

**BILIMSEL MADENCILIK DERGISI
SCIENTIFIC MINING JOURNAL**

TMMOB Maden Mühendisleri Odası Yayını / The Publication of the Chamber of Mining Engineers of Turkey

Original Research

www.mining.org.tr

Research and Application of Sealed coring Technology in In-situ Coal Seam of Directional Long-boreholein Coal mine

Dayong Tang^{1,}*, Wenbing Wu^{2,} Yi Tang^{3,} Zhengyong Duan^{1,} Xiaolong He^{1,} Shubo Zhou¹, Linlong Ni¹

1 The School of Mechanical and Electrical Engineering, Chongqing University of Arts and Sciences, Chongqing Yongchuan, 402160, CHİNA ²Chongqing Research Institute Co., Ltd, China Coal Technology Engineering Group, Chongqing, 400039, CHİNA ³School of Mechanical and Electrical Engineering, XipuCampus, Southwest Jiaotong University, Emei, Sichuan, 614202, CHİNA

Received: 26 September 2024 • *Accepted: 26 December 2024*

A B S T R A C T

To accurately obtain the gas content of in-situ coal seams in coal mines, a sealed coring technology for in-situ coal seams in coal mines is proposed. Utilizing the pressure difference generated by high-pressure water at both ends of the piston, the piston is driven to cut off the positioning pin. This in turn drives the ball valve in the coring device to rotate, cut off and seal the in-situ coal core. Performance tests were conducted on the sealing pressure of the coring device by opening the water holes on the piston and using suspension pins of different materials. This verifies the working parameters of the piston opening amount and suspension pins made of different materials, providing basic data for subsequent industrial underground tests. Finally, during the industrial test underground, it was found that the gas content in the coal seam measured by closed sampling was 1.9-2.5 times higher than that of the coal seam sampled by the hole. This verifies the successful design of the closed sampling device.

Keywords: Directional long-borehole; In-situ coal seam; Sealed coring; Pressure difference; Cutting mechanism.

Introduction

Coalbed methane(CBM) content is an important technical parameter to characterize the characteristics of underground coal reservoirs, and is the main basis for the development and utilization of CBM resources and comprehensive gas control (Chen et al., 2017).The coal-bed gas resources are rich in China, with up to 29.8 trillion cubic meters [\(Tao et al., 2019](#page-5-0)).However, due to complex geological conditions as well as difficult exploration and development technology, the main method is the underground gas drainage drilling methods [\(Sang et al., 2024](#page-5-1)). CBM is usually stored in coal seams or rock fractures with the characteristics of flammability and explosiveness. During the construction of underground gas drainage drilling, if the samples and the gas content parameters of in-situ coal seam cannot be accurately obtained, it is difficult to formulate effective and reasonable gas control measures, posing a serious safety hazard to coal mine production (Van [et al., 2020\)](#page-5-2).

Coal seam borehole sampling and testing technology is an important means to obtain original geological information such as coal seam gas content. It is the most basic technical work for underground gas disaster control and comprehensive utilization of coalbed methane [\(Long et al., 2022](#page-5-3); Karacan et al., 2021). The detection parameters of coal seam gas content mainly include gas content, desorption amount and residual amount (Li et al., 2020; Szlązak et al., 2021; Akdaş et al., 2023). The desorption amount and residual amount can be accurately measured, while the gas content can only be estimated based on the initial desorption law of the coal sample taken. The estimated value is related to sampling time, and coal sample exposure time. The longer the coal sample exposure time, the more inaccurate the estimated value will be [\(Zhao et al., 2023;](#page-5-4) [Guo et al., 2023](#page-5-5)). Therefore, how to ensure the in-situ information of coal samples is closely related to the sampling method of coal samples. There are two methods to determine the gas content of coal seam: the orifice sampling method and the coal sample tube method. Due to the prolonged exposure time of the coal seam during the process of returning to the borehole, not all coal samples are immediately drilled from the borehole. Therefore, the coal sample data obtained through this method is far from the data of the on-site coal seam. However, the coal sample tube method also has some problems, such as long exposure time of samples, ands serious deterioration of coal

https://doi.org/10.30797/madencilik.1426095

^{*} Corresponding author: e7917252tangf@126.com • https://orcid.org/0009-0009-82364070

samples due to grinding and heating. Therefore, the coal sample data obtained using the orifice sampling method and coal sample tube method have serious distortion problems, which cannot meet the accurate formulation and implementation of gas control measures. To obtain accurate coal samples of underground coal seams, many researches on adopting in-situ coal seam coal samples have been conducted.

