



Differentiating under q -integral sign

Predrag M. Rajković^{*1} , Sladjana D. Marinković²

¹Faculty of Mechanical Engineering at University of Niš, Serbia

²Faculty of Electronic Engineering, at University of Niš, Serbia

Abstract

The Leibniz integral rule enables us to interchange the order of differentiation and integration under some differentiability conditions on the functions. It can be very useful in the computing the exact value of certain integrals. In this paper, we will present analogs of such rule for q -integrals with functional borders and their properties.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020). 44A10, 33B10.

Keywords. q -derivative, q -integral, Leibniz integral rule

1. Introduction

The method of differentiation, under the integral sign, concerns integrals depending on a parameter. It was introduced by G. Leibniz in 1697, and now it is known as the Leibniz integral rule. It represents a formula for differentiation of a definite integral with the functional borders and the integrand which depends on a parameter more.

Theorem 1.1. (Leibniz integral rule) *Suppose that $f(x, y)$ and its partial derivative $\partial_x f(x, y)$ are continuous in the rectangle $\Delta = [a, b] \times [c, d]$. If the functions $\varphi, \psi : [a, b] \rightarrow [c, d]$ are continuously differentiable on $[a, b]$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dx} \int_{\varphi(x)}^{\psi(x)} f(x, y) dy \\ = \int_{\varphi(x)}^{\psi(x)} \partial_x f(x, y) dy + f(x, \psi(x)) \psi'(x) - f(x, \varphi(x)) \varphi'(x). \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

This rule can be used to evaluate unusual definite integrals, as it was done in [1, 2, 9]. An extension to the fractional calculus can be found in [5]. Our purpose is to state its analogy in the q -calculus.

*Corresponding Author.

Email addresses: predrag.rajkovic@masfak.ni.ac.rs (P.M. Rajković), sladjana.marinkovic@elfak.ni.ac.rs (S.D. Marinković)

Received: 18.12.2023; Accepted: 19.08.2024

2. Preliminaries

In the theory of q -calculus (see [3, 4, 6–8]), for a real parameter $q \in (0, 1)$, we introduce a q -real number $[a]_q$ by

$$[a]_q := \frac{1 - q^a}{1 - q} \quad (a \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Notice that

$$[a]_q [b]_{q^a} = [ab]_q \quad (a, b \in \mathbb{R}).$$

The q -analog of the Pochhammer symbol (q -shifted factorial) is defined by:

$$(a; q)_0 = 1, \quad (a; q)_k = \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (1 - aq^i), \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}.$$

Also, q -analog of the power $(a - b)^k$ is

$$(a - b; q)^{(0)} = 1, \quad (a - b; q)^{(k)} = \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (a - bq^i), \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\} \quad (a, b \in \mathbb{R}).$$

The relationship between them is given by

$$(a - b; q)^{(n)} = a^n (b/a; q)_n \quad (a \neq 0).$$

In that way, for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, it holds

$$[n]_q! = \frac{(q; q)_n}{(1 - q)^n} = \frac{(1 - q; q)^{(n)}}{(1 - q)^n}.$$

Their natural expansions to the reals are

$$(a - b; q)^{(\alpha)} = a^\alpha \frac{(b/a; q)_\infty}{(q^\alpha b/a; q)_\infty}, \quad (a; q)_\alpha = \frac{(a; q)_\infty}{(aq^\alpha; q)_\infty} \quad (\alpha \in \mathbb{R}),$$

and

$$(a - b; q)^{(\alpha)} = a^\alpha (1 - b/a; q)^{(\alpha)} = a^\alpha (b/a; q)_\alpha.$$

It is true that

$$(qx - qt; q)^{(\beta)} = q^\beta (x - t; q)^{(\beta)}.$$

We can define q -binomial coefficients with

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{(q^{\beta+1}; q)_\infty (q^{\alpha-\beta+1}; q)_\infty}{(q; q)_\infty (q^{\alpha+1}; q)_\infty}, \quad \alpha, \beta, \alpha - \beta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{-1, -2, \dots\}.$$

