



Common Fixed Point Results for w - α -Distance

Gülcan ATICI TURAN¹, Fatma POLAT²

¹ Munzur University, Vocational School of Tunceli, Turkey

² Dicle University, Diyarbakır, Turkey

✉: gatici23@hotmail.com ¹0000-0002-1009-6072 ²0009-0007-6100-2252

Received (Geliş): 28.09.2023

Revision (Düzeltilme): 09.12.2023

Accepted (Kabul): 30.01.2024

ABSTRACT

In this study, we examined some fixed point theorems in non-full metric spaces. We define the notions of α -lower semi-continuous, w - α -distance, w_0 - α -distance, w - α -rational contraction and generalized w - α -rational contraction mapping. We also give related theorem and example. Then, we prove Banach's fixed-point theorem thanks to the concept w - α -distance in metric spaces equipped with an arbitrary binary relation. Also, w - α -rational contraction mapping and generalized w - α -rational contraction mapping are defined and by using these definitions, the theorem related fixed point is expressed and proved.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Binary Relation, Fixed Point, α -Complete Metric Space, w -Distance.

w - α -Uzaklık İçin Ortak Sabit Nokta Sonuçları

ÖZ

Bu çalışmada tam metrik olmayan uzaylarda bazı sabit nokta teoremleri incelenmiştir. α -alttan yarı-süreklilik, w - α -uzaklık, w_0 - α -uzaklık, w - α -rasyonel büzülme ve genelleştirilmiş w - α -rasyonel büzülme dönüşümü kavramları tanımlanmıştır. İlgili teorem ve örneği de verilmiştir. Daha sonra w - α -uzaklık kavramını kullanarak keyfi bir ikili bağıntı ile verilen metrik uzaylarda Banach sabit nokta teoremi ispatlanmıştır. Ayrıca w - α -rasyonel büzülme dönüşümü ve genelleştirilmiş w - α -rasyonel büzülme dönüşümü tanımları yapılmış ve bu tanımlar kullanılarak sabit nokta ile ilgili teorem ifade ve ispat edilmiştir.

Anahtar Kelimeler: İkili Bağıntı, Sabit Nokta, α -Geçişli Dönüşümü, α -Tam Metrik Uzay, w -Uzaklık.

INTRODUCTION

Kada et al [1] presented the idea of w -distance within a metric space. Considering (X, d) as a metric space, a function $\omega: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ earns the designation of a w -distance on X when it meets these specified conditions for each $x, y, z \in X$,

(w1) $\omega(x, z) \leq \omega(x, y) + \omega(y, z)$;

(w2) a function $\omega(x, \cdot): X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ exhibits lower semicontinuous;

(w3) for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\omega(z, x) \leq \delta$ and $\omega(z, y) \leq \delta$ imply $d(x, y) \leq \varepsilon$ [1].

Later on, they have achieved significant results using this definition in fixed point theory. In 2012, Samet et al [2] defined α -admissible mapping. On the other hand they have expressed and proved the theorems related to fixed point in complete metric spaces.

Hussain et al [3] have obtained fixed point results for rational contraction mapping in α - η -complete metric space. Kutbi and Sintunavarat [4] defined generalized w -multivalued contraction mapping and then they have proven fixed point theorems using this mapping in α -complete metric spaces. Many studies have been carried out on fixed points [5, 6, 7, 8].

Definition 1. Consider (X, d) as a metric space, and let $T: X \rightarrow Cl(X)$ represent a multivalued mapping. A point $x \in X$ is termed a fixed point of T if $x \in Tx$, and the collection of fixed points of T is symbolized as $F(T)$ [9].

Definition 2. Consider (X, d) as a metric space, and let $T: X \rightarrow Cl(X)$ represents a multivalued mapping. T is termed a contraction if there exists a constant $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ such that, for every x and y in X , $H(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda d(x, y)$ [9].

