Turk. J. Math. Comput. Sci. 16(1)(2024) 177–183 © MatDer DOI : 10.47000/tjmcs.1452681



# Some Results Associated with the Hyperbolic Sine Function

Bülent Nafi Örnek<sup>1[,](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9093-1607)∗</sup> <mark>©</mark>, Süleyman Dirik<sup>2</sup> <mark>©</mark>, Mustafa Kandemir<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Computer Engineering, Amasya University, Merkez-Amasya 05100, Türkiye <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Amasya University, Amasya,Türkiye <sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Institute of Science, Amasya University, Amasya, Türkiye.

Received: 14-03-2024 • Accepted: 26-05-2024

Abstract. In this paper, we examine several characteristics of analytical functions related to the hyperbolic sine function and analyze the behavior of the hyperbolic sine function inside and at the boundary of the unit disk.

*2020 AMS Classification:* 30C80

Keywords: Jack's lemma, analytic function, Schwarz lemma.

## 1. Introduction

Let *p* be an analytic function in the unit disc  $D = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ ,  $p(0) = 0$  and  $p : D \to D$ . In accordance with the classical Schwarz lemma, for any point *z* in the unit disc *D*, we have  $|p(z)| \le |z|$  for all  $z \in D$  and  $|p'(0)| \le 1$ . In addition, if the equality  $|p(z)| = |z|$  holds for any  $z \neq 0$ , or  $|p'(0)| = 1$ , then *p* is a rotation; that is  $p(z) = ze^{i\theta}$ ,  $\theta$  real [\[5\]](#page-6-0). In this study, we aim to obtain the Schwarz lemma for the following class  $\mathcal{T}$ , which will be defined subsequently. That is, we examine the behavior of the hyperbolic sine function within the unit disk. In addition, the authors provide a class of the analytic functions depending on the function  $1 + \sinh z$  and establish various conditions for the functions in the mentioned class.

We shall need the following lemma due to Jack [\[6\]](#page-6-1).

**Lemma 1.1** (Jack's lemma). Let  $p(z)$  be a non-constant analytic function in D with  $p(0) = 0$ . If

$$
|p(z_0)| = \max\{|p(z)| : |z| \le |z_0|\},\,
$$

*then there exists a real number*  $k \geq 1$  *such that* 

$$
\frac{z_0 p'(z_0)}{p(z_0)} = k.
$$

Let A denote the class of functions  $g(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + ...$  that are analytic in *D*. Also, let  $\mathcal T$  be the subclass of consisting of all functions  $g(z)$  satisfying  $\mathcal A$  consisting of all functions  $g(z)$  satisfying

$$
1 + \gamma z g'(z) < \frac{1+z}{1-z}, \ z \in D
$$

[\[7\]](#page-6-2) and

$$
|\gamma|\cos(1) \ge 2 + |\gamma|\cosh(1), \ \gamma \in \mathbb{R}.
$$

*\*Corresponding Author*

Email addresses: nafi.ornek@amasya.edu.tr (B.N. Ornek), suleyman.dirik@amasya.edu.tr (S. Dirik), mkandemir5@yahoo.com (M. Kandemir) ¨

Let  $g \in \mathcal{T}$  and consider the following function

$$
\vartheta(z) = \operatorname{arcsinh}\left(g(z) - 1\right),\,
$$

where we have chosen the principle branches of the square root and logarithmic functions. Since the function arcsinh is defined as

$$
\arcsinh z = \log \left( z + \sqrt{1 + z^2} \right),\,
$$

so  $\vartheta(z)$  is an analytic function in *D* and  $\vartheta(0) = 0$ . We show that  $|\vartheta(z)| < 1$  for  $z \in D$ . From the definition of  $\vartheta(z)$ , we have

$$
g(z) = \sinh \vartheta(z) + 1,
$$
  
\n
$$
g'(z) = \vartheta'(z) \cosh \vartheta(z),
$$
  
\n
$$
l(z) = 1 + \gamma z g'(z) = 1 + \gamma z \vartheta'(z) \cosh \vartheta(z)
$$

and 

$$
\left| \frac{l(z) - 1}{l(z) + 1} \right| = \left| \frac{\gamma z \vartheta'(z) \cosh \vartheta(z)}{2 + \gamma z \vartheta'(z) \cosh \vartheta(z)} \right|
$$
  
