



The effect of virtual reality based rehabilitation on cognitive functions in stroke patients: A review

Mehmet Kaan ALTUNOK ^{1,2*}, Aygül KÖSEOĞLU KURT ¹, Suzan AYDIN ^{1,3}, Hande Besna GÖÇEN ^{1,3}, Havva Ezgi ALBAYRAK ^{1,4}, Mustafa Oğuz KETHÜDAOĞLU ^{1,5}, Selen GÜR ÖZMEN ⁶

¹Department of Physiotherapy and Rehabilitation, Graduate Education Institute, Bahcesehir University, Istanbul, Türkiye

²Department of Physiotherapy and Rehabilitation, Faculty of Health Sciences, Selcuk University, Konya, Türkiye

³Department of Physiotherapy, Vocational School of Health Services, Istanbul Gelisim University, Istanbul, Türkiye

⁴Department of Occupational Therapy, Vocational School of Health Services, Avrasya University, Trabzon, Türkiye

⁵Department of Physiotherapy and Rehabilitation, Faculty of Health Sciences, Cumhuriyet University, Sivas, Türkiye

⁶Department of Physiotherapy and Rehabilitation, Faculty of Health Sciences, Bahcesehir University, Istanbul, Türkiye

Received: 02.02.2024

Accepted/Published Online: 03.04.2024

Final Version: 19.05.2024

Abstract

Stroke stands as one of the primary global causes of disability and mortality. Post-stroke cognitive impairment (PSCI) emerges as a prevalent aftermath affecting over a third of the patients, with its incidence steadily rising annually. Notable clinical findings, that significantly affect participation in daily routines and life contentment, can be listed as; memory decline, concentration challenges, dependency in decision-making, and struggle in problem-solving. There is also a correlation among PSCI, dementia, and, disability rates. Therefore, cognitive rehabilitation strategies to improve cognitive functions are important components of stroke rehabilitation interventions. Traditional cognitive education, physical therapy, physical activity, psychotherapy, and complementary medicine practices are frequently used in the literature to rehabilitate PSCI. In addition to these advancements, the evolution of information technology has introduced alternative treatment approaches that can be utilized in Cognitive Rehabilitation (CR) applications such as Virtual Reality (VR). This review aims to assess the contribution of VR applications within CR specifically tailored for stroke patients.

Keywords: cognitive functions, cognitive training, neurology, stroke, virtual reality

1. Introduction

A stroke is a neurological disorder characterized by the sudden onset of symptoms and the rapid loss of focal and global cerebral functions without any apparent cause other than vascular factors. It ranks second among the causes of death worldwide. Stroke affects approximately 15 million people annually, and is stated as one of the primary contributors to long-term disability (1-3). It ranks third among the causes of disability in the world due to the physical and cognitive disabilities it creates (3). It is reputed that in 2019, there were approximately 101 million individuals who had a stroke all over the world. Such a numerical increase in the number of patients suffering from stroke causes stroke to be seen as a global problem (4). Post-stroke cognitive impairments (PSCI) typically emerge after three to six months and can be marked by noticeable cognitive dysfunction (5, 6).

In the literature, current studies have shown that medical advances in acute stroke treatment led to a decrease in mortality rates over the last 30 years (7). Despite the decrease

in the mortality rates, the physical and cognitive disorders (CD) in the acute and chronic periods continue to increase for stroke survivors. In the study, in which eight countries participated and where the cognitive status of 3146 strokes (97%) and transient ischemic attack (3%) patients were analyzed, it was found that within two to six months after the stroke, 44% of the patients had general CD and 30-35% of stroke survivors continued to have impairments in a single cognitive domain (8). Recent meta-analysis studies revealed a PSCI prevalence of 53.4% within 1.5 years following a stroke. Among these cases, mild and major prevalence rates were reported at 36.4% - 38% and 16%, severally (9, 10). Studies have revealed that around 35.6% of stroke survivors encounter PSCI within the initial five years following the onset of stroke (11). Similarly, based on the findings of a meta-analysis, the prevalence of PSCI in the first year after stroke was shown to be 40%, and in a long-term follow-up study, it was emphasized that the prevalence of PSCI was 61% among individuals who survived 10 years after the stroke (10, 12). In addition to chronically

*Correspondence: mehmetkaan.altunok@selcuk.edu.tr

experiencing cognitive problems, approximately two-thirds of stroke patients also have to struggle with cognitive problems in the acute phase (13). As can be understood from prevalence studies, CD is a serious problem that is most likely to be seen in stroke patients which is encountered both in the acute and chronic periods.