Definition 3. Suppose (X, d) represents a metric space, and $\alpha: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a specified mapping. The multivalued mapping $T: X \rightarrow Cl(X)$ is termed a w - α -contraction if there exists a w - α -distance $\omega: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ on X and a value $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. This condition ensures that for any $x, y \in X$ and $u \in Tx$, there exists $v \in Ty$ such that

$$\alpha(u, v)\omega(u, v) \leq \lambda\omega(x, y) \quad [4].$$

Definition 4. In the context of (X, d) being a metric space and $\alpha: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ a specified mapping, the multivalued mapping $T: X \rightarrow Cl(X)$ is referred to as a

generalized w_α -contraction if there exists a w_0 -distance ω on X and a value $\lambda \in (0,1)$. This condition ensures that for any $x, y \in X$ and $u \in Tx$, there exists $v \in Ty$ such that

$$\alpha(u, v)\omega(u, v) \leq \lambda \max\{\omega(x, y), \omega(x, Tx), \omega(y, Ty), \frac{1}{2}[\omega(x, Ty) + \omega(y, Tx)]\} [4].$$

MAIN RESULTS

Definition 5. Let (X, d) be a metric space and $\alpha: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. A function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$ is said to be α -lower semi-continuous at point x if for all sequence (x_n) which converges to $x \in X$ and $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) \geq f(x).$$

Definition 6. Let (X, d) be a metric space and $\alpha: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. A function $\omega: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is said to be a w - α -distance on X if

- (i) $\omega(x, z) \leq \omega(x, y) + \omega(y, z)$ for any $x, y, z \in X$,
- (ii) For any $x \in X$, $\omega(x, \cdot): X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is α -lower semi-continuous,
- (iii) For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\omega(z, x) \leq \delta$ and $\omega(z, y) \leq \delta$ imply $d(x, y) \leq \varepsilon$.

Definition 7. Let (X, d) be a metric space. The w - α -distance $\omega: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ on X is said to be a w_0 - α -distance if $\omega(x, x) = 0$ for all $x \in X$.

Example 8. Let $X = [0, \infty)$. Define $T: X \rightarrow X$ and $\alpha: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$\alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1, & x, y \in [0, \frac{1}{2}) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$Tx = \begin{cases} \frac{3}{2}, & x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}) \\ \frac{4}{5}, & x = \frac{1}{2} \\ x, & x > \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, T is neither α -continuous nor lower semi-continuous. However, it is α -lower semi-continuous. In fact, let (x_n) be a sequence that not fixed convergent at point $x = \frac{1}{2}$. If $x_n \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}^-$, then $Tx_n = \frac{3}{2}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $x_n \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}^+$, then $Tx_n = x_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and so $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = \frac{1}{2}$. Therefore, it is not $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n \geq T\frac{1}{2}$. Hence, T is not lower semi-continuous at point $x = \frac{1}{2}$. Now, let (x_n) be a sequence not fixed such that $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ and convergent at point $x = \frac{1}{2}$. Then $Tx_n = \frac{3}{2}$ where $(x_n) \subseteq [0, \frac{1}{2})$. However, T is not α -continuous at point $\frac{1}{2}$ due to $Tx_n \rightarrow \frac{3}{2} \neq T\frac{1}{2} = \frac{4}{5}$. Also, T is α -lower semi-continuous at point $x = \frac{1}{2}$. Thus, $\frac{3}{2} = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n \geq T\frac{1}{2} = \frac{4}{5}$.

Lemma 9. Consider (X, d) as a metric space, where $\alpha: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $\omega: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ are w - α -distances on X . Suppose (x_n) and (y_n) are sequences in

X such that $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ and $(y_n, y_{n+1}) \geq 1$, respectively, with $x, y, z \in X$. Let (u_n) and (v_n) be sequences of positive real numbers approaching 0. Under these conditions, the following statements hold true:

- (i) If $\omega(x_n, y) \leq u_n$ and $\omega(x_n, z) \leq v_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $y = z$. Moreover, if $\omega(x, y) = 0$ and $\omega(x, z) = 0$, then $y = z$.
- (ii) If $\omega(x_n, y_n) \leq u_n$ and $\omega(x_n, z) \leq v_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $y_n \rightarrow z$.
- (iii) If $\omega(x_n, x_m) \leq u_n$ for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m > n$, then (x_n) be a Cauchy sequence such that $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ in X .
- (iv) If $\omega(x_n, y) \leq u_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then (x_n) be a Cauchy sequence such that $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ in X .

