

e-ISSN 2148-3159

HEALTH SCIENCES

RESEARCH ARTICLE

ANORMAL UTERİN KANAMASI OLAN KADINLARDA HİSTEROSKOPİK VE PATOLOJİK BULGULARIN DEĞERLENDİRİLMESİ

*Ufuk ATLIHAN, 100000-0002-2109-1373 Begüm ERTAN, 100000-0002-0370-7509 Eyüp ÖZGÖZEN, 100000-0003-3395-3222 Mehmet GÜNEY, 100000-0002-2184-9025

Geliş Tarihi/Received 18.02.2024 Kabul Tarihi/Accepted 17.03.2024 Yayın Tarihi/Published 30.04.2024

Correspondence: Ufuk Atlıhan, Private Karataş Hospital, İzmir, Turkey, cfl.ufuk@gmail.com

ÖZET

Amaç: Anormal uterin kanama (AUK) genellikle iyi huylu patolojilerin belirtisi olmakla birlikte endometriyal hiperplazi veya kanserlerin de en sık görülen semptomu olarak karşımıza çıkabilir. Histeroskopi bu patolojilerin doğrudan görüntülenmesine olanak sağladığından büyük bir teşhis doğruluğuna sahiptir. Çalışmamızda, Anormal uterin kanaması olup histeroskopi ve biyopsi yapılan kadınların histeroskopik bulgularını ve histopatolojik sonuçlarının değerlendirmeyi amaçladık.

Materyal-Metod: Çalışmamızdan Ocak 2018-2023 tarihlerin arasından hastanemize başvuranı ve anormali uterin kanama tanısı koyulanı 2440 hasta retrospektif olarak değerlendirilmiştir. Hastaların demografik özellikleri hastane veritabanından elde edilmiştir. Histeroskopiler tercihen adet döngüsünün erken foliküler fazında kanamanın olmadığı veya çok az kanamanın olduğu dönemde gerçekleştirildi.

Bulgular: AUK nedeniyle histereskopi yapılan hastalarda histopatolojik tanı doğrulanmadan önce elde edilen bulgular değerlendirildiğinde 1320(%54) hastada herhangi bir intrauterin patoloji görülmedi. Çalışma grubundaki 890(%36,4) hastada Endometrial polip saptanmış olup, en sık bildirilen histeroskopik bulgu olarak not edilmiştir. 115(%5,1) hastada leiomyom, 70(%2,8) hastada endometriyal hiperplazi, 25(%1) hastada intrauterin araç (RİA) kaybı, 15(%0,6) hastada intrauterin adezyon, 5(%0,2) hastada endometrium kanseri saptanmıştır. AUK nedeniyle histeroskopi yapılan hastalarda histopatolojik olarak elde edilen sonuçlar değerlendirildiğinde 1390(%56,9) hastada herhangi bir intrauterin patoloji görülmedi. 830(%34) hastada Endometrial polip saptanmış olup, en sık bildirilen histopatolojik bulgu olarak not edilmiştir. Çalışma grubundaki 110(%4,5) hastada leiomyom, 65(%2,6) hastada endometriyal hiperplazi, 25(%1) hastada intrauterin araç kaybı, 15(%0,6) hastada intrauterin adezyon, 5 (%0,2) hastada endometriyal hiperplazi, 25(%1) hastada intrauterin

Sonuç: Genel bir değerlendirme için histeroskopi premenopozal kadınlarda önemli bir araçtır. Histeroskopik bulgular ve histopatolojik tanılar deneyimli ellerde iyi bir korelasyon gösterebilir.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Anormal Uterin Kanama, Histereskopi, Küretaj

EVALUATION OF HYSTEROSCOPIC AND PATHOLOGICAL FINDINGS IN WOMEN WITH ABNORMAL UTERINE BLEEDING

Abstract

Objective: Although abnormal uterine bleeding (AUB) is generally a symptom of benign pathologies. It may also be the most common symptom of endometrial hyperplasia (EH) or cancer. Hysteroscopy (H/S) has great diagnostic accuracy because it allows direct visualization of these pathologies. In our study, we aimed to evaluate the hysteroscopic findings and histopathological results of women with abnormal uterine bleeding who underwent hysteroscopy and biopsy.