Cognition has several higher-level functions which can be sub-categorized into six neurocognitive domains (14). In the DSM-5 classification, these domains include language, learning and memory, social cognition, complex attention, executive function, and perceptual-motor function (15). CDs are often a major consequence of diseases such as Alzheimer's disease, vascular dementia, and stroke (16). Therefore, cognitive deficits may cause individuals to experience disruptions in their ability to carry out instructions for tasks, initiating self-directed activities, and problem-solving (17). Memory problems, attention deficit, and impairment in executive functions are among the most common PSCIs in stroke patients (18). Although the pathophysiology mechanism of PSCI is not fully understood, it is generally thought to occur as a result of lesions in important cognitive areas such as the hippocampus, white matter, and cerebral cortex (19). Damage may occur in only one of these areas, or more than one area may be damaged. PSCI clinically results in deficits in advanced brain functions including attention, memory, executive abilities, and visual/structural functions. These impairments significantly impact patients' daily activities and overall rehabilitation (20, 21). According to the current view in the literature, it is accepted that stroke has a more devastating effect on attention and executive functions compared to its effects on memory (22).

Stroke patients often experience clinical depression, marked by behavioral, cognitive, and emotional traits. Cognitive performance consistently intertwines with depressive symptoms, and early cognitive impairments in post-stroke individuals could foreshadow prolonged depressive tendencies (23). Furthermore, PSCI is linked to early and enduring limitations in activities and participation (24). Consequently, CDs leading to varying degrees of cognitive decline not only impact the life quality of individuals who have experienced a stroke but also pose significant challenges for their families and caregivers (25, 26). It is known that even though 50% of patients who are in positive physical condition experience cognitive problems and face limitations in reintegrating into normal life, current rehabilitation approaches focus more on the rehabilitation of motor deficits since it is considered that physical impairments have more debilitating aspects on patients than CD (19, 27). As there is a shortage of effective drug treatments given the absence of effective treatments for cognitive decline and dementia, investigating alternative strategies to alleviate PSCI should be regarded as a pressing public health concern.

The goal of Cognitive Rehabilitation (CR) is to enhance a

person's capability to perceive, comprehend, and respond suitably to information, as well as to restore cognitive function through various methods, including the augmentation of neurotrophic growth factors. Additionally, CR endeavors to foster the development of acquiring additional abilities to compensate for cognitive limitations (25, 28). After a stroke, CR involves various types of cognitive training, including cognitive strategy training interventions, medication, physical therapy, and other approaches (29). Within the literature, two fundamental types of CR are identified in current clinical practice: restorative and compensatory rehabilitation. Restorative rehabilitation targets the restoration of lost functions through specific manual cognitive exercises, while compensatory rehabilitation focuses on enhancing the patient's utilization of aids and tools to overcome the disorder. CR techniques fall into two primary categories: traditional methods involving paper-and-pencil exercises and computer-assisted approaches. Both approaches utilize cognitive strategies to either rehabilitate or improve deficiencies in attention, concentration, visual processing, language, memory, reasoning, problem-solving, and executive functions (30). The common element of applied rehabilitation approaches is neural plasticity which is considered as the ability of the brain to adjust its response to changes in the environment or the lesions (31). Neuronal plasticity includes atrophic processes such as the deletion of inactive neurons or neuronal contacts and includes trophic processes such as neurogenesis and synaptogenesis (32). In this sense, the brain exhibits a remarkable capacity for remodeling through behavioral experiences triggered by diverse events and stimuli (33).

In recent years, the integration of Virtual Reality (VR) applications as a novel approach for rehabilitating motor, cognitive, and sensory deficits has shown promising outcomes (30, 34). VR consists of a range of information technologies that are designed to construct interactive environments by simulating real-world experiences where users are engaged. These activities increase motor learning and neuroplasticity by allowing the patient to practice fabricated activities through VR which they would not be able to perform or would struggle in physical reality. A study using magnetic resonance imaging found consistent results showing that the organization of the sensorimotor cortex increases motor learning and neuroplasticity (35). VR systems contain specialized software applications and input-output devices, replicating intricate and immersive experiences. By integrating telemedicine, robotics, and rehabilitation through computer-based methods (36, 37), VR opens a new era in rehabilitation, offering potential advantages to rehabilitation teams. VR facilitates the organization of activity difficulties based on a patient's real abilities and potential, granting the capability to regulate performance through visual and auditory feedback. In addition, these systems provide patients with the opportunity to suggest fun activities, increasing motivation and participation, strengthening commitment to rehabilitation, and allowing the

creation of personalized rehabilitation programs.

Multiple studies validate the potential of VR in stimulating the reactivation and enhancement of diverse cortical functions, optimizing the effectiveness of the sensory cortex (37-39). Hence, VR stands as a therapeutic system within the literature, facilitating the rehabilitation of patients in particular areas such as attention, memory, language, executive functions, spatial cognition, perceptual abilities, and psychosomatic anxiety, employing sensory engagement via heightened visual and auditory feedback. This review aims to assess the impact of VR tools in CR for stroke patients.

