JIE

Year/Yıl 2024 | Volume/Cilt 4 | Issue/Sayı 2
Journal of Islamic Economics | İslam Ekonomisi Dergisi

Rod Hill and Tony Myatt, The Economics Anti-Textbook: A Critical Thinker's Guide to Microeconomics

(Book Review, Fernwood Publishing Ltd, 2010, 296 p.)

İnan Gidiş| inangidis@outlook.com| ORCID: 0000-0003-2512-3464

Social Sciences University of Ankara

Research Information / Araştırma Bilgisi

Research Type / Araştırma Türü	Book Review / Kitap Değerlendirmesi
Date of Submission / Teslim Tarihi	30.11.2023
Date of Acceptance / Kabul Tarihi	27.12.2023
Date of Publication / Yayın Tarihi	15.07.2024
DOI	10.55237/jie. 1397976
Citation / Atıf	Gidiş, İ. 2024. "Rod Hill and Tony Myatt, The Economics Anti-Textbook: A Critical Thinker's Guide to Microeconomics (Book Review, Fernwood Publishing Ltd, 2010, 296 s.)" Journal of Islamic Economics, 4 (2): 163-176
Peer-Review / Hakem Değerlendirmesi	Editorial Check / Editör Kontrolü
Ethical Statement / Etik Beyanı	While conducting and writing this study, all the sources used have been appropriately cited. / Bu çalışmayı yürütür ve yazarken kullanılan tüm kaynaklara uygun bir şekilde atıfta bulunulmuştur.
Plagiarism Checks / İntihal Kontrolü	Yes – Turnitin, Intihal Net / Evet – Turnitin, İntihal Net
Conflict of Interest / Çıkar Çatışması	The author has no conflict of interest to declare. / Yazarın beyan edecek herhangi bir çıkar çatışması yoktur.
Complaints / Şikayet	jie@asbu.edu.tr
Grand Support / Destek Fonu	The author acknowledges that they received no external funding in support of this research. / Yazar, bu araştırmayı yaparken herhangi bir dış finansman almadığını beyan eder.
Copyright & Licence / Telif Hakkı ve Lisans	Author publishing with the journal retains the copyright to their work licensed under the CC BY-NC 4.0. / Dergimizde yayın yapan yazarlar **CC BY-NC 4.0** kapsamında lisanslanan çalışmalarının telif hakkını saklı tutar.

Abstract

The book titled "The Economics Anti-Textbook: A Critical Thinker's Guide to Microeconomics" by Rod Hill and Tony Myatt offers a thorough critique of mainstream microeconomic theories typically presented in traditional textbooks. Structured with a unique approach, each chapter is divided into two sections: the standard text and the critical text title. This dual presentation provides readers with an insightful comparison, where the authors systematically challenge and question the assumptions and narratives commonly found in economic education. The book covers a wide range of topics, including market structures, efficiency, externalities, income distribution, government intervention, and globalization. Notably, the authors debunk the idealization of perfect competition, underscore the importance of externalities and market failures, and scrutinize the marginal productivity theory of income distribution. Furthermore, they analyze the impact of government policies on income redistribution and assess the implications of global trade and globalization. Throughout the book, the authors advocate for a more nuanced and realistic understanding of economic phenomena, urging readers to incorporate ethical considerations, environmental concerns, and power dynamics into their economic analyses. This review provides a comprehensive overview of the book's central themes, emphasizing its unique structure and critical perspective on traditional economic teachings.

Keywords: Critical Perspective, Income Distribution, Mainstream Theories, Microeconomic Critique, Realistic Understanding.

JEL Codes: A22, B10, B21, B30, D00

Rod Hill ve Tony Myatt, Ekonomi Anti-Ders Kitabı: Eleştirel Bir Düşünürün Mikroekonomi Rehberi

(Kitap Değerlendirmesi, Fernwood Publishing Ltd, 2010, 296 s.)