Materials & Method: From our study, 2440 patients who were admitted to our hospital between January 2018-2023 and were diagnosed with AUB were evaluated retrospectively. Demographic characteristics of the patients were obtained from the hospital database. H/S were preferably performed in the early follicular phase of the menstrual cycle when there was no or very little bleeding.

Results: When the findings obtained before confirming the histopathological diagnosis in patients who underwent hysteroscopy because of AUB were evaluated, no intrauterine pathology was observed in 1320 (54%) patients. Endometrial polyp was detected in 890 (36.4%) patients in the study group and was noted as the most frequently reported hysteroscopic finding. Leiomyoma in 115 (5.1%) patients, EH in 70(2.8%) patients, intrauterine device (IUD) loss in 25 (1%) patients, intrauterine adhesion in 15 (0.6%) patients, and Endometrial Cancer was detected in 5 (%0.2) patients. When the histopathological results were evaluated in patients who underwent hysteroscopy because of AUB, no intrauterine pathology was observed in 1390 (56.9%) patients. Endometrial polyp was detected in 830 (34%) patients and was noted as the most frequently reported histopathological finding. In the study group, 110 (4.5%) patients had leiomyoma, 65 (2.6%) had EH, 25 (1%) had IUD loss, 15 (0.6%) had intrauterine adhesion, 5 (0.2%) patients had Endometrial Cancer.

Conclusion: For a general evaluation, H/S is an important tool in premenopausal women. Hysteroscopic findings and histopathological diagnoses may show a good correlation in experienced hands.

Keywords: Abnormal Uterine Bleeding, Curettage, Hysteroscopy

1. INTRODUCTION

Although Abnormal Uterine Bleeding (AUB) is a symptom of benign pathologies in general, it can also present as the most common symptom of Endometrial Hyperplasia or cancer. AUB is considered an important gynecological problem affecting women of all ages (1). AUB causes social, economic, and psychological problems with anemia and fatigue. The method of detecting abnormal menstrual function is based on the understanding of the physiological mechanisms involved in the regulation of the normal cycle. Menstrual cycle is a hormone-controlled process functioning on the basis of the hypothalamic-pituitary-ovarian axis and manifests itself with histological changes in the endometrium (2). The length of the menstrual cycle is determined by the rate and quality of follicular growth and development, and it is normal if it varies among patients (3-4). Approximately 20% of women presenting with Abnormal Uterine Bleeding are adolescents, 50% are premenopausal and postmenopausal women, and 30% are in the reproductive period (5-7). The cause of abnormal

uterine bleeding was determined to be pathological endometrial polyp or branch leiomyoma because of the tight uterus during the reproductive years (8, 9). Pathological factors such as Leiomyoma, Endometrial Polyp, Endometrial Hyperplasia, and Endometrial Cancer are among the causes of complaints in perimenopausal women (9, 10). The traditional method used in the evaluation of Abnormal Uterine Bleeding is based on pathological examination of the material obtained by endometrial curettage (11, 12). Stock and Kanbour performed endometrial curettage on patients who underwent hysterectomy and found that less than 75% of the cavity underwent curettage in 84% of the patients, less than 50% of the cavity in 60%, and less than 25% of the cavity in 16% (13). Stovall, on the other hand, showed that dilation and curettage during the prehysterectomy process missed 6% of malignant lesions (14). Focal anomalies such as Submucous Myomas, Endometrial Polyps, and Adenocarcinoma can be missed with endometrial curettage (13, 14). Intrauterine pathology was detected in more than 50% of premenopausal women who underwent hysteroscopy because of menstrual irregularity or infertility, which is much higher than that obtained with endometrial curettage (15). Hysteroscopy is used as a safe endoscopic technique in the diagnosis and treatment of uterine cavity pathologies in gynecology (16, 17). It has great diagnostic accuracy since it allows direct visualization of possible pathologies. Diagnostic and simple operative hysteroscopy can be performed in the clinic without any anesthesia or analgesia (18). Also, hysteroscopy reduces hospital stay, morbidity and healthcare costs (19). Hysteroscopy with guided biopsy has become the "gold standard" in the diagnosis of endometrial pathologies in patients who have Abnormal Uterine Bleeding (20, 21). In the present study, the purpose was to evaluate the hysteroscopic findings and histopathological results of women who had Abnormal Uterine Bleeding who underwent hysteroscopy and biopsy.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A total of 2440 patients who were admitted to our hospital between January 2018 and January 2023 and were diagnosed with Abnormal Uterine Bleeding were evaluated retrospectively in the present study. The demographic and reproductive characteristics of the patients were obtained from patient files and hospital database. Hysteroscopies were performed by using a 4-mm Karl-Storz Telescope that had saline in a distension environment. Hysteroscopies were preferably performed in the early follicular phase of the menstrual cycle when there was no or very little bleeding. All diagnostic hysteroscopies were performed under anesthesia and antibiotics were administered before or after the procedure. If the hysteroscopic appearance was normal, histological samples of the endometrium or lesions