Especially,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{(q^{-\alpha}; q)_k}{(q; q)_k} (-1)^k q^{\alpha k} q^{-\binom{k}{2}} \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

3. Differential q -calculus

We define q -derivative of a function $f(x)$ by

$$(D_{q,x} f)(x) = \frac{f(x) - f(qx)}{x - qx} \quad (x \neq 0).$$

For a pair of functions $u(x)$ and $v(x)$ and constants $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, we have linearity and product rules

$$\begin{aligned} D_{q,x}(\alpha u(x) + \beta v(x)) &= \alpha(D_{q,x} u)(x) + \beta(D_{q,x} v)(x), \\ D_{q,x}(u(x) v(x)) &= u(qx)(D_{q,x} v)(x) + v(x)(D_{q,x} u)(x). \end{aligned}$$

It will be useful to notice the following:

$$\begin{aligned} D_{q,x}((x-a;q)^{(\alpha)}) &= [\alpha]_q(x-a;q)^{(\alpha-1)} \\ D_{q,x}((a-x;q)^{(\alpha)}) &= -[\alpha]_q(a-qx;q)^{(\alpha-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

The composite rule is not valid in general. A known case can be provided by next lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. Let $F(x) = f(u(x))$, where $u = ax^c$, $x > 0$ ($a \neq 0, c \neq 0$). Then

$$D_{q,x}F(x) = D_{q^c,u}f(u) D_{q,x}u(x).$$

Lemma 3.2. Let $F(x) = f(u_1(x), u_2(x), \dots, u_n(x))$, where

$$u_k(x) = a_k x^{c_k}, \quad x > 0 \quad (a_k, c_k \neq 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

Then the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned} D_{q,x}F(x) &= D_{q^{c_1},u_1}f(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) D_{q,x}u_1(x) \\ &+ \sum_{k=2}^n D_{q^{c_k},u_k}f(u_1(qx), \dots, u_{k-1}(qx), u_k, u_{k+1}, \dots, u_n) D_{q,x}u_k(x). \end{aligned}$$

4. On q -integrals with functional borders

The q -integral is defined by

$$(I_{q,0}f)(x) = \int_0^x f(t) d_q t = x(1-q) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f(xq^k) q^k \quad (0 < |q| < 1), \quad (4.1)$$

and

$$(I_{q,a}f)(x) = \int_a^x f(t) d_q t = \int_0^x f(t) d_q t - \int_0^a f(t) d_q t. \quad (4.2)$$

For operators defined in this manner, the following is valid:

$$(D_{q,x}I_{q,a}f)(x) = f(x), \quad (I_{q,a}D_{q,x}f)(x) = f(x) - f(a).$$

The formula for q -integration by parts is

$$\int_a^b u(t)(D_{q,x}v)(t) d_q t = [u(t)v(t)]_{t=a}^{t=b} - \int_a^b v(qt)(D_{q,x}u)(t) d_q t.$$

Lemma 4.1. The Q -derivative of q -integral with functional upper border satisfies

$$D_{Q,x} \int_0^{\varphi(x)} f(t) d_q t = \frac{1}{x(1-Q)} \int_{\varphi(Qx)}^{\varphi(x)} f(t) d_q t \quad (x \neq 0). \quad (4.3)$$

Proof. Let us denote by $F(x) = \int_0^{\varphi(x)} f(t) d_q t$. According to the definition of Q -derivative, we can write

$$D_{Q,x}F(x) = \frac{F(x) - F(Qx)}{(1-Q)x} = \frac{1}{x(1-Q)} \left(\int_0^{\varphi(x)} f(t) d_q t - \int_0^{\varphi(Qx)} f(t) d_q t \right),$$

wherefrom (4.3) follows. \square

Corollary 4.2. If $c \cdot d \in \mathbb{N}$, $c, d > 0$, then

$$D_{q^d,x} \int_0^{ax^c} f(t) d_q t = \frac{ax^{c-1}}{[d]_q} \sum_{k=0}^{cd-1} f(ax^c q^k) q^k \quad (x \neq 0). \quad (4.4)$$