Definition 10. Let (X, d) be a metric space and $\alpha: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $T: X \rightarrow Cl(X)$ be given two mappings. T is said to be generalized multivalued w - α -rational contraction mapping if there exist $\lambda \in (0,1)$ and a w_0 - α -distance $\omega: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ on X such that for all $x, y \in X$ and $u \in Tx$ there is a $v \in Ty$ with

$$\alpha(u, v)\omega(u, v) \leq \lambda \max\left\{\omega(x, y), \frac{\omega(x, Tx)}{1 + \omega(x, Tx)}, \frac{\omega(y, Ty)}{1 + \omega(y, Ty)}, \frac{1}{2}[\omega(x, Ty) + \omega(y, Tx)]\right\}.$$

Definition 11. Let (X, d) be a metric space, $\omega: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a w_0 - α -distance on X and $T: X \rightarrow Cl(X)$. Let

$$M(x, y) = \max\left\{\omega(x, y), \frac{\omega(x, Tx)}{1 + \omega(x, Tx)}, \frac{\omega(y, Ty)}{1 + \omega(y, Ty)}, \frac{\omega(x, Ty) + \omega(y, Tx)}{2}\right\}.$$

Then T is said to be a multivalued w - α -rational contraction mapping if $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1 \Rightarrow \omega(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda M(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ where $\lambda \in (0,1)$.

Theorem 12. Let (X, d) be a metric space and $\alpha: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function. Let $T: X \rightarrow Cl(X)$ be a generalized multivalued w - α -rational contraction mapping. Suppose that the following statements are indeed accurate:

- (i) There exists $Y \subseteq X$ with $T(X) \subseteq Y$ such that (Y, d) is α -complete;
 - (ii) T is a α -admissible mapping;
 - (iii) There exists $x_0 \in X$ and $x_1 \in Tx_0$ such that $\alpha(x_0, x_1) \geq 1$;
 - (iv) Either T is α -continuous or
 - (iv') (x_n) sequence such that $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ and $x_n \rightarrow x \in X$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ has a (x_{n_k}) subsequence such that $\alpha(x_{n_k}, x) \geq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$;
- Then $F(T) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. There exist $x_0 \in X$ and $x_1 \in Tx_0$ such that $\alpha(x_0, x_1) \geq 1$ from (ii). Since T is a generalized w - α -

rational contraction mapping, we obtain $x_2 \in Tx_1$ such that

$$\alpha(x_1, x_2)\omega(x_1, x_2) \leq \lambda \max \left\{ \omega(x_0, x_1), \frac{\omega(x_0, Tx_0)}{1+\omega(x_0, Tx_0)}, \frac{\omega(x_1, Tx_1)}{1+\omega(x_1, Tx_1)}, \frac{1}{2}[\omega(x_0, Tx_1) + \omega(x_1, Tx_0)] \right\} \quad (2.1)$$

Since T is a α -admissible mapping and $x_1 \in Tx_0$ such that $\alpha(x_0, x_1) \geq 1$, we have

$$\alpha(x_1, x_2) \geq 1. \quad (2.2)$$

Then by (2.1) and (2.2) we get

$$\omega(x_1, x_2) \leq \alpha(x_1, x_2)\omega(x_1, x_2) \leq \lambda \max \left\{ \omega(x_0, x_1), \frac{\omega(x_0, Tx_0)}{1+\omega(x_0, Tx_0)}, \frac{\omega(x_1, Tx_1)}{1+\omega(x_1, Tx_1)}, \frac{1}{2}[\omega(x_0, Tx_1) + \omega(x_1, Tx_0)] \right\}.$$