2. Method

The study was identified by online searching of Scopus, PubMed, Web of Science, and Cochrane databases. The following search terms are used and combined; “virtual reality” or “virtual”, “reality” or “virtual reality”, “stroke rehabilitation” or “stroke”, “rehabilitation” or “stroke rehabilitation”, “cognitive rehabilitation” or “cognitive rehabilitation in stroke”.

3. Virtual Reality and Its Types

VR is a technology that enables individuals to engage in a computer-generated simulation of an environment. Although the use of devices such as virtual reality headsets seems to have become widespread in the 1970s, there is a debate about the origin of such devices. Ivan Sutherland (1938), an American engineer, was one of the first researchers to explore the potential of computers for people to have experiences they could not have in real life. In 1960, cinematographer Morgan Heilig developed a single-user console called “Sensorama” that simulated all the senses in its user's environment. In Heilig's concept, the user could only view images passively, but many elements of his idea inspired Sutherland, who was a graduate student at Harvard at the time. In 1968, Sutherland invented the first computer-connected and head-mounted display system. This first virtual reality headset was named “The Sword of Damocles” due to its heavy weight and strange shape. VR applications have developed over the years and their use has accelerated in education, commerce, gaming, manufacturing, industry, and healthcare. Research has stated that virtual reality technology will have an important place among the important technologies and trends that will affect the field of healthcare by 2025 (40). As virtual reality technology becomes more accessible day by day and the cost of research and development activities decreases, interest in VR technology is increasing. Additionally, considering the positive results and the increase in research show that VR technologies will have an important place in the health sector in the future. Also, the importance given to health studies with VR applications and the number of studies will increase every passing day.

In the literature, VR applications are divided into five basic subheadings: immersive VR (full-immersive), augmented VR (semi-immersive), non-immersive VR (non-immersive),

collaborative, and Augmented Reality. Full immersive VR is a costly type of VR that provides the user the impression that the virtual world and events they experience are real (41). For a holistic VR, a highly believable and intensely detailed virtual world, a powerful computer that can detect the user's movements and reactions and simultaneously adjust the environment according to these movements, and a piece of head-mounted display equipment connected to the computer that immerses the user in the virtual world are needed. Special equipment such as VR glasses, gloves, and sensors that detect body movements can be added for a high-quality VR experience (42). It is used in chronic pain management, stroke rehabilitation, cognitive rehabilitation of anxiety and post-traumatic stress disorder, and physical therapy protocols for spinal cord injuries. In non-immersive VR, is a non-interactive virtual experience. The virtual world can be navigated, and some activities can be performed, but the environment cannot interact directly with the user. Personal computers can be considered non-immersive VR when used for a realistic flight simulation or with a headset and joystick. Gaming devices such as PlayStation and Xbox are also examples of non-immersive virtual reality. It is used in physical therapy and rehabilitation to increase motor skills, attention and memory, and social skills in individuals with autism and through gamification. Semi-immersive virtual reality represents a blend of non-immersive and fully immersive VR. Users can explore a virtual environment using a computer screen or VR glasses, yet the experience lacks sensory input beyond visual data, limiting its immersive nature. Semi-immersive VR is the most widely used and most cost-effective type of VR after non-immersive. In collaborative reality, you are given the chance to meet and interact with people from different locations simultaneously in a virtual world. Augmented Reality is a technology that shows the user virtual additions to the real world through a screen. It places virtual elements into the real world. Glasses, smartphones, and tablets are used as the primary interface (43). It is used to increase joint range of motion in physical therapy, for prosthesis training, for balance training in vestibular diseases, and for visual-spatial training in traumatic brain injury.

VR provides users the opportunity to immerse themselves in environments that closely resemble real-life objects and experiences (e.g., home environment, sports training location, social environment). VR applications integrate computers, head-mounted displays, body tracking sensors, specialized interface devices, and real-time graphics to immerse patients within a computer-generated environment world that dynamically responds to head and body movements (44). This capability facilitates the enhancement of the patient's physical and cognitive capacities by creating scenarios and conditions that replicate an authentic three-dimensional environment. The unimpeded interface enables maximal interaction between the patient and the virtual world. Additionally, it enables the dynamic customization of VR training settings to

accommodate individual participant needs and activity levels (45). Key factors in enhancing neural plasticity among patients with brain disorders in VR applications involve high-intensity, repetitive, and multisensory interactions within targeted tasks (46). Both commercial systems such as Nintendo Wii, and Xbox Kinect, among others, and customized VR services are utilized in clinics and research studies as part of the available options.

