



Exploring the Dynamics of Hamstring Strength in Volleyball: a Positional Perspective Through a Cross-sectional Lens

Cağlar SOYLU^{1A}, Emre ALTUNDAĞ^{2B}

¹ Health Sciences University, Gülhane Faculty of Physical Therapy and Rehabilitation, Ankara, TÜRKİYE

² Kütahya Dumlupınar University, Faculty of Sport Sciences, Kütahya, TÜRKİYE

Address Correspondence to Çağlar SOYLU: e-mail: caglar.soylu@sbu.edu.tr

Conflicts of Interest: The author(s) has no conflict of interest to declare.

Copyright & License: Authors publishing with the journal retain the copyright to their work licensed under the CC BY-NC 4.0.

Ethical Statement: It is declared that scientific and ethical principles have been followed while carrying out and writing this study and that all the sources used have been properly cited.

(Date Of Received): 10.03.2024 (Date of Acceptance): 07.07.2024 (Date of Publication): 31.08.2024

A: Orcid ID: 0000-0002-1524-6295 B Orcid ID: 0000-0002-7010-5065

Abstract

No previous studies have examined the isolated eccentric hamstring strength according to the playing positions of volleyball players, but there are a few studies evaluating hamstring muscle strength based on playing positions. The aim of this study was to compare the eccentric hamstring muscle strength levels of elite volleyball players according to their playing positions. Elite volleyball players (n=31 female and n=32 male) aged between 18-35 who participated in the 2022-2023 Turkish Volleyball 1st League season and followed a similar training program were included in the study voluntarily. Sixty-three volleyball players were categorized as libero (n=5 female and n=6 male), middle player (n=8 female and n=8 male), opposite (n=4 female and n=6 male), setter (n=6 female and n=4 male), and spiker (n=7 female and n=9 male). Eccentric hamstring muscle strength was assessed during the Nordic Hamstring Exercise (NHE) using the IVMES H-Bord (IVMES, Ankara, Turkey) device. The comparison of the eccentric hamstring strength of the volleyball players according to their positions revealed no statistically significant difference between the maximum and mean eccentric hamstring muscle strengths and muscle strength differences for both female (F=0.403-5.331; p=0.255-0.982) and male (F=4.167-6.985; p=0.137-0.384) athletes. Additionally, there was no significant difference between male and female athletes in terms of mean and maximum eccentric hamstring muscle strength and muscle strength differences based on their positions (F=0.001-3.823; p=0.055-0.972). Our study found that the eccentric hamstring strength and bilateral strength difference percentages of both male and female volleyball players did not differ according to their positions. The fact that the eccentric hamstring strength levels of volleyball players did not differ according to positions in previous studies and in our study is thought to be related to the evolving structure of volleyball.

Keywords: Eccentric muscle strength, hamstring, playing position, volleyball.

Voleybolda Hamstring Gücü Dinamiklerinin Araştırılması: Kesitsel Bir Mercekte Konumsal Bir Perspektif

Özet

Bilgimiz dahilinde literatürde izole eksenrik hamstring kuvvetini voleybol oyuncularının oyun pozisyonlarına göre inceleyen bir çalışma bulunmamakla birlikte, hamstring kas kuvvetini oyun pozisyonlarına göre değerlendiren az sayıda çalışma bulunmaktadır. Bu araştırmanın amacı, elit voleybolcuların eksenrik hamstring kas kuvvetlerinin oyun pozisyonlarına göre karşılaştırmaktır. Araştırmaya, 2022-2023 Türkiye Voleybol 1. Lig sezonunda yer alan, benzer

