

Turkish Comp Theo Chem (TC&TC)



Volume(Issue): 8(4) - Year: 2024 - Pages: 62-69

e-ISSN: 2602-3237



 https://doi.org/10.33435/tcandtc.1400422

 Received: 05.12.2023
 Accepted: 05.06.2024
 Research Article

 Molecular Docking Study on Tamoxifen and Toremifene's Effects on the Breast Cancer

 Receptors

Entesar A. Sulliman^a, Maher A. Ibrahim^a, Ammar A. Ibrahim^{b, 1}, Raghad Fadhel Jasim^c

^aDepartment of Biochemistry, College of Medicine, University of Mosul, IRAQ ^bDepartment of Chemistry, College of Science, University of Mosul, IRAQ ^cMinistry of Health, IRAQ

Abstract: Both toremifene (TOR) and tamoxifen (TAM), selective estrogen receptor modulators, are equally effective therapies for breast cancer (BrCa). In high-risk women, anti-estrogenic tamoxifen is frequently used for both (BrCa) treatment and prevention. Another anti-estrogen that is successful in the treatment of (BrCa) is toremifene. Anti-estrogens have emerged as one of the most widely utilized medicine classes among women because (BrCa) is the most frequent malignancy in this population. Consequently, we performed a docking study to assess the effects of tamoxifen and toremifene therapy on the (BrCa) receptor. Tamoxifen and toremifene's interactions with the (BrCa) receptor were examined by a computational study of the ligand's binding. These receptors are named (1jnx), (1n5o), (1oqa), (1t2u), (1t29), (4igk), (4jlu), and (4y2g). All the docking has been done by software named Molecular Operating Environment (MOE) which was used to evaluate the binding docking and docking score between the ligand (TAM or TOR) with the (BrCa) receptors.

Keywords: Docking, Breast cancer receptors, Tamoxifen, Toremifene

1. Introduction

As it recently overtook lung cancer as the most common disease diagnosed globally, breast cancer (BrCa) has long been a major social concern [1,2]. The World Health Organization (WHO) projected that there will be more than two million (BrCa) patients worldwide in 2021(3). The second most frequent form of cancer in women is (BrCa), which is characterized by the unchecked development of epithelial cells in the breast. Based on the mechanism and routes of carcinoma, (BrCa) has been categorized, and these classifications have produced the fundamental targets for the prognosis and treatment of the illness [4,5].

It has been demonstrated that toremifene (TOR) and tamoxifen (TAM) are equally effective for premenopausal and postmenopausal women with estrogen receptor-positive (BrCa) conditions [6]. Synthetic ligands have been used to modify estrogen functionality by binding to the estrogen receptor with a bioactive small molecule. Toremifene, a chlorinated derivative with similar site-specific activity, has been developed in response to concerns about (TAM)'s side effects, which include thromboembolic events, endometrial malignancies, and ocular damage. Tamoxifen inhibits the production of estrogen by acting as an ER antagonist and an aromatase inhibitor [7-9]. Estrogen receptors, which are found in both (BrCa) cells and reproductive organs, are inhibited by antiestrogens. Anti-estrogen use can thus have a range of gynecological consequences. The use of antiestrogens is linked to vasomotor symptoms, dryness, and irritation of the vagina, which may be symptoms and effects of hypo-estrogenism, according to research, most of which are crosssectional. Anti-estrogens have an impact similar to oestrogens in the uterus, particularly in the endometrium, and (TAM) may be more effective than (TOR) in this regard, at least in animal studies.

¹ Corresponding Authors

e-mail: ammar74@uomosul.edu.iq

Turkish Comp Theo Chem (TC&TC), 8(4), (2024), 62-69

Entesar A. Sulliman, Maher A. Ibrahim, Ammar A. Ibrahim, Raghad Fadhel Jasim

(TAM) and (TOR) use have been associated with an increased risk of endometrial polyps, and (TAM) may also raise the risk of endometrial cancer [10-14].