were obtained with endometrial curettage. If the hysteroscopic appearance was abnormal, it was surgically removed with a hysteroscopic resectoscope. Hysteroscopic findings were defined based on the appearance of the surface of the uterine cavity before the biopsy. The histopathological result was considered the definitive diagnosis, and a standard histopathological criterion was used. Hysteroscopic findings and histopathological results were classified as Normal, Endometrial Polyp, Submucous Myoma, Endometrial Hyperplasia, Endometrial Cancer, Intrauterine Device Loss, and Adhesion. Our study was started after receiving Ethics Committee approval from our hospital, numbered 2023/39-11, dated 06/12/23. The study was conducted in accordance with the Principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. An Informed Consent Form was obtained from the patients and the rules regarding animal rights were followed in the present study. Qualitative data were presented as numbers and percentages (%). The study data were statistically analyzed by using the SPSS version 20 (IBM SPSS Statistics, IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA).

3. RESULTS

Hysteroscopy was performed to evaluate the uterine cavity in 2440 patients of reproductive age and diagnosed with abnormal uterine bleeding, and endometrial histopathological sampling was performed peroperatively in the study. The average age of these women was 34 (between 21 and 53). A total of 1020 (41.8%) patients were nulliparous in the study group, 420 (17.2%) patients were primiparous, and 980 (40%) patients were multiparous. The Body Mass Index of 1900 (77.8%) patients was found to be < 25 kg/m² in the study group, 320 (13.1%) patients had BMI between 25-30 kg/m², and 220 (9%) patients had BMI >30 kg/m². The average endometrial thickness that was measured by Transvaginal Ultrasonography was found to be 10.8 mm, and the measured values were found to be between 5-22 mm (Table 1).

	n - (%)
Nulliparous	1020- (41.8%)
Primiparous	420 - (17.2%)
Multiparous	980 - (40%)
$BMI < 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$	1900 - (77.8%)
BMI 25-30 kg/m ²	320 - (13.1%)
$BMI > 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$	220 - (9%)
Endometrial Thickness (mm)	10.8 - (5-22)

Table 1: The Demographic and Clinical Data of the Patients with Abnormal Uterine

 Bleeding

The most common complaint of the patients who were included in the study was found to be menometrorrhagia (29.5%), the second most common complaint was menorrhagia, and the least common complaint was oligomenorrhea (3.75%) (Table 2).

Table 2: The Symptoms in the Patients with Abnormal Uterine Bleeding

720 - (29.5%) 630 - (25.8%)
630 - (25.8%)
480 - (19.6%)
370 - (15.1%)
150 - (6.25%)
90 - (3.75%)

When the findings obtained before the histological diagnosis was confirmed in patients who underwent hysteroscopy because of Abnormal Uterine Bleeding were evaluated, no intrauterine pathology was detected in 1320 (54%) patients. Endometrial polyp was detected in 890 (36.4%) patients and was noted as the most frequently reported hysteroscopic finding in the study group. A total of 115 (5.1%) patients had uterine myoma, 70 (2.8%) had Endometrial Hyperplasia, 25 (1%) had Intrauterine Device (IUD) loss, 15 (0.6%) had Intrauterine Adhesion, 5 (0.2%) had Endometrial Cancer (Table 3).