Özet

Rod Hill ve Tony Myatt tarafından kaleme alınan "The Economics Anti-Textbook: A Critical Thinker’s Guide to Microeconomics" adlı kitap, geleneksel ders kitaplarında sunulan ana akım mikroekonomi teorilerine kapsamlı bir eleştiri sunmaktadır. Bu kitap, kendine özgü bir yaklaşım benimsemektedir ve her bölüm standart metin ve eleştirel metin olarak iki bölüme ayrılmaktadır. Bu durum, yazarların iktisat öğretilerindeki yaygın varsayımları ve konu anlatımlarını sistemli bir şekilde ele almalarını ve sorgulamalarını kolaylaştırmaktadır. Nitekim kitap pazar yapıları, verimlilik, dışsallıklar, gelir dağılımı, hükümet müdahaleleri ve küreselleşme gibi geniş bir konu yelpazesini içermektedir. Yazarlar, özellikle tam rekabet piyasasının idealize edilmesine karşı çıkarak, dışsallıkların ve piyasa başarısızlıklarının önemini vurgulamaktadırlar. Aynı zamanda, marjinal ürün temelli gelir dağılımı teorisini mercek altına almaktadırlar. Hükümet politikalarının gelirin yeniden dağılımına etkilerini, küresel ticareti ve küreselleşmenin sonuçlarını ele alarak değerlendirmektedirler. Yazarlar, kitap boyunca ekonomik olayların daha nüanslı ve gerçekçi bir anlayışını savunarak, okuyucuları etik düşünmeye, çevresel faktörleri ve kaygıları dikkate almaya ve güç dinamiklerini ekonomik analizlere dahil etmeye davet etmektedirler. Bu değerlendirme, kitaptaki temaları ve bölümleri analiz ederek, eserin içeriğine dair değerlendirme ve öneriler sunmaktadır.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Eleştirel Bakış, Gelir Dağılımı, Ana Akım Teoriler, Gerçekçi Anlayış, Mikroekonomi Teorileri.

JEL Kodları: A22, B10, B21, B30, D00

Rod Hill and Tony Myatt, The Economics Anti-Textbook: A Critical Thinker's Guide to Microeconomics

(Book Review, Fernwood Publishing Ltd, 2010, 296 s.)

Given the purpose of a book review, which is to provide a thoughtful evaluation and analysis, I set out to critically examine the work entitled "The Economics Anti-Textbook: A Critical Thinker's Guide to Microeconomics" by Rod Hill and Tony Myatt. I aim to delve into the nuances of the book and consider its impact and relevance in the realm of economic discourse. This review begins with an exploration of my initial impressions, delving into the intriguing entry point provided by the book's title. The following assessment reflects my contemplative journey through the content, addressing both the strengths and potential areas of concern, ultimately culminating in a comprehensive evaluation of the author's contributions to the field of economics.

Before I start to evaluate the book, I would like to express my initial impressions about the book. The journey began with a contemplative examination of the book's title, a seemingly unassuming yet captivating entry point into an alternative discourse on economics. The prevailing economic theories presented in mainstream textbooks, which had hitherto shaped my intellectual foundation, frequently left me in a state of cognitive perplexity, prompting me to question the soundness of foundational assumptions and the pragmatic applicability of certain concepts. A central concept that invited meticulous examination was the postulation of a perfectly free market an idealistic construct that rarely reflects the nuanced complexities of real-world economic dynamics. This led to a fundamental inquiry: why predicate the explication of various market models on an ideal that appears more fantastical than feasible? The conspicuous absence of moral values within the economic paradigm aggravated my intellectual disquiet. The discourse seemed to disregard the inherent humanity of economic actors, portraying them as devoid of ethical and emotional dimensions as I engaged with the book's contents, a discernible resonance emerged, resembling an intellectual dialogue with the erudite authors. Traversing the introductory sections, a mental chorus of affirmations echoed, validating the authors' discernment of the issues and unrealistic assumptions that had hitherto elicited my dissatisfaction and perplexity. Thus, before delving into the granular dissection of chapters and thematic elements, I extend commendation to the authors for articulating cogently the salient concerns that have heretofore lingered in the recesses of my economic contemplations. This book, it appears, furnishes a navigational guide through the labyrinth of economic theories, presenting a perspective that aligns more judiciously with the intricate tapestry of real-world dynamics.

The book's central theme revolves around a critique of conventional economics textbooks and teaching methodologies. It challenges the prevalent assumption that these textbooks present models of perfect competition within a biased free market and adhere to laissez-faire ideologies, neglecting conflicting evidence and alternative models. The authors reject the notion that typical introductory economics textbooks are devoid of value judgments and assert that value judgments play a significant role in economics.

However, the book does not aim to criticize these value judgments but emphasizes their existence and advocates for considering alternative views. The authors do not seek to overthrow neoclassical economics, as they believe in its transformative potential and adaptable structure. They explain the unclear boundaries of neoclassical economics and clarify that their opposition is directed solely at current textbooks, not mainstream economics.