Again, since T is a generalized w - α -rational contraction, there exists $x_3 \in Tx_2$ such that

$$\alpha(x_2, x_3)\omega(x_2, x_3) \leq \lambda \max \left\{ \omega(x_1, x_2), \frac{\omega(x_1, Tx_1)}{1+\omega(x_1, Tx_1)}, \frac{\omega(x_2, Tx_2)}{1+\omega(x_2, Tx_2)}, \frac{1}{2}[\omega(x_1, Tx_2) + \omega(x_2, Tx_1)] \right\} \quad (2.3)$$

Since $\alpha(x_1, x_2) \geq 1$ and T be a α -admissible mapping, we have

$$\alpha(x_2, x_3) \geq 1. \quad (2.4)$$

Then we get

$$\omega(x_2, x_3) \leq \alpha(x_2, x_3)\omega(x_2, x_3) \leq \lambda \max \left\{ \omega(x_1, x_2), \frac{\omega(x_1, Tx_1)}{1+\omega(x_1, Tx_1)}, \frac{\omega(x_2, Tx_2)}{1+\omega(x_2, Tx_2)}, \frac{1}{2}[\omega(x_1, Tx_2) + \omega(x_2, Tx_1)] \right\}$$

by (2.3) and (2.4). Continuing this process, we get $x_n \in Tx_{n-1}$,

$$\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1 \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$\omega(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \lambda \max \left\{ \omega(x_{n-1}, x_n), \frac{\omega(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})}{1+\omega(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})}, \frac{\omega(x_n, Tx_n)}{1+\omega(x_n, Tx_n)}, \frac{1}{2}[\omega(x_{n-1}, Tx_n) + \omega(x_n, Tx_{n-1})] \right\}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq \lambda \max \left\{ \omega(x_{n-1}, x_n), \frac{\omega(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})}{1+\omega(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})}, \frac{\omega(x_n, Tx_n)}{1+\omega(x_n, Tx_n)}, \frac{1}{2}[\omega(x_{n-1}, Tx_n) + \omega(x_n, Tx_{n-1})] \right\} \\ &= \lambda \max \left\{ \omega(x_{n-1}, x_n), \frac{\omega(x_{n-1}, x_n)}{1+\omega(x_{n-1}, x_n)}, \frac{\omega(x_n, x_{n+1})}{1+\omega(x_n, x_{n+1})}, \frac{1}{2}[\omega(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) + \omega(x_n, x_n)] \right\} \\ &\leq \lambda \max \left\{ \omega(x_{n-1}, x_n), \omega(x_n, x_{n+1}), \frac{1}{2}[\omega(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})] \right\} \\ &\leq \lambda \max \left\{ \omega(x_{n-1}, x_n), \omega(x_n, x_{n+1}), \frac{1}{2}[\omega(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \omega(x_n, x_{n+1})] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In that case we get

$$\omega(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \lambda \max \{ \omega(x_{n-1}, x_n), \omega(x_n, x_{n+1}) \}. \quad \text{If } \max \{ \omega(x_{k-1}, x_k), \omega(x_k, x_{k+1}) \} = \omega(x_k, x_{k+1}) \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{N},$$

then $\omega(x_k, x_{k+1}) = 0$ and so we have $\omega(x_{k-1}, x_k) = 0$. We get

$$\omega(x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}) \leq \omega(x_{k-1}, x_k) + \omega(x_k, x_{k+1}) = 0$$

from the property of w - α -distance.

Since $\omega(x_{k-1}, x_k) = 0$ and $\omega(x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}) = 0$, then we get $x_k = x_{k+1}$ using Lemma 9. This is $x_k \in Tx_k$ and so it means that x_k is a fixed point of T . Now, let's consider the assumption that

$$\max \{ \omega(x_{n-1}, x_n), \omega(x_n, x_{n+1}) \} = \omega(x_{n-1}, x_n)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We get