Proof. According to the definition (4.1), we have

$$\int_0^{ax^c} f(t) d_q t = ax^c(1-q) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(ax^c q^n) q^n,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{ax^c q^{cd}} f(t) d_q t &= ax^c q^{cd}(1-q) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} f(ax^c q^{cd} q^m) q^m \\ &= ax^c(1-q) \sum_{n=cd}^{\infty} f(ax^c q^n) q^n. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the relation (4.3), the statement (4.4) follows. \square

Lemma 4.3. *The following Leibniz type rule for q -integrals is valid:*

$$D_{q,x} \int_0^{bx} f(x, y) d_q y = \int_0^{bx} D_{q,x} f(x, y) d_q y + bf(qx, bx) \quad (b, x > 0).$$

Proof. The left side can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LS} &= D_{q,x} \int_0^{bx} f(x, y) d_q y = D_{q,x} \left(bx(1-q) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f(x, bxq^k) q^k \right) \\ &= b(1-q) D_{q,x} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x f(x, bq^k x) q^k \right) = b(1-q) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^k D_{q,x} (x \cdot f(x, bq^k x)) \\ &= b(1-q) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^k \frac{xf(x, bq^k x) - qx f(qx, bxq^{k+1})}{x(1-q)} \\ &= b \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (f(x, bxq^k) - qf(qx, bxq^{k+1})) q^k. \end{aligned}$$

Let us denote by

$$\text{RS} = \int_0^{bx} D_{q,x} f(x, y) d_q y = \int_0^{bx} \frac{f(x, y) - f(qx, y)}{(1-q)x} d_q y.$$

According to definition of q -integral, we have

$$\text{RS} = b \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (f(x, bxq^k) - f(qx, bxq^k)) q^k.$$

Hence

$$\text{LS} - \text{RS} = -bq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f(qx, bxq^{k+1}) q^k + b \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f(qx, bxq^k) q^k = bf(qx, bx).$$

\square

By the previous lemma, the next theorem follows.

Theorem 4.4. *The following Leibniz type rule for q -integrals on the interval $[ax, bx]$, where $0 \leq a < b$ and $x > 0$, is valid:*

$$D_{q,x} \int_{ax}^{bx} f(x, y) d_q y = \int_{ax}^{bx} D_{q,x} f(x, y) d_q y + bqf(qx, bx) - aqf(qx, ax).$$

In the sequel we give a general result related to interchanging q -derivative and q -integral.

Theorem 4.5. *The following Leibniz type rule for q -integrals is valid:*

$$D_{q,x} \int_0^{\varphi(x)} f(x, y) d_q y = \int_0^{\varphi(x)} D_{q,x} f(x, y) d_q y + R(f, \varphi, x, q), \quad (4.5)$$

where the remainder term is given by

$$R(f, \varphi, x, q) = \frac{1}{(1-q)x} \int_{\varphi(qx)}^{\varphi(x)} f(qx, y) d_q y \quad (x > 0). \quad (4.6)$$

Proof. Let us introduce

$$F(x) = \int_0^{\varphi(x)} f(x, y) d_q y, \quad G(x) = \int_0^{\varphi(x)} D_{q,x} f(x, y) d_q y.$$

According to the definition of q -integral, we have

$$F(x) = (1-q)\varphi(x) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(x, \varphi(x)q^n) q^n = (1-q) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varphi(x) f(x, \varphi(x)q^n) q^n,$$

wherefrom

$$D_{q,x} F(x) = (1-q) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} D_{q,x} (\varphi(x) f(x, \varphi(x)q^n)) q^n.$$