Positive results have been reported in the literature that VR applications in neurological rehabilitation improve motor functions in stroke patients. Studies have demonstrated that VR workouts lasting between 5 to 8 weeks, conducted 3 to 5 days a week for 45 minutes per day and totaling more than 15 hours, effectively enhance lower extremity motor function, balance, gait, and daily functionality. A systematic review, which combines randomized controlled trials (RCTs) assessing the impact of VR on upper extremity motor functions among stroke patients, revealed the effectiveness of VR in enhancing upper extremity function and daily activities in this patient group (47).

4. The Place of Virtual Reality Applications in the Treatment of Post-Stroke Cognitive Impairment

While VR applications appear promising in the neurorehabilitation of stroke patients according to literature, there is still a scarcity of studies demonstrating the efficacy of VR in CR. Debates persist regarding its effectiveness in PSCI. While certain controlled experiments suggest that VR facilitates cognitive enhancement compared to conventional treatment (46, 48), other studies suggest that VR doesn't significantly differ from conventional methods in improving cognitive functions (49). Zhang et al. (2021), in their study examining seven RCTs, emphasized that VR training did not have a significant benefit on CR. It has been pointed out that this may be because the main purpose of VR interventions is not to improve cognitive function and the lack of the applied procedure (49). Wiley et al. (2020), in the results of five studies evaluating the effectiveness of VR applications in CR in stroke patients, the conclusion drawn from the study indicated that VR treatment did not demonstrate superiority over traditional rehabilitation interventions (50). In addition to these views, Aminov et al. (2018), in four studies evaluating the results of VR-based rehabilitation on cognitive functions, discovered significant improvements through VR applications in enhancing cognitive functions (51). Xiao et al. (2022) also found in meta-analysis studies that VR applications showed more significant differences than traditional treatment under the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) index (52).

Gau et al. (2021) found that although existing meta-analysis studies based on data from six high-quality RCTs showed superiority in general cognition, attention, and executive functions in groups using VR-based intervention in patients reporting mild cognitive impairment compared to control groups, semi-immersive treatment combined with classical

rehabilitation It was emphasized that VR-based training created using the technique did not show a significant improvement in the general cognitive functions, motor functions and daily life activities of chronic stroke patients (23). The results of this study indicate a favorable impact of VR-based training on attention, executive function, and overall cognitive abilities in stroke patients. Zhu et al. (2021) similarly reported consistent positive outcomes in mild cognitive impairment or dementia patients in their systematic review (53).

In the study conducted by De Luca et al. (2018), it was observed that VR treatment demonstrated greater efficacy in enhancing attention, visuospatial challenges, and motor deficiencies compared to the control group receiving standard CR. At the same time, striking results show that the Nirvana (BTS Bioengineering Corp) VR device applied in the VR group provides more patient compliance with the treatment and allows patients to have longer training sessions, thanks to more motivating and entertaining virtual scenarios. Additionally, when both groups were compared at the end of the treatment, it was found that the experimental group was superior to the control group in many of the cognitive parameters, and this improvement was shown to continue in the experimental group even after a month (30). Faria et al. (2016) demonstrated significant improvements in cognitive functions in the VR group in their study in which they used a virtual city stimulation in which various daily activities were integrated with performance in VR applied to stroke patients. However, they achieved superior results in VR in general cognitive functioning, attention, and executive functions compared to the group receiving traditional treatment (54). In a follow-up study, the same authors compared the VR program with paper-and-pencil exercises and found greater improvements in overall cognitive functions in the VR group when their cognitive status was assessed with MoCA (55). In another study conducted on individuals with PSCI, both the experimental and control groups were included in a computer-based CR program, and the experimental group was additionally given VR training. As a result of this study, in which they demonstrated the superiority of VR in many parameters, the authors stated that adding computer-based CR training to VR training may have additional benefits (56). In another study, a puzzle game was developed using a VR base, and stroke patients with mild CD were included in the study. In addition to the routine rehabilitation program of both groups, the experimental group received a VR-based puzzle game, while the control group received traditional CR treatment. At the end of the study, while both groups showed improvement, no significant differences were found in baseline data in comparisons between groups. However, the experimental group showed greater improvements in executive function and visuospatial cognitive features compared to the control (57). In a current randomized controlled study, the effects of computer-assisted CR using a VR program developed based on self-

efficacy were compared with the effects of traditional treatment. It has been shown that treatment using a VR program in hospitalized stroke patients has greater effects in terms of stroke self-efficacy, cognitive function, visual perception, daily living activities, and health-related quality of life compared to traditional treatment (58). Rose Sin Yi et al. (2024) examined twenty-five randomized controlled studies in which VR and CR treatments were applied in their systematic review and meta-analysis. While their studies found the superiority of VR applications over control treatments in improving global cognitive function, executive function, and memory, no superiority was shown in language, visual-spatial ability, and daily living activities (59). On the other hand, in a different systematic review and meta-analysis examining 150 studies, it was found that stroke rehabilitation with VR was effective in improving cognitive functions but was not superior to standard treatment (60).