The authors highlight the tendency of mainstream textbooks to address crucial topics like income distribution and taxation at the end of studies, postponing critical considerations. The book also references the global financial meltdown to underscore the importance of issues such as imperfect information, externalities, limited rationality, and inappropriate incentives, emphasizing the necessity of appropriate government regulation.

In achieving its goal, the book presents alternative value judgments to mainstream economics textbooks, supported by a rich bibliography, diverse examples, and inclusive criticisms. Despite a complex structure in theoretical and practical terms, it stands out as a comprehensive and critical economic resource.

The book comprises eleven chapters and each chapter provides a thorough presentation of content and main ideas, employing a division into standard and critical parts. For enhanced comprehension, relevant questions are posed for readers, especially students, to ask their teachers at the end of each chapter. The book also includes a summary section to ensure the integrity of subjects, along with written and visual resources to contribute to the maturation of developed ideas. Suggestions for additional readings at the end of each chapter further enrich the book's educational value. In the following paragraph, I will systematically summarize each book chapter while providing a critical assessment.

In the standard text of the first chapter of the book, the authors begin by noting that many textbooks define economics as the science of choice, attributing its uniqueness among social sciences to the rational choice theory. According to them, economics primarily involves analyzing individuals' preferences. In the standard section, key concepts like scarcity, opportunity cost, marginal costs, and benefits are explored, using the lens of rational and self-interested individuals to elucidate fundamental teachings.

In the critical text section of the first chapter, the authors challenge the choice theory of individual interest, presenting alternative perspectives. This includes Keynes' definition of the economic problem as absolute needs rather than scarcity, Marglin and Northrop's rejection of 'intrinsically unlimited wants', and viewpoints prioritizing society. Galbraith's ideas emphasizing corporate power and political interests, overlooked in individual-centric textbooks, are central arguments. Additionally, developments in behavioral economics and critiques of the rational individual are incorporated. The summary section underscores the importance of the notion of social connection, providing a concluding remark on the discussed themes.

The summary section of the first chapter underscores the importance of the notion of social connection, providing a concluding remark on the discussed themes.

In the standard text section of the second chapter, the authors delve into concepts like the production possibility curve and the comparative advantage theory, commonly discussed and employed in economic models presented in textbooks. They highlight the differentiation between positive and normative economics, as outlined in these textbooks. According to them, normative economics, rooted in value judgments, is deemed untestable with empirical evidence, leading to disagreements among economists. Contrarily, decisions based on statistical and economic analysis of existing entities garner widespread consensus, creating a divide between normative and positive economics. The authors argue that this division hinders just and equitable decision-making, which ultimately leads to political decisions, as asserted by textbook authors.

In the critical text section of the second chapter, the authors challenge the validity of models presented in mainstream textbooks, noting a lack of supporting evidence for predictions. They emphasize that these models, which are touted for their predictive power, are inconsistently tested and attribute this to the models' weaker predictive abilities than advertised. The authors assert that economics, unlike natural sciences, contends with an array of uncontrollable variables, a major impediment to reaching conclusive judgments. They draw on McCloskey's assertion that economics is more an art of persuasion than an original science. The section introduces contradictory empirical studies on the same issues, citing the example of various studies with differing results when examining the relationship between minimum wage increases and unemployment.

The authors further introduce the concepts of paradigm and ideology, noting their absence in textbooks. They attribute this absence to textbooks focusing on topics within the dominant neoclassical economic paradigm while neglecting alternative paradigms. The authors also discuss the values underpinning neoclassical economics, including individual responsibility, private property, and the minimal state. Within this context, they evaluate the comparative advantage theory, asserting that models associated with it are empirically untestable, and highlight controversial examples that challenge its pre-accepted validity today.

In the standard text of the third chapter, the authors elucidate the determinants of a perfect competition market structure as portrayed in mainstream textbooks and detail the mechanics of these markets. The absence of competitive behavior in such markets is emphasized, positing that all participants act as price takers. The section further explores demand and supply balances, asserting that prices in free markets result from the interplay between supply and demand. The authors illustrate instances of inefficiency arising from interference in market prices, exemplified through rent price controls and minimum wage discussions. Additionally, the incorporation of sales taxes into goods pricing is examined, shedding light on the distribution of the tax burden and the resultant loss of benefits for both producers and consumers.