0, such that

We suppose that there exists a point  $z_0 \in D$  such that

$$
\max_{|z|\leq |z_0|}|\vartheta(z)|=|\vartheta(z_0)|=1.
$$

From the Jack's lemma, we have

$$
\vartheta(z_0) = e^{i\theta}
$$
 and  $\frac{z_0 \vartheta'(z_0)}{\vartheta(z_0)} = k$ ,

for  $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$ . Therefore, we take

$$
\left| \frac{l(z_0) - 1}{l(z_0) + 1} \right| = \left| \frac{\gamma z_0 \vartheta'(z_0) \cosh \vartheta(z_0)}{2 + \gamma z_0 \vartheta'(z_0) \cosh \vartheta(z_0)} \right|
$$

$$
= \left| \frac{\gamma k e^{i\theta} \cosh e^{i\theta}}{2 + \gamma k e^{i\theta} \cosh e^{i\theta}} \right|
$$

$$
\geq \frac{|\gamma| k |\cosh e^{i\theta}|}{2 + |\gamma| k |\cosh e^{i\theta}|}.
$$

With the simple calculations, we take

$$
\left|\cosh e^{i\theta}\right|^2 = \cosh^2\left(\cos\theta\right)\cos^2\left(\sin\theta\right) + \sinh^2\left(\cos\theta\right)\sin^2\left(\sin\theta\right) = \Psi(\theta).
$$

The function  $\Psi(\theta)$  has critical points  $0, \pm \pi, \pm \frac{\pi}{2}$  in the interval  $[-\pi, \pi]$ . Also,  $\Psi(\theta)$  is even function in this interval.<br>Therefore we obtain Therefore, we obtain

$$
\max(\Psi(\theta)) = \Psi(0) = \Psi(\pi) = \cosh^2(1)
$$

and

$$
\min(\Psi(\theta)) = \Psi\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) = \cos^2(1).
$$

From these expressions, we take

$$
\cos(1) \leq |\cosh e^{i\theta}| \leq \cosh(1).
$$

Thus, we obtain

$$
\left|\frac{l(z_0)-1}{l(z_0)+1}\right| \geq \frac{|\gamma| k \left|\cosh e^{i\theta}\right|}{2+|\gamma| k \left|\cosh e^{i\theta}\right|} \geq \frac{|\gamma| k \cos(1)}{2+|\gamma| k \cosh(1)}.
$$

Let

$$
\sigma(k) = \frac{|\gamma| k \cos(1)}{2 + |\gamma| k \cosh(1)}.
$$

Therefore, we take

$$
\sigma'(k) = \frac{2|\gamma|\cos(1)}{(2+|\gamma|k\cosh(1))^{2}} > 0.
$$

Here,  $\sigma(k)$  is an increasing function and hence it will have its minimum value at  $k = 1$ . Thus, we obtain

$$
\left|\frac{l(z_0)-1}{l(z_0)+1}\right| \ge \frac{|\gamma|\cos(1)}{2+|\gamma|\cosh(1)}
$$

 $l(z_0) - 1$ 

and from  $|\gamma| \cos(1) \geq 2 + |\gamma| \cosh(1)$ 

 $\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array}\\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array}$  $l(z_0) + 1$  $\geq 1$ . This contradicts  $g(z) \in \mathcal{T}$ . This means that, there is no point  $z_0 \in D$  such that  $\max_{|z| \le |z_0|} |\vartheta(z)| = |\vartheta(z_0)| = 1$ . Hence, we take  $|\vartheta(z)| < 1$  in *D*. From the Schwarz lemma, we take  $|\vartheta'(0)| \le 1$ . Therefore, we have

$$
\vartheta(z) = \operatorname{arcsinh}(g(z) - 1),
$$
  
\n
$$
\sinh \vartheta(z) + 1 = g(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + ...,
$$
  
\n
$$
\sinh \vartheta(z) = c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + ...
$$

and

$$
c_1 + c_2 z + \dots = \frac{\sinh \vartheta(z)}{z}.
$$

Passing to limit as *z* tends to 0 in the last equality, we obtain

$$
c_1 = \vartheta'(0) \cosh \vartheta(0)
$$

and

Let us now show that this inequality is sharp. Let

$$
g(z) = 1 + \sinh z.
$$

 $|c_1| \leq 1.$ 

Then,

$$
g'(z) = \cosh z,
$$
  

$$
g'(0) = c_1 = \cosh 0 = 1
$$

 $|c_1| = 1.$ 

and

We thus obtain the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.2.** *If*  $g \in \mathcal{T}$ *, then we have the inequality* 

 $|c_1| \leq 1$ .