In the (TOR) vs. (TAM) Adjuvant Trial, more than 1800 perimenopausal or postmenopausal women with invasive (BrCa) who tested positive for the hormone receptor (HR) were administered (TOR) or (TAM). The two primary outcomes that were evaluated were overall survival (OS) and diseasefree survival (DFS) [15]. Tamoxifen usage is a common therapy option for (BrCa) which has hormone receptors. In a trial, the effectiveness and safety of (TOR) and (TAM) were evaluated in the treatment of premenopausal women with operable hormone receptor-positive (BrCa) [16-17].

There are numerous instances of (BrCa) patients who underwent surgery and then received endocrine medication therapy. All patients were randomly assigned to (TOR) and (TAM) groups [18]. Hepatic cytochrome P450s (CYPs) may have the most bearing because of the structural differences between (TAM) and (TOR), which result in differences in pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics [19,20].

A selective estrogen receptor modulator, (TOR) is equally effective as (TAM) in treating (BrCa) in patients. So, contrasted the safety of (TAM) and (TOR) when used as adjuvant therapy for premenopausal (BrCa) [21]. Despite having comparable clinical effectiveness as palliative and postmenopausal adjuvant medicines, (TOR) and (TAM) are metabolized differently due to a single side chain chloride ion, giving (TOR) a more favorable toxicity profile [22].

Toremifene may reveal existing endometrial cancers rather than cause new ones, according to data on secondary endometrial cancer that indicated their incidence was lower with the drug than (TAM). Toremifene may carry a decreased risk of stroke, pulmonary embolism, and cataracts than (TAM) [23]. According to several earlier research, more than 40% of patients receiving TAM therapy developed fatty liver throughout (3-5) years of follow-up [24,25]. An essential component of postoperative comprehensive care for patients with (BrCa) is adjuvant endocrine therapy. Endocrine therapy has been demonstrated in numerous studies to have some effect on the serum lipids of (BrCa) patients, and alterations in lipid profiles (26) may

result in several issues (27). Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol and serum triglyceride levels are both increased by TAM and are significant indicators of risk for cardiovascular (28). In comparison with TAM, which does so in vitro, TOR does not raise triglyceride concentrations intracellularly [29].

A computer program (software package) was used to analyze the molecular docking [30] binding between the ligand (such as medicine) and the proteins. For the analysis of drug-protein interactions, docking was used. To provide the best energy stability for the docking combinations, every medication was coupled with a protein [31]. The predicted compounds' physical and chemical characteristics were evaluated to choose the best form as the COVID-19 treatment candidate [32] or the Ebola virus [33].

The goal of molecular docking approaches is to forecast the most effective way for a ligand to bind to a macromolecular group, and A computational method called molecular dynamics (MD) models the dynamic behavior of molecules as a function of time [34]. Molecular docking and dynamic simulation techniques were used to investigate the molecular interactions between natural products and overexpressed receptors in (BrCa). The best ligand was then extracted from citrus-limetta and developed for nanoscale encapsulation using soy lecithin and a sonicator [35].

There was a significant interaction between (TOR) and the methyltransferase nonstructural protein (NSP), which may prevent viral replication by interfering with its active site. By preventing the spike protein of SARS-CoV-2, findings propose a possible therapeutic candidate [36,37] for COVID-19 by pointing to potential structural pathways for (TOR) [38]. Tamoxifen alone or in combination with ovarian suppressive methods makes up the majority of the endocrine adjuvant treatment for (BrCa) in premenopausal women. A chlorinated version of (TAM), (TOR) has a better risk-benefit ratio than its parent drug. intended to establish the function of (TOR) as an alternative to (TAM) for premenopausal patients with estrogen or progesterone receptor-positive breast cancer [39].

2. Computational Method

The RCSB Protein Data Bank was used to obtain the 3D structures of the protein targets identified as

(1jnx), (1n5o), (1oqa), (1t2u), (1t29), (4igk), (4jlu) and (4y2g) [40]. These files were sent to the (MOE) software and were modified to add polar hydrogen atoms and remove water molecules. Chem-Office 2D was used to create the 3D structure of the ligands (TAM and TOR) and convert it to the (MOE) software. The molecular docking analysis was carried out using the Molecular Operating Environment (MOE). To determine the binding energy between the ligands (medicines) and the (BrCa) receptor, the results were assessed. The (MOE) program was utilized to visualize the protein-ligand complexes. After characterizing the polar and hydrophobic interactions between the ligand and the target, 2D and 3D representations of these interactions were created.