$$\omega(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \lambda \omega(x_{n-1}, x_n) \quad (2.7)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ from (2.6). By induction, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq \lambda \omega(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &\leq \lambda^2 \omega(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq \lambda^n \omega(x_0, x_1) \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $m > n$ for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(x_n, x_m) &\leq \omega(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \omega(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots \\ &\quad + \omega(x_{m-1}, x_m) \\ &\leq \lambda^n \omega(x_0, x_1) + \lambda^{n+1} \omega(x_0, x_1) + \dots + \lambda^{m-1} \omega(x_0, x_1) \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda^n}{1-\lambda} \omega(x_0, x_1). \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 < \lambda < 1$, then we get $\frac{\lambda^n}{1-\lambda} \omega(x_0, x_1) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It is found that (x_n) is a Cauchy sequence in Y satisfying $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ from Lemma 9. We know that $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ from (2.5). Since (Y, d) is α -complete, then we obtain $x_n \rightarrow z$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for some $z \in Y$. We now show that z is a fixed point of T . First, we consider that T is α -continuous. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d(z, Tz) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n+1}, Tz) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(Tx_n, Tz) \\ &= d(Tz, Tz) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Here, z is a fixed point of T .

Now, let's consider the existence of (iv'). So there exist a subsequence (x_{n_k}) of (x_n) such that $\alpha(x_{n_k}, z) \geq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. In this case, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(x_{n_k+1}, z) &\leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \omega(x_{n_k+1}, x_{n_k+m}) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda^{n_k+1}}{1-\lambda} \omega(x_0, x_1) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

using w - α -distance lower semi-continuous from inequality $\omega(x_n, x_m) \leq \frac{\lambda^n}{1-\lambda} \omega(x_0, x_1)$. Also, since T be generalized w - α -rational contraction mapping and $\alpha(x_{n_k}, z) \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(x_{n_k+1}, Tz) &= \omega(Tx_{n_k}, Tz) \\ &\leq \lambda \max \left\{ \omega(x_{n_k}, z), \frac{\omega(x_{n_k}, x_{n_k+1})}{1 + \omega(x_{n_k}, x_{n_k+1})}, \frac{\omega(z, Tz)}{1 + \omega(z, Tz)}, \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\left. \frac{1}{2}[\omega(x_{n_k}, Tz) + \omega(z, x_{n_k+1})] \right\}$$

$$\leq \lambda \max \{ \omega(x_{n_k}, z), \omega(x_{n_k}, x_{n_k+1}), \omega(z, Tz),$$

$$\left. \frac{1}{2}[\omega(x_{n_k}, Tz) + \omega(z, x_{n_k+1})] \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \lambda \max\{\omega(x_{n_k}, z), \omega(x_{n_k}, x_{n_k+1}), \omega(z, x_{n_k+1}) + \\ &\quad \omega(x_{n_k+1}, Tz)\} \leq \omega(x_{n_k+1}, Tz)\}. \\ &\leq \lambda \max\left\{\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda^{n_k}}{1-\lambda} \omega(x_0, x_1), \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^{n_k} \omega(x_0, x_1), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda^{n_k}}{1-\lambda} \omega(x_0, x_1) + \omega(x_{n_k+1}, Tz)\right\} \end{aligned}$$

If $\omega(x_{n_k+1}, Tz) > 0$, then

$$\omega(x_{n_k+1}, Tz) \leq \lambda \omega(x_{n_k+1}, Tz)$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, we have

$$\omega(x_{n_k+1}, Tz) = 0. \tag{2.9}$$

If (2.8) and (2.9) are combined, then we obtain $z = Tz$ from Lemma 9.

Theorem 13. In a metric space (X, d) , considering the mapping $\alpha: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $T: X \rightarrow Cl(X)$ as a multi-valued w - α -rational contraction mapping, assuming the validity of the following statements:

- (i) $Y \subseteq X$ with $T(X) \subseteq Y$ such that (Y, d) is α -complete;
- (ii) T is a α -admissible mapping;
- (iii) There exists $x_0 \in X$ and $x_1 \in Tx_0$ such that $\alpha(x_0, x_1) \geq 1$;
- (iv) Either T is α -continuous or
- (iv') (x_n) sequence such that $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ and $x_n \rightarrow x \in X$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ has a (x_{n_k}) subsequence such that $\alpha(x_{n_k}, x) \geq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$;

Then $F(T) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. The proof shares resemblance with the one in Theorem 12.