In the critical text section of the second chapter, the authors scrutinize the portrayal of markets, emphasizing that those explained using supply and demand often depict perfect competition markets. They delve into the conditions necessary for perfect competition, highlighting buyer behavior, perfect information, and ease of entry for companies as fundamental assumptions. The authors argue that the assumption of perfect information is irrational, contending that markets typically exhibit an oligopolistic structure. They criticize the application of the perfect competition model to non-competitive markets within textbooks, citing its simplicity and accurate predictions despite empirical inconsistencies in studies on minimum wage and rent controls. Examples are provided to underscore flaws in the model's functionality and explanatory power, which the authors argue are often omitted in textbooks discussing perfect competition. In the summary section of this chapter, the authors challenge the prevailing justification for applying the competitive model, asserting that arguments regarding its predictive power are flawed. They contend that the belief in the applicability of the competitive model to non-competitive markets is subjective and lacks consensus among economists.

In the standard text of the fourth chapter, the authors explain those individuals, when seeking goods and services, make decisions based on the consideration of their benefits. They expound on the concept of marginal benefits, shedding light on the maximization of benefits phenomenon.

In the critical text of the fourth chapter, the authors contend that it is flawed to treat personal preferences as data and exclusively pursue that approach. They discuss criticisms related to the potential influence of advertising on people's preferences, the reality of buyers having perfect information, and the prevalence of incomplete information as a rule rather than an exception. The lack of consideration for the impact of advertising activities on personal preferences in mainstream textbooks is highlighted, accompanied by significant examples illustrating the scale of the advertising sector and changing preferences. The authors delve into the topic with examples from the food and pharmaceutical industries, illustrating issues related to missing and asymmetrical information. They explore how information that end-users may not comprehend or find interest in is manipulated and employed in the products they consume. The authors, in examining the position of personal preferences in society, explore the relationship between income and happiness, introducing the Easterlin paradox to explain why poorer countries often exhibit higher happiness scores than wealthier ones. The argument is made that individuals shape their preferences based on the realities of the society they inhabit, and as such, personal preferences do not necessarily conflict with societal preferences.

In the summary section of the given chapter, the authors assert that explaining personal preferences without considering the social context and accounting for incomplete or asymmetrical information is erroneous. They question the significance of the emphasis on activity in mainstream textbooks, posing the query, "Why is this so important if the increase in consumption within rich countries yields little wealth growth or even none?"

In the standard text of chapter five, the authors define companies as profit-driven entities utilizing production factors and inputs from other companies to manufacture goods and services. Regarding production, the authors assert that company executives serve as decision-makers, determining the method, quantity, and nature of production. Executives leverage available production technology, encompassing knowledge of task execution and inputs from the labor force and capital, in making these decisions. It is emphasized that altering capital is more challenging than modifying the labor force and maximizing input and output is more feasible by adjusting labor inputs. After introducing the law of diminishing returns, costs are subsequently categorized into marginal, average, and total.

Contrastingly, in the critical text of chapter five, the authors posit that companies consider inputs, outputs, and associated costs and profits in their quest to amplify production. However, the text argues that many companies find it economically viable to bear costs impacting the external environment and often overlook workers in these production decisions. The authors challenge the notion that short-term company costs do not experience significant increases, contrary to theoretical teachings. The theoretical production levels and the explanation for the number of companies participating in production are alleged to be absent from these theories. The text asserts that companies do not face diminishing returns hindering long-term growth, and no factors impede them from expanding enough to influence market prices. The authors argue that the short and long-term cost curves in textbooks explaining perfect competition models are deceptive, attributing the paradigm's collapse to the emergence of alternative paradigms.

Moreover, the authors contend that textbooks neglect the power companies wield in shaping external environments for profit. The discussion delves into the power of companies in influencing public policies aligned with their interests, illustrated through various lobbying examples, with a focus on health reform activities in the USA. The text explores power dynamics within companies, highlighting relationships between executives, employers, and other shareholders. It is posited that executives, in addition to pursuing profit, may also pursue personal interests, facilitated by incomplete information and rational shareholder ignorance. The non-democratic nature of the relationship between employees and employers is emphasized, attributing autocratic management to executive accountability solely to company owners. The authors cite the Mondragon cooperative as an example of economic democracy, underscoring the omission of alternative management forms in textbooks, suggesting a rationale for the persistence of capitalist company structures.