antrenman programına alınan 18-35 yaş aralığında 63 (n=31 kadın ve n=32 erkek) elit voleybol sporcusu gönüllü katılmıştır. Sporcular libero (n= 5 kadın ve n=6 erkek), orta oyuncu (n=8 kadın ve n=8 erkek), pasör çaprazı (n= 4 kadın ve n=6 erkek), pasör (n= 6 kadın ve n=4 erkek) ve smaçör (n=7 kadın ve n=9 erkek) olarak kategorize edildi. Sporcuların eksenrik hamstring kas kuvvetleri Nordic Hamstring egzersizi (NHE) sırasında IVMES H-Bord (IVMES, Ankara, Türkiye) kullanılarak yapıldı. Voleybolcuların pozisyonlarına göre eksenrik hamstring kuvvetleri karşılaştırıldığında, hem kadın (F=0.403-5.331; p=0.255-0.982) hem de erkek (F=4.167-6.985; p=0.137-0.384) sporcuların maksimum ve ortalama eksenrik hamstring kas kuvvetleri ve kas kuvveti farklılıkları arasında istatistiksel olarak anlamlı bir fark bulunmamıştır. Ayrıca, kadın ve erkek sporcular arasında ortalama ve maksimum eksenrik hamstring kas kuvveti ve pozisyonlarına göre kas kuvveti farklılıkları açısından anlamlı bir fark bulunmamıştır (F=0.001-3.823; p=0.055-0.972). Çalışmamızda hem kadın hem erkek voleybol oyuncuların eksenrik hamstring kuvvetleri ve iki taraf arası kuvvet farklılık yüzdeleri mevkilere göre farklılık göstermediği bulundu. Geçmiş araştırmalarda ve araştırmamızda, voleybolcuların eksenrik hamstring kuvvet düzeylerinin mevkilere göre farklılık göstermemesi, voleybolun değişen yapısı ile ilgili olduğu düşünülmektedir.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Eksenrik kas kuvveti, hamstring, oyun pozisyonu, voleybol.

INTRODUCTION

Hamstrings are the muscle group with the highest injury rate and risk. Hamstring injuries constitute a significant portion of sports-related muscle injuries, accounting for 54.4% of such injuries (1). This high incidence rate underscores the vulnerability of the hamstring muscles, particularly in activities that involve high-intensity running and sudden accelerations or decelerations. The prevalence of hamstring injuries has a substantial impact on athletes, often causing them to be sidelined for extended periods and resulting in decreased performance across various sports disciplines. Hamstring muscle injuries (HMI) are especially common in running-based sports such as athletics, football, American and Australian football, and rugby (1, 2). The ramifications of these injuries extend beyond the athletic arena, contributing to increased labor force losses and heightened costs for both sedentary individuals and sports clubs. This highlights the broader economic and performance-related consequences of hamstring injuries, emphasizing the need for effective prevention and rehabilitation strategies (3). In order to prevent HMI, it is important to understand why they occur so that an appropriate approach targeting specific risk factors can be developed. Among the factors that lead to HMI are advanced age, history of hamstring injury, decreased eccentric hamstring muscle strength, imbalance in the ratio of hamstring muscle strength to quadriceps muscle strength, delay in hamstring reaction time leading to muscle fatigue, poor lumbopelvic stability, and impaired hamstring muscle architecture (3, 4).

Eccentric knee flexor muscle weakness is arguably the most frequently reported risk factor for HMI. Eccentric hamstring muscle strength deficits have been identified as a risk factor for recurrent HMI. This result suggests that weakness is a predisposing factor for both new and recurrent ACL. Therefore, the assessment of hamstring muscle eccentric strength at different stages of the season is considered a key strategy for the deliberate prevention of ACL (4, 5). The multidimensional role of hamstring strength in athletic performance is well understood and the direct contribution of hamstring strength to athletic skills such as sprinting and jumping has been demonstrated in several studies (6, 7). Hamstring strength also plays an important role in knee joint stabilisation and indirectly influences the qualities of agility manoeuvres such as acceleration, deceleration, changing direction and cutting (8). It has also been suggested that hamstring weakness increases the risk of ACL, which is a typical sports injury and occurs in sports such as athletics and volleyball that require high sprint speed and/or simultaneous excessive muscle tension (9). Low hamstring strength or inadequate activation is associated with non-contact anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injuries, which are particularly high in team sports such as football and volleyball. In particular, as a synergist of the ACL, the lateral hamstring muscle spans two joints and provides secondary protection, especially at smaller joint angles (10).

Vertical jumping is a very important skill in volleyball and is important during blocking, attacking and passing (11, 12). A high level of knee muscle strength is required during the take-off and landing phases of the vertical jump, which requires high torque and power in concentric and eccentric movements of the knee extensor and flexor muscles (13). During a volleyball player's career, an increase in the intensity and frequency of training may lead to acute and chronic injuries and athletes may quit the sport (14). These events can be explained by strength imbalances between muscle groups or between dominant and non-dominant limbs, which predispose the joint to instability and ligament overload (15-17). Therefore, it is important to measure knee muscle strength and determine agonist-antagonist muscle imbalances in preparing training guidelines to reduce the risk of injury and increase muscle strength (13, 18, 19). In many prospective studies in the

literature, the effects of eccentric and concentric knee flexor muscle strength on hamstring injury rates have been investigated (3, 20-23). However, most of these studies have been performed on footballers and studies in volleyball players are insufficient. To the best of our knowledge, there is no study comparing eccentric hamstring muscle strength in volleyball players according to their positions. In addition, this study was hypothesised that there would be a difference in eccentric hamstring strength parameters between dominant and non-dominant side legs of volleyball players according to their positions. In this context, the aim of this study was to compare the eccentric hamstring muscle strength of elite volleyball players according to their playing positions.

