}^{1} \exp(s(B-A)) \exp(A) ds$$
$$= \left(\int_{0}^{1} \exp(s(B-A)) ds\right) \exp(A)$$
$$= (B-A)^{-1} \left[\exp(B-A) - I\right] \exp(A)$$
$$= (B-A)^{-1} \left[\exp(B) - \exp(A)\right].$$

So, if A and B are commuting and B-A is invertible, then by (3.3) and (3.4) we get

$$\int_{0}^{1} \exp\left((1-t)A + tB\right) \left((1-t)A + tB\right) dt \otimes 1$$

$$- (B-A)^{-1} \left[\exp\left(B\right) - \exp\left(A\right)\right] \otimes \frac{A+B}{2}$$

$$\geq (B-A)^{-1} \left[\exp\left(B\right) - \exp\left(A\right)\right] \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \exp\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right)$$

$$\geq \left(\frac{A+B}{2} \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \frac{A+B}{2}\right) \left(1 \otimes \exp\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right)\right)$$

and the Hadamard product inequalities

$$\int_{0}^{1} \exp((1-t)A + tB) ((1-t)A + tB) dt \circ 1$$

$$- (B-A)^{-1} [\exp(B) - \exp(A)] \circ \frac{A+B}{2}$$

$$\geq (B-A)^{-1} [\exp(B) - \exp(A)] \circ 1 - 1 \circ \exp\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right)$$

$$\geq \frac{A+B}{2} \circ \exp\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) - 1 \circ \left(\exp\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right)\frac{A+B}{2}\right).$$
(3.6)

#### References

- [1] T. Ando, Concavity of certain maps on positive definite matrices and applications to Hadamard products, Linear Algebra Appl. 26, 203-241, 1979.
- [2] H. Araki and F. Hansen, Jensen's operator inequality for functions of several variables, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 128 (7), 2075-2084, 2000.

- [3] J. S. Aujla and H. L. Vasudeva, *Inequalities involving Hadamard product and operator means*, Math. Jpn. **42**, 265-272, 1995.
- [4] J. I. Fujii, The Marcus-Khan theorem for Hilbert space operators, Math. Jpn. 41, 531-535, 1995.
- [5] T. Furuta, J. Mićić Hot, J. Pečarić and Y. Seo, Mond-Pečarić Method in Operator Inequalities, Inequalities for Bounded Selfadjoint Operators on a Hilbert Space, Element, Zagreb, 2005.
- [6] K. Kitamura and Y. Seo, Operator inequalities on Hadamard product associated with Kadison's Schwarz inequalities, Scient. Math. 1 (2), 237-241, 1998.
- [7] A. Korányi, On some classes of analytic functions of several variables, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 101, 520-554, 1961.
- [8] S. Wada, On some refinement of the Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality, Linear Algebra Appl. 420, 433-440, 2007.