Contemporary data substantiates the potential of VR training in aiding the rehabilitation of attentional processes among stroke patients. These processes augment VR's efficacy by fostering brain plasticity mechanisms through intricate pathways (61). These impacts could potentially link to the revival of various brain neurotransmitter pathways, such as the cholinergic and dopaminergic systems, during CR conducted via VR (62, 63). Different studies establish the relationship between cognitive and motor functions, emphasizing that especially carrying out training in a virtual environment can have positive effects on motor rehabilitation of advanced cognitive functions (62). It is also reported that the psychological well-being created by virtual environments will have positive effects on the patient's cognitive and motor results (64).

Some studies argue that the success of VR-based interventions cannot be solely attributed to increased dosage, frequency, or daily intensity (65-68), Gau et al.'s (2021) meta-analysis, through subgroup analysis, revealed that increased doses of VR treatment (exceeding 20 hours of intervention), higher frequencies (over four times a week), and greater daily durations (beyond 60 minutes a day) exhibited more positive impacts on cognitive abilities, motor skills, mood, and everyday activities improvement. Furthermore, it was suggested that VR training using head-mounted display devices (HMDD) may yield better behavioral outcomes in stroke patients (23). Contrary to this perspective, studies by Gamito et al. (2014) demonstrated no notable distinction between HMDD and semi-immersive VR induced by desktop screens in enhancing working memory and sustained attention among stroke patients (69). However, HMDD has some usage limitations such as being expensive, not easily transportable, and causing visual disturbances, which limits its widespread use in the literature.

5. Conclusion

Cognitive problems are frequently observed in individuals who

have had a stroke, and current treatment searches in this field continue. Rehabilitation strategies to improve cognitive functions have an important place in stroke treatment. One of these treatment methods is the use of VR. Cost-effective, portable, easy-to-use VR-based applications seem to be usable in the cognitive rehabilitation of chronic stroke patients with post-stroke CD. According to the literature review results, the striking point is that VR applications are needed to simultaneously support the motor and cognitive functions of patients who suffer from both physical and cognitive function loss. Therefore, forthcoming research requires more extensive double-blind, randomized controlled studies featuring larger sample sizes to address uncertainties and inconsistencies concerning the long-term effects of VR applications on cognitive functions among stroke patients.

Conflict of interest

All authors declared no conflict of interest.

Funding

None to declare.

Acknowledgments

None to declare.

Authors' contributions

Concept: S.G.Ö., M.K.A., A.K.K., Design: S.G.Ö., M.K.A., S.A., Data Collection or Processing: S.G.Ö., H.E.A., M.O.K., Analysis or Interpretation: A.K.K., H.B.G., M.O.K., Literature Search: A.K.K., S.A., H.B.G., Writing: M.K.A., A.K.K., H.E.A.

References

1. Organization WH. The Top 10 Causes of Death. 24 Maggio. 2018.
2. Zhang J, Lee DTF. Meaning in stroke family caregiving in China: A phenomenological study. *J Fam Nurs*. 2019;25(2):260-86.
3. Feigin VL, Norrving B, Mensah GA. Global burden of stroke. *Circ Res*. 2017;120(3):439-48.
4. Feigin VL, Stark BA, Johnson CO, Roth GA, Bisignano C, Abady GG, et al. Global, regional, and national burden of stroke and its risk factors, 1990–2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *Lancet Neurol*. 2021;20(10):795-820.
5. Mijajlović MD, Pavlović A, Brainin M, Heiss W-D, Quinn TJ, Ihle-Hansen HB, et al. Post-stroke dementia—a comprehensive review. *BMC medicine*. 2017;15(1):1-12.
6. Rost NS, Brodtmann A, Pase MP, van Veluw SJ, Biffi A, Duering M, et al. Post-stroke cognitive impairment and dementia. *Circ Res*. 2022;130(8):1252-71.
7. Towfighi A, Saver JL. Stroke declines from third to fourth leading cause of death in the United States: historical perspective and challenges ahead. *Stroke*. 2011;42(8):2351-5.
8. Lo JW, Crawford JD, Desmond DW, Godefroy O, Jokinen H, Mahinrad S, et al. Profile of and risk factors for poststroke cognitive impairment in diverse ethnoregional groups. *Neurology*. 2019;93(24):e2257-e71.
9. Barbay M, Taillia H, Nédélec-Ciceri C, Bompairé F, Bonnin C, Varvat J, et al. Prevalence of poststroke neurocognitive disorders using National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke-Canadian Stroke Network, VASCOG criteria (Vascular