To accurately obtain deep oil and gas resources, [Guo et al.](#page-5-6) [\(2023a,](#page-5-5) [b](#page-5-7), [2024](#page-5-6)) and [Xie et al.](#page-5-8) [\(2021](#page-5-8)) proposed a design scheme for a new in-situ pressure-preserved coring (IPP-Coring) tool. This scheme is used to complete performance tests and on-site industrial tests to obtain stable sealed samples. In response to the current situation of shallow sampling depth and low accuracy, Li et al. (2017) proposed a long-distance fixed-point pressure sealed coal seam gas content measurement sampling technology underground. It can improve the coal sample collection rate and sampling depth, and improve the accuracy of coal sample collection to a certain extent. With the development of the resource exploration and environmental science drilling, [Yu et al. \(2020\)](#page-5-9) proposed an in-situ core drilling method to obtain fidelity rock samples from deep rocks. To avoid distortion in the evaluation of deep oil and gas reserves, Wu [et al.](#page-5-10) ([2023\)](#page-5-10) studied a pressure intelligent control coring device and innovatively proposed the theory and method of deep intelligent temperature pressure coupling control. To obtain high-condition preserved core samples, Yang [et al. \(2023\)](#page-5-11) proposed a development strategy for a high toughness and high barrier sealing film based on molecular structure design and filler synergistic enhancement. To solve the problem of low core recovery rate during drilling, Wang [et al. \(2022a\)](#page-5-12) discussed the flow field characteristics of the closed device valve core under different working conditions.

In terms of coal mine soft rock coring, [Zhao et al. \(2023\)](#page-5-4) developed the Pressure Maintaining Continuous Coring Technology (PHCCT) and equipment and achieved high coring quality. To improve the sampling rate of fragmented soft coal seams, Chu et al.(2022) studied the "mechanical+hydraulic" multi branch hole sampling drilling technology combined sampling technology with directional branch hole drilling technology. This provides a new method for sampling and gas parameter determination of fragmented soft coal seams in coal mines. To avoid the influence of cutting and friction of the drilling bit for coal core quality, [Wang](#page-5-13) [et al. \(2022b\)](#page-5-13) established a thermodynamic model of the coring drilling bit and coring tube during the coring process. They studied the main influencing factors during the coring process through numerical simulation. To accurately obtain deep coal cores in coal mines, [Huang et al. \(2023\)](#page-5-14) developed a low disturbance pressure maintaining coring sampler for sampling tests and analysis of coalbed methane content. To determine the methane content in hard coal seams, Szlązak et al. (2021) studied the gas loss during the sampling process to estimate the consistency between the core and drill cuttings samples. To measure the gas content of coal samples, [Hua et al. \(2022\)](#page-5-15) used different sampling methods to obtain in-situ coal seams, measured the gas content and compared and analyzed the data. To solve the problem of inaccurate estimation of coalbed methane loss, [Chen et al. \(2017\)](#page-5-16) proposed a testing device for sealed coring of coal seam, and improved the CBM sealed coring drilling process. Based on the characteristics of conventional coring and closed coring, combined with underground directional drilling in coal mines, an in-situ coal seam closed sampling device for long directional drilling in coal seams is studied. Many performance tests and field tests are conducted to improve the in-situ coal sampling rate and sealing effect. The results can provide reliable in-situ coal seam data for gas detection in coal mines.

1. Design of coal seam closed core-taking device

1.1. Technical development ideas

Since the depth of directional drilling long hole in coal mines is deeper, generally more than 300m, the coal samples obtained by conventional coring drilling technology will be exposed to the air for a long time during coring and drilling. A large number of gas adsorbed in the coal seam will be rapidly desorbed and dissipated. As a result, the gas loss estimated by the method ("Coal Seam Gas Content Underground Direct Determination Method" or the power function method) is quite different from the actual loss. The coal seam gas content cannot be accurately measured. Therefore, according to the technical requirements for measuring coal mine gas content, an in-situ coal seam sealed sampling and testing device is developed. That is, when coring drilling, the coring drilling time and the contact time between coal samples and air are reduced as much as possible. After sampling drilling, the coal samples are quickly sealed in the core tube by using relevant mechanisms to avoid exposure to the air for escaping of gas. Then, the sealed coal sample is analyzed on site with special sampling. After recording the analytical data, the coal sample is loaded into the coal sample tank and sent to the laboratory for other data detection. Compared with conventional sampling techniques, the coal sample taken by this device can greatly reduce the exposure time of the coal sample in the air. It can preserve the original occurrence of the coal seam, greatly improve the accuracy of data testing such as the original coal seam gas content, and provide accurate coal seam data for coal mine gas control.