*The result is sharp for the function*

 $g(z) = 1 + \sinh z$ .

One of the important applications of Schwarz lemma involves studies at the boundary of the unit disc. Some of these studies, which are called the boundary version of Schwarz Lemma, are about estimating from below the modulus of the derivative of the function at some boundary point of the unit disc  $[1-4, 8-10, 12, 13]$  $[1-4, 8-10, 12, 13]$  $[1-4, 8-10, 12, 13]$  $[1-4, 8-10, 12, 13]$  $[1-4, 8-10, 12, 13]$  $[1-4, 8-10, 12, 13]$  $[1-4, 8-10, 12, 13]$ . The boundary version of the Schwarz Lemma is given as follows  $[11-15]$  $[11-15]$ :

**Lemma 1.3.** Let *p* be an analytic function in *D*,  $p(0) = 0$  and  $p(D) ⊂ D$ . If  $p(z)$  extends continuously to boundary *point*  $1 \in \partial D = \{z : |z| = 1\}$ *, and if*  $|p(1)| = 1$  *and p*<sup> $\prime$ </sup>(1) *exists, then* 

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
|p'(1)| \ge \frac{2}{1 + |p'(0)|} \tag{1.1}
$$

*and* 

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
\left|p'(1)\right| \ge 1. \tag{1.2}
$$

*Moreover, the equality in* [\(1.1\)](#page-2-0) *holds if and only if*

$$
g(z) = z \frac{z - a}{1 - az}
$$

*for some a* ∈ (-1, 0]*. Also, the equality in* [\(1.2\)](#page-2-1) *holds if and only if*  $p(z) = ze^{i\theta}$ *.* 

The following lemma, known as the Julia-Wolff lemma, is needed in the sequel [\[14\]](#page-6-11).

**Lemma 1.4** (Julia-Wolff lemma). Let p be an analytic function in D,  $p(0) = 0$  and  $p(D) \subset D$ . If, in addition, the *function p has an angular limit p*(1) *at*  $1 \in \partial D$ ,  $|p(1)| = 1$ , *then the angular derivative p'*(1) *exists and*  $1 \le |p'(1)| \le \infty$ .

## 2. Main Results

In this section, we discuss different versions of the boundary Schwarz lemma for the class  $\mathcal T$  and examine the behavior of the hyperbolic sine function at the boundary of the unit disk.

<span id="page-3-1"></span>**Theorem 2.1.** *Let g* ∈  $\mathcal{T}$ *. Assume that, for*  $1 \in \partial D$ *, g has an angular limit g*(1) *at the point* 1*, g*(1) = 1 + sinh 1*. Then, we have the inequality* 

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
|g'(1)| \ge \cosh(1). \tag{2.1}
$$

*The inequality* [\(2.1\)](#page-3-0) *is sharp with extremal function*

$$
g(z) = 1 + \sinh z.
$$

*Proof.* Consider the function

$$
\vartheta(z) = \operatorname{arcsinh}\left(g(z) - 1\right).
$$

With simple edits, we have

$$
g(z) = \sinh \vartheta(z) + 1
$$

and  $|\vartheta(1)| = 1$  for  $g(1) = 1 + \sinh 1$ . Therefore, from the Schwarz lemma at the boundary, we take  $|\vartheta'(1)| \ge 1$ . With the simple calculations we obtain simple calculations, we obtain ′

$$
g'(1) = \vartheta'(1) \cosh \vartheta(1) = \vartheta'(1) \cosh(1),
$$

$$
1 \le |\vartheta'(1)| = \frac{|g'(1)|}{\cosh(1)}
$$

and the contract of the contra

$$
|g'(1)| \ge \cosh(1).
$$

Now, we shall show that the inequality  $(2.1)$  is sharp. Let

 $g(z) = 1 + \sinh z$ .