In the summary section of chapter five, the authors critique the omission of power dynamics in textbooks, ironically asserting that companies do not undertake political initiatives for an advantage. Thus, the text claims that internal power dynamics within companies are overlooked, and students are steered towards accepting traditional autocratic capitalist companies as the only viable option.

In the standard text of the sixth chapter, the authors examine the portrayal of competitive markets in mainstream textbooks, assessing their depiction as indicators of market efficiency under the standard text title. They contend that the explanation of market structure's dependence on company organization and overall efficiency is the intended focus. The authors furnish insights into the operations of perfect competition markets, monopoly markets, and other non-competitive markets within this context.

Under the critical text of chapter six, the authors extend their discourse to address various issues, notably asserting that the supply and demand models outlined in the third part find limited applicability beyond perfect competition. Firstly, they challenge the perception of a perfect competition market as an ideal structure, highlighting potential ethical concerns arising from such markets. The question of whether an ideal market structure can lead to ethically fair outcomes is examined. Secondly, the authors posit that perfect competition is deemed ideal only when static efficiency is prioritized, emphasizing that dynamic efficiency holds greater significance. They argue that perfect competition and innovation are fundamentally incompatible, feasible only for top-earning companies with oligopolistic traits willing to bear associated costs. Thirdly, doubts are raised about the ideal nature of perfect competition, even concerning static efficiency, concerning the theory of second-best and X-inefficiency. The authors criticize mainstream textbooks for lacking supporting evidence and highlight contradictory studies on the matter. Additionally, the absence of consideration for legal frameworks in the analysis is underscored. The authors delve into the political power of companies and explore terms like regulation and deregulation, elucidating their arguments with examples from past crises prompted by legal regulations.

In the conclusion section of the sixth chapter, the authors reiterate the rejection of two prior arguments presented in earlier chapters: the widespread use of perfect competition markets in the real world and the justification for the general application of this model's predictions. Notably, in this chapter, the authors also reject the argument that emphasizes the usefulness of the competitive model as an ideal type of market guiding government policy.

In the standard text of the seventh chapter, the authors assert in the standard text that choices do not necessarily lead to the best social outcome; rather, they emphasize that these choices have repercussions for others that often go unaccounted for. The assertion is made that markets may exhibit inefficiencies in the face of such events. The solutions proffered by traditional textbooks concerning externalities are briefly summarized, concluding the standard text's discussion on this topic.

In the critical text part of chapter seven, the authors draw attention to the placement of the Externalities section towards the end of textbooks. This positioning inadvertently creates an impression that externalities are deemed insignificant issues warranting only cursory attention. To counter this perception and argue for the centrality of externalities in the modern economy, the authors scrutinize the subject with impactful examples such as greenhouse gas emissions and climate change. These examples are thoroughly explored, highlighting the intricate relationship between externalities and the profit motive. The authors assert the prevalence of externalities and the real-world influence of power dynamics and knowledge, hindering effective responses to externalities. They emphasize that asymmetrical knowledge, corporate power, and the inability of citizens to organize underpin the exploitation of externalities by specific self-interested groups.

In the summary section of the given chapter, the authors critique the portrayal of externalities in textbooks as minor issues correctable through appropriate public policies. In tandem, they criticize the inadequate information provided about the actual significance of negative externalities and the analysis of governmental responses to externalities.

In the standard section of the eighth chapter, the authors begin by highlighting how equality, a fundamental economic goal, is often downplayed in favor of effectiveness. They delve into the evaluation of issues related to income distribution, which, according to the authors, is relegated to the end of textbooks for the sake of expediency. The standard text elaborates on the factors influencing production and delves into the determination of wages in a perfectly competitive labor market. The reasons for wage differences are also explored in this context.

Moving on to the critical part of chapter eight, the authors address various problems associated with the marginal productivity theory. They note that J. B. Clark received a prize for his work on this theory, acknowledging its fairness and inevitability. However, mainstream textbooks, according to the authors, overtly reject considerations of justice in this regard. The authors introduce the opinion of Thurow (1975), which questions whether payment to an employee should be based on their daily marginal contribution or the entire duration of their working period. They highlight the ambiguity when an employer contributes more than others, leaving it unclear whether the reward should go to that specific employer or be distributed among all groups. In the concluding part of the critical section, the authors examine the salaries of CEOs and managers in the context of the marginal productivity argument. This argument posits that, over time, there won't be a consistent and empirical relationship between their salaries and the company's success.