- Behavioral and Cognitive Disorders), and optimized criteria of cognitive deficit. *Stroke*. 2018;49(5):1141-7.
10. Sexton E, McLoughlin A, Williams DJ, Merriman NA, Donnelly N, Rohde D, et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis of the prevalence of cognitive impairment no dementia in the first year post-stroke. *Eur Stroke J*. 2019;4(2):160-71.
 11. Rohde D, Gaynor E, Large M, Mellon L, Bennett K, Williams DJ, et al. Cognitive impairment and medication adherence post-stroke: A five-year follow-up of the ASPIRE-S cohort. *PLoS one*. 2019;14(10):e0223997.
 12. Delavaran H, Jönsson AC, Lövkvist H, Iwarsson S, Elmståhl S, Norrving B, et al. Cognitive function in stroke survivors: A 10-year follow-up study. *Acta Neurol Scand*. 2017;136(3):187-94.
 13. Draaisma LR, Wessel MJ, Hummel FC. Non-invasive brain stimulation to enhance cognitive rehabilitation after stroke. *Neurosci Lett*. 2020;719:133678.
 14. Sachdev PS, Blacker D, Blazer DG, Ganguli M, Jeste DV, Paulsen JS, et al. Classifying neurocognitive disorders: the DSM-5 approach. *Nat Rev Neurol*. 2014;10(11):634-42.
 15. Vahia VN. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders 5: A quick glance. *Indian J Psychiatry*. 2013;55(3):220-3.
 16. Langdon KD, Granter-Buttun S, Harley CW, Moody-Corbett F, Peeling J, Corbett D. Cognitive rehabilitation reduces cognitive impairment and normalizes hippocampal CA1 architecture in a rat model of vascular dementia. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab*. 2013;33(6):872-9.
 17. Cumming TB, Marshall RS, Lazar RM. Stroke, cognitive deficits, and rehabilitation: still an incomplete picture. *Int J Stroke*. 2013 Jan;8(1):38-45.
 18. Park J, Lee G, Lee S-U, Jung SH. The impact of acute phase domain-specific cognitive function on post-stroke functional recovery. *Ann Rehabil Med*. 2016;40(2):214-22.
 19. Sun J-H, Tan L, Yu J-T. Post-stroke cognitive impairment: epidemiology, mechanisms and management. *Ann Transl Med*. 2014;2(8).
 20. Levine DA, Galecki AT, Langa KM, Unverzagt FW, Kabeto MU, Giordani B, et al. Trajectory of Cognitive Decline After Incident Stroke. *Jama*. 2015;314(1):41-51.
 21. Fridé Y, Adamit T, Maeir A, Ben Assayag E, Bornstein NM, Korczyn AD, et al. What are the correlates of cognition and participation to return to work after first ever mild stroke? *Top Stroke Rehabil*. 2015;22(5):317-25.
 22. Cumming TB, Marshall RS, Lazar RM. Stroke, cognitive deficits, and rehabilitation: still an incomplete picture. *Int J Stroke*. 2013;8(1):38-45.
 23. Gao Y, Ma L, Lin C, Zhu S, Yao L, Fan H, et al. Effects of Virtual Reality-Based Intervention on Cognition, Motor Function, Mood, and Activities of Daily Living in Patients With Chronic Stroke: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *Front Aging Neurosci*. 2021;13:766525.
 24. Stolwyk RJ, Mihaljcic T, Wong DK, Chapman JE, Rogers JM. Poststroke cognitive impairment negatively impacts activity and participation outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Stroke*. 2021;52(2):748-60.
 25. Farokhi-Sisakht F, Farhoudi M, Sadigh-Eteghad S, Mahmoudi J, Mohaddes G. Cognitive rehabilitation improves ischemic stroke-induced cognitive impairment: role of growth factors. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis*. 2019;28(10):104299.
 26. Rohde D, Gaynor E, Large M, Conway O, Bennett K, Williams DJ, et al. Stroke survivor cognitive decline and psychological wellbeing of family caregivers five years post-stroke: a cross-sectional analysis. *Top Stroke Rehabil*. 2019;26(3):180-6.