Having in mind that

$$D_{q,x} (\varphi(x) f(x, \varphi(x)q^n)) = \frac{\varphi(x) f(x, \varphi(x)q^n) - \varphi(qx) f(qx, \varphi(qx)q^n)}{x(1-q)},$$

we get

$$D_{q,x} F(x) = \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\varphi(x) f(x, \varphi(x)q^n) - \varphi(qx) f(qx, \varphi(qx)q^n)) q^n.$$

From the other side,

$$\begin{aligned} G(x) &= \int_0^{\varphi(x)} \frac{f(x, y) - f(qx, y)}{x(1-q)} d_q y \\ &= \frac{1}{x} \varphi(x) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (f(x, \varphi(x)q^n) - f(qx, \varphi(qx)q^n)) q^n. \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} D_{q,x} F(x) - G(x) &= \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\varphi(x) f(qx, \varphi(x)q^n) - \varphi(qx) f(qx, \varphi(qx)q^n)) q^n \\ &= \frac{1}{x(1-q)} \left(\int_0^{\varphi(x)} f(qx, y) d_q y - \int_0^{\varphi(qx)} f(qx, y) d_q y \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{x(1-q)} \int_{\varphi(qx)}^{\varphi(x)} f(qx, y) d_q y. \end{aligned}$$

□

Now, we are able to formulate the rule very close to (1.1).

Corollary 4.6. *The following Leibniz type rule for q -integrals is valid*

$$D_{q,x} \int_{\varphi(x)}^{\psi(x)} f(x, y) d_q y = \int_{\varphi(x)}^{\psi(x)} D_{q,x} f(x, y) d_q y + \mathcal{R}(f, \varphi, \psi, x, q),$$

where the remainder term is

$$\mathcal{R}(f, \varphi, \psi, x, q) = \frac{1}{x(1-q)} \left(\int_{\psi(qx)}^{\psi(x)} f(qx, y) d_q y - \int_{\varphi(qx)}^{\varphi(x)} f(qx, y) d_q y \right) \quad (x > 0).$$

In some special cases, we can get the expression for $R(f, \varphi, x, q)$ similar to (1.1) including some mean value relations [8].

Theorem 4.7. *Let $\varphi(x) = bx^m$, where $b > 0$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $x > 0$. Then the rule (4.5) for q -integrals is valid with*

$$R(f, \varphi, x, q) = \frac{1}{[m]_q} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} q^k f(qx, \varphi(x)q^k) D_{q,x}\varphi(x).$$

Proof. Using the notation from the proof of the previous theorem, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} D_{q,x}F(x) - G(x) \\ = \frac{1}{x} \left(- \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^k \varphi(qx) f(qx, \varphi(qx)q^k) + \varphi(x) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^k f(qx, \varphi(x)q^k) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Including the definition of $\varphi(x)$ from the assumptions in this theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_{q,x}F(x) - G(x) \\ = bx^{m-1} \left(- \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^{m+k} f(qx, bx^m q^{m+k}) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^k f(qx, bx^m q^k) \right) \\ = bx^{m-1} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} q^k f(qx, bx^m q^k), \end{aligned}$$

wherefrom we finish the proof. \square

Theorem 4.8. *Let $f(x, y) = f_1(x)f_2(y)$, with $f_2(x) = y^a$, and $\varphi(x) = bx^c$, where $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $x > 0$. Then the rule (4.5) for q -integrals is valid with*

$$R(f, \varphi, x, q) = f(qx, \varphi(x)) D_{q^{a+1}, x} \varphi(x).$$

Proof. The formula (4.7) with supposed forms of functions $f(x, y)$ and $\varphi(x)$, becomes