3. Results and discussion

The influence of the ligand-protein complex's stability interacts with the bound docking outcome. The receptor 1t29 has the best docking score (-6.65281 kcal/mol) for toremifene out of all of them, followed by 10qa (-5.83953 kcal/mol). Tamoxifen demonstrated the highest docking score with (10qa) of -6.30418 kcal/mol), which was followed by (4y2g), which obtained a value of (-5.93631 kcal/mol.

The findings showed that polar H-bond and hydrophobic contacts were created by the drug's active core pocket's interactions with the target protein and amino acid residues. Figures (2-17) display the best-docked position view of TAM and TOR with BrCa receptors in three dimensions (3D). The docking map displays the interactions between the amino acid residues (Leu 1800) and (Glu 1829). The interaction involved TAM's connections with (Leu 1701) and several polar hydrogen and hydrophobic bonds with TOR

Table 1	. The score	values of ty	vo drugs	s docking	with o	different	breast	cancer	proteins

		Tam	loxifen	Toremifene			
No.	Protein name	Score (kcal/mol)	Score (kcal/mol)			
	I fotem name	more	less	more	less		
		stable	stable	stable	stable		
1	1jnx	-5.55416	-5.32851	-5.78573	-5.22148		
2	1n50	-5.49180	-5.29124	-5.78781	-5.33136		
3	1oqa	-6.30418	-5.91453	-6.57282	-5.83953		
4	1t2u	-5.21551	-5.10039	-5.39296	-5.18298		
5	1t29	-5.75445	-5.52505	-6.65281	-5.57145		
6	4igk	-5.90209	-5.57644	-6.05901	-5.67005		
7	4jlu	-5.80364	-5.47576	-5.84705	-5.70310		
8	4y2g	-5.93631	-5.63084	-6.13029	-5.52424		



Figure 1. Comparison of (TAM and TOR) docking scores with various (BrCa) receptors in a more stable configuration



Figure 2. Tamoxifen with (1jnx)

Figure 3. Toremifene with (1jnx)

According to ligand-protein (Drug-1jnx) interactions, the amino acids (Cyc 1828) actively participate in hydrophobic interactions with aromatic bonds by (TAM) and (TOR) respectively.



Figure 4. Tamoxifen with (1n50)

Figure 5. Toremifene with (1n50)

His 107

Pro

Val 57 Ser 108

> Gin 104 His 69

(lle 105 lle 102

TOR's hydrophobic interactions with proteins led to the production of amino acid residues (Lys1702).







Figure 8. Tamoxifen with (1t2u)



Thr

Phe 68



Figure 9. Toremifene with (1t2u)

(lle 71

Ala 70 Gin 73

Amino acid residue like (Asn 1745) was produced as a result of TOR's hydrophobic interactions with proteins.





Figure 13. Toremifene with (4igk)

Through contact with (Arg1649), the Pi-aromatic's TAM in the binding site was performing activity. (Lys1711) with an active group identified as the protein binding site residues by chloride in TOR medicine.





Figure 15. Toremifene with (4jlu)

The docking binding discovered that the receptor's ability to interact with TOR was represented by the amino acid (Gly1656). Nevertheless, this does not

appear clearly in TAM medication. While Arg 1699 interacted with the hydroxy active group in the TAM medicine, Gln 1811 created a link with the Pi-

aromatic group. Leu 1701 interacted with the TOR medication's amino active group, whereas Arg 1835 formed a bond with the Pi-aromatic group.

4. Conclusions

This research primarily compares the medication efficacy of (TOR) and (TAM) through docking binding with several (BrCa) protein receptors. Although (TAM) is a commonly used medicine for treating (BrCa), there are questions and disagreements about its effectiveness because it can cause endometrial cancer and other side effects [41]. Rarer side effects include hepatotoxicity, visual issues, and an increased risk of colorectal cancer [42].