METHOD

In the study, 63 (n=31 female and n=32 male) elite volleyball players between the ages of 18-35 (n=31 female and n=32 male) participated voluntarily in a similar training programme in the Turkish Volleyball 1st League season. The athletes were categorized into their playing positions based on their roles and responsibilities within their respective teams. The categorization was as follows: libero (n=5 females and n=6 males), middle player (n=8 females and n=8 males), opposite (passer cross, n=4 females and n=6 males), setter (passer, n=6 females and n=4 males), and spiker (n=7 females and n=9 males). These positions were determined by the specific functions each athlete performed during matches and training sessions. Liberos are defensive specialists responsible for receiving serves and playing in the back row. Middle players, or middle blockers, are primarily responsible for blocking and quick attacks at the net. Opposites, or passers cross, play a versatile role, often involved in both offensive and defensive plays. Setters, or passers, are responsible for setting up offensive plays by delivering accurate sets to attackers. Spikers, or outside hitters, are the primary attackers on the team, responsible for scoring points through powerful hits. This categorization ensured that each player's position was accurately represented according to their specialized skills and duties on the court. The required sample size was calculated using G*Power (G*Power, Ver.3.0.10, Universitat Kiel, Germany) programme with $\alpha=0.05$, $\beta=0.20$ (Power 80%) and $f=0.40$ (effect size; $f>0.10$ small, >0.25 medium, >0.40 large) effect size and the number of participants was calculated as minimum 10 for each group (24, 25). The inclusion criteria were determined as being a professional volleyball athlete between the ages of 18-35 years, playing active and licensed sports for at least three years, not having any injury related to the lower extremity and hamstring in the last 3 months, not having any surgical operation in the lower extremity, and having regular participation in training during the study. Those who had any illness or injury that could affect performance in the last 6 months, those who had an injury that would keep them away from sports for more than 2 weeks in the last 3 months, and those who had Covid-19 in the last 6 months were not included.

Data Collection and Analysis

Sociodemographic data such as age, height, body weight, gender, dominant side, and years of sport were recorded. The dominant lower extremity was defined as the extremity in which the player takes the last step during jumping (26). It was found that 23.25% (n=10) of the athletes included in the study were left dominant and 76.25% (n=33) were right dominant. Body weight and body mass index were measured using a bioelectrical impedance analyser (Tanita 300 MA, Tanita Co., Tokyo-Japan) and height was measured using a stadiometer (SECA 213, Seca GmbH & Co. Kg, Hamburg, Germany). Eccentric hamstring muscle strength of the athletes was measured during Nordic hamstring exercise using iVMES H-BORD® [iVMES, Ankara, Turkey; Sampling Rate: 50 Hz (default) - 400 Hz, Capacity (per sensor): 1000 N / 100 kg, Safe Overload (per sensor): 1500 N / 150 kg, Maximum Overload (per sensor/2 sensors): 2000 N / 200 kg, Resolution: 1 N] measured with the device (Figure 1).



Figure 1: iVMES H-BORD®

Eccentric Hamstring Muscle Strength Measurement

Eccentric hamstring muscle strength of the athletes was measured during Nordic hamstring exercise (NHE) using IVMES H-Bord (IVMES, Ankara, Turkey) [Intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC)=0.90-0.97] (27). Before the test, NHE was performed at submaximal intensity with 1 set of 3 repetitions in order to understand and familiarise the movement. Nordic Hamstring exercise protocols were performed in eccentric mode with a maximum of 3 eccentric movements in 1 set. Rest was given for 2 minutes between each repetition. Each trial was recorded from the sagittal plane using a Canon XA35 camera at 50 Hz. The camera was placed on a stand fixed 3 m away from the participant and 0.5 m high (28). The angular changes required to determine the movement quality of each participant were calculated using reflective markers placed on the anatomical prominences of the greater trochanter, lateral femoral condyle and lateral malleolus, which have been reported in previous studies (9, 27). Measurements were performed with minimal clothing to prevent movement of the markers. Participants were placed in a kneeling position on the padded section of the device and each ankle was secured at the top of the lateral malleolus with separate supports. Participants were instructed to gradually lean forward from an upright position (90° knee flexion) at the slowest possible speed, maximally resisting the movement with both extremities, while keeping their trunk and hips in a neutral position with their hands on their chest. The NHE performance was completed at -30°-s-1 in accordance with previous studies (29, 30). If the participants were unable to meet the 30°-s-1 forward bending speed (as a result of video analyses), the NHE trials were repeated. The movement technique required participants to minimise hip flexion and lumbar lordosis throughout the repetitions to maintain a straight line from shoulder to knee. If participants showed a lack of control during landing or excessive hip movement during the repetition as a result of the video analyses, these repetitions were not included in the calculation. NHE trials in which hip flexion exceeded 20° at any time point and/or NHE trials with a mean forward bending velocity outside 20-40° s-1 were not included in the analyses. The values obtained from the device are maximum force, mean force and imbalance values within three repetitions for the right and left hamstring muscles, respectively.