In the conclusion section of chapter eight, the authors express their agreement that the perfect competition market model is practical, but they caution against ignoring the potentially dire consequences if it is accepted without any adjustments. According to the authors, textbooks tend to accept the predictions of a perfect competition market without incorporating changes, even in the presence of controversial evidence.

In the standard text of chapter nine, an international comparison of the tax burden is presented, highlighting the cost of taxation on economic decisions. The distribution of wealth is discussed, emphasizing that textbooks often overlook this aspect while providing theoretical information about income and wealth distribution. The section also touches on poverty and income redistribution, suggesting potential losses in economic activity.

Moving to the critical part of the ninth chapter, the authors identify three main problems. Firstly, textbooks are criticized for having a biased stance against income redistribution, particularly regarding government actions. Secondly, the authors contend that well-designed policies can lead to significant progress in poverty and inequality without negatively impacting economic growth. Lastly, the authors argue that textbooks tend to overlook evidence indicating that substantial health and life expectancy inequalities contribute to broader social and economic disparities. The authors discuss the Pareto optimal theory in their first criticism, asserting that textbooks, when defining economic activity, often neglect initial income distribution, making it easier to present as value judgments in Pareto increase. Regarding their second criticism, the authors challenge the idea that high taxation inevitably reduces productivity, pointing out a lack of empirical evidence supporting this claim and highlighting evidence suggesting a neutral or positive relationship between equality and effectiveness. For their final criticism, the authors assert that income inequality exacerbates disparities between different groups, presenting empirical evidence to support their argument.

In the summary section of chapter nine, the authors assess the common textbook assertion that there is a trade-off relationship between effectiveness and equality. Despite a lack of convincing evidence, the authors argue that textbook authors refrain from raising questions that challenge the current distribution of power and wealth, both within and between countries.

In the first part of chapter ten, the authors delve into the complexities of global trade and liberalization. The standard text outlines the argument in favor of free trade, asserting that it enhances global economic efficiency by detailing tariff protections and imports. While acknowledging the positive aspects of tariffs in safeguarding domestic production against imports, such as national defense, support for small businesses, and the prevention of destructive commercial competition, the standard text maintains an overall positive stance on free trade.

Contrastingly, in the critical part of chapter ten, the authors challenge the notion that the elimination of tariffs leads to an instantaneous and cost-free shift in the economy from one balance to another. They argue that the portrayal of such an abrupt transition in textbooks does not align with the reality of gradual changes in production opportunities. The authors contend that the benefits of free trade often accrue to specific individuals, not the entire society. Additionally, they critique textbooks for overlooking issues of income distribution and inequality related to free trade, emphasizing the erroneous nature of such oversights. The impact of global trade on unskilled labor, low wages, and income inequality is highlighted, with a recognition that technological advancements may reduce costs for firms but simultaneously lead to job loss and increased pressure on workers.

The authors also scrutinize the Ricardian model in international trade, identifying missing topics in textbooks, including externalities, limited knowledge about production, fair trade considerations, product certification, and power dynamics inherent in bilateral trade agreements between global trade actors and states of varying sizes.

In the summary section of chapter ten, the authors assert that neither free trade nor any limited form of trade is unequivocally supported by economic theory. They emphasize the inherent value judgments in economics and stress that economic theories can aid in understanding alternative policy outcomes and predicting winners and losers, but they cannot definitively establish which type of trade is optimal from the perspectives of individuals living in a specific country.

In the conclusion and chapter eleven of the book, the authors encapsulate the key elements of their critical discourse throughout the book. Their critique challenges the established positive-normative distinction in Economics, asserting that even the foundational definition of Economics, involving the satisfaction of unlimited needs with limited resources, is inherently grounded in value judgments. The authors argue that the failure of the assumption that individuals make rational decisions, as highlighted in the field of behavioral economics, is noticeably absent in textbooks.

The compromise between equality and efficiency goals is scrutinized, with the authors expressing skepticism about the view that winners can adequately compensate losers, leading to an overall increase in social benefit. They particularly question the pervasive "more is better" assumption in textbooks, which, according to the authors, fosters a pro-growth consumption perspective.