 27. Hochstenbach JB, den Otter R, Mulder TW. Cognitive recovery after stroke: a 2-year follow-up. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2003;84(10):1499-504.
 28. Hindle JV, Petrelli A, Clare L, Kalbe E. Nonpharmacological enhancement of cognitive function in Parkinson's disease: a systematic review. *Mov Disord*. 2013;28(8):1034-49.
 29. Chen X, Liu F, Lin S, Yu L, Lin R. Effects of virtual reality rehabilitation training on cognitive function and activities of daily living of patients with poststroke cognitive impairment: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2022;103(7):1422-35.
 30. De Luca R, Russo M, Naro A, Tomasello P, Leonardi S, Santamaria F, et al. Effects of virtual reality-based training with BTs-Nirvana on functional recovery in stroke patients: preliminary considerations. *Int J Neurosci*. 2018;128(9):791-6.
 31. Lumma AL, Valk SL, Böckler A, Vrtička P, Singer T. Change in emotional self-concept following socio-cognitive training relates to structural plasticity of the prefrontal cortex. *Brain Behav*. 2018;8(4):e00940.
 32. Castrén E, Antila H. Neuronal plasticity and neurotrophic factors in drug responses. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2017;22(8):1085-95.
 33. Kou Z, Iraj A. Imaging brain plasticity after trauma. *Neural Regen Res*. 2014;9(7):693-700.
 34. De Luca R, Lo Buono V, Leo A, Russo M, Aragona B, Leonardi S, et al. Use of virtual reality in improving poststroke neglect: Promising neuropsychological and neurophysiological findings from a case study. *App Neuropsychol Adult*. 2019;26(1):96-100.
 35. Schuster-Amft C, Henneke A, Hartog-Keisker B, Holper L, Siekierka E, Chevri er E, et al. Intensive virtual reality-based training for upper limb motor function in chronic stroke: a feasibility study using a single case experimental design and fMRI. *Disabil Rehabil Assist Technol*. 2015;10(5):385-92.
 36. De Luca R, Leonardi S, Spadaro L, Russo M, Aragona B, Torrisi M, et al. Improving Cognitive Function in Patients with Stroke: Can Computerized Training Be the Future? *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Diseases*. 2018;27(4):1055-60.
 37. Maggio MG, De Luca R, Maresca G, Di Lorenzo G, Latella D, Calabro RS, et al. Personal computer-based cognitive training in Parkinson's disease: a case study. *Psychogeriatrics*. 2018;18(5):427-9.
 38. Carrieri M, Petracca A, Lancia S, Basso Moro S, Brigadoi S, Spezialetti M, et al. Prefrontal Cortex Activation Upon a Demanding Virtual Hand-Controlled Task: A New Frontier for Neuroergonomics. *Front Hum Neurosci*. 2016;10:53.
 39. Schindler A, Bartels A. Parietal cortex codes for egocentric space beyond the field of view. *Curr Biol*. 2013;23(2):177-82.
 40. Ammatuna G, Changcoco R. Which trends will most affect talent developers in the healthcare industry. Who is doing the training and how it's delivered is changing. *TD Magazine*. 2017;71(4):60.
 41. Alqahtani AS, Daghestani LF, Ibrahim LF. Environments and system types of virtual reality technology in STEM: A survey. *Int J Adv Compu Sci Appl*. 2017;8(6).
 42. Mihelj M, Novak D, Beguš S. Virtual reality technology and applications. Springer, Dordrecht, 2014
 43. Mandal S. Brief introduction of virtual reality & its challenges. *Int J Sci Eng Res*. 2013;4(4):304-9.
 44. Manuli A, Maggio MG, Latella D, Cannavò A, Balletta T, De