Statistical Analysis

SPSS Version 26 (IBM SPSS® software, US) programme was used for statistical analysis of the data. The conformity of the data to normal distribution was evaluated visually and by Shapiro-Wilk test. Numerical variables were summarised as mean±standard deviation and categorical variables as frequency (percentage). In intergroup comparisons, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used for numerical variables and Pearson Chi-square test was used for categorical variables. The statistically significant level was set as $p < 0.05$.

Ethical Approval

Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the Non-Drug and Non-Medical Device Research Ethics Committee of KTO Karatay University on 31.03.2023, with the registration number 2023/006. Participants were informed about the purpose and content of the study, and a voluntary consent form was signed before the research procedure began. This study was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

FINDINGS

Table 1. Data on the comparison of the physical characteristics of the participants according to their positions

		Libero (n=11)	Middle player (n=16)	Opposite (n=10)	Setter (n= 10)	Wing Spiker (n=16)	Test statistics	
		Mean±Sd	Mean±Sd	Mean±Sd	Mean±Sd	Mean±Sd	F	p
Age (years)	M	18.0±0.70	18.7±1.48	20.0±6.06	21.2±7.36	22.8±6.09	0.577	0.681
	F	23.0±3.87	22.1±4.77	19.2±2.62	20.0±4.42	20.3±7.04		
Height (m)	M	1.90±0.04	1.89±0.03	1.84±0.03	1.81±0.04	1.90±0.02	0.778	0.312
	F	1.88±0.03	1.89±0.01	1.86±0.02	1.82±0.03	1.88±0.02		
Weight (kg)	M	77.20±3.96	71.75±6.96	71.00±0.90	66.25±5.31	74.90±7.13	0.782	0.215
	F	73.2 ±5.83	76.85±3.13	68.75±3.59	65.16±4.79	70.28±5.93		
BMI (kg/m ²)	M	21.32±0.20	19.95±1.55	20.87±0.75	20.09±1.10	20.53±1.73	0.378	0.789
	F	20.65±1.67	21.50±0.55	19.71±0.96	19.62±1.00	19.80±1.31		
Sport experience (years)	M	4.06±0.04	4.16±1.02	4.76±2.34	4.37±1.46	4.51±1.76	0.390	0.897
	F	3.86±1.11	4.44±0.97	4.53±1.87	4.41±1.51	4.22±1.64		
							X ²	p
D-Side (n)	Right	8	13	10	7	15	6.831	0.145
	Left	3	3	0	3	1		
Gender (n)	M	6	8	6	4	9	1.367	0.850
	F	5	8	4	6	7		

Mean±Sd: Mean±Standard deviation / F: ANOVA test, X² : Pearson Chi-square test, BMI: Body mass index, D: Dominant, M: Male, F: Female, m: meter, kg: kilogram

It was found that there was no significant difference in age, height, body weight, body mass index (BMI), years of sport and dominant extremity values of volleyball players according to their positions (Table.1).