Results	n - (%)
Normal	1320 - (54%)
Endometrial Polyp	890 - (36.4%)
Submucous Myoma	115 - (5.1%)
Endometrial Hyperplasia	70 - (2.8%)
Intrauterine Device Loss	25 - (1%)
Adhesion	15 - (0.6%)
Endometrial Cancer	5 - (0.2%)

Table 3: The Hysteroscopic Findings of Patients with Abnormal Uterine Bleeding

When the histopathological results of the patients who underwent hysteroscopy because of abnormal uterine bleeding were evaluated, no intrauterine pathology was detected in 1390 (56.9%) patients. Endometrial Polyp was detected in 830 (34%) patients and was noted as the most frequently reported histopathological finding in the study group. A total of 110 (4.5%) patients had Uterine Myoma, 65 (2.6%) had Endometrial Hyperplasia, 25 (1%) had Intrauterine Device (IUD) loss, 15 (0.6%) had Intrauterine Adhesion, and Endometrial Cancer was detected in 5 (0.2%) (Table 4).

Results	n - (%)
Normal	1390 - (56.9%)
Endometrial Polyp	830 - (34%)
Submucous Myoma	110 - (4.5%)
Endometrial Hyperplasia	65 - (2.6%)
Intrauterine Device Loss	25 - (1%)
Adhesion	15 - (0.6%)
Endometrial Cancer	5 - (0.2%)

Table 4: The Histopathological Findings of Patients with Abnormal Uterine Bleeding

When office hysteroscopy and histopathology results were compared, endometrial polyp was detected in 12 patients and endometrial hyperplasia in 8 patients in the biopsy of the patients in whom endometrial pathology was not detected by office hysteroscopy. Endometrial Hyperplasia was detected in 6 patients in the endometrial biopsy of the patients who had Endometrial Polyps detected during hysteroscopy. Endometrial Polyps were detected in 5 patients in the endometrial biopsy of the patients whose office hysteroscopy detected submucous myoma.

4. DISCUSSION

Abnormal Uterine Bleeding makes up 69% of the complaints that require gynecological referral when perimenopausal and postmenopausal age groups are taken into account altogether (22). The advances in noninvasive or invasive diagnostic techniques have now led to the beginning of an important era in the evaluation of abnormal uterine bleeding (23). The most commonly used procedure for sampling the endometrial tissue for histopathological evaluation is gynecological curettage (24). In a study conducted with 13.592 cases by Grimes, it was reported that dilatation and curettage should not be the primary procedure because of sampling of the endometrium (7). Hysteroscopy is becoming increasingly important in modern gynecology with technical developments and the diagnosis and treatment of intrauterine problems (25). Today, Hysteroscopy is preferred over dilatation.