- Luca R, et al. Can robotic gait rehabilitation plus Virtual Reality affect cognitive and behavioural outcomes in patients with chronic stroke? A randomized controlled trial involving three different protocols. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis.* 2020;29(8):104994.
45. Bauer ACM, Andringa G. The Potential of Immersive Virtual Reality for Cognitive Training in Elderly. *Gerontology.* 2020;66(6):614-23.
46. Kleim JA, Jones TA. Principles of experience-dependent neural plasticity: implications for rehabilitation after brain damage. *J Speech Lang Hear Res.* 2008;51(1):S225-39.
47. Zhang B, Wong K-P, Qin J. Effects of Virtual Reality on the Limb Motor Function, Balance, Gait, and Daily Function of Patients with Stroke: Systematic Review. *Medicina.* 2023;59(4):813.
48. Brunner I, Skouen JS, Hofstad H, Aßmuss J, Becker F, Pallesen H, et al. Is upper limb virtual reality training more intensive than conventional training for patients in the subacute phase after stroke? An analysis of treatment intensity and content. *BMC Neurol.* 2016;16(1):1-7.
49. Zhang B, Li D, Liu Y, Wang J, Xiao Q. Virtual reality for limb motor function, balance, gait, cognition and daily function of stroke patients: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Adv Nurs.* 2021;77(8):3255-73.
50. Wiley E, Khattab S, Tang A. Examining the effect of virtual reality therapy on cognition post-stroke: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Disabil Rehabil Assist Technol.* 2022;17(1):50-60.
51. Aminov A, Rogers JM, Middleton S, Caeyenberghs K, Wilson PH. What do randomized controlled trials say about virtual rehabilitation in stroke? A systematic literature review and meta-analysis of upper-limb and cognitive outcomes. *J Neuroeng Rehabil.* 2018;15(1):29.
52. Xiao Z, Wang Z, Ge S, Zhong Y, Zhang W. Rehabilitation efficacy comparison of virtual reality technology and computer-assisted cognitive rehabilitation in patients with post-stroke cognitive impairment: A network meta-analysis. *J Clin Neurosci.* 2022;103:85-91.
53. Zhu S, Sui Y, Shen Y, Zhu Y, Ali N, Guo C, et al. Effects of Virtual Reality Intervention on Cognition and Motor Function in Older Adults With Mild Cognitive Impairment or Dementia: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Front Aging Neurosci.* 2021;13:586999.
54. Faria AL, Andrade A, Soares L. Benefits of virtual reality based cognitive rehabilitation through simulated activities of daily living: a randomized controlled trial with stroke patients. *J Neuroeng Rehabil.* 2016;13(1):1-12.
55. Faria AL, Pinho MS, Bermúdez i Badia S. A comparison of two personalization and adaptive cognitive rehabilitation approaches: a randomized controlled trial with chronic stroke patients. *J Neuroeng Rehabil.* 2020;17:1-15.
56. Kim BR, Chun MH, Kim LS, Park JY. Effect of virtual reality on cognition in stroke patients. *Ann Rehabil Med.* 2011;35(4):450-9.
57. Liu Z, He Z, Yuan J, Lin H, Fu C, Zhang Y, et al. Application of immersive virtual-reality-based puzzle games in elderly patients with post-stroke cognitive impairment: a pilot study. *Brain Sci.* 2022;13(1):79.
58. Park M, Ha Y, editors. *Effects of Virtual Reality-Based Cognitive Rehabilitation in Stroke Patients: A Randomized Controlled Trial.* Healthcare; 2023: MDPI.
59. Rose Sin Yi L, Jing Jing S, Hammoda AO, Jonathan B, Ladislav B, Jing Q. Effects of virtual reality-based cognitive interventions on cognitive function and activity of daily living among stroke patients: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Clin Nurs.* 2024.
60. Khan A, Podlasek A, Somaa F. Virtual reality in post-stroke neurorehabilitation—a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Top Stroke Rehabil.* 2023;30(1):53-72.
61. Sofroniew NJ, Vlasov YA, Hires SA, Freeman J, Svoboda K. Neural coding in barrel cortex during whisker-guided locomotion. *eLife.* 2015;4.
62. Bagce HF, Saleh S, Adamovich SV, Tunik E. Visuomotor gain distortion alters online motor performance and enhances primary motor cortex excitability in patients with stroke. *Neuromodulation.* 2012;15(4):361-6.
63. Pedreira da Fonseca E, da Silva Ribeiro NM, Pinto EB. Therapeutic Effect of Virtual Reality on Post-Stroke Patients: Randomized Clinical Trial. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis.* 2017;26(1):94-100.
64. Saleh S, Adamovich SV, Tunik E. Mirrored feedback in chronic stroke: recruitment and effective connectivity of ipsilesional sensorimotor networks. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair.* 2014;28(4):344-54.
65. Muratori LM, Lamberg EM, Quinn L, Duff SV. Applying principles of motor learning and control to upper extremity rehabilitation. *J Hand Ther.* 2013;26(2):94-102; quiz 3.
66. Gamito P, Oliveira J, Santos N, Pacheco J, Morais D, Saraiva T, et al. Virtual exercises to promote cognitive recovery in stroke patients: the comparison between head mounted displays versus screen exposure methods. *Int J Disabil Hum Dev.* 2014;13(3):337-42.
67. Laver KE, Lange B, George S, Deutsch JE, Saposnik G, Crotty M. Virtual reality for stroke rehabilitation. *Cochrane database of Sys Rev.* 2017(11).
68. Winstein CJ, Wolf SL, Dromerick AW, Lane CJ, Nelsen MA, Lewthwaite R, et al. Effect of a Task-Oriented Rehabilitation Program on Upper Extremity Recovery Following Motor Stroke: The ICARE Randomized Clinical Trial. *Jama.* 2016;315(6):571-81.
69. Qu Y, Zhuo L, Li N, Hu Y, Chen W, Zhou Y, et al. Prevalence of post-stroke cognitive impairment in china: a community-based, cross-sectional study. *PLoS One.* 2015;10(4):e0122864.