1. 2. Structural design and working principle of the in-situ sealed core-taking device

The in-situ sealed coring device is mainly composed of outer tube, piston, suspension pin, intermediate tube, rear inner joint, coring inner tube, rear outer joint and coring bit, as shown in Fig. 1. The device adopts a three-tube single-acting structure, wherein an outer tube is connected with a drill rod through a rear outer joint. The front end of the outer tube is provided with a coring bit that has the functions of power transmission and movement. The middle tube is a drive tube, which is connected with the rear inner joint through a suspension pin. Under the action of hydraulic pressure difference, the suspension pin can be cut off, and the piston pushes the drive tube to move forward. At the same time, the drive tube drives the gear fixedly connected with the ball valve to rotate 90 degrees through its own rack mechanism, to complete the cutting and sealing of the coal sample. The coring inner tube can accommodate and resolve the coal sample.

During coring drilling, the coring device is sent to the bottom of the hole. When it touches the coal wall, the coring device is slowly drilled with a small bit pressure and a small pump volume. When the coring is 3-5 m, the drilling is suspended, and the bit pressure is increased. The connecting pin between the outer bit and the inner bit for coring is cut off, and then the coring drilling is carried out. Under the action of the coal core, the inner bit and the coal core are pushed into the inner tube for coring. After the sampling is completed, the pump pressure is increased. The pressure difference between the two ends of the piston is also increased. The suspension pin between the middle tube and the rear inner joint is cut off. The middle tube moves rightward under the action of the piston, and drives the gear rack mechanism to act. In this way, the ball valve cuts off the coal core and seals the coal core in the coring inner tube, and the coal sample drilling is completed.

Figure 1. Structural design of the in-situ sealed core device

1.3. Calculation of the cutting force of the suspension pin

The drive pipe and the rear inner connector are fixed by the suspension pin (as shown in [Fig. 2](#page-2-0)). As the pressure difference between the piston sides gradually increases, the allowable shear stress of the suspension pin is exceeded. According to the assembly structure, the shear force of the suspension pin under the differential pressure of both sides of the piston is as follows:

$$
F = 2A \cdot \tau \tag{1}
$$

where, *F* is the actual shear force of the piston on the suspension pin, N; A is the shear area of the suspension pin, m^2 , as shown in [Fig.2,](#page-2-0) and τ is the shear stress on the suspension pin, MPa.

To ensure that the piston thrust can cut the suspension pin, the actual shear force should be greater than the allowable shear stress of the material *τ*. That is, the actual shear force of the hanging pin in the upper type should be greater than the maximum shear stress that the material can withstand under the allowable shear stress state, namely:

$$
F \ge 2A \cdot [\tau] \tag{2}
$$

Figure 2. Assembly structure and force analysis of the hanging pin

1.4. Calculation of the pressure difference between both ends of the piston

According to the fluid dynamic knowledge, the flow rate and pressure difference characteristics at both ends of the piston are as follows (Ding, 2022):

$$
Q \equiv K \cdot A_o \cdot \Delta p^m \tag{3}
$$

where, *K* is the coefficient of throttling, decided by the shape of the throttle orifice, and fluid properties. $K = d^2 / (32v \cdot L)$, where *d* and *L* are the diameter and length of the throttle orifice, respectively. *v* is the dynamic viscosity of the fluid; A_0 is the flow area of the throttle hole, mm²; Δp is the pressure difference between the two ends of the piston, MPa.

Figure 3. Flow and pressure difference characteristics on both sides of the piston

Through calculating the pressure difference and flow characteristics on both sides of the piston, the thrust force of the fluid on the piston can be calculated as $F = p_1 s_1 - p_2 s_2$ (s_1 and s_2 represent the area on both side of the piston, respectively). From the force analysis of the suspension pin, we have $F = F \geq 2A \cdot [\tau]$. Thus, the relationship between the flow rate and the shape and quantity of the piston throttle mouth can be obtained.