 $g'(z) = \cosh z$ 

Then,

and the contract of the contra

 $|g'(1)| = \cosh(1)$ .

The inequality [\(2.1\)](#page-3-0) can be strengthened from below by taking into account,  $c_1 = g'(0)$ , which is the first coefficient of the expansion of the function  $g(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + ...$ 

<span id="page-3-3"></span>Theorem 2.2. *Under the same assumptions as in Theorem [2.1,](#page-3-1) we have*

<span id="page-3-2"></span>
$$
|g'(1)| \ge \frac{2\cosh(1)}{1+|c_1|}.\tag{2.2}
$$

*The equality in* [\(2.2\)](#page-3-2) *occurs for the function*

$$
g(z) = 1 + \sinh z.
$$

*Proof.* If we apply the inequality [\(1.1\)](#page-2-0) to the analytic function  $\vartheta(z)$  given in Theorem [2.1,](#page-3-1) we obtain

$$
\frac{2}{1+|\vartheta'(0)|} \le |\vartheta'(1)| = \frac{|g'(1)|}{\cosh(1)}
$$

Since  $|\vartheta'(0)| = |c_1|$ , we take

$$
|g'(1)| \ge \frac{2\cosh(1)}{1+|c_1|}.
$$

Now, we shall show that the inequality  $(2.2)$  is sharp. Let

$$
g(z) = 1 + \sinh z.
$$

□

Then,

$$
|g'(1)| = \cosh(1).
$$

In addition, we have

$$
1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots = 1 + \sinh z,
$$
  

$$
c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots = \sinh z
$$

and

$$
c_1 + c_2 z + \dots = \frac{\sinh z}{z}.
$$

Passing to limit ( $z \rightarrow 0$ ) in the last equality yields  $c_1 = 1$ . Therefore, we take

$$
\frac{2\cosh(1)}{1+|c_1|} = \frac{2\cosh(1)}{1+1} = \cosh(1).
$$

An interesting special case of Theorem [2.2](#page-3-3) is when  $c_1 = 0$ , in which case inequality [\(2.2\)](#page-3-2) implies  $|g'(1)| \ge 2 \cosh 1$ . The inequality [\(2.2\)](#page-3-2) can be strengthened as below by taking into account  $c_2 = \frac{g''(0)}{2!}$  which is the coefficient in the expansion of the function  $g(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + ...$ 

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let g* ∈  $\mathcal{T}$ *. Assume that, for*  $1 \in \partial D$ *, g has an angular limit g*(1) *at the point* 1*, g*(1) = 1 + sinh 1*. Then, we have the inequality*

$$
|g'(1)| \ge \cosh 1 \left( 1 + \frac{2 (1 - |c_1|)^2}{1 - |c_1|^2 + |c_2|} \right)
$$

*This result is sharp for the function*

$$
g(z) = 1 + \sinh z^2.
$$

*Proof.* Let  $\vartheta(z)$  be the same as in the proof of Theorem [2.1](#page-3-1) and  $r(z) = z$ . By the maximum principle, for each  $z \in D$ , we have the inequality  $|\vartheta(z)| \leq |r(z)|$ . Therefore, we have

$$
u(z) = \frac{\vartheta(z)}{r(z)} = \frac{\arcsinh\left(g(z) - 1\right)}{z}
$$

$$
= \frac{\arcsinh\left(c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \ldots\right)}{z}.
$$

Since

$$
\operatorname{arcsinh}\left(c_1z + c_2z^2 + \ldots\right) = c_1z + c_2z^2 + \ldots - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\left(c_1z + c_2z^2 + \ldots\right)^3}{3} + \ldots,
$$

we take

$$
u(z) = \frac{c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots)^3}{3} + \dots}{z}
$$
  
= c\_1 + c\_2 z + \dots - \frac{1}{2} \frac{z^2 (c\_1 + c\_2 z + \dots)^3}{3} + \dots

Here,  $u(z)$  is an analytic function in *D* and  $|u(z)| \le 1$  for  $z \in D$ . In particular, we have