A lower binding energy typically indicates a more effective and stable interaction between the ligand and receptor. The best complex for binding, however, was the TOR complex because it was the most stable. These docking score data suggest that TOR is a more effective prospective cancer therapeutic agent than TAM.

Finally, the Tamoxifen (TAM) and Toremifene (TOR) drugs their results give the importance of using these drugs which act as inhibitors or modulators for treatments the patients with breast cancer [43,44].

CONFLICT OF INTEREST:

The authors declared that they had no conflicts of interest.

References

- [1] Domeyer P-RJ, Sergentanis TN, New Insights into the Screening, Prompt Diagnosis, Management, and Prognosis of Breast Cancer. Journal of Oncology. 2020, ID 8597892.
- [2] Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL. Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin., 2021, (71)3:209-249. Available from
- [3] World Health Organization (WHO) (2021). Breast Cancer. <u>https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/breast-cancer</u>.
- [4] Esma Eryilmaz Dogan. Computational binding analysis and toxicity evaluation of estrogen receptor with estradiol and the approved SERMs raloxifene, tamoxifen,

and toremifene, Medicine Science, 2021, (10)1,157-61.

- [5] Dominguez R and Micevych P. Estradiol rapidly regulates membrane estrogen receptor α levels in hypothalamic neurons. J Neurosci. 2010, 30(38):12589-96.
- [6] Qin T, Yuan Z Y, Peng RJ, Zeng YD, Shi YX, Teng XY, Liu DG, Bai B, Wang SS. Efficacy and tolerability of toremifene and tamoxifen therapy in premenopausal patients with operable breast cancer: a retrospective analysis. Curr Oncol., 2013, 20(4):196–204.
- [7] Winters S, Martin C, Murphy D, Shokar NK. Breast cancer epidemiology, prevention, and screening. Prog Mol Biol Transl Sci, 2017, 151, 1-32.
- [8] Ma ZH, Yu DG, Branford-White CJ. Microencapsulation of Tamoxifen: Application to cotton fabric. Colloids Surf B, 2009, 69(1),85-90.
- [9] Zhu Y, Liu Y, Zhang C. Tamoxifen-resistant breast cancer cells are resistant to DNAdamaging chemotherapy because of upregulated BARD1 and BRCA1. Nat Commun, 2018, 1595(9), 1-11.
- [10] Tang ZR, Zhang R, Lian ZX, Deng SL, Yu, K. Estrogen-receptor expression and function in female reproductive disease. Cells 2019, 8 (10), 1123.
- [11] Kauffman RP, Young C, Castracane VD. Perils of prolonged ovarian suppression and hypoestrogenism in the treatment of breast cancer: Is the risk of treatment worse than the risk of recurrence? Mol. Cell. Endocrinol. 2021, 5(525), 111181.
- [12] Kyvernitakis I, Kostev K, Hadji P. The tamoxifen paradox—influence of adjuvant tamoxifen on fracture risk in pre-and postmenopausal women with breast cancer. Osteoporos. Int. 2018, 29(11), 2557–2564.
- [13] Lakshmi S, Shanitha A, Shiny Dv, Rahul Bs, Saikant R, Shehna Sharaf, Abi Sa, Rajmohan G. Comparative differential cytotoxicity of clinically used SERMs in human cancer lines of different origin and its predictive molecular docking studies of key target genes involved in cancer progression and treatment responses, Current Research in Pharmacology and Drug Discovery 2021, 31(3),100080.