1.5. Calculation of the core cut force

When the pressure difference on the piston is large enough, the suspension pin is cut off and the high pressure water pushes the piston to the right. At the same time, the end surface on the right side of the piston acts on the left side of the drive pipe. The gear rack on the drive pipe pushes the gear fixed on the cut-off valve core to rotate. When the driving force is sufficient, the core is cut and sealed.

According to the engagement of the gear and the drive pipe, the tangential force and torque on the gear are as follows:

$$
F_t = F' / 2 = p_1 s_1 - p_2 s_2 \tag{4}
$$

$$
T_c = F_t \cdot \frac{d}{2} \tag{5}
$$

 $\frac{c}{c}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ where, F_t is the tangential force of the gear, which is equal to the thrust of the piston on the drive pipe. Due to the double teeth, the tangential force is half of the force on the driving pipe; T_c is the rotation torque of the gear, acting on the indexing circle; *d* is the diameter of the gear indexing circle, mm.

The gear is connected to the ball valve through a hexagonal connection, as shown i[n Fig. 4.](#page-3-0) Therefore, the shear torque of the ball valve subjected to the coal core should be equal to the rotating torque on the gear:

$$
T_c = F_{\text{shear}} \cdot d_1 \tag{6}
$$

where, F_{shear} is the ball valve is subjected to a shear force derived from the coal core, N; d_1 is ball valve diameter, mm.

a) Figure of the gear and rack engagement b) gear

 c) ball valve

Figure 4. Force analysis of the gear and the ball valve

2. Testing of closed coring devices

2.1. Performance test

The device was tested on an FMC mud pump to test the closing pressure of the closed core device ball valve and the number of upward pressure holes on the piston. The rated working pressure

of the FMC mud pump is 10.3 MPa, and the flow rate is 80-284 L/ min. The schematic diagram of the test connection and the pres-sure regulation performance test are shown in [Fig. 5](#page-3-1) and [Fig. 6,](#page-3-2) respectively.

Figure 5. Schematic diagram of performance test connection for closed core device

Figure 6. Pressure performance test diagram

During the test, 6061 aluminum alloy rod and 35 steel rod were used as cutting pins. The coal core was replaced by carrot, cucumber and cement block respectively. The pressure regulating holes on the piston were tested according to the number of 2, 3 and 4 openings respectively. During the test, the pump volume is slowly adjusted to slowly increase the pump pressure. When the pressure gauge has a large swing arc and the suspension pin shear sound is heard in the closed corer, the test is stopped. The test of carrot and cucumber as coal core is shown in [Table 1,](#page-3-3) and the situation after the "coal core" is cut off is shown in Fig.7.

Table 1 Performance test of the sealed core device

Note: In the cement block test, due to its high hardness, the pump volume and pump pressure increased appropriately, in which the pump pressure increased by 1MPa compared with the carrot and cucumber. However, due to the damage of the front and rear gaskets after the shear test, it was stopped only after two tests.

Figure 7. The cutting-off "coal core"

From the test, as the regulating holes increase, the pump pressure and pump volume required for the suspension pin and the "coal core" are gradually increased, and the ball valve can be well closed and sealed. When the suspension pin is made of 6061 aluminum alloy, the pump pressure and pump volume when it is cut off are only about 40% of the 35 steel. It shows that the sealed core sampling is feasible, and it will be sent to the underground for industrial test.

2.2. Industrial testing

1) The general situation of the test mine

The designed annual production capacity of Zhaogu No. 2 Mine of Henan Coking Coal Group is 1.8 million tons; the construction period is 45.3 months, and the service life is 55.5 years. The mine geological reserves are 339 million tons, and the designed recoverable reserves are 140 million tons.

Table 2 Comparison of CBM gas content for different core-extraction methods

The main coal seam is No. 1 coal seam of Permian, whose inclination Angle is generally 2°-6°.Its average thickness is 6.16m, belonging to a nearly horizontal and stable thick coal seam. The coal quality is high quality anthracite with medium ash, low sulfur, high calorific value, high ash melting point and high yield of lump coal, and the calorific value can reach 30.03 MJ/ kg. The construction of the mine was officially started on January 9, 2007, and completed and put into operation on April 23, 2011. After full operation, the annual sales revenue is 1.6 billion yuan, and the profit and tax are 600 million yuan.

2) Main test equipment

In this test, the deep hole fixed point sealing core device is used to close the coal seam of different depths of underground coal seam, and the gas content is measured. The closed core drilling equipment is ZYD6000-type directional drill and NB-300 mud pump of Xi'an Research Institute of China Coal Science and Industry Group. The drill pipe adopts Ф73 groove spiral drill rod of Xi'an Research Institute.