Table 2: Hamstring strength comparison by player position

	Libero (n=11)	Middle player (n=16)	Opposite (n=10)	Setter (n= 10)	Wing Spiker (n=16)		Test statistics	
	Mean±Sd	Mean±Sd	Mean±Sd	Mean±Sd	Mean±Sd		F	p
Max HEcc	332.40±103.5	352.32±77.1	355.50±78.88	261.97±27.95	275.91±61.45	6.979	0.137	
D (N)	8	8						
	244.38±63.55	275.34±58.9	264.21±38.88	261.03±48.30	314.27±49.44	3.490	0.479	
		2						
F;p	3.201;0.111	0.851;0.373	0.485;0.506	1.532;0.251	0.064;0.804	0.173	0.679	
Max HEcc	331.04±106.1	321.96±78.2	339.70±65.36	256.05±26.45	265.09±65.96	6.985	0.137	
ND (N)	3	9						
	248.32±63.84	282.14±58.5	254.71±41.21	272.01±46.30	307.54±36.31	4.963	0.291	
		0						
F;p	1.664;0.233	2.374;0.147	0.537;0.485	1.548;0.249	0.129;0.724	0.080	0.778	
Mean HEcc	307.86±90.92	311.61±63.9	342.35±58.51	243.75±39.29	246.50±50.40	5.525	0.238	
D (N)		3						
	224.46±66.43	268.90±43.0	239.26±50.21	240.46±37.13	304.90±49.56	5.331	0.255	
		4						
F;p	0.009;0.926	1.890;0.192	0.614;0.456	0.890;0.373	1.415;0.252	0.001	0.972	
Mean HEcc	310.24±87.95	294.11±72.4	325.92±56.66	245.95±38.77	237.02±52.87	6.770	0.149	
ND (N))		8						
	220.02±74.15	257.44±39.5	236.05±52.11	257.71±52.54	280.65±41.91	3.229	0.520	
		4						
F;p	1.074;0.330	2.919;0.111	1.378;0.274	0.938;0.361	0.024;0.878	0.044	0.835	
Max	8.84±0.08	11.30±1.93	14.21±1.44	4.90±0.72	11.56±3.24	4.167	0.384	
difference								
(%)								
	9.56±0.25	7.61±0.43	5.15±0.24	8.70±1.80	8.64±1.33	0.403	0.982	
F;p	0.066;0.804	1.666;0.219	1.469;0.260	2.143;0.181	1.847;0.193	3.823	0.055	
Mean	7.72±0.67	10.23±1.63	13.58±1.86	3.75±0.30	9.97±1.98	4.670	0.323	
difference								
(%)								
	4.90±0.78	6.64±0.68	4.52±0.05	8.70±1.74	6.77±1.52	1.963	0.743	
F;p	2.625;0.144	0.008;0.931	1.505;0.255	3.533;0.097	0.002;0.963	0.985	0.325	

Max HEcc: Maximum eccentric hamstring strength, Mean HEcc: Average eccentric hamstring strength, D: Dominant side, ND: Non-dominant side, Max: Maximum, N: Newton, SD: Standard deviation, F: ANOVA test statistic, M: Male, F: Female, $p < 0.05$

As a result of the comparison of the eccentric hamstring strength of the volleyball players according to the positions, it was determined that there was no statistically significant difference between the maximum and mean eccentric hamstring muscle strengths and muscle strength differences of both female ($F=0.403-5.331; p=0.255-0.982$) and male ($F=4.167-6.985; p=0.137-0.384$) athletes. In addition, there was no significant difference between male and female athletes in terms of mean and maximum eccentric hamstring muscle strength and muscle strength differences according to their positions ($F=0.001-3.823; p=0.055-0.972$) (Table 2).

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

In this study, which aimed to compare the eccentric hamstring muscle strength levels of elite volleyball players according to their playing positions, it was found that there was no significant difference in terms of maximum and mean eccentric hamstring muscle strength of both male and female athletes according to their playing positions. To the best of our knowledge, no previous studies have examined the isolated eccentric hamstring strength of volleyball players based on their playing positions, although a few studies have evaluated hamstring muscle strength in relation to playing positions. Aka et al. (31) compared concentric quadriceps and hamstring isokinetic muscle strengths in volleyball players according to positions. As a result of the study, it was determined that there was no significant difference between the concentric quadriceps and hamstring muscle strengths of spikers, passers, and middle players at angular velocities of 60°s^{-1} and 180°s^{-1} .