Result 14. Suppose (X, d) represents a metric space equipped with w - \mathcal{R} -distance, and \mathcal{R} is any arbitrary binary relation on X . If $T: X \rightarrow Cl(X)$ fulfills these conditions, then it implies $F(T)$ is non-empty.

- (i) There exists $Y \subseteq X$ with $T(X) \subseteq Y$, such that (Y, d) is \mathcal{R} -complete;
- (ii) $X(T, \mathcal{R}) \neq \emptyset$ and \mathcal{R} is T -closed;
- (iii) Either T is \mathcal{R} -continuous or
- (iii') (x_n) such that $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in \mathcal{R}$ and $x_n \rightarrow x \in X$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ has a subsequence (x_{n_k}) such that $(x_{n_k}, x) \in \mathcal{R}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.
- (iv) There exists a $\lambda \in [0, 1)$ for all $x, y \in X$ such that $x, y \in \mathcal{R}$, then $\omega(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda M(x, y)$.

There exists

$$M(x, y) = \max\left\{\omega(x, y), \frac{\omega(x, Tx)}{1 + \omega(x, Tx)}, \frac{\omega(y, Ty)}{1 + \omega(y, Ty)}, \frac{\omega(x, Ty) + \omega(y, Tx)}{2}\right\}.$$

Proof. Let

$$\alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1, & (x, y) \in \mathcal{R} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

If there exists $x_0 \in X$ and $x_1 \in Tx_0$ such that $\alpha(x_0, x_1) \geq 1$, then since $X(T, \mathcal{R}) \neq \emptyset$, there exists a point $x_0 \in X(T, \mathcal{R})$ such that $(x_0, Tx_0) \in \mathcal{R}$. Since $(x_0, x_1) \in \mathcal{R}$ and \mathcal{R} is T -closed, there exists a $x_2 \in Tx_1$ such that $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathcal{R}$. $\alpha(x_1, x_2) \geq 1$ due to the definition of α .

Continuing this process, we get $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ such that $x_n = Tx_{n-1}$. That is, T is a α -admissible. Since the definition of α and (Y, d) is \mathcal{R} -complete, then (Y, d) is α -complete. (iii) and (iii') conditions requires (iv) and (iv') hypotheses of Theorem 12. Now let $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1$. Then $(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}$. Because of the hypothesis (iv) there exists a $\lambda \in [0, 1)$ such that $\omega(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda M(x, y)$.

Therefore, since it provide all conditions of Theorem 12, then T has a fixed point. Also, w - \mathcal{R} -distance requires w - α -distance.

Result 15. Suppose (X, d) represents a metric space, $\alpha: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a mapping, and $T: X \rightarrow Cl(X)$ is a multi-valued w - α -rational contraction mapping, given that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) T is a α -contraction mapping;
- (ii) There exist $x_0 \in X$ and $x_1 \in Tx_0$ such that $\alpha(x_0, x_1) \geq 1$;
- (iii) Either T is α -continuous or (x_n) sequence such that $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ ve $x_n \rightarrow x \in X$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ has a (x_{n_k}) subsequence such that $\alpha(x_{n_k}, x) \geq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$;

Then $F(T) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. As (X, d) constitutes a complete metric space, ensuring α -complete, the intended outcome is achieved by employing the proof outlined in Theorem 12.

Example 16. Let $X = (-1, \infty)$ and $d: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with the metric $d(x, y) = |x - y|$ for all $x, y \in X$. Define $\alpha: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$\alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} x^2 + y^2, & x, y \in [0, 1] \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$T: X \rightarrow Cl(X)$ multivalued mapping define by

$$Tx = \begin{cases} \left\{\frac{1}{4}x^2\right\}, & x \in [0, 1] \\ \{|x|, |x + 2|\}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Now, we show that this is T a multivalued w - α -rational contraction mapping with $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ and w - α -distance $\omega: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, defined as $\omega(x, y) = \max\{|x|, |y|\}$ for all $x, y \in X$. Let $u \in Tx = \left\{\frac{1}{4}x^2\right\}$ for $x, y \in [0, 1]$.