3) Analysis of the test results

The gas content of coal samples is determined according to GB/T23250-2009 "Underground Direct Determination Method of Gas Content in Coal Mines". A total of 9 test holes in the test were carried out, and coal samples were connected to the holes using a coal sample tank for comparative measurement of the same gas parameters. The test results are shown in [Table 2.](#page-4-0)

According to [Table 2,](#page-4-0) at the same depth, the CBM content measured by the sealed core sampling method is 1.9-2.5 times that of the CBM content measured by coal sampling of orifice. It shows that the closed core device can meet the sealed sampling of in situ coal seam, and effectively avoiding the escape of sampling gas from coal connecting orifice. The main reason for the low CBM content measured by coal seam sampling of orifice is that the coal samples are already exposed to the air when the coal seam returns to the orifice from the bottom of the hole. However, the sealed coring is only exposed to air for a short time, and the CBM escapes less, which can measure the true content of in-situ coal seam gas more accurately.

3. Conclusion

From the experimental process of the closed core device, it can be concluded that:

1) The developed "three tube single action" sealed coring device can effectively drill coal samples from the original coal seams of the mine. This provides an effective guarantee for coal mines to obtain data on the gas content of the in-situ coal seams.

2) The developed pressure differential piston sampling structure can improve the utilization rate of mud pump pressure and effectively increased the success rate of in-situ coal core extraction in underground coal seams.

3) A 20 day industrial underground test was conducted using a sealed coring device at Zhaogu No. 2 Mine of Henan Coking Coal Group. The test results showed that the gas content of coal samples obtained by the sealed coring method was increased by 90% to 150% compared to the gas content obtained by coal sampling of orifice.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the support of the key project of Science and Technology Plan of Chongqing Municipal Education Commission (Grant No.KJZD-K202401303), the Planning Project of Chongqing Education Commission (Grant No.24SK-GH260), the general project of Natural Science Foundation of Chongqing (Grant No.CSTB2023TFII-OFX0011), the Banan District Natural Science Foundation Project (Grant No. 7), the Yongchuan District Natural Science Foundation Project (Grant No. Ycstc, 2019nb0801).