In contrast to this data, Küçükbaycan et al. (32) reported that there was no difference between the leg muscle strengths of spikers and middle players before the preparation period, but after the preparation period, the leg strengths of middle players were significantly higher than those of spikers in their study in which they compared the leg muscle strengths of female volleyball players before and after the preparation period according to their positions. Margues et al. (33) found that there were serious anthropometric and strength differences between the playing positions of male volleyball players and squat performance of passers was lower than other volleyball players. When the studies conducted in different sports branches were examined, Yılmaz et al. (34) stated that defenders had higher knee flexion and extension muscle strengths at an angular velocity of $60^{\circ}\text{sec}^{-1}$ than forwards and midfielders in their study in which they compared isokinetic knee strengths according to positions in football players. Other studies comparing isokinetic knee strength in football players according to positions showed that defenders had higher strength ratios than forwards and midfielders (35,36). From this point of view, it was thought that the fact that defenders showed higher ratios at lower angular velocities compared to midfielders and forwards was the result of the fact that defenders had to move faster in the game to prevent opponent attacks and had to react instantaneously in defense. Tourny-Chollet et al. (36) reported that forwards had higher concentric hamstring muscle strength than center players. These differences were attributed to the higher forces produced by strikers at low and medium speeds during knee flexion. When the results of all these studies are analyzed, it is seen that there is no common opinion on strength comparisons between playing positions in existing studies, including our study. In addition, in these studies, comparisons were made according to concentric quadriceps and hamstring strengths. In our study, maximum and mean eccentric hamstring strength were evaluated. This focus on eccentric strength is a strength of our study and will serve as a reference for future research in this area. Because in the current literature, the strongest evidence among the risk factors of HFM is expressed as eccentric hamstring muscle strength weakness (3). Therefore, evaluating eccentric hamstring muscle strength is crucial in identifying injury risks in athletes and tailoring individual training programs according to their positions.

The practical implications of these findings are significant for training and injury prevention strategies. Coaches and sports scientists should consider incorporating regular assessments of eccentric hamstring strength into their training programs, regardless of the player's position. Such assessments can help in identifying potential weaknesses or imbalances that may predispose athletes to injury. Moreover, developing targeted strength and conditioning programs that address eccentric strength can be beneficial in enhancing overall performance and reducing injury risk. Given that no significant differences were found across positions, a uniform approach in training for eccentric hamstring strength may be effective.

This study presents several limitations that need to be acknowledged. Firstly, the sample size, while sufficient for initial exploration, may not capture the full variability within elite volleyball players' eccentric hamstring strength across different positions. Thus, results should be interpreted with caution when generalizing to broader populations. Secondly, the study exclusively focused on elite athletes from the Turkish Volleyball 1st League; therefore, findings may not directly apply to athletes from different competitive levels or leagues, potentially limiting the external validity of the study. Another limitation is the study's reliance on a single method (Nordic Hamstring exercise using the IVMES H-Bord device) for assessing eccentric hamstring muscle strength. While this method is widely accepted and provides valuable information, it does not encompass all aspects of hamstring function relevant to volleyball performance. Additionally, the study did not account for variables such as previous injury history or individual differences in training outside the team's program, which could influence eccentric hamstring strength. Moreover, the cross-sectional design limits the ability to infer causality or changes over time, hindering the understanding of how eccentric hamstring strength might evolve across a season or in response to specific training interventions. Lastly, the classification of players into positions, while necessary for the study's objectives, does not account for the fluidity of player roles and the multifaceted nature of volleyball, where players may perform tasks outside their primary positions.

Future research should consider longitudinal designs, larger and more diverse samples, and comprehensive assessments of hamstring function to build on the findings of this study. Exploring other dimensions of hamstring strength, such as concentric and isometric strengths, and their impact on performance and injury prevention in volleyball could provide a more holistic understanding of the muscle group's role. Additionally, integrating various assessment methods and considering the influence of training

history and injury background could offer deeper insights into the development and maintenance of hamstring strength in volleyball players.

CONCLUSIONS

As a result of our study, we demonstrated that the grip strength of the dominant hand was higher with the bilateral grip compared to the unilateral grip, providing compelling evidence for the PNF irradiation principle. This finding is novel as it underscores the effectiveness of bilateral grip strength training, which has not been extensively explored in the current literature. Additionally, this information highlights the bilateral approach as a promising treatment option in training programs aimed at strengthening muscles and enhancing motor skills.

Given the novelty of our findings, further research is warranted to build on these results. Future studies should consider exploring the impact of bilateral grip strength training across different populations, including athletes from various sports, elderly individuals, and those undergoing rehabilitation. Additionally, investigating the long-term effects of bilateral versus unilateral training on muscle strength and motor skill development could provide deeper insights. Researchers should also examine other muscle groups and motor activities to determine if the benefits observed with bilateral grip strength training extend to other areas. Lastly, integrating different assessment methods and considering factors such as training history, injury background, and specific athletic demands could help in understanding the broader applicability and effectiveness of the bilateral approach.

Conflicts of interest and Funding

The authors declare no conflict of interest and funding.