- [14] Marttunen MB, Cacciatore B, Hietanen P, Pyrhönen S, Tiitinen A, Wahlstrom T, Ylikorkala O. Prospective study on gynecological effects of two antioestrogens tamoxifen and toremifene in postmenopausal women, British Journal of Cancer 2001, 84(7), 897-902.
- [15] Jaime Lewis D, Anees Chagpar B, Elizabeth Shaughnessy A, Jacob N, Kelly M, Michael EJ. Excellent Outcomes With Adjuvant Toremifene or Tamoxifen in Early Stage Breast Cancer, Cancer, 2010, 116 (10), 2307-15.
- [16] Qin T, Yuan ZY, Peng RJ, Zeng YD, Shi YX, Teng XY, Liu DG, Bai B, Wang SS. Efficacy and tolerability of toremifene and tamoxifen therapy in premenopausal patients with operable breast cancer: a retrospective analysis, Curr Oncol, 2013, 20(4): 196-204.
- [17] Zhu L, Li X, Yu S, Ren Yu. Clinical Progress of Toremifene and Tamoxifen in Endocrine Therapy for Breast Cancer, Int J Surg Res Pract, 2017, 4(3):058.
- [18] Tao He, Wenhao Y, Xinyi Z, Ping L, Dandan Y, Yunhao W, Yuan F, Mengya X, Qianqian H, Jing C, Runke Z, Qing L, Jie C, Comparative effectiveness of tamoxifen, toremifene, letrozole, anastrozole, and exemestane on lipid profiles in breast cancer patients, Medicine (Baltimore). 2020 Jan; 99(2): e18550.
- [19] Goetz MP, Sangkuhl K, Guchelaar H-J. Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium (CPIC) guideline for CYP2D6 and tamoxifen therapy. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2018, 103(5): 770-777.
- [20] Weihang Z, Yiwei J, Yaqian X, Yaohui W, Xiaowei M, Liheng Z, Yanping L, Yan W, Ziping W, Min L, Wenjin Y, Jinsong L. Comparison of adverse drug reactions between tamoxifen and toremifene in breast cancer patients with different CYP2D6 genotypes: A propensity-score matched cohort study, Int. J. Cancer. 2022, 150(10),1664-1676.
- [21] Jin H, Jiahui H, Lili S, Siji Z, Weiqi G, Jiayi W, Ou H, Jianrong H, Li Zhu, Weiguo C, Yafen L, Xiaosong C, Kunwei S. A prospective, randomized study of Toremifene vs. tamoxifen for the treatment of premenopausal breast cancer: safety and

genital symptom analysis, BMC Cancer, 2020, 20(1):663

- [22] Hirsimaki P, Aaltonen A, Mantyla E. Toxicity of antiestrogens. Breast J, 2002, 8(2):92–96 Mar-Apr.
- [23] Harvey HA, Kimura M, Hajba A. Toremifene: an evaluation of its safety profile. Breast, 2006, 15(2):142–157.
- [24] Pan HJ, Chang HT, Lee CH. Association between tamoxifen treatment and the development of different stages of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease among breast cancer patients. J. Formos. Med. Assoc. 2016, 115(6):411–7.
- [25] Lin Y, Liu J, Zhang X, Li L, Hu R, Liu J, Deng Y, Chen D, Zhao Y, Sun S, Ma R, Zhao Y, Liu J, Zhang Y, Wang X, Li Y, He P, Li E, Xu Z, Wu Y, Tong Z, Wang X, Huang T, Liang Z, Wang S, Su F, Lu Y, Zhang H, Feng G, Wang S. A prospective, randomized study on hepatotoxicity of anastrozole compared with tamoxifen in women with breast cancer. Cancer Sci. 2014, 105(9):1182–8.
- [26] Dandan S, Yingying H, Biyu D, Rongrong M, Baodan Z, Yangjun C, Hanqian Z, Yuru Z, Xiaoqu H. Effects of Tamoxifen vs. Toremifene on fatty liver development and lipid profiles in breast Cancer, BMC Cancer 2021, (21),798.
- [27] Tao H, Wenhao Y, Xinyi Z, Ping L, Dandan Y, Yunhao W, Yuan F, Mengya X, Qianqian H, Jing C, Runke Z, Qing L, Jie C. Comparative effectiveness of tamoxifen, toremifene, letrozole, anastrozole, and exemestane on lipid profiles in breast cancer patients, Medicine 2020, 99(2):e18550.
- [28] Filippatos T, Liberopoulos E, Pavlidis N, Elisaf M, Mikhailidis D. Effects of hormonal treatment on lipids in patients with cancer. Cancer Treat Rev. 2009, 35(2):175– 84.
- [29] Sawaki M, Idota A, Uchida H, Noda S, Sato S, Kikumori T, Imai T. The effect of toremifene on lipid metabolism compared with that of tamoxifen in vitro. Gynecol Obstet Investig. 2011, 71(3):213–6.
- [30] Janeea Myles D, Sophia Allison A, Rianne Villanueva C, Alexis Labrador M, Myla Santiago R. Molecular Docking and in silico Pharmacological Screening of Oleosin from Cocos Nucifera Complexed with Tamoxifen