References

- Akdaş, S. B., Fişne, A. 2023. A data-driven approach for the prediction of coal seam gas content using machine learning techniques. *Applied Energy*, 347, 121499. Doi: 10.1016/j.apenergy.2023.121499
- Chen, S., Tang, D., Tao, S., Xu, H., Li, S., Zhao, J., Fu, H. 2017. In-situ stress measurements and stress distribution characteristics of coal reservoirs in major coalfields in China: Implication for coalbed methane (CBM) development. *International Journal of Coal Geology*, 182, 66-84. DOI: 10.1016/j.coal.2017.09.009
- Ding, Z.R. *Engineering Fluid Mechanics* [M].Beijing: Higher Education Press, 2022.
- Guo, D., Chen, L., Zhou, Z., Wang, D., Zhang, Y., Yang, X., Xie, H. 2023b. Development of a pressure coring system for the investigation of deep underground exploration. *International Journal of Mining Science and Technology*, 33(11), 1351 -1364.DOI:10.1016/j.ijmst.2023.10.001
- Guo, D., Xie, H. P., Chen, L., Zhou, Z. Y., Lu, H. P., Dai, L., Gao, M. Z. 2023a. In-situ pressure-preserved coring for deep exploration: Insight into the rotation behavior of the valve cover of a pressure controller. *Petroleum Science*, 20(4), 2386-2398.DOI: 10.1016/ j.petsci.2023.02.020
- Guo, D., Xie, H., Gao, M., Li, J., He, Z., Chen, L., Dai, L. 2024. In-situ pressure-preserved coring for deep oil and gas exploration: Design scheme for a coring tool and research on the in-situ pressure-preserving mechanism. *Energy*, 286, 129519. DOI: 10.1016/j.energy.2023.129519
- Hua, C., Wang, Z., Su, E., Dong, J., Yue, J., Cui, Y., & Zhang, K. 2022. In situ volume recovery method for non-seal gas pressure measurement technology: a comparative study. *ACS Omega*, 7(45), 41341-41352. DOI:10.1021/acsomega.2c05120
- Huang, W., Li, J., Liu, Z., Yang, M., You, Z., & Xie, H. 2023.Study of a low-disturbance pressure-preserving corer and its coring performance in deep coal mining conditions. *International Journal of Mining Science and Technology*, 33(11), 1397-1410. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijmst.2023.07.003
- Karacan, C. Ö., Martín-Fernández, J. A., Ruppert, L. F., & Olea, R. A. 2021. Insights on the characteristics and sources of gas from an underground coal mine using compositional data analysis. *International Journal of Coal Geology*, 241, 103767.DOI:10.1016/j.coal.2021.103767
- Long, W.C., Sun, S.Q., Chen, J. 2022.Study on long-distance fixed-point sealed coring technology in broken-soft coal seam. *Coal Geology & Exploration*, 50(8), 93-98. DOI:10.12363/issn.1001-1986.21.12.0882
- Sang, S., Li, R., Liu, S., Zhou, X., Wei, B., Han, S., Zhou, Z. 2024. Research progress and breakthrough directions of the key technical fields for large scale and efficient exploration and development of coalbed methane in Xinjiang. Journal of China Coal Society, 49(1), 563- 585. Doi: 10.13225/j.cnki.jccs.YH23.1313
- Szlązak, N., Korzec, M., & Piergies, K. 2021. The determination of the methane content of coal seams based on drill cutting and core samples from coal mine roadway. *Energies*, 15(1), 178.DOI:[10.3390/en15010178](https://doi.org/10.3390/en15010178)
- Szlązak, N., Obracaj, D., &Korzec, M. 2021. Estimation of gas loss in methodology for determining methane content of coal seams. *Energies*, 14(4), 982.Doi: 10.3390/en14040982
- Tao, S., Pan, Z., Tang, S., & Chen, S. 2019. Current status and geological conditions for the applicability of CBM drilling technologies in China: A review. *International Journal of Coal Geology*, 202, 95-108. Doi: 10.1016/j.coal.2018.11.020
- Van Dyke, M., Klemetti, T., & Wickline, J. 2020. Geologic data collection and assessment techniques in coal mining for ground control. *International Journal of Mining Science and Technology,* 30(1), 131-139.Doi: 10.1016/j.ijmst.2019.12.003
- Wang, J., Yu, M., Qian, D., Wan, B., Sun, Y., Chen, C., Tang, Y. 2022a. Optimisation of drainage performance of the thin-walled core barrel sealing technology for pressure preservation sampling. *Ocean Engineering*, 250, 110996.DOI: [10.1016/j.oceaneng.2022.110996](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oceaneng.2022.110996)
- Wang, Q., Wang, Z., Yue, J., An, F., Dong, J., & Ke, W. 2022b. Temperature of the Core Tube Wall during Coring in Coal Seam: Experiment and Modeling. *ACS Omega*, 7(9), 7901-7911. DOI[:10.1021/acsomega.1c06746](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.1c06746)
- Wu, N. H., Gao, M. Z., Zhu, L. Y., Li, J. N., Fan, D., You, B., Zhu, G. D. 2023. Pressure control method and device innovative design for deep oil in-situ exploration and coring. *Petroleum Science*, 20(2), 1169-1182. DOI:[10.1016/j.petsci.2022. 10.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.petsci.2022.10.011)
- Xie, H. P., Liu, T., Gao, M. Z., Chen, L., Zhou, H. W., Ju, Y., Zhao, Z. Y. 2021. Research on in-situ condition preserved coring and testing systems. *Petroleum Science*, 18(6), 1840-1859. DOI:10.1016/j.petsci.2021.11.003
- Yang, D., Zhao, Z., Wu, Y., Zhu, L., Lu, J., Liu, T., & Xie, H. 2023. A graphene-enhanced high-barrier and fast-curing film for deep in situ condition preserved coring in coal seams. *International Journal of Mining Science and Technology*, 33(11), 1365-1376. DOI[:10.1016/j.ijmst.2023.08.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmst.2023.08.005)
- Yu, B., Xie, H., Chen, L., Zhao, W., & He, Z. 2020.Exploration of digital twin design mechanism of the deep in situ rock insulation coring device. *Geofluids*, 2020, 8835085. DOI: 10.1155/2020/8835085
- Zhao, F.J., Deng, Q.G., Hao, F.C., Zuo, W.Q. 2023. A new method of coring in coal mine: pressure-holding and continuous coring technology. *Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment*, 82(1), 17. DOI: 10.1007/s10064-022-03038-7