$$\begin{aligned} D_{q,x}F(x) - G(x) \\ = \frac{1}{x} \left(- \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^k b q^c x^c f_1(qx) f_2(b q^c x^c q^k) + b x^c \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^k f_1(qx) f_2(b x^c q^k) \right) \\ = f_1(qx) b x^{c-1} \left(- \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^{c+k} (b q^{c+k} x^c)^a + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^k (b x^c q^k)^a \right) \\ = f_1(qx) b^{a+1} x^{ac+c-1} \left(- q^{(a+1)c} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (q^{a+1})^k + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (q^{a+1})^k \right) \\ = f_1(qx) b^{a+1} x^{ac+c-1} \frac{1 - q^{(a+1)c}}{1 - q^{a+1}} \\ = f_1(qx) (b x^c)^a b x^{c-1} [c]_{q^{a+1}} = f(qx, \varphi(x)) D_{q^{a+1}, x} \varphi(x). \end{aligned}$$

\square

5. Limit cases

In this section, we show that the previous considerations lead us to the remainder in the classical Leibniz integral rule when q tends to 1.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $\varphi(x) = bx^m$, where $b > 0$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $x > 0$. Then the remainder term (4.6) satisfies the following property:*

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} R(f, \varphi, x, q) = f(x, \varphi(x)) \varphi'(x).$$

Proof. According to Theorem 4.7 we have

$$\begin{aligned} R(f, \varphi, x, q) &= \frac{1}{[m]_q} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} q^k f(qx, \varphi(x)q^k) D_{q,x}\varphi(x) \\ &= bx^{m-1} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} f(xq, bx^m q^k) q^k. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} R(f, \varphi, x, q) = bx^{m-1} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} f(x, bx^m) = bmx^{m-1} f(x, bx^m),$$

what we wanted to prove. \square

Theorem 5.2. Let $f(x, y) = f_1(x)f_2(y)$, with $f_2(x) = y^a$, and $\varphi(x) = bx^c$, where $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $x > 0$. For the remainder term (4.6), the following property is valid

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} R(f, \varphi, x, q) = f(x, \varphi(x)) \varphi'(x).$$

Proof. Under conditions of Theorem 4.8, we have

$$R(f, \varphi, x, q) = f(qx, \varphi(x)) D_{q^{a+1}, x} \varphi(x),$$

and consequently

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} R(f, \varphi, x, q) = \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} f(qx, \varphi(x)) D_{q^{a+1}, x} \varphi(x) = f(x, \varphi(x)) \varphi'(x). \quad \square$$

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Ministry of Science, Technological Development and Innovation of the Republic of Serbia [grants No. 451-03-65/2024-03/200102 and No. 451-03-66/2024-03/200109].

References

- [1] G. Boros and V. Moll, *Irresistible Integrals: Symbolics, Analysis and Experiments in the Evaluation of Integrals*, Cambridge, England, Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- [2] R.P. Feynman, *A Different Set of Tools*, In 'Surely You're Joking, Mr. Feynman!': Adventures of a Curious Character, New York, W. W. Norton, 1997.
- [3] G. Gasper and M. Rahman, *Basic Hypergeometric Series, 2nd ed.* Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications **96**, Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- [4] W. Hahn, *Lineare Geometrische Differenzengleichungen*, Berichte der Mathematisch-Statistischen Section im Forschungszentrum Graz, 1981.
- [5] I.T. Huseynov, A. Ahmadova and N.I. Mahmudov, *Fractional Leibniz integral rules for Riemann–Liouville and Caputo fractional derivatives and their applications*, arXiv:2012.11360v1 [math.CA].
- [6] V. Kac and P. Cheung, *Quantum Calculus*, Springer–Verlag, New York, 2002.
- [7] W. Koepf, *Hypergeometric Summation*, Advanced Lectures in Mathematics, Vieweg, Braunschweig/Wiesbaden, 1998.
- [8] P.M. Rajković, M.S. Stanković and S.D. Marinković, *Mean value theorems in q -calculus*, Matematički vesnik **54**, 171–178, 2002.
- [9] F.S. Woods, *Differentiation of a Definite Integral*, Advanced Calculus: A Course Arranged with Special Reference to the Needs of Students of Applied Mathematics, 141–144, Boston, Ginn, 1926.