That is, we can found in a $v = \frac{1}{4}y^2 \in Ty$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \frac{1}{4}x^2 \text{ and} \\ \alpha(u, v)\omega(u, v) &= \alpha\left(\frac{x^2}{4}, \frac{y^2}{4}\right)\omega\left(\frac{x^2}{4}, \frac{y^2}{4}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{x^4}{16} + \frac{y^4}{16}\right)\left(\frac{1}{4}\max\{x^2, y^2\}\right) \\ &\leq (1 + 1)\frac{1}{4}\max\{x^2, y^2\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\max\{|x|, |y|\} \\ &= \lambda\omega(x, y) \\ &\leq \lambda M(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

That is, $\alpha(u, v)\omega(u, v) \leq \lambda M(x, y)$. Therefore T multivalued w - α -rational contraction mapping.

While (Y, d) may not qualify as a complete metric space, it does fulfill the criteria for being an α -complete metric space. Consider (x_n) as a Cauchy sequence within Y , with $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ for all n in the natural numbers.

Consequently, $x_n \in [0,1]$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Given that $([0,1], d)$ stands as a complete metric space, there exists $z \in [0,1]$ such that $x_n \rightarrow z$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, (Y, d) qualifies as an α -complete metric space.

If $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1$, it implies that $x, y \in [0,1]$. Concurrently, $Tc \in [0,1]$ for all $c \in [0,1]$. Consequently, $\alpha(Tx, Ty) \geq 1$, signifying that T qualifies as an α -admissible mapping. There exists $x_0 = 1$ such that $x_1 = \frac{1}{4} \in T1$ and $\alpha(x_0, x_1) = \alpha\left(1, \frac{1}{4}\right) \geq 1$.

$x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and (x_n) sequence provide $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ inequality for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, $(x_n) \subseteq [0,1]$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and so $(Tx_n) \subseteq [0,1]$. Since T is continuous on $[0,1]$, then $Tx_n \rightarrow Tx$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

This implies that T is a mapping that maintains α -continuity.

Alternatively, let $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ and $x_n \rightarrow z \in X$. In this case, there exists a subset (x_{n_k}) such that $x_n \in [0,1]$ and $x_{n_k} \rightarrow z$. Thus, $\alpha(x_{n_k}, z) \geq 1$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Kada, O., Suzuki, T., Takahashi, W. Nonconvex minimization theorems and fixed point theorems in complete metrized spaces, *Mathematica Japonica*. 44:2 381-391, 1996.
- [2] Samet, B., Vetro, C., Vetro, P. Fixed point theorems α - Ψ -contractive type mappings, *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications*. 75:4 2154- 2165, 2012.
- [3] Hussain, N., Kutbi, M. A., Salimi, P. Fixed point theory in α -complete metric spaces with applications, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*. 1:2 1-11, 2014.
- [4] Kutbi, M. A., Sintunavarat, W. The existence of fixed point theorems via α -distance and α -admissible mappings and applications, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*. 141 1-8, 2013.
- [5] Vetro, C. A Fixed-Point Problem with Mixed-Type Contractive Condition, *Constructive Mathematical Analysis*. 3:1, 45-52, 2020.
- [6] Karapinar, E. A Short Survey on the Recent Fixed Point Results on b-Metric Spaces, *Constructive Mathematical Analysis*. 1:1 15-44, 2018.
- [7] Nazam, M., Acar, Ö. Fixed points of (α, ψ) -contractions in Hausdorff partial metric spaces, *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*. 42:16 5159-5173, 2019.
- [8] Minak, G., Acar, Ö., Altun, İ. Multivalued Pseudo-Picard Operators and Fixed Point Results, *Journal of Function spaces and applications*. 2013, 2013.
- [9] Kutbi, M. A., Sintunavarat, W. Fixed point theorems for generalized \mathbb{W}_α - contraction multivalued mappings in α -complete metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*. 139 1-9, 2014.