in Developing Potential Breast Chemotherapeutic Leads, Asian Pac J Cancer Prev, 2022, 23 (7), 2421-2430.

- [31] Ammar A Ibrahim. A Theoretical Study of the Docking of Medicines with some Proteins, Baghdad Science Journal, 2023, 20(2): 384-394.
- [32] Ammar A Ibrahim, Omer M Yahya, Maher A Ibrahim. Theoretical Prediction of Possible Drug Treatment of COVID-19 using Coumarins Containing Chloroquine Moeity, Asian Journal of Chemistry, 2020, 32(12), 3120-3126.
- [33] Zhao Y, Ren J, Harlos K. Toremifene interacts with and destabilizes the Ebola virus glycoprotein. Nature 2016, 535 (7610), 169–172.
- [34] Salmaso V, Moro S. Bridging molecular docking to molecular dynamics in exploring ligand-protein recognition process: An overview. Frontiers in pharmacology. 2018, (9), 923.
- [35] Mohammad S T, Ali N A, Alireza A, Experimental, molecular docking and molecular dynamic studies of natural products targeting overexpressed receptors in breast cancer, PLOS ONE 2022, 17(5): e0267961.
- [36] Dyall J, Coleman C M, Hart B J. Repurposing of clinically developed drugs for treatment of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2014, 58 (8), 4885–4893.
- [37] Zhou Y, Hou Y, Shen J, Huang Y, Martin W, Cheng F. Network-based drug repurposing for novel coronavirus 2019nCoV/SARS-CoV-2. Cell Discovery 2020, 6 (1), 14.
- [38] William R M, Feixiong C. Repurposing of FDA-Approved Toremifene to Treat COVID-19 by Blocking the Spike Glycoprotein and NSP14 of SARS-CoV-2, J. Proteome Res. 2020, 19(11), 4670–4677. Available from <<u>URL></u>
- [39] Ran G, Weijuan J, Yunjie Z, Nanyan R, Yue H, Shunrong L, Jiannan W, Liang J, Lijuan C, Meijun L, Kai C, Lili C, Qiaozhen X, Mei W, Erwei S, Fengxi S. A comparison of survival outcomes and side effects of toremifene or tamoxifen therapy in premenopausal estrogen and progesterone

receptor-positive breast cancer patients: a retrospective cohort study, BMC Cancer 2012, (12),161.

- [40] <u>https://www.rcsb.org</u>
- [41] Fisher B, Dignam J, Bryant J, Wolmark N. Five versus more than five years of Tamoxifen for lymph node-negative breast cancer: updated findings from the National Surgical Adjuvant Breast and Bowel Project B-14 randomized trial, J Natl Cancer Inst, 2001, 93(9), 684-90.
- [42] Cai Q, Bensen M, Greene R, Kirchner J. Tamoxifen-induced transient multifocal hepatic fatty infiltration. Am J Gastroenterol, 2000, 95(1):277–279.
- [43] Chimento A, De Luca A, Avena P, De Amicis F, Casaburi I, Sirianni R, et al. Estrogen receptors-mediated apoptosis in hormone-dependent cancers. Int J Mol Sci 2022;23:1242.
- [44] Maruthanila VL, Elancheran R, Kunnumakkara AB, Kabilan S, Kotoky J. Recent development of targeted approaches for the treatment of breast cancer. Breast Cancer 2017,(24):191–219.