<span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
|u(0)| = |c_1| \le 1\tag{2.3}
$$

and

$$
|u'(0)|=|c_2|.
$$

The auxiliary function

$$
\Lambda(z) = \frac{u(z) - u(0)}{1 - \overline{u(0)}u(z)}
$$

□

is analytic in *D*,  $\Lambda(0) = 0$ ,  $|\Lambda(z)| < 1$  for  $|z| < 1$  and  $|\Lambda(1)| = 1$  for  $1 \in \partial D$ . From [\(1.2\)](#page-2-1), we obtain

$$
\frac{2}{1+|\Delta'(0)|} \le |\Delta'(1)| = \frac{1-|u(0)|^2}{\left|1-\overline{u(0)}u(1)\right|^2} |u'(1)|
$$

$$
\le \frac{1+|u(0)|}{1-|u(0)|} |u'(1)|
$$

$$
= \frac{1+|u(0)|}{1-|u(0)|} (|\partial'(1)|-|r'(1)|).
$$

Also, since

$$
|\Lambda'(0)| = \frac{|u'(0)|}{1 - |u(0)|^2} = \frac{|c_2|}{1 - |c_1|^2},
$$

we take

$$
\frac{2}{1 + \frac{|c_2|}{1 - |c_1|^2}} \le \frac{1 + |c_1|}{1 - |c_1|} \left( \frac{|g'(1)|}{\cosh(1)} - 1 \right),
$$
  

$$
\frac{2\left(1 - |c_1|^2\right)}{1 - |c_1|^2 + |c_2|} \frac{1 - |c_1|}{1 + |c_1|} + 1 \le \frac{|g'(1)|}{\cosh(1)}
$$

and

$$
|g'(1)| \ge \cosh 1 \left( 1 + \frac{2(1 - |c_1|)^2}{1 - |c_1|^2 + |c_2|} \right)
$$

Now, let us show that this last inequality is sharp. Let $g(z) = 1 + \sinh z^2$ . Then,v $g'(z) = 2z \cosh z^2 v$  and  $g'(1) = 2 \cosh(1)$ . On the other hand, we take

$$
1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots = 1 + \sinh z^2
$$

1 +  $c_1z$  +  $c_2z$ <sup>2</sup> + .... = 1 + sinh  $z$ <sup>2</sup>.<br>If we take the derivative of both sides of the last expression and pass to the limit for *z* → 0, we obtain *c*<sub>1</sub> = 0. Similarly, using straightforward calculations, we take  $c_2 = 1$ . Thus, we obtain

$$
\cosh(1)\left(1+\frac{2(1-|c_2|)^2}{1-|c_1|^2+|c_2|}\right)=2\cosh(1).
$$

The following theorem shows the relationship between the coefficients  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  in the Maclaurin expansion of the function  $g(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + ...$ 

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Theorem 2.4.** *Let g* ∈  $\mathcal{T}$ ,  $g(z)$  − 1 *have no zeros in D except*  $z = 0$  *and*  $c_1 > 0$ *. Then, we have the inequality* 

<span id="page-5-1"></span>
$$
|c_2| \le 2 |c_1 \ln (c_1)|. \tag{2.4}
$$

*This result is sharp with equality for the function*

$$
g(z) = 1 + \sinh\left(ze^{\frac{1+z}{1-z}\ln c_1}\right).
$$

*Proof.* Let  $c_1 > 0$  be in the expression of the function  $g(z)$ . Having in mind equality [\(2.3\)](#page-4-0) and the function  $g(z) - 1$  has no zeros in *D* except  $z = 0$ , we use  $\ln u(z)$  to denote the analytic branch of the logarithm normed by the condition

$$
\ln u(0) = \ln(c_1) < 0.
$$

The auxiliary function

$$
b(z) = \frac{\ln u(z) - \ln u(0)}{\ln u(z) + \ln u(0)}
$$

is analytic in the unit disc *D*,  $|b(z)| < 1$ ,  $b(0) = 0$ . The function  $b(z)$  we have expressed in Theorem [2.4](#page-5-0) satisfies the conditions of the Schwarz lemma. Thus, if we apply the Schwarz lemma to the function  $b(z)$ , we obtain