REFERENCES

1. Eirale C, Farooq A, Smiley FA, Tol JL, Chalabi H. Epidemiology of football injuries in Asia: a prospective study in Qatar. *J Sci Med Sport.* 2013;16(2):113-117.
2. Orchard JW, Seward H, Orchard JJ. Results of 2 decades of injury surveillance and public release of data in the Australian Football League. *Am J Sports Med.* 2013;41(4):734-741.
3. Martin RL, Cibulka MT, Bolgla LA, Koc Jr TA, Loudon JK, Manske RC, et al. Hamstring Strain Injury in Athletes: Clinical Practice Guidelines Linked to the International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health From the Academy of Orthopaedic Physical Therapy and the American Academy of Sports Physical Therapy of the American Physical Therapy Association. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 2022;52(3):CPG1-CPG44.
4. Maniar N, Carmichael DS, Hickey JT, Timmins RG, San Jose AJ, Dickson J, et al. Incidence and prevalence of hamstring injuries in field-based team sports: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 5952 injuries from over 7 million exposure hours. *Br J Sports Med.* 2023;57(2):109-116.
5. Shield AJ, Bourne MN. Hamstring injury prevention practices in elite sport: evidence for eccentric strength vs. lumbo-pelvic training. *Sports Med.* 2018;48:513-524.
6. Váci M, Fazekas G, Pilissy T, Cselkó A, Trzaskoma L, Sebesi B, et al. The effects of eccentric hamstring exercise training in young female handball players. *Eur J Appl Physiol.* 2022;122(4):955-964.
7. Markovic G, Sarabon N, Boban F, Zoric I, Jelcic M, Sos K, et al. Nordic hamstring strength of highly trained youth football players and its relation to sprint performance. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2020;34(3):800-807.
8. Greig M, Naylor J. The efficacy of angle-matched isokinetic knee flexor and extensor strength parameters in predicting agility test performance. *Int J Sports Phys Ther.* 2017;12(5):728.
9. Lee JW, Mok K-M, Chan HC, Yung PS, Chan K-M. Eccentric hamstring strength deficit and poor hamstring-to-quadriceps ratio are risk factors for hamstring strain injury in football: A prospective study of 146 professional players. *J Sci Med Sport.* 2018;21(8):789-793.
10. Zebis MK, Andersen LL, Bencke J, Kjær M, Aagaard P. Identification of athletes at future risk of anterior cruciate ligament ruptures by neuromuscular screening. *Am J Sports Med.* 2009;37(10):1967-1973.
11. Lidor R, Ziv G. Physical and physiological attributes of female volleyball players-a review. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2010;24(7):1963-1973.
12. Sattler T, Sekulic D, Hadzic V, Uljevic O, Dervisevic E. Vertical jumping tests in volleyball: reliability, validity, and playing-position specifics. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2012;26(6):1532-1538.
13. Dervišević E, Hadžić V. Quadriceps and hamstrings strength in team sports: Basketball, football and volleyball. *Isokinet Exerc Sci.* 2012;20(4):293-300.