curettage because it allows direct visualization of the endometrial cavity and does not require biopsy in suspected cases. Diagnostic Hysteroscopy has become the "gold standard" for the diagnosis of endometrial pathologies in patients who have Abnormal Uterine Bleeding (20, 21). It has been used widely for years because it is easy, safe and has a low complication rate. Abnormal Uterine Bleeding is considered the most common hysteroscopy indication in the literature (26, 27). The results of premenopausal patients who complained of abnormal uterine bleeding and underwent hysteroscopy were analyzed in the present study, which was conducted with 2440 patients. The most common hysteroscopic and histopathological result was found to be normal endometrium in the present study, which was found to be compatible with the literature data (26, 28). In previous studies, unlike our study, postmenopausal patients were also included (26, 28). However, in a similar study, contrary to our findings, data were reported showing a high rate of endometrial pathologies (29). In our study, the most common pathology detected after normal findings was Endometrial Polyp, but Submucous Myomas were found to be the most important finding in the premenopausal period in another study (30). The incidence of endometrial pathology, which is reported to be between 9.1-72.8% in the literature, was found to be 43.1% in the present study (29, 32). Lasmar et al. reported that the most common hysteroscopic finding was Endometrial Polyp with a rate of 33.6%, and the frequency of occurrence was 27.5% after histopathological diagnosis (26). The incidence of Endometrial Polyps decreased from 36.4% to 30% after histopathological diagnosis in our study. Although Endometrial Polyps are easily diagnosed and treated with Hysteroscopy, the presence of polyps might increase the risk of missing Hyperplasia (33). De wit et al. recommended that biopsy be definitely performed in these patients. We used the same routine biopsy procedure in our patient series (33). The incidence of Myoma Uteri was 4.5% in our study, and there are studies in the literature reporting higher and lower rates (33, 35). These different results may be associated with patient selection criteria and the retrospective design of studies. A possible explanation in studies where the incidence of Submucous Myoma was reported low may be that patients with Abnormal Uterine Bleeding require Hysterectomy before Hysteroscopy. When compared to other studies in the literature, there are publications reporting the presence of malignancy but some report that it was not detected. Endometrial Cancer was detected in 5 (0.2%) patients in the present study (34, 35). Although Intrauterine Device Loss was 1% in premenopausal women with abnormal uterine bleeding in our study, in the study of Guin et al. conducted in India, a 7% rate of Intrauterine Device Loss was reported (34). The incidence of Endometrial Hyperplasia in women with Abnormal Uterine Bleeding varied between 3.2-30% (33-35). Our incidence of Endometrial Hyperplasia was lower than the literature data (2.6%). The reason for this might be that the incidence of premalignant and malignant conditions increases as patients become older. Our Endometrial Hyperplasia frequency was found to be lower than the literature data because we excluded postmenopausal patients. However, patients who had excessive bleeding or emergency curettage might have caused that this rate was lower in our study. This factor might explain the very low incidence of Endometrial Hyperplasia and can also be considered an important limitation of the present study. The retrospective design and interobserver differences in Hysteroscopy may be considered other disadvantages of our study. Unlike the literature data, including only premenopausal women in the study may be an advantage.

5. CONCLUSION

For a general evaluation, hysteroscopy is considered an important diagnostic tool for premenopausal women. Hysteroscopic findings and histopathological diagnoses may show a good correlation in experienced hands. However, future prospective studies are required to establish such a correlation, especially in premalignant and malignant cases.

REFERENCES

1. Munro MG, Critchley HOD, Fraser IS, Haththotuwa R, Kriplani A, Bahamondes L et al. The two FIGO systems for normal and abnormal uterine bleeding symptoms and classification of causes of abnormal uterine bleeding in the reproductive years: 2018 revisions. Int J Gynecol Obstet. 2018143:393-408.

2. Wieser F, Tempfer C, Kurz C, Nagele F. Hysteroscopy in 2001: a comprehensive review. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2001 Jan 180(9):773–83

3. Volman RF The menstrual cycle, in Freidman E,editor, Major problems in obstetrics and gynecology, WB Saunders Co., Philadelphia, 1977.

4. Treolar AE, Boynton RE, Borghild GB, Brown BW. Variation of the human menstrual cycle through reproductive life. Int J Fertil.196712:77

5. Shwayder JM. Pathophysiology of abnormal uterine bleeding. Obstet Gynecol Clin North Am. 2000 Jun 127(2):219–34.

6. Nesse RE. Abnormal vaginal bleeding in perimenopausal women. Am Fam Physician. 198940(1):185.

7. Grimes DA. Diagnostic dilation and curettage: A reappraisal. Am J Obstet Gynecol.1982 Jan 1142(1):1–6

8. Dijkhuizen FPHLJ, Brölmann HAM, Potters AE, Bongers MY, Heintz APM. The accuracy of transvaginal ultrasonography in the diagnosis of endometrial abnormalities. Obstet Gynecol. 1996 Mar 187(3):345–9.