$$
|b'(0)| = -\frac{|c_2|}{2c_1 \ln(c_1)}
$$

and

$$
182
$$

$$
|c_2| \leq 2 |c_1 \ln (c_1)|.
$$

To show that inequality  $(2.4)$  is sharp, we take an analytic function

$$
g(z) = 1 + \sinh\left(ze^{\frac{1+z}{1-z}\ln c_1}\right).
$$

$$
g(z) - 1 = \sinh\left(ze^{\frac{1+z}{1-z}\ln c_1}\right)
$$

1+*z*

and

 $\arcsinh(g(z) - 1) = zw(z),$ 

<span id="page-6-12"></span> $w(z) = e^{\frac{1+z}{1-z} \ln c_1}$ 

where

Therefore, we take

If we rearrange the function  $g(z)$ , we get

$$
w(z) = \frac{\arcsinh (g(z) - 1)}{z} = \frac{c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots)^3}{3} + \dots}{z}
$$

$$
= c_1 + c_2 z + \dots - \frac{1}{2} \frac{z^2 (c_1 + c_2 z + \dots)^3}{3} + \dots
$$

and the contract of the contra

 $|w'(0)| = |c_2|$ .

If we take the derivative of the function  $w(z)$  defined in [\(2.5\)](#page-6-12), we get

 $|w'(0)| = 2 |c_1 \ln c_1|$ .

Thus, we obtain

$$
|c_2| = 2 |c_1 \ln c_1|.
$$

 $\Box$ 

#### CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this article.

## AUTHORS CONTRIBUTION STATEMENT

All authors jointly worked on the results and they have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

### **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-6-3"></span>[1] Akyel, T., *Estimates for* λ*-spirallike function of complex order on the boundary*, Ukrainian Math.J., <sup>74</sup>(1)(2022), 1–14 .
- [2] Azeroğlu, T.A., Örnek, B.N., A refined Schwarz inequality on the boundary, Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations, 58(2013), 571-577.
- [3] Boas, H.P., *Julius and Julia: Mastering the art of the Schwarz lemma*, Amer. Math. Monthly, 117(2010), 770–785.
- <span id="page-6-4"></span>[4] Dubinin, V.N., *The Schwarz inequality on the boundary for functions regular in the disc*, J. Math. Sci., 122(2004), 3623–3629.
- <span id="page-6-0"></span>[5] Golusin, G.M., Geometric Theory of Functions of Complex Variable [in Russian], 2nd edn., Moscow, 1966.
- <span id="page-6-1"></span>[6] Jack, I.S., *Functions starlike and convex of order* α, J. London Math. Soc., <sup>3</sup>(1971), 469–474.
- <span id="page-6-2"></span>[7] Kumar, S.S., Khan, M.G., Ahmad, B. et al., *A class of analytic functions associated with sine hyperbolic functions,* The Journal of Analysis, (2024).
- <span id="page-6-5"></span>[8] Mateljevi´c, M., Mutavdžć, N., Örnek, B.N., Note on some classes of holomorphic functions related to Jack's and Schwarz's lemma, Appl. Anal. Discrete Math., 16 (2022), 111–131.
- [9] Mercer, P.R., *Boundary Schwarz inequalities arising from Rogosinski's lemma*, J. Class. Anal., 12(2018), 93–97.
- <span id="page-6-6"></span>[10] Mercer, P.R., *An improved Schwarz Lemma at the boundary*, Open Math., 16(2018), 1140–1144.
- <span id="page-6-9"></span>[11] Osserman, R., *A sharp Schwarz inequality on the boundary*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 128(2000), 3513–3517.
- <span id="page-6-7"></span>[12] Örnek, B.N., Düzenli, T., Boundary analysis for the derivative of driving point impedance functions, IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems II: Express Briefs, 65(9)(2018), 1149–1153.
- <span id="page-6-8"></span>[13] Örnek, B.N., Sharpened forms of the Schwarz lemma on the boundary, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 50(6)(2013), 2053–2059.
- <span id="page-6-11"></span>[14] Pommerenke, Ch., Boundary Behaviour of Conformal Maps, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- <span id="page-6-10"></span>[15] Unkelbach, H., *Über die randverzerrung bei konformer abbildung*, Math. Z., 43(1938), 739–742.

 $(2.5)$