14. Pelegrinelli ARM, Dela Bela LF, Silva MF, Rodrigues LCR, Batista JP, Jr., Guenka LC, et al. Velocity-specific knee strength between professional and under-17 female volleyball players. *South Afr J Physiother.* 2019;75(1):1-7.
15. Bamaç B, Çolak T, Özbek A, Çolak S, Cinel Y, Yenigün Ö. Isokinetic performance in elite volleyball and basketball players. *Kinesiology.* 2008;40(2):182-188.
16. Coombs R, Garbutt G. Developments in the use of the hamstring/quadriceps ratio for the assessment of muscle balance. *J Sports Sci Med.* 2002;1(3):56-62.
17. Panni AS, Biedert RM, Maffulli N, Tartarone M, Romanini E. Overuse injuries of the extensor mechanism in athletes. *Clin Sports Med.* 2002;21(3):483-498.
18. Ardern CL, Pizzari T, Wollin MR, Webster KE. Hamstrings strength imbalance in professional football (soccer) players in Australia. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2015;29(4):997-1002.
19. Hadzic V, Sattler T, Markovic G, Veselko M, Dervisevic E. The isokinetic strength profile of quadriceps and hamstrings in elite volleyball players. *Isokinet Exerc Sci.* 2010;18(1):31-37.
20. Opar D, Williams M, Timmins R, Hickey J, Duhig S, Shield A. Eccentric hamstring strength and hamstring injury risk in Australian footballers. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2015;47(4):857-865.
21. Timmins RG, Bourne MN, Shield AJ, Williams MD, Lorenzen C, Opar DA. Short biceps femoris fascicles and eccentric knee flexor weakness increase the risk of hamstring injury in elite football (soccer): a prospective cohort study. *Br J Sports Med.* 2016;50(24):1524-1535.
22. Bourne MN, Opar DA, Williams MD, Shield AJ. Eccentric knee flexor strength and risk of hamstring injuries in rugby union: a prospective study. *Am J Sports Med.* 2015;43(11):2663-2670.
23. Yeung SS, Suen AM, Yeung EW. A prospective cohort study of hamstring injuries in competitive sprinters: preseason muscle imbalance as a possible risk factor. *Br J Sports Med.* 2009;43(8):589-594.
24. Faul F, Erdfelder E, Lang AG, Buchner A. G* Power 3: A flexible statistical power analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences. *Behav Res Methods.* 2007;39(2):175-191.
25. Cohen J. *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences.* Hillsdale (NJ): Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. 1988;18:74.
26. Soyulu Ç, Altundağ E, Akarçesme C, Ün Yildirim N. The relationship between isokinetic knee flexion and extension muscle strength, jump performance, dynamic balance and injury risk in female volleyball players. *Journal of Human Sport and Exercise.* 2020;5(3):502-514.
27. Akarçesme C, Cengizel E, Alvurdu S, et al. Reliability and validity of the new portable Nordic hamstring test device (IVMES H-Bord). *Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng. Pt. P J.* 2024;0(0).
28. Rhodes D, Jeffrey J, Maden-Wilkinson J, Reedy A, Morehead E, Kiely J, et al. The relationship between eccentric hamstring strength and dynamic stability in elite academy footballers. *Sci Med Footb.* 2021;5(1):48-54.
29. Opar DA, Piatkowski T, Williams MD, Shield AJ. A novel device using the Nordic hamstring exercise to assess eccentric knee flexor strength: a reliability and retrospective injury study. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 2013;43(9):636-640.
30. Wiesinger HP, Gressenbauer C, Kösters A, Scharinger M, Müller E. Device and method matter: A critical evaluation of eccentric hamstring muscle strength assessments. *Scand J Med Sci Sports.* 2020;30(2):217-226.
31. Hasan A, Aytar SH, Akarçesme C, Aktuğ ZB. Is there a difference in isokinetic knee muscle strength between player positions in volleyball? *Int J Sport Cult Sci.* 8(4):302-309.
32. Küçükbaycan Ç, Yenigün N, Yenigün Ö, Dinçer Ö. Comparison of pre-season and post-season leg strength of volleyball players in the blocker and the spiker players. *İstanbul Univ J Sport Sci.* 2011;3(ÖS):137-140.
33. Marques MAC, González-Badillo JJ, Kluka DA. In-season resistance training for professional male volleyball players. *Strength Cond J.* 2006;28(6):16-27.
34. Yılmaz A, Kabadayı M, Bostancı Ö, Özdal M, Mayda M. Analysis of isokinetic knee strength in soccer players in terms of selected parameters. *Phys Educ Students.* 2019;23(4):209-216.
35. Meriç B, Aydın M, Çolak T, Çolak E, Son M. The comparison of knee isokinetic performances and anthropometric measurement of professional soccer players who play different position. *J Hum Sci.* 2007;4(2).
36. Tourny-Chollet C, Leroy D, Léger H, Beuret-Blanquart F. Isokinetic knee muscle strength of soccer players according to their position. *Isokinet Exerc Sci.* 2000;8(4):187-194.
37. Bishop C, Turner A, Read P. Effects of inter-limb asymmetries on physical and sports performance: a systematic review. *J Sports Sci.* 2018;36(10):1135-1144.
38. Croisier JL, Ganteaume S, Binet J, Genty M, Ferret JM. Strength imbalances and prevention of hamstring injury in professional soccer players: a prospective study. *Am J Sports Med.* 2008;36(8):1469-1475.
39. Mokha M, Sprague PA, Gatens DR. Predicting Musculoskeletal Injury in National Collegiate Athletic Association Division II Athletes From Asymmetries and Individual-Test Versus Composite Functional Movement Screen Scores. *J Athl Train.* 2016;51(4):276-282.

40. Parkinson AO, Apps CL, Morris JG, Barnett CT, Lewis MGC. The Calculation, Thresholds and Reporting of Inter-Limb Strength Asymmetry: A Systematic Review. *J Sports Sci Med.* 2021;20(4):594-617.