A critical lens is directed at the utility of models in textbooks, emphasizing that their value lies in evaluating the certainty of predictions rather than the realism of model assumptions. The authors observe a general preference for the competitive model in textbooks, attributing this bias to its broad applicability in answering various questions. They argue that this preference reflects a bias against government intervention and regulation in favor of a market-based approach.

The excessive focus on the perfect competition market model is seen as a manifestation of this bias, according to the authors. They contend that textbooks tend to overlook the role of power elements in the differentiation of preferences, neglecting a more nuanced evaluation of the rational individual phenomenon from this perspective.

Furthermore, the authors criticize textbooks for neglecting the issue of the differentiation of preferences by elements of power, highlighting the oversight in evaluating the rational individual phenomenon from this viewpoint. Finally, a critical spotlight is cast on textbooks for underestimating the ecological crisis and downplaying the significance of externalities, which the authors argue are not exceptional cases but integral economic issues that demand attention.

The paper aims to provide an evaluative review of individual chapters followed by an overall discussion of the book, encompassing personal reflections and substantive suggestions directed towards the authors.

The book proved engaging due to my interest in economics, especially through its intriguing critique of the concept of a perfect competition market, realizing that such an ideal scenario doesn't account for the constant flow of new information in the real world, especially in our ever-learning human society. The book's examination of justice and income distribution, or rather the lack of fair distribution in the pursuit of market efficiency, caught my attention. Moreover, the emphasis on moral values and ethical considerations in economic theories added a crucial layer often overlooked in traditional economic discussions. These insights have significantly broadened my perspective on economics, encouraging me to approach economic models and decisions with a more comprehensive and ethically grounded mindset.

In the assessment of the book, I find myself in substantial agreement with the authors. The work is commendable, presenting a well-constructed critique of mainstream economics textbooks. Its success lies in the wealth of supporting evidence, extensive bibliography, and thought-provoking examples. The authors effectively dismantle several economic theories, shedding light on their shortcomings. However, despite my general concurrence, I acknowledge the necessity of alternative theories and studies to supplement the debunked concepts presented by the authors.

Given its impact on academic development, encountering this book during undergraduate studies would have been valuable. Therefore, I wholeheartedly recommend it to students, especially those entering the realm of economics. Reading this book before delving into mainstream textbooks can provide a valuable foundation, fostering a critical mindset and prompting a nuanced understanding of economic concepts.

While lauding the book's merits, I do have suggestions for improvement. The organization of the chapters appears somewhat disjointed, hindering the seamless expression of the book's core argument. To enhance clarity, I propose a reordering of topics, prioritizing the most significant arguments or starting with interconnected themes.

Regarding the book's title, considering its predominant focus on microeconomics, a more fitting designation might be "Anti-Microeconomics Textbook." Additionally, macroeconomic issues, particularly the role of interest in global economic crises, represent a vital dimension that could be explored in a future study.

Lastly, I encourage the authors not only to critique existing theories but also to embark on the creation of alternative hypotheses or theories. Constructive contributions to economic thought, alongside insightful critiques, would fortify their ideas and potentially offer solutions to the challenges highlighted in the book. This approach would strengthen their scholarly impact and contribute meaningfully to the broader field of economics.

The book takes a critical stance on conventional microeconomic theories found in traditional textbooks. The book challenges the idealization of perfect competition, emphasizing its impracticality and ethical concerns. Hill and Myatt advocate for a more nuanced understanding of economic concepts, encouraging readers to incorporate ethical considerations, environmental concerns, and power dynamics into their analyses. The book covers various microeconomic topics, including market structures, efficiency, externalities, income distribution, government intervention, and globalization. Key critiques include the examination of the limitations of the perfect competition model, the significance of externalities, and the scrutinizing of the marginal productivity theory of income distribution. The authors also challenge biases in textbooks against income redistribution and analyze the implications of global trade. Overall, the book promotes critical thinking in economics by presenting alternative perspectives and questioning established norms, offering a comprehensive understanding of both mainstream and critical viewpoints.

This review provides valuable insights into the book's strengths and areas for improvement. The reviewer appreciates the comprehensive coverage of various topics, rich bibliography, and supporting examples and evidence. However, a suggestion is made to reorder the chapters for a more cohesive presentation of the central argument. Another recommendation is to consider titling the book as an "Anti-Microeconomics Textbook" given its primary focus on microeconomics. The review also encourages the authors to develop alternative theories or hypotheses, emphasizing that proposing solutions would enhance the overall impact of their criticisms and contribute significantly to the field.