9. Yela DA, Hidalgo SR, Pereira KC, Gabiatti JR, Monteiro IM. Comparative study of transvaginal sonography and outpatient hysteroscopy for the detection of intrauterine diseases. Acta Med Port. 201124:65–70

10. Gimpelson RJ, Rappold HO. A comparative study between panoramic hysteroscopy with directed biopsies and dilatation and curettage: A review of 276 cases. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1988 Mar 1158(3, Part 1):489–92

11. Ricci JU. Gynecologic surgery and instruments of the nineteenth century prior to the anntiseptic age. In the Development of Gynecologic Surgery and Instruments. Philedelphia Blakiston.1949:326-8

12. Word B, Gravlee LC, Widemon GL. The fallacy of simple uterine curettage. Obstet Gynecol 195812:642-5

13. Stock RJ, Kanbour A. Prehysterectomy curettage. Obstet Gynecol 197545:537-41

14. Stovall T, Solomon S, Ling V. Endometrial sampling prior to hysterectomy. Obstet Gynecol 198973:405-9

15. Nagele F, O'Connor H, Davies A, Badawy A, Mohamed H, Magos A. Obstet Gynecol 1996 88:87-92

16. Taylor PJ. Hysteroscopy: where have we been, where are we going? J Reprod Med. 199338:757-62.

17. Molloy D,Crosdale S.National trends in gynaecological endoscopic surgery. Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol. 199636:27-31.

18. Bettochi S, Nappi L, Ceci O, et al. Office hysteroscopy. Obstetrics and gynecology clinics of North America: advances in laparoscopy and hysteroscopy techniques, Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders Company 2004. p. 641–54.

19. Serden S. Diagnostic hysteroscopy to evaluate the cause of abnormal uterine bleeding. Obstet Gynecol Clin North Am 200027:277–86

20. Tsai MC, Goldstein SR. Office diagnosis and management of abnormal uterine bleeding.Clin Obstet Gynecol. 2012 55:635-50.

21. Emanuel MH. New developments in hysteroscopy. Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol. 2013 27:421-9

22. Emanuel MH, Verdel MJC, Stas H, Wamsteker K, Lammes FB. An audit of true prevalence of intra-uterine pathology: the hysteroscopical findings controlled for patient selection in 1202 patients with abnormal uterine bleeding. Gynaecol Endosc. 19954:237-41.

23. Jennigs JC. Abnormal uterine bleeding. Med Clin North Am. 199579:1357-76.

24. Hemalatha AN, Pai MR, Raghuveer CV. Endometrial aspiration cytology by various techniques. J Indian Med Assoc. 2011109:426-7.

25. Itzkowic D. Hysteroscopy. Its place in modern gynaecology. Aust Fam Physician. 199221:425-9.

26. Lasmar RB, Dias R, Barrozo PR, Oliveira MA, Coutinho Eda S, da Rosa DB.Prevalence of hysteroscopic findings and histologic diagnoses in patients with abnormal uterine bleeding. Fertil Steril. 200889:1803-7

27. Mettler L,Wendland EM, Patel P, Caballero R, Schollmeyer T. Hysteroscopy: an analysis of 2-year experience. JSLS 20026:195–7

28. Baggish MS, Barbot J. Contact hysteroscopy. Clin Obstet Gynecol. 198326:219–41

29. Preuttipan S,Linasmita V,Theppisiai U. Diagnostic hysteroscopy: a result of 125 patient AT Ramathudbodi Hoapital. J Med Assoc Thai. 199780:575-9.

30. Kulkarni S,Wynter HH. Diagnistic hysteroscopy. West Indian Med J. 199241:160-1.

31. Hamou JE. Microhysteroscopy: a new procedure and its original applications in gynecology. J Reprod Med. 1981 26:375–82.

32. Pasqualotto EB, Margossian H, Price LL, et al. Accuracy of preoperative diagnostic tools and outcome of hysteroscopic management of menstrual dysfunction. J Am Assoc Gynecol Laparosc. 20007:201–9

33. de Wit AC, Vleugels MP, de Kruif JH. Diagnostic hysteroscopy: a valuable diagnostic tool in the diagnosis of structural intra-cavital pathology and endometrial hyperplasia or carcinoma?. Six years of experience with non-clinical diagnostic hysteroscopy. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2003 10110:79-82.

34. Guin G, Sandhu SK, Lele A, Khare S.Hysteroscopy in evaluation of abnormal uterine bleeding. J Obstet Gynaecol India. 2011 61:546-9.

35. Iossa A, Coanferoni L,Ciatto S, Cecchini S, Campatelli C, Lo Stumbo F. Hysteroscopy and endometrial cancer dianosis: a reciew of 2007 consecutive examinations in self referred parients. Tumori. 199177:479-83.